Parkinson’s Disease Detection Using Biogeography-Based Optimization

Somayeh Hessam¹, Shaghayegh Vahdat¹, Irvan Masoudi Asl², *, Mahnaz Kazemipoor³, Atefeh Aghaei⁴, Shahaboddin Shamshirband⁵,⁶, * and Timon Rabczuk⁷

Abstract: In recent years, Parkinson’s Disease (PD) as a progressive syndrome of the nervous system has become highly prevalent worldwide. In this study, a novel hybrid technique established by integrating a Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Network (MLP) with the Biogeography-based Optimization (BBO) to classify PD based on a series of biomedical voice measurements. BBO is employed to determine the optimal MLP parameters and boost prediction accuracy. The inputs comprised of 22 biomedical voice measurements. The proposed approach detects two PD statuses: 0-disease status and 1-good control status. The performance of proposed methods compared with PSO, GA, ACO and ES method. The outcomes affirm that the MLP-BBO model exhibits higher precision and suitability for PD detection. The proposed diagnosis system as a type of speech algorithm detects early Parkinson’s symptoms, and consequently, it served as a promising new robust tool with excellent PD diagnosis performance.
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1 Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a type of neurological disorder initiating by the death of cells in the midbrain. There is a lack of a specific method to diagnose PD, but this disease could be typically diagnosed through the medical history, evaluating the signs and symptoms, and neurological and physical analysis of the patient. At present, there is no
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definite treatment for this health problem, but it is feasible to alleviate the symptoms and slow down its progress remarkably. Investigations have proven that there are around ninety percent of the individuals with PD exhibit vocal impairment [Ho, Iansek, Marigliani et al. (1999)]. Subjects with PD frequently suffer from different vocal impairment symptoms recognized as dysphonia. The symphonic signs of PD are significant diagnosis measures. Therefore, dysphonic assessments have been considered as the reliable tools for monitoring and detection of PD over the past years [Rahn, Chou, Jiang et al. (2007); Little, McSharry, Hunter et al. (2009)].

PD diagnosed by clinical features. However, several brain imaging methods comprising positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are widely used for PD diagnosis [Pyatigorskaya, Gallea, Garcia-Lorenzo et al. (2014)]. Mainly, implications of MRI, which provides numerous applicant biomarkers and have the possibility of notifying about the disease process, have primarily been investigated. Zeng et al. [Zeng, Xie, Shen et al. (2017)] have used an MVPA (Multivariate pattern analysis) method for 45 potential PD patients and 40 healthy subjects as the control group, to investigate the probable alterations in cerebellar gray matter. Based on structural MRI scans, this method combines SVM with voxel-based morphometry to detect morphological abnormalities in the Cerebellum. Cherubini et al. [Cherubini, Morelli, Nisticó et al. (2014)] utilized SVMs to distinguish 57 probable PD patients from 21 PSP (Progressive Supranuclear Palsy) patients based on their MRI scans.

Apart from analyzing these conventional biomarkers for PD diagnosis, several studies have explored that speech and gait disorders associated with the PD. Besides, several algorithms and techniques have applied for PD detection. These techniques are mainly classified as gait-based and speech-based methods [Shrivastava, Shukla, Vepakomma et al. (2017)]. Speech and gait disorders are characterized as Axial parkinsonian symptoms [Ricciardi, Ebreo, Graziosi et al. (2016)]. Gait is signaled as a sensitive indicator for PD progression as PD patients exhibit altered patterns of gait with increased cadence and reduced stride lengths. The specific gait patterns, gait initiation and freezing gait (FOG) characterized as indicators of PD. Gait-based PD detection methods utilize different image and video processing methods for PD detection through the subject’s gait assessment. Speech disorders in PD patients are dissimilar and heterogeneous, comprising hypo-, hyperkinetic and repetitive abnormalities. Recent studies have revealed that some form of vocal impairment detected in more than 90% of PD patients. In general, there are two ways to analyze the speech status: (1) subjective: by speech therapist (perceptive analysis) and (2) objective: by analyzing speech signals through acoustic analysis [Brabenec, Mekyska, Galaz et al. (2017)]. Speech-based PD detection methods mainly use the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS). Several machine learning models have established for predicting the UPDRS score of the subject by using speech signals. These techniques can provide non-intrusive means of monitoring the onset and development of the PD conditions.

