International Journal of Zoological Investigations

Contents available at Journals Home Page: www.ijzi.net

ISSN: 2454-3055

Phylogenetic Analysis of Snake Using Cytochrome b Gene Sequence

Jadhav Anita S.

Department of Zoology, ICLES' Motilal Jhunjhunwala College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Vashi Navi, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Received: 13th February, 2021

Accepted: 30th March, 2021

Published online: 16th April, 2021

<https://doi.org/10.33745/ijzi.2021.v07i01.013>

Abstract: Phylogenetic analysis of two species of snake *Eryx colubrinus loveridgei* and *Ptyas mucosus* was investigated using the gene encoding cytochrome b. The nucleotide sequences of complete and partial mtDNA cytochrome b were determined in numerous specimens. Sequence divergence between species and genera was evenly distributed in the cytochrome b gene but rather high compared to reports for other fish species. Phylogenetic analyses on complete cytochrome b were used to study the relationships among the considered species. The molecular phylogeny of sample was determined by analyzing cytochrome b gene sequences. On the basis of position of sequence of the given python sample in the phylogenetic tree, the sample showed closest similarity with *Eryx colubrinus loveridgei* and *Ptyas mucosus*.

Keywords: *Eryx colubrinus loveridgei*; *Ptyas mucosus*, DNA sequence, Cytochrome b, Phylogenetic analysis

Citation: Jadhav Anita S.: Phylogenetic analysis of snake using cytochrome b gene sequence. Intern. J. Zool. Invest. 7 (1): 169-175, 2021.

<https://doi.org/10.33745/ijzi.2021.v07i01.013>

Introduction

DNA barcoding is a simple technique used to develop a large-scale system of classification that is broadly applicable across a wide variety of taxa. Molecular phylogenetics is the analysis of hereditary molecular differences, mainly in DNA sequences, to gain information on an organism's evolutionary relationships. Molecular phylogeny of snake was determined by analyzing cytochrome b gene. Phylogenies

form the cornerstone of our understanding of evolutionary relationships between organisms and provide a historical basis for testing and inferring ecological and evolutionary processes. Rieppel (1988) provided useful historical reviews of progress in understanding snake phylogeny and classification. Hydrophiine or 'true' sea snakes are a diverse radiation of fully marine

venomous species that belong to the same evolutionary lineage as venomous terrestrial elapids.

Snakes represent a taxonomically underdeveloped group of animals in India with a lack of experts and incomplete taxonomic descriptions being the main deterrents to advances in this area. Veterinary and forensic science laboratories frequently encounter samples lacking any morphological details that make it impossible to identify them as meat, leather, bones, blood stains on clothes. Therefore, there is a need to determine the origin of anonymous biological traces. In addition, species identification represents a key aspect of biodiversity studies (Ardura *et al.*, 2011). The molecular markers and DNA sequencing have been taken as good markers to classify the taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships among species. The application of PCR technique has significantly improved the efficiency of laboratorial diagnostic procedures by allowing the *in vitro* amplification of a large number of DNA copies using a specific genomic region as template, followed by complementary techniques (Fajardo *et al.*, 2007). Since it only requires a small amount of template DNA, the PCR method has been particularly useful for the identification of species in suboptimal DNA samples like forensic samples and blood stains, also in archaeological remains and museum specimens owing to the highly degraded and fragmented nature of ancient DNA (Pereira *et al.*, 2008).

Both molecular and morphological data sets will ultimately be necessary to develop a comprehensive phylogeny of snakes and each data source can make a unique contribution. On one hand, molecular methods can provide large quantities of phylogenetically

informative data. Although data have been plentiful, colubroid molecular phylogenies have been unstable due to their inherent sensitivity to taxon sampling (Kraus and Brown, 1998; Kelly *et al.*, 2003). On the other hand, only few morphological complexes have been analyzed thoroughly within snakes, and the paucity of broadly sampled morphological characters has prevented the compilation of a large morphological data matrix.

