Effects of Nitric Oxide Donor on the Lung Functions in a Saline Lavage-Induced Model of ARDS
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Summary
Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is characterized by acute hypoxemia, neutrophil-mediated inflammation, and lung edema formation. Whereas lung damage might be alleviated by nitric oxide (NO), goal of this study was to evaluate if intratracheal NO donor S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP) can positively influence the lung functions in experimental model of ARDS. New Zealand rabbits with respiratory failure induced by saline lavage (30 ml/kg, 9±3 times) were divided into: ARDS group without therapy, ARDS group treated with SNAP (7 mg/kg i.t.), and healthy Control group. During 5 h of ventilation, respiratory parameters (blood gases, ventilatory pressures) were estimated. After anesthetics overdosing, left lung was saline-lavaged and cell count, cell viability and protein content in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) were measured. Right lung tissue was used for estimation of wet/dry weight ratio, concentration of NO metabolites, and histomorphological investigation. Repetitive lung lavage induced lung injury, worsened gas exchange, and damaged alveolar-capillary membrane. Administration of SNAP reduced cell count in BALF, lung edema formation, NO metabolites, and histopathological signs of injury, and improved respiratory parameters. Treatment with intratracheal SNAP alleviated lung injury and edema and improved lung functions in a saline-lavaged model of ARDS suggesting a potential of NO donors also for patients with ARDS.
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Introduction
Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a life-threatening syndrome characterized by damage of alveolar-capillary membrane, hypoxemic respiratory failure, decreased dynamic lung compliance and bilateral radiographic infiltrates with no clinical evidence of cardiogenic pulmonary edema (Ranieri et al. 2012, Bellani et al. 2016).

Diffuse alveolar injury is linked with activation of lung macrophages which release numerous cytokines including interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α. These substances stimulate transmigration of additional polymorphonuclears (PMN), particularly of neutrophils, into the lung, and their activation. Activated neutrophils release huge concentrations of lipid mediators, proteases, and oxidants that continue to injure the lung and perpetuate the ARDS vicious cycle (Ware and Matthay 2000).

Nitric oxide (NO) is an important mediator in both physiological and pathological processes (Liaudet et al. 2000). NO is produced by conversion of L-arginine to L-citrulline, a reaction catalyzed by one of three NO synthases (NOS): neuronal (nNOS), endothelial (eNOS), and inducible (iNOS) (Phillips et al. 2009).
NO participates in regulation of vascular tone, inhibition of platelet aggregation, attenuation of leukocyte adherence to the endothelium, scavenging of oxygen-derived free radicals, maintenance of normal vascular permeability, inhibition of smooth muscle proliferation, immune defense, and stimulation of endothelial cell regeneration (Phillips et al. 2009). On the other hand, abundant production of NO and its metabolites (e.g. in inflammation) can be deleterious. NO reacts with superoxide anions to form a highly potent oxidant peroxynitrite which together with other oxidants damages proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids. The complex action of inflammatory processes and oxidative effects of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS) finally leads to a disruption of the alveolar-capillary barrier with subsequent formation of interstitial and alveolar edema and progression of lung injury (Lamb et al. 1999).

Despite improved understanding the pathophysiology of ARDS, decades of research have failed to find effective pharmacotherapies that can substantially reduce mortality in established ARDS (Yadav et al. 2017). Several studies have shown that administration of exogenous NO (i.e. inhaled NO or NO donor) can besides vasodilation and bronchodilation actions exert some anti-inflammatory effects, such as attenuation of leukocyte-endothelial cell adhesion, platelet-leukocyte aggregation, mast cell degranulation, production of inflammatory mediators, and modulation of vascular permeability (Fukuda et al. 1995, McKinley et al. 2000), and therefore, can be of benefit in inflammatory lung diseases, as well. For instance, inhaled NO attenuated pulmonary hypertension, enhanced gas exchange, and suppressed transendothelial migration of activated neutrophils and synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines in a model of septic acute lung injury (ALI) (Miao et al. 2002). S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP), an NO-releasing compound, has also shown prompt and several hours-lasting bronchodilation (Dupuy et al. 1992), pulmonary artery relaxation in hypoxicemic conditions (Lakshminrusimha et al. 2013), and anti-inflammatory effects in in vitro and in vivo lipopolysaccharide exposure (Lee et al. 2015). Because of these properties, we have expected that SNAP could be suitable also to alleviate the changes associated with ARDS. Our recently published results showed that intratracheal administration of S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP) influenced transmigration of neutrophils into the lung, decreased concentrations of markers of lung injury, oxidative stress, and inflammation, and reduced lung epithelial cell apoptosis (Kosutova et al. 2016a). In this article, additional results of the mentioned study are provided: effects of SNAP on gas exchange, respiratory parameters, histopathological signs of the lung injury, concentrations of NO metabolites in the lung tissue, and determination of lung edema formation using wet-dry (W/D) lung weight ratio and protein content in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF).