Several researchers have applied computational techniques for detection of PD. Little et al. [Little, McSharry, Hunter et al. (2009)] employed a support vector machine (SVM) classifier with Gaussian radial basis kernel functions for PD detection. They also
attempted to choose the optimum subset of features. Das [Das (2010)] compared various types of classification approaches for effective PD diagnosis, with the prime objective being to discern healthy people. According to the results, the neural network classifier produces the most accurate outcomes. Guo et al. [Guo, Bhattacharya and Kharma (2010)] hybridized genetic programming with the expectation-maximization algorithm to develop the GP-EM approach for detecting healthy individuals and those with PD. The researchers found that GP-EM is highly effective. Hossen et al. [Hossen, Muthuraman, Raethjen et al. (2010)] employed wavelet-decomposition with a soft-decision algorithm to diagnose the Parkinson tremor from essential tremor. Luukka (2011) applied a feature selection approach based on fuzzy entropy measures together with the similarity classifier for predicting PD and the results indicated a notable prediction enhancement by using the proposed method. Åström et al. [Åström and Koker (2011)] utilized a parallel neural network technique to increase the precision of PD predictions. Based on their results, substantial prediction improvements achieved by using the proposed model. Chen et al. [Chen, Huang, Yu et al. (2013)] applied the fuzzy k-nearest neighbor (FKNN) technique to develop an efficient model for PD diagnosis. By making a comparison, the researchers demonstrated that FKNN outperforms SVM in PD prediction. Daliri [Daliri (2013)] proposed a chi-square distance kernel-based SVM approach to diagnosing PD using gait signals. Based on the assessments of 93 individuals with PD and 73 healthy people, they concluded that the technique could be used successfully for PD diagnosis. Hariharan et al. [Hariharan, Polat and Sindhu (2014)] acquired a hybrid intelligent approach comprising feature pre-processing, feature reduction/selection and classification. Their results signified that the proposed scheme is capable of precise classification for PD detection.

Lahmiri [Lahmiri (2017)] have also investigated the statistical characteristics and effectiveness of diverse types of dysphonia assessments in PD detection. Results of the statistical tests concluded that all dysphonia assessments usually show diverse variability among PD patients and healthy candidates. The results of classification acquired through SVM classifier, indicated that in contrast to the other dysphonia measures, SVM trained with VFFS produced the maximum accurateness of 88%, while SVM trained with NLDCM resulted in the minimum precision of 80.82%. A three-phase methodology by Travieso et al. [Travieso, Alonso, Orozco-Arroyave et al. (2017)] aimed at automatic detection of voice disease. This study advocates the transformation of the feature space by a Discrete Hidden Markov Model (DHMM) first and then application of RBF-SVM classifier. Wu et al. [Wu, Chen, Yao et al. (2017)] proposed to use an interclass probability risk (ICPR) technique for the vocal parameter selection. Subsequently, they have compared three different non-linear classifiers, including SVM, GLRA (generalized logistic regression analysis) and Bagging ensemble algorithms, to distinguish the voice patterns of PD patients and healthy subjects. The experimental results demonstrated better classification accuracy by SVM and Bagging ensemble classifiers (90.77%) with ICPR. Yang et al. [Yang, Zheng, Luo et al. (2014)] used two feature dimensionality reduction methods, including kernel principal component analysis (KPCA) and sequential forward selection (SFS). They selected four vocal measures including MDVP: F0, MDVP: Jitter (%), DFA, spread2 and employed MAP (Maximum A Posteriori) for classification. In contrary to Little et al. [Little, McSharry, Hunter et al. (2009)], who
executed rescaling of feature values from -1 to 1, authors have argued that for such data set, input data normalization is not required. In their opinion, normalization or rescaling may not be robust for the minor data set, as the full vocal records are less than 200. Additional recruited voice records may require another rescaling session, and consequently, consuming more computation time.

Moreover, physical magnitude information regarding voice measurements is suspected to be lost after data normalization. Problems of small data set mainly revolve around high variance where overfitting, outliers, and noise emerge considered as significant concerns. To avoid overfitting, Tsanas et al. [Tsanas, Little, McSharry et al. (2012)] suggested using cross-validation for an approximation of the true generalization performance on the unknown cases.