The cytochrome b gene has been proved as an efficient tool with high power of discrimination for species identification and characterization in both taxonomy and forensic science (Kuwayama and Ozawa, 2000; Saif *et al.*, 2012) and is also used in studies of molecular evolution (Prusak *et al.*, 2004). The gene length is 1140 bp and has some stable sequences which were used for suggestion of universal primers for typical PCR-based methods (Parson *et al.*, 2000). The application of DNA data to phylogenetic reconstruction has led to the collection of diverse molecular data sets to test taxonomic hypotheses (Flynn, and Wesley-Hunt, 2005). This practice evidently leads to well-supported, highly resolved trees, compared with individual partitions. However, the acquisition of such data sets is an expensive and time-consuming process. If it is accepted that the best estimate of phylogeny is taken to be the simplest explanation of all the relevant data (i.e. the simultaneous analysis) (Miller *et al.*, 1997), the PBS allows an evaluation of phylogenetic accuracy of all partitions. So, in the present study phylogenetic analysis of snake using cytochrome b gene sequence was investigated.

Materials and Methods

Collection of samples:

Shed skin samples of both the snakes were also provided from the Mumbai Zoological Gardens, collected from individual live Sand boa snake and Rat snake encountered in and around residential areas. Ventral scale clip samples and shed skins were taken from captive held specimens of known geographic origin. The sheds were processed and prepared before identification. The sheds were first immersed in clean water and lightly squeezed to soften them and were proceed for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction and quantification:

DNA Extraction from 2-3 scale was carried out using Gen-Elute mammalian genomic DNA miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Concentration of DNA was determined using UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation). The DNA was stored at -20 C for further use.

PCR amplification:

PCR amplification was performed using Biometra thermal cycler. The PCR mixture contained 2.5 µl of 10X buffer, 1 µl of each primer (diluted 10 times), 2.5 µl of 2.5 mM of each dNTP, 2.5 Units of Taq DNA polymerase and 1 µl Template DNA. Primers used for cyt b region amplification were Snk-F: 5' TGAGGACAAATATCATTCTGAG 3' and Snk-R: - 5' TAGGCGAATAGGAAGTATCA 3' (Dubey *et al.*, 2010). The PCR amplification cycle consisted of a cycle of 5 min at 94 C; 30 cycles of 45 sec at 94 C, 45 sec at 45 C, 1 min and 30 sec at 72 C; and 1 cycle of 5 min at 72 C.

Gel electrophoresis:

Gel electrophoresis was performed using 1.0% agarose to analyze the size of amplified PCR product. The size obtained was approx. 431bp for cytochrome b region (Fig 4).

DNA sequencing:

The PCR product was purified using AxyPrep PCR Clean up kit (AP-PCR-50). It was further sequenced using Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer USA and chromatogram obtained. The primer used was Snk- F: 5'TGAGGACAAATATCATTCTGAG3' as sequencing primer.

Bioinformatics analysis:

The DNA sequences were analyzed using online nBLAST (nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) facility of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The BLAST results (Tables 1, 2) were used to find out evolutionary relationship of snake. Altogether eleven sequences, including sample were used to generate phylogenetic tree. The tree had been generated in MEGA (Figs. 1, 2).

Figure 1: Phylogenetic tree for Sand boa (2)

Figure 2: Phylogenetic tree for Rat snake (3)

Results and Discussion

Sample -- Sand boa

Gene Sequences:

