Synthesis of molecularly imprinted polypyrrole/titanium dioxide nanocomposites and its selective photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B under visible light irradiation

M. Q. He*, L. L. Bao, K. Y. Sun, D. X. Zhao, W. B. Li, J. X. Xia, H. M. Li

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, 212013 Jiangsu, China

Received 15 April 2014; accepted in revised form 13 June 2014

Abstract. Highly selective molecularly imprinted nanocomposites MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ were successfully prepared by surface molecular imprinting technique with rhodamine B (RhB) as template molecule. The prepared MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ coated with a thin imprinted layer could respond to visible light. The static and dynamic binding experiments revealed that MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ possessed strong affinity, high adsorption capacity and fast adsorption rate for RhB. The selectivity experiments indicated that MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ had excellent recognition selectivity for RhB. Selective photocatalytic degradation experiments indicated that the apparent rate constant (*k*) for the photodegradation of RhB over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ is 0.0158 min⁻¹, being 3.6 times of that over non-imprinted nanocomposites NIP-PPy/TiO₂ (0.0044 min⁻¹). Compared with the NIP-PPy/TiO₂, MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ showed higher photocatalytic selectivity toward RhB under visible light, which was attributed the introduction of the imprinted cavities on the surface of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂. Moreover, MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ exhibited high reusability and stability. The results indicate that molecularly imprinted nanocomposites MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ have a promising perspective in industrial wastewater treatment.

Keywords: nanocomposites, photodegradation, selectivity, molecular imprinting, rhodamine B

1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) photocatalyst as a semiconductor material has been well studied for photocatalytic degradation of pollutants due to its inexpensive, non-toxic, good stability, excellent photoelectric properties and reusable performances [1–3]. However, it has a wide band gap (3.0–3.2 eV), and usually cannot be effectively activated by the solar light for degradation of pollution [4]. Much work have been tried to improve the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO₂ under visible light irradiation by transforming its optical response from the UV to the visible range, such as by metal doping [5, 6], non-metal doping [7, 8], surface dye sensitization [9] and forming composites with other semiconductors [10, 11]. Recently, conductive polymers have emerged

as stable photosensitizers to modify TiO₂ nanoparticles owing to their remarkable physical attributes and electrical properties [12]. Among various conductive polymers, polypyrrole (PPy) is one of the most promising conductive polymers due to its high absorption coefficients in the visible part of the spectrum, superior conductivity, electrochemical reversibility, high thermal stability and non-toxicity nature [13–17]. Some publications have been reported that PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposites showed higher adsorption capacity and better photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation than that of neat TiO₂ nanoparticles [18–21].

Although the photocatalytic degradation activity of the modified photocatalyst is improved, the selective degradation of targeted pollutants is very poor

*Corresponding author, e-mail: hmq@ujs.edu.cn
© BME-PT

as the reactivity of the hydroxyl radical is difficult to control. When applied to environmental treatment, photocatalysis may not be efficient if it aims to remove all pollutants by itself [22]. Therefore, it is important to find a way to selectively remove the targeted pollutants. In recent years several approaches have been proposed to enhance the selectivity of photocatalyst such as pH adjustment to control of surface electric charge [23], specific molecules modified surface of TiO_2 [24], double-region-structured photocatalysts [25], and using the molecular imprinting technique (MIT) for selective removal the organic pollutant [26]. Among these methods, MIT combined with photocatalytic technology exhibit higher efficient to enhance the selectivity of photocatalyst for degradation of targeted organic pollutants.

MIT is a promising way for synthesizing three-dimensional cross-linked polymers to achieve specific molecular recognition properties [27]. The molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs), for its chemical/mechanical stability, high selectivity, low cost, and preparation simplicity, has been applied in many fields such as specific separation [28], solid-phase extraction [29], reactive catalysis [30], and sensors [31]. Compared with conventional MIPs, the surface imprinting polymers (SMIPs) which control the imprinted cavities to be situated at the surface or in the proximity of materials process more accessible sites, faster mass transfer, and higher adsorption capacity for template molecules. Based on the surface molecular imprinting technique (SMIT), Shen *et al.* [26] prepared a series of MIP-coated TiO_2 photocatalysts, the photodegradation experiments confirmed that the photocatalysts have good selectivity toward the two nitrophenols in both single and binary systems. Luo *et al.* [32] prepared inorganic-framework molecularly imprinted TiO_2/WO_3 . The photocatalytic activity of molecularly imprinted TiO_2/WO_3 toward the target molecules is more than two times that of non-imprinted TiO_2/WO_3 , a result of selective adsorption of target molecules on molecularly imprinted TiO_2/WO_3 . Huo *et al.* [33] prepared the imprinted photocatalyst of poly-o-phenylenediamine (POPD) modified the TiO_2 /fly-ash cenospheres, compared with the non imprinted photocatalysts, it could effectively select degradation of the targeted POPD in environment. The above approach, however, has some drawbacks such as a layer of organic polymer may hinder light absorp-

tion and could be degraded during photocatalysis. Therefore, it is crucial to obtain steady imprinted polymer and control the thickness of the imprinted layer.