Most of the existing researches on PD detection, primarily focus on the accuracy of prediction and reliability of the diagnosis. However, up to this time, too little attention has been paid to investigate the time efficiency and computational complexity of different classification mechanisms for PD detection. Islam et al. [Islam, Parvez, Deng et al. (2014)] investigated Feed forward backpropagation based on ANN (FBANN); SVM and Random tree classifiers for PD detection using dysphonia measures. Their results signify that FBANN demonstrates higher sensitivity with relatively less execution time. Generally, an appropriate feature selection method can effectively tackle both computation times and cure-of-dimension problems. In the context of Firefly-SVM, Chao et al. [Chao and Horng (2015)] advocated that convergence with the most optimal solution within a limited time is possible when firefly-SVM associated with the feature selection.

SVM is known as a machine learning system which has attained considerable significance in applications linked to the environment [Jain, Garibaldi and Hirst (2009); Ornella and Tapia (2010)]. SVM is a learning algorithm that applies high-dimensional features. SVM model precision depends on parameter determination [Chapelle, Vapnik, Bousquet et al. (2002)]. Although structured strategies for parameter selection are vital, model parameter alignment is also required. To choose the SVM model parameters, scientists have utilized several common optimization algorithms. However, the outcomes are not very efficient due to parameter complexity [Lee and Verri (2003); Friedrichs and Igel (2005); Bao, Hu and Xiong (2013)]. The grid search algorithm [Lorenza and De Carvalho (2008)] and decent gradient algorithm [Chung, Kao, Sun et al. (2003); Hsu, Chang and Lin (2003)] are two algorithms which are applied before. The computational complication is a main disadvantage of the grid search algorithm; therefore, it can merely be utilized for selecting a few parameters. Moreover, the grid search algorithm is commonly disposed to the local minima. Most of the optimization complications have various local solutions, but advanced algorithms appear to be the optimum means of solving these as they offer global solutions. Recently, the optimization techniques applied for classification [Mosavi and Vaezipour (2012)] and [Brunato and Battiti (2013)].

The Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) applied for numerous practical complications. The training on applications required for using MLP, which usually might encounter different complications such as entrapment in local minima, convergence speed, and sensitivity to initialization. In this study, authors propose the Biogeography-Based Optimization (BBO) algorithm for training MLPs to diminish such complications. Their experimental results
on several classification datasets such as balloon, iris, breast cancer, heart problems, and several approximating datasets such as sigmoid, cosine, sine, sphere, Griewank, and Rosenbrock demonstrate that BBO has much more ability to escape local minima in comparison with PSO, GA, ACO, ES, and PBIL [Mirjalili, Mirjalili and Lewis (2014)].

In one of the most recent studies [Pham, Nguyen, Bui et al. (2019)], the researchers proposed a hybrid machine learning method known as MLP-BBO for estimating the coefficient of consolidation as an essential parameter of soft soil. This technique is according to the Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Network (MLP) and Biogeography-based Optimization (BBO). For comparing the performance of the models applied in their study, standard machine learning methods applied including Backpropagation Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Networks, Radial Basis Functions Neural Networks, Gaussian Process, M5 Tree, and Support Vector Regression. The outcomes of that research model indicated that the recommended MLP-BBO technique has the maximum predictive competency.

In another study by Das et al. [Das, Pattnaik and Padhy (2014)], the researchers have applied Artificial Neural Network (ANN) trained with Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for solving the channel equalization problems. According to the proposed method, they used PSO on Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) to find optimal weights of the network on training step, and they tried to consider a suitable network topology and transfer performance of the neuron. The PSO algorithm can optimize the variables, weights and network parameters. Hence, this study emphasizes on improving the weights, transfer function, and topology of an ANN which made for channel equalization. In the current study, it demonstrated that the equalizer performs better than other ANN equalizer in all noise conditions.

Blum et al. [Blum and Socha (2005)] proposed an ACO algorithm for the training of feed-forward neural networks. The algorithm function evaluated by pattern classification complications related to the medical field. They compared their algorithms to several feed-forward neural network training, called BP, LM and genetic algorithm. The functionality of the ACO was as good as the performance of other NN training algorithms. Although the ACO, NN method was initially presented to solve the distinct optimization issues, in recent times, it applied for the improvement of algorithms used for the endless optimization issues.

