Assessment of the Outcome of Acetabulum Fractures by Open Reduction and Internal Fixation

Vipin Tyagi¹, Amit Dwivedi^{2*}, Rahul Kakran³, Shriya Garg⁴ and Robium Naorem⁵

¹Senior Consultant, Department of Orthopedics, Yashoda Superspeciality Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Professor and HOD, Department of Orthopaedics, Santosh Medical College and Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Consultant, Department of Orthopaedics, Yashoda Superspeciality Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

⁴Junior Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Yashoda Superspeciality Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

⁵Post Graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Santosh Medical College and Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

***Corresponding Author:** Amit Dwivedi, Professor and HOD, Department of Orthopaedics, Santosh Medical College and Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

DOI: 10.31080/ASOR.2022.05.0421

Received: December 15, 2021

Published: February 16, 2022

© All rights are reserved by **Amit Dwivedi, et al.**

Abstract

Introduction: Acetabular fractures are one of the most challenging and complex injuries encountered by the orthopedic surgeons. Because the acetabulum involves a major weight bearing joint in the lower limb, these fractures are of great clinical importance. The main aim in such fracture management is the proper anatomical reduction for the good functioning of the hip joint.

Aims and Objective: In this study, our aim is to assess the outcome of the acetabular fractures operated by open reduction and internal fixation with plating.

Method: In this retrospective study, we included 30 patients with acetabular injuries who needed surgical intervention as per the indications. The patients were selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The patients were operated between November 2019 to December 2020 at Yashoda Superspeciality Hospital, Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad.

Result: Follow up of the patients was done at 6, 8 and 12 weeks and 6 and 12 months. The patients were assessed according to the Modified Harris Hip Score.

Out of the 30 patients included in this study, 26 patients had excellent result, 3 patients had good result and 1 patient had fair result who developed stitch line infection which was treated with antibiotics.

Conclusion: All the patients operated had successful outcome with weight bearing, mobilization, and return to normal life and activities of daily living. Iliinguinal, stoppa approach and KL approach have good outcome and the choice depends on the operating surgeon and the configuration of fracture.

Keywords: Acetabulum; Pelvis; Plating; Stoppa Approach; Iliinguinal Approach; Harris Hip Score

Introduction

Acetabular fractures are one of the most challenging and complex injuries encountered by the orthopedic surgeons [1,2]. Because the acetabulum involves a major weight bearing joint in the lower limb, these fractures are of great clinical importance. The main aim in such fracture management is the proper anatomical reduction for the good functioning of the hip joint [3,4]. In cases with undisplaced acetabulum fractures and some less severe fractures, closed reduction can be done for achieving the anatomical reduction, but mostly open reduction and stabilizing the acetabulum with internal fixating devices is needed. The most common mechanism of such injuries are road traffic accidents, fall in elderly population, or a direct injury over the acetabulum [5-7]. Earlier such surgeries were less often done due to lack of technology, other associated injuries, or severe comminution as in many cases. But with the advancement in the technology and better fixation devices, such fractures are increasingly stabilized with the internal fixation [8,9].

Aims and Objective

In this study, our aim is to assess the outcome of the acetabular fractures operated by open reduction and internal fixation with plating. We have used three approaches ilioinguinal, stoppa and KL approach. Also, we have used Modified Harris Hip Score post operatively for functional outcome assessment and return to ADL (activities of daily living).

Method

In this retrospective study, we included 30 patients with acetabular injuries for surgery. The patients were selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

- Inclusion criteria- 1. Patients with unilateral or bilateral acetabulum injury. 2. Age > 20 years. 3. Patients without any comorbidities. 4. Patients who were fit for surgery.
- Exclusion criteria- 1. Polytrauma patients with fracture of other bones. 2. Age <20 years. 3. Patients with co-morbidities. 4. Patients unfit for surgery. 5. Patients unwilling for the surgery. 6. Undisplaced fractures stabilized with closed reduction.

The patients were operated between November 2019 to December 2020 at Yashoda Superspeciality Hospital, Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad.

The patients were operated by ilioinguinal approach or modified Stoppa approach or KL approach as per the surgeon's convenience.

Type A	A1	Posterior wall fracture
	A2	Posterior column fracture
	A3.1	Anterior wall fracture
	A3.2	Anterior column fracture
Type B	B1.1	Transverse fracture
	B1.2	Transverse with posterior wall fracture
	B2	T fracture
	B3	Anterior column with posterior transverse fracture
Type C	C1	Both column with fracture extending to iliac crest
	C2	Anterior column extends to anterior inferior iliac spine
	C3	Both column with fracture extending to the sacroiliac joint

Table 1: AO classification for acetabulum fractures.