In our study, a highly selective molecularly imprinted nanocomposite $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ was successfully prepared by adopting the SMIT with TiO_2 as the support material, rhodamine B (RhB) as template molecule, respectively. The as-prepared $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ coated with a thin layer of MIP not only exhibits high adsorption capacity and selectivity for RhB, but also can improve molecular recognitive photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation. The adsorption characteristics of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ were explored using static and dynamic binding experiments, the adsorption selectivity of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ was also investigated. In addition, the selective photocatalytic degradation activity of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ towards RhB was discussed in detail.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

All chemical reagents were used as received without further purification. TiO_2 nanoparticles (P25) were obtained from Degussa (Germany). Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was obtained from Shanghai Meryer Chemical Reagent Co. (China), Ltd. Polypyrrole (PPy) was purchased from Lark Technology Co., Ltd. (UK), Rhodamine B (RhB) was obtained from Shanghai Sinopharm Chemical Co., Ltd. (China) Rhodamine 6G (Rh6G) was purchased from Shanghai Maikun Chemical Co., Ltd. (China), Methylene Blue (MB) was provided by Beijing Chemical Reagent Co. (China) Hydrochloric acid and ammonia aqueous were purchased from Shanghai Zhongshi Chemistry Co., Ltd. (China).

2.2. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a Philips X'pert MPD Pro X-ray diffractometer using $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$). Transmission electron microscope was recorded with a JEOL-IEM-200CX microscope. A UV-2450 Ultraviolet-visible Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) was used to obtain the reflectance spectra of the photocatalysts over a range of 200–800 nm, using BaSO_4 as a reflectance reference. The specific surface area of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{NIP-PPy}/\text{TiO}_2$

were determined by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method.

The photocurrent experiments were measured in Na₂SO₄ aqueous (0.2 mol·L⁻¹) solution under the irradiation of 500 W Xenon lamp. A standard three-electrode configuration was used in the experiments. The indium-tin oxide (ITO) glass (1 cm×1 cm) coated with as-prepared samples (0.1 mg) was used as working electrodes, a Pt wire was used as the counter electrode, and a saturated Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode. A CHI660B electrochemical workstation (Chen Hua Instruments, China) was photocurrent test systems.

2.3. Preparation of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposites

The TiO₂ nanoparticles (2.0 g) were dispersed in hydrochloric acid (100 mL, pH = 2) in four-necked round bottomed flask and sonicated for 30 min. RhB (0.03 g, 0.06 mmol) and pyrrole (17.3 μL, 0.25 μmol) were dissolved in mixed solvent of methanol and water (1:1, v/v), and the mixture was stirred in dark for 30 min. Then the solution was injected into the previous four-necked round bottomed flask at 0°C with constant stirring. After that, hydrochloric acid (2 mL, pH = 2) containing FeCl₃·6H₂O (0.45 g, 0.0017 mmol) was added dropwise to the above cooled mixture. The mixture was permitted to react at 0°C for 4 h with continuous stirring. The product particles were washed repeatedly with ammonia aqueous solution (pH = 8) to remove the targeted RhB and then washed with distilled water to remove remaining ammonia. Finally, molecularly imprinted polypyrrole titanium dioxide nanocomposites (MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂) were obtained by centrifugation and drying.

As a contrast, the non-imprinted nanocomposites (NIP-PPy/TiO₂) were prepared in the absence of the template and treated by using the same method.

2.4. Binding experiments in dark

2.4.1. Batch mode binding experiments

The adsorption kinetics behaviour of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB was firstly measured at room temperature. MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ (10 mg) was added into RhB aqueous solutions (80 μmol/L) and then the mixture was agitated in a shaken bed. After an interval of time, the mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant solution was collected, and diluted with water

to a certain times. Finally, the concentration of RhB was determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer at the maximum absorption wavelength of RhB. The adsorption amount (Q) was calculated by Equation (1):

$$Q = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{W} \quad (1)$$

where Q [μmol/g] is the adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB, W [g] is the weight of the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposites, V [L] is the volume of solution, C_0 [μmol/L] is the initial concentration of RhB and C_t [μmol/L] is the concentration of RhB at the time of t .

For the determination of the static binding behavior of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB, numbers of RhB aqueous solutions with different concentrations were taken into centrifuge tubes. Then 10 mg of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ or NIP-PPy/TiO₂ was added into the above solutions, respectively. These mixtures were agitated on a shaken bed at room temperature and centrifuged after reaching binding equilibrium. The supernatant solution was collected and diluted with water to a certain times. Finally, the equilibrium concentrations of RhB in the supernatants were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer. The adsorption amount (Q_e , μmol/g) was calculated by Equation (2):

$$Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{W} \quad (2)$$

where W [g] is the weight of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ or NIP-PPy/TiO₂, V [L] is the volume of the solution, C_0 [μmol/L] and C_e [μmol/L] are the initial concentration and the equilibrium concentration of RhB, respectively.