Moreover, Chandwani et al. [Chandwani, Agrawal and Nagar (2015)] applied hybrid model of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Genetic Algorithms (GA) for modelling slump of Ready Mix Concrete (RMC) related to its design mix constituents viz., cement, fly ash, sand, coarse aggregates, admixture and water-binder proportion. The recommended hybrid approach joined GA to develop the optimum set of first neural network weights and predispositions that were later fine-tuned utilizing Lavenberg Marquardt back-propagation training algorithm. Their research indicated that the hybridizing ANN with GA, the convergence rate of ANN and its estimating accurateness upgraded.

In the current study, the MLP is combined with BBO into a hybrid method (MLP-BBO) to detect PD from 22 biomedical voice assessments. BBO is employed to find out the optimal MLP parameters. The primary objective of this research is to examine the appropriateness of the suggested MLP-BBO approach for PD detection. To verify the MLP-PSO method’s precision its capability compared with existing optimization methods.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data description

For the present research, an investigation was carried out using a PD dataset obtained from the UCI machine learning repository (http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Parkinsons, last accessed: August 2014). The objective of the data is to diagnose healthy individuals and people suffering from PD, providing the outcomes of several medical examinations performed on the patients. The utilized includes a collection of biomedical voice assessments related to 31 individuals in which 23 of them suffer from PD. The period from PD diagnosis varies between 0 and 28 years. The subjects are in the 46-85 years old range, with an average of 65.8. Each candidate delivered a middling of six vowel phonations (yielding 195 testers entirely), and the duration of each phonation was 36 seconds. Further information on this dataset presented in the paper published by Little et al. [Little, McSharry, Hunter et al. (2009)]. Remarkably, all features are real and no missing and unreliable values exist in the used dataset. The brief explanations about dataset can be found from the Little et al. [Little, McSharry, Hunter et al. (2009)].

2.2 Biogeography-based optimization _ multi-layer perceptron (BBO_MLP)

The basic idea of Biogeography-Based Optimization algorithm was motivated by biogeography, referring to the science of biological creatures related to the geographical spreading over time and space [Simon (2008)]. The development of ecosystems to get to a steady condition while making an allowance for diverse species (including predator, prey, etc.), and the influence of migration and mutation was the leading motivation for the BBO algorithm. BBO algorithm uses several search agents known as habitats as chromosomes in Gas, and a Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) states the general fitness of a habitat. The greater the HSI, the higher fit the habitat. The habitats develop over time according to the three principles as below [Ma, Simon, Fei et al. (2013)].

- Habitants living in environments with more HSI are more probable to immigrate to territories with less HSI.
- Environments with less HSI are more likely to be fascinating for new immigrant habitats from those with more HSI.
- Random alterations may take place in the habitats irrespective to their HSI values.

The BBO algorithm begins with a random set of habitats. Every habitat has dissimilar habitats that represent the number of variables of a particular issue. Emigration \( (\lambda_k) \), immigration \( (\lambda_k) \) and mutation \( (m_n) \) for each habitat expressed as functions of the number of habitats as below:

\[
\mu_n = \frac{E \times n}{N} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\lambda_k = 1 \times \frac{1-n}{N} \quad (2)
\]

\[
m_n = M \times \left(1 - \frac{p_n}{p_{max}}\right) \quad (3)
\]

where \( n \) is the existing number of habitats, \( N \) is the acceptable maximum number of habitats which is raised by HSI (the more appropriate the habitat, the greater number of habitats), \( E \) is the maximum emigration rate, and \( I \) indicates the maximum immigration rate.
rate. M is an original value for mutation described by the user, \( p_n \) is the mutation possibility of the \( n \)th habitat, and \( \text{p}_{\text{max}} = \text{argmax}(p_n) \), \( n=1,2, \ldots ,N \).

The overall stages of the BBO algorithm is:

1. Initializing step: a random set of habitats
2. do{
3.  calculating HIS of each habitat
4.  updating the rate of Emigration (\( \mu_k \)), immigration (\( \lambda_k \)) and mutation (\( m_n \)) for each habitat
5.  the non_elite habitats are migrated and mutated based on the updated rates
6.  selecting the best habitats as elites for next generation
7.  While (non_satisfying the terminated criterion)
8.  Returning the best solution (habitats)
}

For further details about the algorithm refer to Simon [Simon (2008)].

