Preparation and properties of poly(vinylidene fluoride) nanocomposites blended with graphene oxide coated silica hybrids

J. C. Wang, P. Chen, L. Chen, K. Wang, H. Deng, F. Chen, Q. Zhang*, Q. Fu

College of Polymer Science and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, People's Republic of China

Received 3 August 2011; accepted in revised form 27 October 2011

Abstract. Graphene oxide coated silica hybrids ($\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$) were fabricated through electrostatic assembly in this work, then blended with poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) by solution mixing to make PVDF nanocomposites. The interfacial interaction was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), polarized optical microscopy (POM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The results showed that the interfacial interaction was enhanced by adding of $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ and strong hydrogen bonds were observed. The as-made nanocomposites were investigated using standard tensile test and dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) measurements, mechanical properties of PVDF with $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ hybrids showed limited improvement.

Keywords: polymer composites, graphene oxide, silica, interfacial interaction, hydrogen bonds

1. Introduction

Graphene is a monolayer graphite, discovered in 2004 [1]. The two-dimensional (2D) sheet carbon material has attracted much attention due to its unique properties, such as high mechanical properties [2], electronic transfer [3], thermal conductivity [4] and specific surface area [5]. Various methods have been explored to make graphene sheets, like chemical vapor deposition, epitaxial growth, mechanical or chemical exfoliations. Graphene oxide (GO) is derived from the oxidation of natural graphite flakes followed by ultrasonic treatment of the suspension. After oxidation, basal planes of GO sheets are decorated mostly with epoxide, hydroxyl, carbonyl, and carboxyl groups [6, 7]. GO is an active material, it can act as surfactant [8], covalently combined with other materials [9], etc. In recent years, graphene hybrid nanoparticles appeared [10–12],

the active GO sheets can be used to modify conventional nanoparticles which were used in polymer nanocomposites.

PVDF is a polar polymer with excellent chemical, mechanical and electrical properties [13–15]. PVDF has been widely used in many fields, such as ultra-filtration and microfiltration membranes, electrode binder in lithium ion batteries, microwave transducers and its unique applications as piezoelectric and pyroelectric materials. It is well known that nanoparticles are usually used to enhance the properties of polymer by simple blending [16–19], the interfacial interaction between polymer and nanoparticles is crucial to the enhancement. However, Jae-Wan Kim *et al.* studied the morphology, crystalline structure and mechanical properties of PVDF composites blended with silica, the composites performance poor properties. The author considered that there was

*Corresponding author, e-mail: qinzhang@scu.edu.cn

no interaction between silica and PVDF [20]. Thus, the modification of silica in order to achieve a better interfacial interaction is necessary.

In this work, graphene oxide coated silica nanoparticles ($\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$) were fabricated by electrostatic assembly, these nanoparticles were solution blended with PVDF, the interfacial interaction and mechanical properties were investigated.

2. Experiments

2.1. Materials

Graphite powders were purchased from Qingdao Black Dragon graphite Co., Ltd, China. Sub-micro sized silica (average size = 500 nm) was purchased from Admatechs Co., Ltd, Japan. Potassium permanganate (KMnO_4), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4 , 98%), sodium nitrate (NaNO_3) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) were purchased from Kermel Chemical reagent plant (Chengdu, China), all reagents were used as received. 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APS) was provided from commercial sources and used without purification. PVDF (FR901) was purchased from Shanghai 3F New Material Co., Ltd. China.

2.2. Preparation of graphene oxide coated silica hybrids

The surface modification of SiO_2 with APS coupling agent was carried out in liquid phase. In a typical process, SiO_2 powder (10 g) was firstly added to 150 mL ethanol, premixed and then ground by planetary ball mill with zirconia balls (400 rpm, 30 min) to get well dispersed SiO_2 suspension. After the addition of another 150 mL ethanol, 0.5 mL silane coupling agent APS was added into the suspension. The mixture was stirred, heated up to 50°C for 12 h, the obtained particles were filtered from the mixture, washed with ethanol and deionized water five times and dried under vacuum.