The binding parameters of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ are mainly estimated by Scatchard analysis with the data of static adsorption experiment. Scatchard equation is described by Equation (3):

$$\frac{Q_e}{C_e} = \frac{(Q_{\max} - Q_e)}{K_d} \quad (3)$$

where K_d [μmol/L] is the equilibrium dissociation constant, $Q_{\max}$ [μmol/g] is the apparent maximum adsorption amount, Q_e [μmol/g] is the equilibrium adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB, and C_e [μmol/L] is the equilibrium concentration of RhB.

2.4.2. Selectivity experiments

In order to examine the recognition selectivity of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ towards RhB, Rh6G and MB are selected as competitive substances. The size and structure of Rh6G are quite analogous to RhB. The chemical structure of MB and RhB has certain difference. The structures of RhB, Rh6G and MB were shown in Figure 1.

The equilibrium adsorption amount of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 for RhB and the competition species were calculated as above. The distribution coefficients (K_D , L/g) for RhB, Rh6G and MB were calculated according to Equation (4):

$$K_D = \frac{Q_e}{C_e} \quad (4)$$

where Q_e [$\mu\text{mol/g}$] is the equilibrium adsorption amount, and C_e [$\mu\text{mol/L}$] is the equilibrium concentration.

The selectivity coefficients (α) of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 for RhB relative to the competition species were obtained from Equation (5):

$$\alpha = \frac{K_{Di}}{K_{Dj}} \quad (5)$$

where i and j represent the template and competition species, respectively.

A relative selectivity coefficient (α') can be defined as Equation (6):

$$\alpha' = \frac{\alpha_{\text{MIP}}}{\alpha_{\text{NIP}}} \quad (6)$$

where α_{MIP} and α_{NIP} are selectivity coefficients of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 for RhB relative to the competition species, respectively.

Figure 1. The structures of RhB, Rh6G and MB

2.5. Photocatalytic activity measurement

Photocatalytic activities of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 nanocomposites were evaluated by

photocatalytic degradation of RhB, Rh6G and MB aqueous solution under visible light irradiation, respectively. Experiments were carried out in a Pyrex photocatalytic reactor under 300 W Xe lamp with a 400 nm cutoff filter which was used as the visible light source. $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ (0.1 g) or NIP-PPy/TiO_2 (0.1 g) nanocomposites were dispersed into RhB solution (100 mL, $10 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$), respectively, then stirred in dark for 50 min to reach the adsorption-desorption equilibrium and the concentration of the RhB was determined as the initial concentration C_0 . During irradiation, about 4.0 mL of the suspension continually was taken from the reaction cell every 20 min. The nanocomposites and the RhB solution were separated with acentrifuge. The RhB concentration was also analyzed through a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The degradation of Rh6G and MB aqueous solution were tested by using the same method.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Preparing processes of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$

The possible preparation protocol of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ nanocomposites is shown in Figure 2. It is of obvious importance that the functional monomers strongly interact with the template and form stable host-guest complexes prior to polymerization. From the structure of RhB shown in Figure 2, the epoxy group and a carboxyl group of RhB can interact with the secondary amines group of pyrrole via the hydrogen bonds to form a stable host-guest complex. The existence of such a complex leads to the formation of well-defined specific binding sites in imprinted layer of TiO_2 . Subsequently, in situ polymerization occurs with the oxidizer of $\text{FeCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and PPy layer gradually grows on the surface of TiO_2 granules and extends to the interparticle space. Ultimately, the molecularly imprinted PPy/ TiO_2 particles were washed by ammonia aqueous solution to remove RhB and leave imprinted cavities.

3.2. Characterization of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$

XRD patterns of the TiO_2 and $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ photocatalysts are shown in Figure 3. In comparison with TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ has no new diffraction peaks in the XRD pattern due to the amorphous imprinted layer. The average crystallite size of each sample is estimated by using the Scherrer formula, which is defined as Equation (7):

Figure 2. The preparation protocol of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂

$$D_{\text{Scherrer}} = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad (7)$$

where K is the Scherrer constant ($K = 0.89$), λ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation ($\lambda = 0.154056$ nm),

Figure 3. XRD patterns of: (a) TiO₂ and (b) MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂

β is the line width at half-maximum height of the most intense peak and θ is the diffraction angle.

The mean size of TiO₂ and MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ photocatalysts can be estimated to be 19.70 and 21.05 nm, respectively. The result indicates that size of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ is a little bit larger than that of TiO₂. It can be attributed to the thin imprinted layer which coating on the surface of TiO₂.