### 2.3 BBO for MLP

The BBO algorithm used for an MLP with two main phases [Mirjalili, Mirjalili and Lewis (2014)]:

1. Demonstration strategy: The weights and biases must be expressed in the proper format (habitats) for BBO.

For demonstrating the MLP training problem for BBO, the vector used as habitat formation. This vector contains weights and biases in MLP network. For instance, the last vector of the MLP shown in Fig. 1 as below is a sample of this encoding strategy:

\[
\text{Habitat} = [w_{13} \ w_{23} \ w_{14} \ w_{24} \ldots \ w_{22} \ w_{24} \ \theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ \theta_3 \ \theta_4 \ldots ]
\]

\( w_{ij} \): NN weight between neuron I and j and \( \theta_i \) bias for neuron i.

![MLP with three layers](image)

**Figure 1**: MLP with three layers [3]
2. **HSI**: A fitness function using the error of the MLP would be described to estimate habitats.

   After demonstrating MLPs in the form of habitat vectors, an HSI formulation (fitness function) is prerequisite for calculating each of them. The Mean Square Error (MSE) for all training models used as a fitness function (MSE (habitat)=HIS(habitat)):

   \[
   E = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{i} - d_{i}^{k})^2}{q}
   \]  

   where \( q \) is the number of training samples, \( m \) is the number of outputs, \( a_{i} \) is the desired output of the \( i \)th input unit when the \( k \)th training sample used and \( d_{i}^{k} \) is the actual output of the \( i \)th input unit when the \( k \)th training sample appears in the input.

   The BBO_MLP algorithm explained in Fig. 2:

   **MLP-BBO** is made in six phases as follow:
   - **Initial step**: making a random set of MLPs according to the outlined number of habitats
   - **Calculating MSE for each MLP**
   - **Update emigration \( \mu_{n} = \frac{E \times n}{N} \), immigration \( \lambda_{k} = 1 \times \frac{1-n}{N} \), and mutation \( m_{n} = M \times \left(1 - \frac{p_{n}}{p_{\text{max}}} \right) \) rates**

   ![Figure 2: Flow chart of BBO_MLP Method](image-url)
The MLP are united based on emigration and immigration (create vector \([w_{11}, w_{23}, w_{14}, w_{24}, \ldots, w_{22}, w_{44}, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \ldots])\). \(w_{ij}\): NN weight between neuron I and j and bias for neuron i.

Each MLP mutated based on its habitat mutation rate.

Elitism step: Select the best MLPs with low MSE as elites for next generation.

Satisfaction of a termination criterion (if no satisfaction algorithm repeated as flowchart).

Return best MLP with minimum MSE (HSI).

2.4 Input parameters

The aptitude of BBO-MLP to produce reliable predictions is reliant on input parameter selection. In the current research, 22 biomedical voice measurements were used to produce the BBO-MLP model. The descriptive statistics including minimum, maximum and mean values, standard deviation and the range of values of the datasets applied in this research presented in Tab. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In1</td>
<td>88.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In2</td>
<td>102.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In3</td>
<td>65.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In4</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In5</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In6</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In7</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In9</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In10</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In11</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In12</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In13</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In14</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In15</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In16</td>
<td>8.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In17</td>
<td>0.2566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In18</td>
<td>0.5743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In19</td>
<td>-7.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In20</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In21</td>
<td>1.4233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In22</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2 shows the user-defined parameters for MLP-BBO and PSO, ACO, GA and ES for PD detection.
Table 2: Parameter regularization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Percentage of cross-validation for Train/Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76.84%</td>
<td>70-30</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>70-30</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>80-20</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>90-10</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2 shows that the best experimental result achieved with the parameters value 200 for population size, 250 for the maximum number of generation, 0.008 for mutation probability, 1 for habitat modification probability and splitting 70-30 percentage of cross-validation for Train/Test. As seen in Tab. 2, these set of parameters regularization leads to the accuracy of 86 percentage. Therefore, the best result on multi-layer perceptron based on BBO algorithm was obtained according to the regularization of MLP_BBO parameters.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Statistical performance analysis

The accuracy formula is served as the reliable statistical parameters to appraise the capability of the MLP-PSO model on a more noticeable and individual basis. Tab. 4 offers the values achieved for accuracy during training and testing. It is evident that the models’ performance reduced from training to testing. According to the statistical results presented in Tab. 4, the proposed hybrid MLP-PSO model naturally exhibits greater PD detection capability and precision compared to the existing optimization model.