GO was made of natural graphite by Hummer's method [21]. The size of GO monolayer sheets were mostly 2~6 μm , the thickness was about 1.3 nm. Exfoliation of GO was achieved by sonication for 2 h in an aqueous solvent, followed by centrifugation to remove the unexfoliated GO sheets (3000 rpm, 30 min). A diluted and well dispersed GO colloid solution with a concentration of 0.4 mg/mL was employed in the succeeding process.

GO coated silica hybrids ($\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$) were fabricated by simple mixing positively charged $\text{SiO}_2\text{-NH}_2$

particles and negatively charged GO dispersion. As in a typical process, 400 mL $\text{SiO}_2\text{-NH}_2$ (20 mg/mL) were added into a 200 mL aqueous GO suspension (0.4 mg/mL) under mild magnetic stirring for 1 h. Stopped stirring when the aqueous solution became transparent, then GO precipitated with $\text{SiO}_2\text{-NH}_2$ at the bottom of the beaker. The sediment solid ($\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ hybrids) were collected and washed with water for several times to remove the unbound GO and afterwards dried under 60°C and grounded before use.

2.3. Fabrication of PVDF/ $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ composites

Different amounts of $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ and SiO_2 were firstly added to 50 mL dimethyl acetylamine (DMAc) respectively, the solution was sonicated for 4 h and then transferred to a flask for mechanical stirring. After that, 8 g PVDF were added to the solution in batches (4 batches, 2 g every 30 min), stirred at 60°C for 12 h to get homogenous solution. Subsequently, the solution was co-precipitated with deionized water and dried in an oven at 60°C for 24 h to remove remaining solvent, the precipitate was heat compressed at 210°C . Pure PVDF was fabricated in the same way for comparison. The weight ratios of the nanoparticles in the composites were 5, 10, 15, 20% (Filler/PVDF) respectively.

2.4. Characterization

Morphological studies were carried out using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-5900LV) in order to investigate the interface between nanofillers and PVDF matrix in the composites. The SEM images were obtained on the fracture surface of samples at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations were carried out to examine the micro-morphology of hybrids on a Tecnai F20 S-TWIN TEM under an acceleration voltage of 200 kV.

Morphological observations on crystallites of PVDF composites were conducted on a polarized optical microscopy (POM, Leica DMLP) equipped with a hot stage (Linkam THMS 600) under crossed polarizers. All samples were first inserted between two microscope cover slips and squeezed at 210°C to obtain a slice with a thickness around 30 μm . Subsequently, the as-prepared slice was transferred to the hot stage and held at 210°C for 3 minutes to

achieve thermal equilibrium. It was followed by cooling to 40°C at 2°C/min. The POM micrographs were recorded by a digital camera.

Infrared spectra from 4000 to 650 cm^{-1} were obtained by a Nicolet 6700 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) instrument using ATR method, 32 accumulated cycles, 4 accuracy.

The dynamic properties of the materials were investigated using a Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer (DMA, TA Q800). The mode was Film-Tension, preload force was 0.01 N, amplitude was 10 μm , frequency was 1 Hz and heating rate was 3°C/min. Standard tensile tests were performed using a tensile testing machine (SANSI, ShenZhen, China) with a cross-head speed of 5 mm/min at room temperature (23°C). The tensile strength at yield was determined according to GB/T 1040-92 standard. The values of the tensile strength were calculated as averages over 5 specimens for each composition.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Self-assembly of graphene oxide coated silica

The overall synthetic procedure of graphene oxide coated silica was demonstrated in Figure 1, graphene oxide was negatively charged because of its plenty of functional groups such as carboxylic acid and phenolic hydroxy groups. Raw SiO_2 particles were modified with APS, then it could form positively charged $\text{SiO}_2\text{-NH}_3^+$ particles due to the ionization of amino groups [22]. Therefore, GO sheets were easy to self assemble with $\text{SiO}_2\text{-NH}_3^+$ by electrostatic force and the $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ hybrids were obtained.