> Sand boa Snake

Sequence length: 367

Table 1: Phylogenetic analysis of Sand boa

Sr. no.	Description	Max score	Max ident	Accession
1.	<i>Eryx colubrinus loveridgei</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	398	89%	U69812.1
2.	<i>Eryx conicus</i> cytochrome b (Cytb) gene, partial cds; mitochondrial	375	88%	GQ225658.1
3.	<i>Eryx colubrinus colubrinus</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	348	86%	U69811.1
4.	<i>Eryx conicus</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	339	88%	U69817.1
5.	<i>Eryx jaculus</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	309	87%	U69821.1
6.	<i>Eryx conicus</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	307	88%	U69816.1
7.	<i>Epicrates monensis</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, complete cds	294	84%	U69792.1
8.	<i>Epicrates monensis</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, complete cds	294	84%	U69790.1
9.	<i>Morelia amethystina</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	292	85%	U69847.1
10.	<i>Python regius</i> cytochrome b (cytb) gene, mitochondrial gene encoding mitochondrial protein, partial cds	285	84%	U69856.1

Sample --Rat snake

Gene Sequences:

>Snake Rat snake

```
AGGGGGAGGGGGGAGGAGGGGTGCTTCAATTTGGGGATACCAACTGCTAACCCGGTTGGTTGGGCC
TACGGTTTATCCTTCCGGGAGTATCATGTCAATGTCCTCAATCCACATCATACTGCTTCACACAGA
AGATCAAGCAACCCGCTAGGAACAAATTCAGACATGGACAAAATTCCATTTACCCATACCACTCC
CACAAAGATATCCTAATACGAACGGTATTAATTACCATAATATTTACTATTATAGCATTACCCCA
AACATAGGTGATGAGCCAGAAAATGTCTCAAAAGCTAATCCAATAGTCGCACCACAACACATTAA
ACCAGAAGGATACTTCCTAGTCGCCTAAGA
```

Sequence length: 359

Table 2: Phylogenetic analysis of Rat snake

Sr no.	Description	Max score	Max identity	Accession no.
1.	<i>Ptyas mucosus</i> CAS 208434 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	392	89%	AF471054.1
2.	<i>Ptyas mucosus</i> cytochrome b (Cytb) gene, partial cds; mitochondrial	381	88%	GQ225655.1
3.	<i>Dinodon rufozonatum</i> LSUMZ 44977 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	243	83%	AF471063.1
4.	<i>Dinodon rufozonatum</i> isolate china cytochrome b (cytb) gene, partial cds; mitochondrial	220	82%	JF827672.1
5.	<i>Coluber constrictor</i> voucher KJI 3 cytochrome b gene, partial cds; mitochondrial	198	80%	EU180478.1
6.	<i>Hypsiglena jani texana</i> voucher CAS 228960 mitochondrion, complete genome	193	80%	EU728592.1
7.	<i>Contia tenuis</i> voucher MVZ 232671 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	189	80%	GU112395.1
8.	<i>Contia tenuis</i> voucher MVZ 208160 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	189	80%	GU112393.1
9.	<i>Contia tenuis</i> voucher MVZ 208158 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	189	80%	GU112392.1
10.	<i>Contia tenuis</i> voucher CAS 205652 cytochrome b (cytb) gene, complete cds; mitochondrial	189	80%	GU112385.1

Two molecular studies [partial 16S and cytochrome b mtDNA sequences; amino acid sequences of the venom proteins PLA2 and NXS] (Keogh, 1998) have explored the phylogenetic relationships within the snake family Elapidae, a major group of venomous snakes containing nearly 300 species in approximately 60 genera (Golay *et al.*, 1993); herein we use Elapidae in the broad sense to include both terrestrial and marine species, whereas Golay *et al.* (1993) place the marine species in a separate family Hydrophiidae). The two studies agree that the marine and Australo-Melanesian species are collectively mono-phyletic.