**Methods**

*General design of experiments*

Experimental protocols were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines and authorized by the local Ethics Committee of Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin, Comenius University in Bratislava and by National Veterinary Board.

In the study, adult New Zealand white rabbits of both genders and mean body weight (b.w.) of 3.0±0.3 kg were used. After anesthetic and surgical procedures described earlier (Kosutova et al. 2016a,b), n=6 animals were overdosed by anesthetics and served as healthy non-ventilated controls (Control group). Other animals were subjected to a pressure-controlled ventilator Beat-2 (Chirana, Slovakia) and ventilated with following settings: frequency (f) of 30/min, fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 1.0, time of inspiration (Ti) 50 %, peak inspiratory pressure (PIP)/positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) 1.5/0.3 kPa and tidal volume (Vₜ) of 6-8 ml/kg b.w.). After 15 min of stabilization, respiratory parameters were measured and arterial blood gases were analyzed by analyzer RapidLab 348 (Siemens, Germany).

*Model of ARDS*

Lung injury was induced by repetitive saline lung lavage. Normal saline (0.9 % NaCl, 30 ml/kg b.w., 37 °C) was instilled into the endotracheal tube in semi-upright right and left lateral positions of the animal and was immediately suctioned by a suction device. The lavage procedure was performed 9-12 times, until PaO₂ decreased to <26.7 kPa in FiO₂ 1.0 in 2 measurements at 5 and 15 min after the lavage. At this time point, ventilatory parameters and blood gases were recorded again.

*Therapy administration*

When the criteria of ARDS model were fulfilled, animals were treated with S-nitroso-N-acetyl-penicillamine (dose of 7 mg/kg; ARDS+SNAP group, n=6) which was
given intratracheally by means of impulsion effect of high-frequency jet ventilation (f. 300/min, Ti 20%; Mikolka et al. 2016, Kosutova et al. 2016a) to ensure homogenous distribution of SNAP throughout the lung, or animals were left without therapy (ARDS group, n=6). Animals were oxygen-ventilated (FiO2 1.0, frequency 30/min, PIP/PEEP 1.5/0.3 kPa, Vt 6-8 ml/kg b.w.) for an additional 5 h after the treatment administration. Blood gases, and respiratory parameters were measured at 30 min, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 h of the treatment. At the end of experiment, animals were overdosed by anesthetics.

**Measurement of respiratory parameters and calculation of indexes of oxygenation**

Tracheal airflow and VT were measured by a heated Fleisch head connected to a pneumotachograph. Airway pressure was registered via a pneumatic catheter placed in the tracheal tube and connected to electromanometer (Tesla, Czech Republic).

Mean airway pressure (MAP), oxygenation index (OI), ventilation efficiency index (VEI), PaO\(_2\)/FiO\(_2\) ratio, Alveolar partial pressure of O\(_2\) (P\(_{A}O_2\) mm Hg) and alveolar-arterial (A-a) gradient were calculated as described earlier (Kosutova et al. 2017).

**Post mortem measurements**

After killing the animal, lungs and trachea were excised. Left lung was 3-times lavaged by saline (0.9 % NaCl, 10 ml/kg b.w.) and BALF was used for analysis of cells and protein content (see below). Right lung tissue was used for estimation of W/D ratio, analysis of NO metabolites, and histological investigation.

**Analysis of cells and total protein content in BALF**

Viability and total number of cells in BALF were determined by cell analyzer Countess (Invitrogen, USA). Total protein in BALF was measured by methods of Bradford (1976), as published by us earlier (Kosutova et al. 2018).

**W/D ratio**

Strip of right lung tissue was weighed and dried in an incubator at 65 °C for 48 h. Then, the dry weight was determined and W/D ratio calculated.

**Measurement of NO metabolites (concentration of nitrite/nitrate, NOx)**

Lung tissue homogenate was prepared as published earlier (Kosutova et al. 2016a). Concentrations of NOx were determined using Cayman’s Nitrate/Nitrite Colorimetric Assay Kit (Alexis Corp., San Diego, CA), according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The results were analyzed spectrophotometrically at 540 nm using an ELISA microplate reader.