Raw SiO_2 and $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ powders were shown in Figure 2a and 2b respectively, it is well known that the colour of graphene oxide is brown, $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ was brown compared with white raw SiO_2 , indicating the existence of graphene oxide. However, was silica coated with graphene oxide? SEM photographs of raw SiO_2 and $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ at the same magnification were shown in Figure 2d and 2e respectively. Compared with the smooth surface of SiO_2 , the surface of $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ was rough and somewhat wrinkled. It was more obvious at the TEM image of $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ (Figure 2c), ultrathin graphene oxide sheets coated the SiO_2 particles. Therefore, the experimental results above all proved that the $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ hybrids have been successfully made.

3.2. Interfacial interaction between PVDF and nanofillers

In order to study the interfacial interaction between PVDF and nanofillers, SEM photographs were observed. As shown in Figure 3a and 3b were PVDF with SiO_2 at low and high magnification respectively, a large number of SiO_2 was pulled out of the PVDF matrix and left many holes on the fracture surface, the few number of SiO_2 that was left in the fracture surface showed very smooth surface, and the gaps between PVDF matrix and SiO_2 were obvious clear, these all indicated that the interfacial interaction between PVDF matrix and SiO_2 was very poor. However, compared with PVDF/ SiO_2 , there were fewer holes in the fracture surface of PVDF/ $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ (Figure 3c and 3d), PVDF was somewhat adhered to the $\text{SiO}_2\text{-GO}$ particles, the combination

Figure 1. Illustration of the overall synthetic procedure of graphene oxide coated silica

Figure 2. Digital photographs of raw SiO_2 (a) and as-made SiO_2 -GO hybrid (b), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of SiO_2 -GO hybrids (c), scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the raw SiO_2 (d) and created SiO_2 -GO hybrid (e) at the same magnification

between matrix and SiO_2 -GO was better. Therefore, after the silica was coated with graphene oxide, the interfacial interaction was enhanced in PVDF/ SiO_2 -GO composites.

The enhancement of interfacial interaction in PVDF/ SiO_2 -GO was also proved by another characterization method. It was reasonable that the plenty of functional groups like epoxide, hydroxyl, carbonyl, and carboxyl groups would have a strong interaction with the PVDF's molecular chains. The C-F bond of PVDF and hydroxyl or carboxyl groups of graphene oxides were supposed to form hydrogen bonds in the PVDF/ SiO_2 -GO composites, the hydrogen bonds would support the enhancement of interfacial interaction. It is well known that the characteristic peaks in infrared spectra will show

'red shift' when hydrogen bonds are formed. Therefore, FTIR investigation (Figure 4) was made to prove this idea, SiO_2 showed a strong peak at 1112.6 cm^{-1} , which could be assigned as Si-O-Si vibration, while PVDF/15 % SiO_2 showed a peak of Si-O-Si vibration at 1107.3 cm^{-1} , which implied slight red shift, because a few hydrogen bonds were formed. The peak of Si-O-Si vibration of SiO_2 -GO was located at 1117.3 cm^{-1} , while that of PVDF/15% SiO_2 -GO was located at 1104.7 cm^{-1} . The obvious red shift indicated that there were substantial hydrogen bonds in the PVDF/ SiO_2 -GO composites, which could be attributed to the plenty of functional groups of the graphene oxide. Thus, compared to the PVDF/ SiO_2 composites, the substantial hydrogen bonds between PVDF and SiO_2 -GO would

Figure 3. SEM images of PVDF with 20% SiO₂ at low magnification (a) and high magnification (b); PVDF with 20% SiO₂-GO at low magnification (c) and high magnification (d)

Figure 4. FTIR spectrums of PVDF nanocomposites and the fillers

improve the interfacial behaviour and may have an effect on the mechanical properties of the PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites.

A decrease of the spherulite size, results in a stronger interaction between PVDF chains and nanoparticles [23]. The morphologies of crystals were shown in Figure 5. The slice of samples were relatively thick for the low melt index of PVDF, so the serious overlap of crystal in the picture was hard to avoid. Compared with pure PVDF, the spherulite size of PVDF in PVDF/5% SiO₂ composite was larger, which indicated that the interaction between PVDF chains and SiO₂ was weak. However, the spherulite size of PVDF in PVDF/5% SiO₂-GO composite was obviously smaller than that of pure

Figure 5. Crystal morphologies of PVDF (a), PVDF with 5% SiO₂ (b) and 5% SiO₂-GO (c) respectively observed by Polarized optical microscopy (POM)

PVDF, indicating a better interfacial interaction between PVDF chains and SiO₂-GO particles.