The TEM images of TiO₂ and MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ are given in Figure 4a and 4b, respectively. It can be confirmed that the morphology of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ does not differ much from that of TiO₂. The mean size of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and TiO₂ are similar by comparing Figure 4a and 4b, which indicating that the imprinted layer is very thin. Figure 4a and 4b also reveals that TiO₂ are aggregated, and the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ alleviates the aggregation. The aggregation of TiO₂ could be attributed to high surface energy of the nanoparticles, and the repulsion force produced by the imprinted layer of the TiO₂ can

Figure 4. TEM images of: (a) TiO₂ and (b) (c) MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂

inhibit the aggregation of the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ nanocomposites. Figure 4c is the HR-TEM image of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$. It shows the surface of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ nanocomposites is relatively rough, suggesting the formation of imprinting layer with a thickness of about 2 nm. The results are in good agreement with the XRD patterns.

The BET specific surface areas of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 were measured as 60.1 and 55.3 m^2/g , respectively. The BET specific surface area of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 is larger than that of TiO_2 (49.6 m^2/g). It indicated that the dispersion of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ is better than that of TiO_2 . The BET specific surface area of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ is a little greater than that of NIP-PPy/TiO_2 , it may be due to the initial molar ratio of PPy to TiO_2 which is 1:100. The amount of PPy is small, therefore, the imprinted cavities on the surface of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ play a tenuous role in improving the specific surface area.

3.3. Optical absorption properties

The optical properties of TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 were measured by UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS). Figure 5 shows the DRS of the TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 . It can be seen that the absorption of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 are much enhanced in the whole range of visible region compared with TiO_2 . It is well known that the TiO_2 itself can not be excited by visible light. The possible explanation for this is that some synergic effect between PPy and TiO_2 which may induce efficiency of charge separation and PPy plays a role as photosensitizer in the nanocomposites. A classical Tauc method is employed

Figure 5. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2

to estimate the band gap energy (E_g) of a semiconductor according to the Equation (8):

$$\alpha E_{\text{photon}} = K(E_{\text{photon}} - E_g)^{n/2} \quad (8)$$

where K is absorption constants for indirect transitions, α is the absorption coefficient, E_{photon} is the discrete photo-energy and E_g is the band gap energy. Among them, n depends on the characteristics of the transition in a semiconductor (direct transition $n = 1$ and indirect transition $n = 4$). For TiO_2 , the value of n is 1.

The E_g of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 are calculated to be 2.91, and 2.95 eV, respectively. They are less than that of TiO_2 (about 3.15 eV). The above results reveal that the absorption edges of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ lie in the visible region, so the nanocomposites can be excited to produce more electron-hole pairs under visible light irradiation, which could result in higher photocatalytic.

3.4. Photoelectrochemical properties

The transient photocurrent responses of TiO_2 and $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ were recorded for several on-off cycles of visible light irradiation. As shown in Figure 6, the current responses on both the TiO_2 and $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ in the dark are very low. When the irradiation was on, the electrons and holes were generated, therefore the current responses were drastically increased and the photocurrent came back to the original value as soon as the irradiation of light on the photoanode was stopped. In comparison with TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ exhibited more intensive photocurrent responses, which indicated that $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ can effectively reduce more the recombina-

Figure 6. Transient photocurrent responses of: (a) TiO_2 and (b) $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$

nation of photogenerated electrons and holes, and produce longer living photogenerated carriers than that of TiO_2 . Therefore, $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ is expected to exhibit an improved photocatalytic activity for RhB degradation under visible light irradiation.

3.5. Adsorption properties of the nanocomposites

3.5.1. Adsorption kinetics

The adsorption kinetics curve of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ towards template RhB is provided in Figure 7. As seen in this figure, a fast initial adsorption of RhB by the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ was observed within the first 20 min, followed by a slow increase of the adsorption amount till the adsorption equilibrium. The equilibrium time is less than 50 min. It is reasonable to assume that the surface of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ has much imprinted cavities, the template RhB was easy to enter into the cavities and bind with the recognition sites. When the recognition sites were filled up, the rate of adsorption dropped significantly and adsorption process achieved equilibrium gradually. In order to investigate the underlying mechanism of the adsorption process, the kinetic data obtained were analyzed using pseudo-first-order rate equation (Equation (9)) and pseudo-second-order rate equation (Equation (10)):

$$\log(Q_e - Q_t) = \log Q_e - \frac{k_1 t}{2.303} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_e} \quad (10)$$

where Q_e [$\mu\text{mol/g}$] and Q_t [$\mu\text{mol/g}$] are the adsorption amount at equilibrium and time t [min], respec-

Figure 7. Adsorption kinetics curve of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ for RhB

Table 1. Adsorption kinetic constants for the pseudo-first-order rate equation and pseudo-second-order rate equation

Constants	Pseudo-first-order equation	Constants	Pseudo-second-order equation
$Q_{e,c}$ [$\mu\text{mol/g}$]	2.876	$Q_{e,c}$ [$\mu\text{mol/g}$]	7.537
Q_{exp} [$\mu\text{mol/g}$]	7.095	Q_{exp} [$\mu\text{mol/g}$]	7.095
k_1 [min^{-1}]	0.0456	k_2 [$\text{g}/(\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min})$]	0.0228
R^2	0.8107	R^2	0.9947

tively, k_1 (min^{-1}) and k_2 ($\text{g}/(\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min})$) are pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order rate constants of adsorption, respectively.