**Histopathological investigation of the lung tissue**

The lung tissue injury was evaluated and the Lung Injury Score (LIS) was calculated as described previously (Mokra et al. 2016). The lung sample was fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, sectioned (thickness of 4 μm), and stained with hematoxylin. To score lung injury and inflammation, lung tissue samples were screened for following histopathological signs: 1) atelectasis, 2) emphysema, 3) hemorrhagia, and 4) PMN infiltration. Samples were evaluated by an experienced histopathologist blinded to the grouping of animals, and results were scored of 0-3 with 0 as absent (normal), 1 as mild, 2 as moderate, and 3 as severe lung injury. The total value of TIS was calculated as a sum of these scores.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism version 6.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, USA). Data are presented as means ± SEM and differences between the groups were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal-Wallis test. Within-group differences were evaluated by Wilcoxon test. A value of P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Body weights of animals and initial values of the parameters before induction of the ARDS model were comparable between the groups (all P>0.05).

**Respiratory parameters**

After induction of ARDS model, values of VEI decreased in ARDS group to 37 % and in ARDS+SNAP group to 42 % of the initial values (both P<0.001; Fig. 1). Reduced ventilation efficiency resulted in worsened oxygenation and oxygen saturation of hemoglobin compared to values before induction of ARDS (all P<0.05; Table 1). After induction of lung injury in ARDS group and ARDS+SNAP group, PaO\(_2\)/FiO\(_2\) decreased to 13-17 % of the initial values, OI increased 7-9 times (all P<0.001 versus initial values). SNAP therapy decreased OI by 46 % at 30 min after the
treatment compared to the OI value after induction of ARDS model, and by 56-57 % at 1-4 h, and 24 % at 5 h. After induction of lung injury, significant differences between ARDS+SNAP group and ARDS group in OI were found at 30 min (P<0.01), and at 1-5 h (P<0.01 or 0.001), after the treatment delivery (Fig. 1A).

SNAP therapy increased VEl by 39 % at 30 min after the treatment compared to the VEl value after induction of lung injury, and by 41 % at 1 h, 25 % at 2 h and 14 % at 3 h, and this effect declined to 5-10 % at 4-5 h. After induction of lung injury, significant differences between ARDS+SNAP group and ARDS group in VEl were found since 30 min after the treatment delivery (P<0.05, 0.01 or 0.001, Fig. 1C).

Other respiratory parameters (MAP, PaCO2, pH, PaO2, AAG, SatO2) had been also severely altered after the ARDS model elicitation compared to baseline values (time sequence ARDS vs. basal value, BV; all P<0.01). SNAP administration significantly improved PaO2, MAP (P<0.001), and AAG (P<0.01) from 30 min and PaCO2 from 2 h (P<0.05, Table 1).

Analysis of cells in BALF

Repetitive lung lavage significantly elevated a total number of cells (P<0.001 for ARDS vs. Control) and viability (P<0.01 for ARDS vs. Control) in BALF compared to controls, while SNAP therapy inhibited the infiltration of cells into the lungs (P<0.01 for ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS), without significant difference in cell viability (Fig. 2A, B).

Lung edema formation

Formation of the lung edema expressed as wet-dry lung weight ratio (W/D ratio) increased in ARDS animals compared to controls (P<0.001), while administration of SNAP decreased the W/D ratio (ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS, P<0.05) (Fig. 2C).

Similarly, protein level in BALF was higher in ARDS animals compared to healthy controls, what underlined deterioration of alveolar-capillary membrane and leaking fluid into alveolar space (ARDS vs. Control, P<0.001). Treatment with SNAP decreased protein concentration in BALF compared to untreated animals (ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS, P<0.05) (Fig. 2D).