In summary, it can be concluded that the interfacial interaction between PVDF and SiO₂-GO has been largely improved compared with that between PVDF and silica. That is to say, after SiO₂ particles were

coated with graphene oxide, the interfacial interaction was greatly enhanced in PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites. It should be a potential method to improve the interfacial interaction between polymer and fillers.

3.3. Mechanical properties of PVDF nanocomposites

Since the interfacial interaction was enhanced in the PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites and graphene show high mechanical properties [2], the mechanical properties of PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites were supposed to be enhanced. Figure 6a showed tensile strengths of PVDF/SiO₂ and PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites. The tensile strength of PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites show a limited improvement compared with PVDF/SiO₂ composites with different filler contents. The tensile strength of pure PVDF was 45.6 MPa, with increasing loading of SiO₂-GO, the tensile strength of PVDF/SiO₂-GO increased. The tensile strength of PVDF containing 20% SiO₂-GO was 51.2 MPa, which was about 12% more than that of pure PVDF. While the tensile strength of PVDF/SiO₂ decreased with the increasing loading of SiO₂, it was subjected to severe decrease when the loading of SiO₂ was 20%, this may be due to the aggregation of SiO₂ in PVDF matrix and poor interfacial interaction between PVDF and SiO₂. The limited improvement of tensile strength could be ascribed to the good dispersion of SiO₂-GO and interfacial enhancement between SiO₂-GO nanoparticles and PVDF matrix. The basal planes of graphene oxide were most likely decorated with epoxide, hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl groups. Thus, graphene oxide coated silica with high polarity dispersed well in polar PVDF. The good interaction between the functional groups of SiO₂-GO and PVDF molecular chains would result in better interfacial interaction.

The tensile modulus is shown in Figure 6b. Tensile modulus of PVDF/SiO₂-GO and PVDF/SiO₂ both increased with the increasing amount of fillers, this increase could be attributed to the presence of silica, because it was a common phenomenon when a polymer was blended with rigid particles. We noticed that the modulus of PVDF/SiO₂-GO was slightly lower than that of PVDF/SiO₂. In order to confirm this experimental result, we did a dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA test). Figure 7 showed that stor-

Figure 6. Tensile strength (a) and tensile modulus (b) of PVDF with SiO₂-GO and SiO₂ respectively

Figure 7. Storage modulus of PVDF with SiO₂-GO and SiO₂ respectively carried out by dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) measurement

age modulus of PVDF/SiO₂ and PVDF/SiO₂-GO were obviously higher than that of pure PVDF. Even at 25°C, the storage modulus of PVDF/SiO₂ (3388 MPa) was 50% higher than that of PVDF (2255 MPa), while the storage modulus of PVDF/SiO₂-GO (2668 MPa) was only 18% higher than that of PVDF (2261 MPa). This result was similar to that of tensile tests, it confirmed that the rigid silica particle would result in an increase of modulus. However, the modulus increase of PVDF/SiO₂-GO was relatively lower than that of PVDF/SiO₂.

Why did the tensile strength of PVDF/SiO₂-GO improved only by 12% at most and the modulus was relatively lower than that of PVDF/SiO₂? There were two possible explanations: 1) The APS layer between silica and graphene oxide may cause the rigidity of SiO₂-GO to decrease, compared with the pure silica. Thus, the modulus of PVDF/SiO₂-GO was relatively lower than that of PVDF/SiO₂. 2) Recently,

researchers proposed that the graphene oxide contains many oxidative debris after oxidation of natural graphite flakes [24]. Maybe the small molecules (oxidative debris) mixed with graphene oxide could act as plasticizer, thus do harm to the improvement of strength of PVDF/SiO₂-GO and result in the relatively lower modulus of PVDF/SiO₂-GO.