The adsorption kinetic constants for the pseudo-first-order rate equation and pseudo-second-order rate equation are listed in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the correlation coefficient for the pseudo-second-order rate equation ($R^2 = 0.9947$) was higher than that of pseudo-first-order rate equation ($R^2 = 0.8107$). Moreover, the calculated equilibrium adsorption amount of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ is $7.537 \mu\text{mol/g}$, which is consistent with the experimental data ($7.095 \mu\text{mol/g}$). Therefore, the adsorption behavior of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ for RhB belonged to the pseudo-second-order rate equation and the adsorption process was a chemical process.

3.5.2. Adsorption isotherms

The adsorption isotherms of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 for RhB are illustrated in Figure 8a. As shown in this figure, the adsorption amount of the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 increased gradually with the increase of concentration of RhB in the initial solution, and ultimately inclined to reach a stable value, the adsorption reached saturation. In addition, it also could be found that $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ had much higher adsorption amount than that of NIP-PPy/TiO_2 at either low or high concentration. The above facts indicated that the non-selective physical adsorption occurred between NIP-PPy/TiO_2 and RhB. In contrast, the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ had generated specific recognition sites in imprinting cavities and exhibited higher adsorption capacity for RhB. Due to the adsorption capacity has much influence on the degradation behavior of organic pollutants, it is hoped that the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ show higher photocatalytic selectivity towards RhB than that of NIP-PPy/TiO_2 .

In general, Scatchard analysis was used to evaluate the binding affinity and the theoretical binding site

Figure 8. (a) Adsorption isotherms of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and NIP-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB (b) Scatchard plot of the adsorption of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB

Table 2. The results of the Scatchard analysis

Binding site	Linear equation	K_d [μmol/L]	Q_{max} [μmol/g]	R^2
Higher affinity site	$Q_e/C_0 = -0.0482Q_e + 0.3517$	20.75	7.41	0.9490
Lower affinity site	$Q_e/C_0 = -0.0121Q_e + 0.1703$	82.64	14.07	0.9492

number for template of the molecularly imprinted material. Figure 8b shows the Scatchard plot of the adsorption of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB. As seen in this figure, there were two distinct linear sections in the plot. It suggests that there exist two types of binding sites in the imprinted layer in respect to the adsorption for RhB: one was of high selectivity or affinity with a high binding energy, and the other was of low affinity with a low binding energy. From the slopes and intercepts of the two straight lines, the K_d and Q_{max} values can be calculated, and the results are listed in Table 2.

3.5.3. Adsorption selectivity

Figure 9 shows the adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and NIP-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB, Rh6G and MB, respectively. The distribution coefficient (K_D), selectivity coefficient of the sorbent (α) and the relative selectivity coefficient (α') values were summarized in Table 3. From Figure 9, the adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB is higher than that for Rh6G and MB. Compared with MB, the adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for Rh6G is higher, whereas the adsorption amount of NIP-PPy/TiO₂

Figure 9. Adsorption amount of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and NIP-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB, Rh6G and MB

TiO₂ for the three substrates was almost the same. This indicated that the imprinted cavities have been created in MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ owing to the addition of template RhB during polymerization, and the binding abilities of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB is far stronger than that for Rh6G and MB. From the data shown in Table 3, the selectivity coefficients of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB relative to Rh6G and MB are higher, 1.75 and 2.29, respectively. The selectiv-

Table 3 Distribution coefficient and selectivity coefficient data of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and NIP-PPy/TiO₂

Photocatalyst	K_D [L/g]		α	α'	K_D [L/g]		α	α'
	RhB	Rh6G			RhB	MB		
MIP _{RhB} -PPy/TiO ₂	0.096	0.055	1.75	1.82	0.096	0.042	2.29	2.44
NIP-PPy/TiO ₂	0.050	0.052	0.96		0.050	0.053	0.94	

ity coefficients of NIP-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB relative to Rh6G and MB are lower, 0.96 and 0.94, respectively. This implies that the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ had higher adsorption selectivity for RhB over Rh6G and MB. The relative selectivity coefficients of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ for RhB relative to Rh6G and MB are 1.82 and 2.44, respectively, which are greater than 1 and showed the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ had higher adsorption selectivity than that of the NIP-PPy/TiO₂.

3.6. Photocatalytic activity

The photocatalytic activity of the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and NIP-PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposites was measured by the degradation of RhB, Rh6G and MB aqueous solutions under visible light irradiation, respectively. As shown in Figure 10a, the MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ photocatalysts exhibits the highest photocatalytic activity toward RhB. The degradation efficiency reaches 85% after 120 min irradiation being 2.1 times of that over NIP-PPy/TiO₂ (40%), meanwhile, the degradation efficiency of Rh6G and MB over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ are 63% and 59%, respectively. Figure 10b shows the time-dependent absorption spectra of RhB solution in the presence of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ microspheres. The maximum absorption of RhB suspension shifts from 553 to 522 nm. Meanwhile, it can be seen obviously that the color of the RhB solution changes gradually from fuchsia to colorless after irradiation for 120 min.