Initial phylogenetic analyses using maximum likelihood joined acrodonts with snakes instead of with iguanids. The affinities of snakes and acrodonts were thus analyzed separately in subsequent analyses. Furthermore, the most fast-evolving taxa were removed by creating a distance matrix based on them tREV+I+8 model and compared distances between each squamate taxon and the turtles. Any squamate taxon that had a distance of 1.0 or more was removed. The taxon sampling may have been compromised but it was only compromised within, not across, lineages. In addition, fast-evolving taxa only mask the true phylogenetic signal of the data and, as was evident, increase the likelihood of LBA (Baurain *et al.*, 2007)

These results are congruent with previous phylogenetic studies with respect to the affinities of lizard lineages. These results support a mitogenomic study that also place snakes with the “Laterata” (Kumazawa, 2007). These results are in contrast to nuclear gene studies and phylogenetic analysis of snake like *Eryx colubrinus loveridgei* and *Ptyas mucosus*.

References

- Ardura A, Planes S and Garcia Vazquez E. (2011) Beyond biodiversity: fish metagenomes. PLoS One 6: e22592.
- Dubey B, Meganathan PR and Haque I. (2010) Molecular identification of three Indian snake species using simple PCR-RFLP method. J Forensic Sci. 55:1065-1067.
- Fajardo V, González I, López-Calleja I, Martín I, Rojas M and Pavón MA. (2007) Analysis of mitochondrial DNA for authentication of meats from chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*), pyrenean ibex (*Capra pyrenaica*), and mouflon (*Ovis ammon*) by polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism. J AOAC Int. 90:179-186.
- Felsenstein J. (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783-791.
- Flynn JJ and Wesley-Hunt GD. (2005) Carnivora. In: The Rise of Placental Mammals: Origins and Relationships of the Major Extant Clades, (eds.) Archibald D and Rose K. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, pp.175–98.
- Golay P, Smith HM, Broadley DG, Dixon JR, McCarthy C, Rage JC, Schatti B and Toriba M. (1993) Endoglyphs and other major venomous snakes of the world: A Checklist, Azemiops, S. A., Switzerland.
- Kelly CMR, Barker NP and Villet MH. (2003) Phylogenetics of advanced snakes (Caenophidia) based on four mitochondrial genes. Syst Biol. 52: 439-459.
- Keogh JS, Shine R and Donnellan S. (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of terrestrial Australo-Papuan elapid snakes (Subfamily: Hydrophiinae) based on cytochrome b and 16S rRNA sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 10: 67-81.
- Kraus F and Brown WM. (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of colubroid snakes based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. Zool J Linn Soc. 122: 455-487.
- Kuwayama R and Ozawa T. (2000) Phylogenetic relationships among European red deer, wapiti, and sika deer inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 15:115-123.
- Miller JS, Brower AVZ and DeSalle R. (1997) Phylogeny of the neotropical moth tribe Josiini (Notodontidae: Diop-tinae): comparing and combining evidence from DNA sequences and morphology. Biol J Linn Soc. 60:297-316.
- National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website.

- Parson W, Pegoraro K, Niederstatter H, Foger M and Steinlechner M. (2000) Species identification by means of the cytochrome b gene. *Int J Legal Med.* 114: 23-28.
- Pereira FC and Amorim A. (2008) Identification of species with DNA-based technology: current progress and challenges. *Recent Pat DNA Gene Seq.* 2:187-200.
- Prusak B, Grzybowski G and Zięba G. (2004) Taxonomic position of *Bison bison* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Bison bonasus* (Linnaeus, 1758) based on analysis of cytb gene. *Anim Sci Pap Rep.* 22:27-35.
- Rieppel O. (1988). A review of the origin of snakes. *Evol Biol.* 22: 37-130.
- Saif R, Babar ME, Awan AR, Nadeem A, Hashmi AS and Hussain T. (2012) DNA fingerprinting of Pakistani buffalo breeds (Nili-Ravi, Kundi) using microsatellite and cytochrome b gene markers. *Mol Biol Rep.* 39: 851-856.
- Saitou N and Nei M. (1987) The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol.* 4: 406-425.
- Tamura K, Nei M and Kumar S. (2004) Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proc Nat Acad Sci. (USA)* 101:11030-11035.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M and Kumar S. (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol.* 28:2731-2739.