4. Conclusions

Graphene oxide coated silica hybrids (SiO₂-GO) were fabricated by electrostatic assembly, and SiO₂-GO hybrids based PVDF nanocomposites were made successfully. SEM pictures showed that there were many SiO₂ particles pulled out of the fracture surface of PVDF/SiO₂, the interface between PVDF matrix and SiO₂ was clear. While for PVDF/SiO₂-GO, there were few SiO₂-GO particles pulled out of the fracture surface, SiO₂-GO particles were mostly embedded in the PVDF matrix, the interface between PVDF matrix and SiO₂-GO was unclear. This indicated that the interfacial interaction between PVDF matrix and SiO₂-GO was greatly enhanced. Using infrared spectra investigation, the vibration peak of silica in PVDF/SiO₂-GO showed an obvious 'red shift' compared with SiO₂-GO, indicating the strong hydrogen bonds forming between PVDF matrix and SiO₂-GO, which will be beneficial for the interfacial enhancement. Compared with neat PVDF, crystallite size of PVDF/SiO₂-GO decreased, while those of PVDF/SiO₂ increased. This indicated that, compared with PVDF/SiO₂, PVDF/SiO₂-GO had a better interfacial interaction. Since the interface was greatly enhanced in PVDF/SiO₂-GO composites, the corresponding mechanical properties were exam-

ined. The tensile strength of PVDF/SiO₂-GO showed limited improvement, and modulus was relatively lower, compared with PVDF/SiO₂.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere thanks to the Program for the New-Century Excellent Talents of Ministry of Education of China (NCET-10-0580) for financial support.

References

- [1] Novoselov K. S., Geim A. K., Morozov S. V., Jiang D., Zhang Y., Dubonos S. V., Grigorieva I. V., Firsov A. A.: Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, **306**, 666–669 (2004). DOI: [10.1126/science.1102896](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102896)
- [2] Lee C., Wei X., Kysar J. W., Hone J.: Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. *Science*, **321**, 385–388 (2008). DOI: [10.1126/science.1157996](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1157996)
- [3] Rao C., Sood A., Subrahmanyam K., Govindaraj A.: Graphene: The new two-dimensional nanomaterial. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, **48**, 7752–7777 (2009). DOI: [10.1002/anie.200901678](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200901678)
- [4] Balandin A. A., Ghosh S., Bao W., Calizo I., Teweldebrhan D., Miao F., Lau C. N.: Superior thermal conductivity of single-layer graphene. *Nano Letters*, **8**, 902–907 (2008). DOI: [10.1021/nl0731872](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0731872)
- [5] Schniepp H. C., Li J.-L., McAllister M. J., Sai H., Herrera-Alonso M., Adamson D. H., Prud'homme R. K., Car R., Saville D. A., Aksay I. A.: Functionalized single graphene sheets derived from splitting graphite oxide. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **110**, 8535–8539 (2006). DOI: [10.1021/jp060936f](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp060936f)
- [6] Cai W., Piner R. D., Stadermann F. J., Park S., Shaibat M. A., Ishii Y., Yang D., Velamakanni A., An S. J., Stoller M., An J., Chen D., Ruoff R. S.: Synthesis and solid-state NMR structural characterization of ¹³C-labeled graphite oxide. *Science*, **321**, 1815–1817 (2008). DOI: [10.1126/science.1162369](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162369)
- [7] Kim F., Cote L. J., Huang J.: Graphene oxide: Surface activity and two-dimensional assembly. *Advanced Materials*, **22**, 1954–1958 (2010). DOI: [10.1002/adma.200903932](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200903932)
- [8] Kim J., Cote L. J., Kim F., Yuan W., Shull K. R., Huang J.: Graphene oxide sheets at interfaces. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **132**, 8180–8186 (2010). DOI: [10.1021/ja102777p](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja102777p)
- [9] Fang M., Wang K., Lu H., Yang Y., Nutt S.: Covalent polymer functionalization of graphene nanosheets and mechanical properties of composites. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, **19**, 7098–7105 (2009). DOI: [10.1039/B908220D](https://doi.org/10.1039/B908220D)
- [10] Kou L., Gao C.: Making silica nanoparticle-covered graphene oxide nanohybrids as general building blocks for large-area superhydrophilic coatings. *Nanoscale*, **3**, 519–528 (2011). DOI: [10.1039/c0nr00609b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0nr00609b)
- [11] Li N., Liu G., Zhen C., Li F., Zhang L., Cheng H.-M.: Battery performance and photocatalytic activity of mesoporous anatase TiO₂ nanospheres/graphene composites by template-free self-assembly. *Advanced Functional Materials*, **21**, 1717–1722 (2011). DOI: [10.1002/adfm.201002295](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201002295)
- [12] Yang S., Feng X., Ivanovici S., Müllen K.: Fabrication of graphene-encapsulated oxide nanoparticles: Towards high-performance anode materials for lithium storage. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, **49**, 8408–8411 (2010). DOI: [10.1002/anie.201003485](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201003485)
- [13] Lovinger A.: Ferroelectric polymers. *Science*, **220**, 1115–1121 (1983). DOI: [10.1126/science.220.4602.1115](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4602.1115)
- [14] Wang M., Shi J.-H., Pramoda K. P., Goh S. H.: Microstructure, crystallization and dynamic mechanical behaviour of poly(vinylidene fluoride) composites containing poly(methyl methacrylate)-grafted multiwalled carbon nanotubes. *Nanotechnology*, **18**, 235701/1–235701/7 (2007). DOI: [10.1088/0957-4484/18/23/235701](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/18/23/235701)
- [15] Yee W. A., Kotaki M., Liu Y., Lu X.: Morphology, polymorphism behavior and molecular orientation of electrospun poly(vinylidene fluoride) fibers. *Polymer*, **48**, 512–521 (2007). DOI: [10.1016/j.polymer.2006.11.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2006.11.036)
- [16] Casciola M., Capitani D., Donnadio A., Diosono V., Piaggio P., Pica M.: Polyvinylidene fluoride/zirconium phosphate sulfophenylphosphonate nanocomposite films: Microstructure and mechanical properties. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, **18**, 4291–4296 (2008). DOI: [10.1039/B807948J](https://doi.org/10.1039/B807948J)
- [17] Chen D., Wang M., Zhang W.-D., Liu T.: Preparation and characterization of poly(vinylidene fluoride) nanocomposites containing multiwalled carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, **113**, 644–650 (2009). DOI: [10.1002/app.29311](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.29311)
- [18] Shah D., Maiti P., Gunn E., Schmidt D. F., Jiang D. D., Batt C. A., Giannelis E. P.: Dramatic enhancements in toughness of polyvinylidene fluoride nanocomposites via nanoclay-directed crystal structure and morphology. *Advanced Materials*, **16**, 1173–1177 (2004). DOI: [10.1002/adma.200306355](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200306355)