Figure 11 gives the kinetic data for the photodegradation of RhB, Rh6G and MB over different photocatalysts in the single systems, which clearly show that all the degradation processes follow a pseudo-

Figure 11. Kinetic datas for the degradation of RhB (1), Rh6G (2), MB (3) over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and MB (4), Rh6G (5), RhB (6) over NIP-PPy/TiO₂

first-order kinetics, which was defined as Equation (11):

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right) = kt \quad (11)$$

where C_0 [mg/L] and C [mg/L] are the concentration of RhB, Rh6G or MB at $t = 0$ [min] and instant t [min], respectively, and k [min⁻¹] is the apparent rate constant.

The values of the apparent rate constant (k) of the pseudo-first-order reaction are listed in Figure 11. As seen in this figure, the k value for the photodegradation of the targeted RhB over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ is 0.0158 min⁻¹, being 3.6 times of that over NIP-PPy/TiO₂ (0.0044 min⁻¹), meanwhile, the k values for the photodegradation of Rh6G and MB over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ are 0.0082 and 0.0072 min⁻¹, being only

Figure 10. (a) The photodegradation of RhB (1), Rh6G (2), MB (3) over MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ and MB (4), Rh6G (5), RhB (6) over NIP-PPy/TiO₂ (b) temporal UV-vis absorption spectral changes during the photocatalytic degradation of MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ toward RhB

Figure 12. Results of cycling runs of RhB degradation over $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$

52% and 46% of RhB over $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$, respectively. Moreover, the differences of the k values for the photodegradation of RhB, Rh6G and MB over NIP-PPy/TiO_2 are small. The possible explanation for this is that the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ and NIP-PPy/TiO_2 with almost the same element are remarkably different in their space-structure, the non-selective physical adsorption occurred between NIP-PPy/TiO_2 and RhB. In contrast, the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ adsorbed much more RhB than that of NIP-PPy/TiO_2 since $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ had generated specific recognition sites in imprinting cavities. Compared with NIP-PPy/TiO_2 , $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ exhibited higher photocatalytic selectivity toward RhB. Figure 12 shows the results of cycling runs of RhB degradation over $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$. The reusability and stability of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ was evaluated by the cycling runs for RhB photodegradation using the same imprinted photocatalyst. The degradation results were shown in Figure 12. It was found that the degradation ratios of RhB were almost kept stable around 80%. The photocatalytic activity does not obviously decline in RhB degradation after five recycling runs. Therefore, it can be inferred that the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ has high reusability and stability.

3.7. Photocatalytic mechanism

The mechanism of the photocatalytic degradation of RhB over the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ nanocomposites was proposed. At first, the RhB molecules can be adsorbed onto the imprinted layer of $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ to form a moderately stable complex. When the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ nanocomposites are illuminated under visible light, the electrons of PPy can be excited from the highest occupied molecular orbital

Figure 13. Scheme of the photocatalytic degradation of RhB over the $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$

(HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Since the conduction band (CB) of TiO_2 lies below the LUMO level of PPy, the excited electrons can be injected to CB of TiO_2 , while holes will be left in HOMO of PPy. The electrons in valence band (VB) of TiO_2 can not only migrate to CB of TiO_2 , but also to the HOMO of PPy to recombine with the holes, and simultaneously, holes were generated in the VB of TiO_2 . Thus, more and more photo-generated electrons and holes form in TiO_2 nanoparticles. The photo-generated electrons migrate easily to the catalysts surface to react with oxygen to generate superoxide radicals ($\cdot\text{O}_2^-$), and the positive charged holes (h^+) can react with OH^- or H_2O to yield hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$). The resulting radicals are powerful enough to decompose oxidize RhB to the degradation products. The whole process can be clearly described as shown by Equation (12) and Figure 13 ([16, 34]).

4. Conclusions

A highly selective molecularly imprinted nanocomposites $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ was successfully prepared by surface molecular imprinting technique with RhB as template molecule. $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/TiO}_2$ exhibited obvious absorption under visible light. The transient photocurrent responses suggested that $\text{MIP}_{\text{RhB}}\text{-PPy/}$

TiO₂ could efficiently generate and separate of the electron-hole pairs. MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposites possessed strong affinity, high adsorption capacity, fast adsorption rate and excellent selectivity for RhB. In comparison with NIP-PPy/TiO₂, MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ exhibited higher photocatalytic selectivity toward RhB under visible light. Therefore, the prepared nanocomposites MIP_{RhB}-PPy/TiO₂ has a promising perspective in industrial wastewater treatment.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 21206060)