Concentration of nitrite/nitrate (NOx) in the lung tissue

Nitrite/nitrate concentration in the lung tissue was significantly higher in the ARDS group compared with controls (P<0.001) and decreased in the ARDS+SNAP group compared with the untreated ARDS group (P<0.01; Fig. 2E). Similarly, nitrite concentration was significantly higher in the ARDS group compared with controls (P<0.01) and decreased in the ARDS+SNAP group compared with the untreated ARDS group (P<0.05; Fig. 2F).
Table 1. Mean airway pressure (MAP), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), arterial pH, arterial oxygen saturation (SatO₂), arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) and alveolar-arterial gradient (AAG) before (basal value, BV) and after elicitation of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and within 5 h after administration of therapy (Th) in non-treated animals with ARDS (ARDS group) and in animals with ARDS treated with SNAP (ARDS+SNAP group). Statistical comparisons: for ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Data are presented as means ± SEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MAP (kPa)</th>
<th>PaCO₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>SatO₂</th>
<th>PaO₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>AAG (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BV ALI 30´ Th 1 h Th 2 h Th 3 h Th 4 h Th 5 h Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>0.9±0.0</td>
<td>3.0±0.2</td>
<td>7.6±0.0</td>
<td>99.9±0.0</td>
<td>72.9±2.2</td>
<td>125.0±11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS+SNAP</td>
<td>0.8±0.0</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>5.7±0.3</td>
<td>94.9±0.0</td>
<td>75.8±2.6</td>
<td>122.5±21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Total number of cells in BALF (A), viability of cells in BALF (B), lung edema formation expressed as wet-dry (W/D) lung weight ratio (C) and protein content in BALF (mg/ml) (D), concentration of nitrite/nitrate in lung tissue (μM) (E), concentration of nitrite in lung tissue (μM) (F), in healthy non-ventilated animals (Control group), in non-treated animals with ARDS (ARDS group) and in animals with ARDS treated with SNAP (ARDS+SNAP group). Statistical comparisons: for ARDS vs. Control *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001; ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS *P<0.05, **P<0.01. Data are presented as means ± SEM.

Lung histology
Histological investigation using hematoxylin-eosin staining showed higher occurrence of atelectasis (for ARDS vs. Control, P<0.01), emphysema (for ARDS vs. Control, P<0.05), hemorrhage, as well as increased neutrophil sequestration in the lung (for ARDS vs. Control, P<0.001), and total injury score (TIS) (for ARDS vs. Control, P<0.01). In SNAP-treated animals, the lung injury was significantly reduced (for atelectasis P<0.01, for emphysema P<0.05 and for TIS P<0.05; Table 2).
Table 2. Histopathological signs (expressed as a score) in the lungs of healthy controls (Control group), in the non-treated ARDS group (ARDS group) and in the animals with ARDS treated with SNAP (ARDS+SNAP group). Statistical comparisons: for ARDS vs. Control \* P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001, for ARDS+SNAP vs. ARDS \# P<0.05, ## P<0.01. Data are presented as means ± SEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ARDS</th>
<th>ARDS+SNAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4*</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagia</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN infiltration</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2***</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total injury score (TIS)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9**</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
higher extent of lung cell apoptosis. SNAP administration alleviated migration of the inflammatory cells, reduced markers of inflammation and oxidation, and decreased apoptosis of the lung cells (Kosutova et al. 2016). In this part of the study, dysfunction of the alveolar-capillary barrier and transendothelial diapedesis of leukocytes into the lung tissue were verified by additional methods. Cell analysis by analyzer Countess using Trypan blue confirmed significantly higher number of cells observed in BALF already 5 h after induction of ARDS.

Deterioration of alveolar-capillary barrier resulted into altered pulmonary capillary permeability which is related to impairment of epithelial tight junction, alveolar fluid clearance mechanisms, and surfactant production. Increased leak of protein through injured alveolar-capillary membrane was confirmed by finding of significantly higher total protein content in the BALF fluid measured by methods of Bradford. Similarly, higher edema formation was proven by higher W/D ratio in ARDS animals vs. controls. Treatment with SNAP significantly reduced protein concentration in BALF and W/D ratio, suggesting that SNAP protects the integrity of the alveolar-capillary membrane. These effects can be attributed to involvement of NO in protection from oxidative injury, in regulation of both immune and inflammatory responses, in modulation of neutrophil sequestration into the lung, and in modulation of edema formation (Prodhan and Noviski 2004).

Repetitive saline lung lavage used for elicitation of ARDS model in this study caused serious histopathological changes in the lung tissue. Particularly the morphological changes of epithelial cells type I can disturb the removal of fluid from the alveolar space and increase the risk of development of septic shock (Galani et al. 2010). In this study, histological investigation of the lung tissue samples taken at the end of experiments showed an increase in atelectasis, emphysema, hemorrhagia, and PMN infiltration in the lungs of animals with ARDS compared to controls. In agreement to our results, histopathological changes in the lung within several hours after induction of ARDS were observed also by other authors (Wang et al. 2006, Takano et al. 2011, Fioretto et al. 2012, Kamiyama et al. 2014). Some of these changes were significantly alleviated by administration of SNAP indicating that soluble NO donors may protect the ARDS lung from further injury.

In conclusion, intratracheal delivery of soluble NO donor SNAP significantly improved respiratory parameters and mitigated markers of lung injury and edema formation in a saline-lavaged rabbit model of ARDS. These favorable results may suggest a future potential of soluble NO donors administration also in patients with ARDS.
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