- [19] Tran M. Q., Shaffer M. S. P., Bismarck A.: Manufacturing carbon nanotube/PVDF nanocomposite powders. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, **293**, 188–193 (2008).
DOI: [10.1002/mame.200700340](https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.200700340)
- [20] Kim J-W., Cho W-J., Ha C-S.: Morphology, crystalline structure, and properties of poly(vinylidene fluoride)/silica hybrid composites. *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics*, **40**, 19–30 (2002).
DOI: [10.1002/polb.10071](https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.10071)
- [21] Hummers W. S., Offeman R. E.: Preparation of graphitic oxide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **80**, 1339 (1958).
DOI: [10.1021/ja01539a017](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01539a017)
- [22] Hong J., Char K., Kim B-S.: Hollow capsules of reduced graphene oxide nanosheets assembled on a sacrificial colloidal particle. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, **1**, 3442–3445 (2010).
DOI: [10.1021/jz101441a](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz101441a)
- [23] Ma W., Wang X., Zhang J.: Effect of MMT, SiO₂, CaCO₃, and PTFE nanoparticles on the morphology and crystallization of poly(vinylidene fluoride). *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics*, **48**, 2154–2164 (2010).
DOI: [10.1002/polb.22097](https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.22097)
- [24] Rourke J. P., Pandey P. A., Moore J. J., Bates M., Kinloch I. A., Young R. J., Wilson N. R.: The real graphene oxide revealed: Stripping the oxidative debris from the graphene-like sheets. *Angewandte Chemie*, **123**, 3231–3235 (2011).
DOI: [10.1002/anie.201007520](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201007520)