References

- [1] Asuha S., Zhou X. G., Zhao S.: Adsorption of methyl orange and Cr(VI) on mesoporous TiO₂ prepared by hydrothermal method. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **181**, 204–210 (2010). DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.117)
- [2] Xu S. F., Li J. H., Chen L. X.: Molecularly imprinted core-shell nanoparticles for determination of trace atrazine by reversible addition–fragmentation chain transfer surface imprinting. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, **21**, 4346–4351 (2011). DOI: [10.1039/C0JM03593A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C0JM03593A)
- [3] Moon C.-J., Lee J.-H.: Use of curdlan and activated carbon composed adsorbents for heavy metal removal. *Process Biochemistry*, **40**, 1279–1283 (2005). DOI: [10.1016/j.procbio.2004.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.05.009)
- [4] Wang G. H., Xu L., Zhang J., Yin T., Han D.: Enhanced photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ powders (P25) via calcination treatment. *International Journal of Photoenergy*, **2012**, 265760/1–265760/9 (2012). DOI: [10.1155/2012/265760](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/265760)
- [5] Bettinelli M., Dallacasa V., Falcomer D., Fornasiero P., Gombac V., Montini T., Romanò L., Speghini A.: Photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ doped with boron and vanadium. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **146**, 529–534 (2007). DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.04.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.04.053)
- [6] Li X., Wang L., Lu X.: Preparation of silver-modified TiO₂ via microwave-assisted method and its photocatalytic activity for toluene degradation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **177**, 639–647 (2010). DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.12.080](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.12.080)
- [7] Tian G., Pan K., Fu H., Jing L., Zhou W.: Enhanced photocatalytic activity of S-doped TiO₂–ZrO₂ nanoparticles under visible-light irradiation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **166**, 939–944 (2009). DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.090](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.090)
- [8] Liu D., Xiao P., Zhang Y., Garcia B. B., Zhang Q., Guo Q., Champion R., Cao G.: TiO₂ nanotube arrays annealed in N₂ for efficient lithium-ion intercalation. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, **112**, 11175–11180 (2008). DOI: [10.1021/jp801300j](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp801300j)
- [9] Li Y., Guo M., Peng S., Lu G., Li S.: Formation of multilayer-eosin Y-sensitized TiO₂ via Fe³⁺ coupling for efficient visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, **34**, 5629–5636 (2009). DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.100](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.100)
- [10] Xu S., Du A. J., Liu J., Ng J., Sun D. D.: Highly efficient CuO incorporated TiO₂ nanotube photocatalyst for hydrogen production from water. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, **36**, 6560–6568 (2011). DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.02.103](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.02.103)
- [11] Shang X., Hu G., He C., Zhao J., Zhang F., Xu Y., Zhang Y., Li J., Chen J.: Regeneration of full-scale commercial honeycomb monolith catalyst (V₂O₅–WO₃/TiO₂) used in coal-fired power plant. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, **18**, 513–519 (2012). DOI: [10.1016/j.jiec.2011.11.070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2011.11.070)
- [12] Su P. G., Huang L. N.: Humidity sensors based on TiO₂ nanoparticles/polypyrrole composite thin films. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, **123**, 501–507 (2007). DOI: [10.1016/j.snb.2006.09.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2006.09.052)
- [13] Cheng Q., He Y., Pavlinek V., Li C., Saha P.: Surfactant-assisted polypyrrole/titanate composite nanofibers: Morphology, structure and electrical properties. *Synthetic Metals*, **158**, 953–957 (2008). DOI: [10.1016/j.synthmet.2008.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2008.06.022)
- [14] Alumaa A., Hallik A., Sammelselg V., Tamm J.: On the improvement of stability of polypyrrole films in aqueous solutions. *Synthetic Metals*, **157**, 485–491 (2007). DOI: [10.1016/j.synthmet.2007.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2007.05.006)
- [15] Shaheen S. E., Brabec C. J., Sariciftci N. S., Padinger F., Fromherz T. Hummelen J. C.: 2.5% efficient organic plastic solar cells. *Applied Physics Letters*, **78**, 841–843 (2001). DOI: [10.1063/1.1345834](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1345834)
- [16] Deng F., Li Y., Luo X., Yang L., Tu X.: Preparation of conductive polypyrrole/TiO₂ nanocomposite via surface molecular imprinting technique and its photocatalytic activity under simulated solar light irradiation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, **395**, 183–189 (2012). DOI: [10.1016/j.colsurfa.2011.12.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2011.12.029)
- [17] Li J., Zhang Q., Feng J., Yan W.: Synthesis of PPy-modified TiO₂ composite in H₂SO₄ solution and its novel adsorption characteristics for organic dyes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **225**, 766–775 (2013). DOI: [10.1016/j.cej.2013.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.03.011)