The BBO algorithm is equated with PSO, GA, ACO, ES, and PBIL over these benchmark datasets to verify its performance. It is expected that every habitat was randomly adjusted in the range. The population size is 50 for Parkinson dataset. Tab. 3 shows how the datasets are allocated in terms of training and test sets.

Table 3: Dataset in terms of training and testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Number of feature</th>
<th>Number of training samples</th>
<th>Number of testing samples</th>
<th>Number of classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, the researchers have chosen the paramount trained MLP among 10 runs, and then they applied it to categorize or estimate the test set. To deliver an unbiased
association, the whole algorithms ended when a maximum amount of iterations (250) achieved. Lastly, the merging actions are correspondingly considered in the outcomes to deliver a complete assessment. It reminded that min-max standardization applied for the datasets comprising data with diverse ranges. Finally, the result of MLP_BBO in terms of accuracy rate illustrated in Tab. 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>BBO_MLP</th>
<th>PSO_MLP</th>
<th>GA_MLP</th>
<th>ACO_MLP</th>
<th>ES_MLP</th>
<th>SVM_MLP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 4 compares the six-optimization algorithm in terms of accuracy of the multi-layer perceptron (MLP). The above table indicates that the accuracy of BBO_MLP is more than the other five optimization MLP algorithm. The accuracy calculated as follow:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(TP+TN)}{(TP+TN+FP+FN)} \times 100$$ (5)

where TP, TN, FP, and FN are true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative respectively.

Fig. 3(a) shows the MSE for each method of BBO, PSO, GA, ACO, and ES based on MLP. As it is evident in the figure, BBO method significantly decreases errors in comparison with other approaches. Also, the bar chart of the above figure (Fig. 3(b)) indicates that the MLP-BBO technique with an accuracy rate of 86% has offered better results compared with other developing methods. According to Fig. 3(b), the MLP-ACO, MLP-GA, and MLP-ES with the 82% had the same percentage of accuracy. Furthermore, in this study the recommended approach is examined on different activation functions such as sigmoid, linear, tanh, sin and Gaussian and the results are observed in Fig. 4.

**Figure 3:** a: The rate of error (RMSE) convergence and b: The rate of accuracy for several MLP based algorithm
Figure 4: a: The rate of error (RMSE) convergence and b: The rate of accuracy for several activation functions on BBO-MLP algorithm

Fig. 4 shows that which activation functions have a better result in terms of high accuracy and low rate of RMSE error in BBO-MLP classification method. As it is evident in the Fig. 4(a), sigmoid method significantly decreases errors in comparison with other activation functions in MLP. As it observed from the Fig. 4(b), sigmoid activation functions with the 86% have better performance in comparison with other activation functions (Tanh: 58%, Linear: 56%, Gaussian: 76% and Sin: 56%).

4 Conclusion

In this study, a hybrid approach proposed for the detection of Parkinson’s disease (PD) determined from biomedical voice measurements. To achieve this purpose, the MLP was combined with the BBO to develop the hybrid MLP-BBO method. MLP essentially achieves structural minimization, whereas other traditional optimization approaches focus on error minimization and are much less efficient.

As mentioned above, due to the lack of performance in MLP, a set of processes known as “Meta-heuristic algorithms” could reach to a solution by frequently bringing up to date the applicant solution and assessing to an optimal result to a problematic issue, through improving the objective function. In this research, the MLP parameters are optimized utilizing BBO that through calculating its performance, it is inferred to outperform the MLP performance.

Through the method of merging BBO with MLP, the flashing actions of the fireflies could be conveyed to form an objective function that could be useful to adjust the parameters of MLP. By BBO, it recognized that the higher frequency of comparisons
between the BBO to find the optimum location in the swarm, the superior the outcomes would be.

The principal aim was to identify the suitability of the MLP-BBO method developed for detecting two PD statuses: 0-disease status and 1-good control status. The accuracy of MLP-BBO with 86 percentage verified against the lower accuracy of PSO, GA, ACO and ES method. Accuracy was served to assess the MLP-BBO models’ PD detection performance statistically. The findings indicate that the MLP-BBO model developed in this study is more precise than PSO, ACO, GA, and ES in PD detection. Consequently, the proposed diagnosis system exhibits favorable precision and is supposed as a promising and appealing tool for detecting PD.
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