- [18] Jia Y., Xiao P., He H., Yao J., Liu F., Wang Z., Li Y.: Photoelectrochemical properties of polypyrrole/TiO₂ nanotube arrays nanocomposite under visible light. *Applied Surface Science*, **258**, 6627–6631 (2012). DOI: [10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.03.092](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.03.092)
- [19] Zhu B., Zhu M., Zhang Q., Cheng L., Li Y., Wang H.: Conducting behaviors of PPY/ITO composites synthesized by polymerization. *Synthetic Metals*, **160**, 2151–2154 (2010). DOI: [10.1016/j.synthmet.2010.07.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2010.07.045)
- [20] Zhang C., Li Q., Li J.: Synthesis and characterization of polypyrrole/TiO₂ composite by *in situ* polymerization method. *Synthetic Metals*, **160**, 1699–1703 (2010). DOI: [10.1016/j.synthmet.2010.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2010.06.003)
- [21] Babazadeh M., Gohari F. R., Olad A.: Characterization and physical properties investigation of conducting polypyrrole/TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared through a one-step '*in situ*' polymerization method. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, **123**, 1922–1927 (2012). DOI: [10.1002/app.34689](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.34689)
- [22] Zhang G., Choi W., Kim S. H., Hong S. B.: Selective photocatalytic degradation of aquatic pollutants by titania encapsulated into FAU-type zeolites. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **188**, 198–205 (2011). DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.105](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.105)
- [23] Miyayama S., Nishijima K., Kamai T., Chiyoya T., Tsubota T., Ohno T.: Photocatalytic selective oxidation of anionic compounds on TiO₂ photocatalysts modified with quaternary ammonium base groups. *Separation and Purification Technology*, **58**, 206–210 (2007). DOI: [10.1016/j.seppur.2007.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2007.07.030)
- [24] Inumaru K., Murashima M., Kasahara T., Yamanaka S.: Enhanced photocatalytic decomposition of 4-nonylphenol by surface-organografted TiO₂: A combination of molecular selective adsorption and photocatalysis. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, **52**, 275–280 (2004). DOI: [10.1016/j.apcatb.2004.04.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2004.04.013)
- [25] Damin A., Llabrés i Xamena F. X., Lamberti C., Civaleri B., Zicovich-Wilson C. M., Zecchina A.: Structural, electronic, and vibrational properties of the Ti–O–Ti quantum wires in the titanosilicate ETS-10. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **108**, 1328–1336 (2004). DOI: [10.1021/jp036902e](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp036902e)
- [26] Shen X., Zhu L., Li J., Tang H.: Synthesis of molecular imprinted polymer coated photocatalysts with high selectivity. *Chemical Communications*, **2007**, 1163–1165 (2007). DOI: [10.1039/B615303H](https://doi.org/10.1039/B615303H)
- [27] Wang X.-J., Xu Z.-L., Feng J.-L., Bing N.-C., Yang Z.-G.: Molecularly imprinted membranes for the recognition of lovastatin acid in aqueous medium by a template analogue imprinting strategy. *Journal of Membrane Science*, **313**, 97–105 (2008). DOI: [10.1016/j.memsci.2007.12.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2007.12.067)
- [28] Xiao D., Dramou P., Xiong N., He N., Li H., Yuan D., Dai H.: Development of novel molecularly imprinted magnetic solid-phase extraction materials based on magnetic carbon nanotubes and their application for the determination of gatifloxacin in serum samples coupled with high performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, **1274**, 44–53 (2013). DOI: [10.1016/j.chroma.2012.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.12.011)
- [29] Duan Z., Yi J., Fang G., Fan L., Wang S.: A sensitive and selective imprinted solid phase extraction coupled to HPLC for simultaneous detection of trace quinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid and methyl-3-quinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid in animal muscles. *Food Chemistry*, **139**, 274–280 (2013). DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.02.007)
- [30] Shen X., Zhu L., Liu G., Yu H., Tang H.: Enhanced photocatalytic degradation and selective removal of nitrophenols by using surface molecular imprinted titania. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **42**, 1687–1692 (2008). DOI: [10.1021/es071788p](https://doi.org/10.1021/es071788p)
- [31] Zhao P., Hao J.: Tert-butylhydroquinone recognition of molecular imprinting electrochemical sensor based on core-shell nanoparticles. *Food Chemistry*, **139**, 1001–1007 (2013). DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.01.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.01.035)
- [32] Luo X., Deng F., Min L., Luo S., Guo B., Zeng G., Au C.: Facile one-step synthesis of inorganic-framework molecularly imprinted TiO₂/WO₃ nanocomposite and its molecular cognitive photocatalytic degradation of target contaminant. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **47**, 7404–7412 (2013). DOI: [10.1021/es4013596](https://doi.org/10.1021/es4013596)
- [33] Huo P., Lu Z., Liu X., Wu D., Liu X., Pan J., Gao X., Guo W., Li H., Yan Y.: Preparation photocatalyst of selected photodegradation antibiotics by molecular imprinting technology onto TiO₂/fly-ash cenospheres. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **189–190**, 75–83 (2012). DOI: [10.1016/j.cej.2012.02.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.02.030)
- [34] Wang D., Wang Y., Li X., Luo Q., An J., Yue J.: Sunlight photocatalytic activity of polypyrrole–TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared by '*in situ*' method. *Catalysis Communication*, **9**, 1162–1166 (2008). DOI: [10.1016/j.catcom.2007.10.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2007.10.027)