Figure 1A: Pre-Op X-ray of a 38-year-old male with right acetabulum fracture.

Figure 1B: Post Op Xray of a 38-years-old male with Open reduction with plating.

Figure 1C: Intra Op XRay using stoppa approach.

Figure 1D: Intraoperative images of 38 years male patient with acetabular fracture of right side managed by ORIF with platting.

Result

- Follow up of the patients was done at 6, 8 and 12 weeks and 6 and 12 months. No weight bearing was done up to 6 weeks.
- Partial weight bearing was done between 6 to 8 weeks. Full weight bearing was started from 12th week.
- The patients were assessed according to the Modified Harris Hip Score.
- Out of the 30 patients included in this study, 26 patients had excellent result, 3 patients had good result and 1 patient had fair result who developed stitch line infection which was treated with antibiotics.

Modified harris hip score	No of patients
Excellent	26
Good	3
Fair	1
Poor	0

Table 2: Number of patients according to modified harris hip score¹.

(Result based on performance of post operative patients as per the Modified Harris Hip Score).

Figure 2A: Pre op X-ray of a 63 years old male with acetabulum fractures.

Figure 2B: Post-op X-ray of a 63 years old male managed by ORIF with Plating.

Figure 2C: Intra operative images of 63-years male patient with right side acetabulum fracture.

Discussion

Acetabular fractures are one of the most challenging and complex injuries encountered by the orthopedic surgeons. The main aim in such fracture is the proper anatomical reduction for the good functioning of the hip joint. The most common mechanism of such injuries are road traffic accidents, fall in elderly population, or a direct injury over the acetabulum. Earlier such surgeries were less often done due to lack of technology, other associated injuries, or severe comminution as in many cases. But with the advancement in the technology and better fixation devices, such fractures are increasingly stabilized with the internal fixation [11,12].

The advantage of the stoppa approach is that it is a time sparing approach, better visualization of the neurovascular bundles, easy access to both the pelvis and acetabulum, less complications and less wound drainage while disadvantage is tension on the femoral vessels while retracting the anterior abdominal wall, damage to peritoneum while reduction, two experienced orthopedic surgeons are needed for the surgery.

The advantage of the ilioinguinal approach is that entire internal iliac fossa can be assessed. Disadvantage is that it is time consuming, more complications, it needs three windows, damage to the femoral vessels and more wound drainage.

In this study, we included 30 patients who were having acetabulum injury, mode of injury in most of the cases was road traffic accidents and trauma. The patients were operated using the stoppa

approach, the ilioinguinal approach and KL approach, the decision was according to the operating surgeon.

Blood loss during the intraoperative period in the patients operated by ilioinguinal approach was 1175 ± 100 ml while in patients operated by stoppa approach was 1010 ± 100 ml. the less blood loss in stoppa approach might be because of shorter operative period and less wound drainage.

We assessed the patients according to the Modified Harris Hip Score had no significant difference in the approaches. Final radiological results and the quality of reduction in stoppa approach were found to be better than in those with ilioinguinal approach. Cancellous bone grafting and reduction without dislocating the joint must be the reason for the better radiological results with the stoppa approach [13].

One patient, who was operated with ilioinguinal approach developed suture line infection, which was managed by the antibiotics. The patients follow up was done at 6, 8 and 12 weeks and 6 and 12 months.

Our study concludes that either of the approach could be used for the acetabulum fractures as per the convenience of the operating surgeon.

Conclusion

All the patients operated had successful outcome with weight bearing, mobilization, and return to normal life and ADL (activities of daily living). The approaches, ilioinguinal and stoppa approach, KL approach have good outcome and the choice depends on the operating surgeon and the type and pattern of fracture. Blood loss was less in Stoppa approach and might be due to short operative time and less wound drainage.

Conflict of Interest Statement

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Bibliography

1. Judet R., et al. "Fractures of the acetabulum: classification and surgical approaches for open reduction: preliminary report". *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery Am* 46 (1994): 1615-1646.

2. Matta JM and Merritt PO. "Displaced acetabular fractures". *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 230 (1988): 83-97.
3. Ali E. "Acetabular Fractures - A Review of their Management". *Journal of Trauma and Treatment* 4 (2015): 1-5.
4. Tile M., et al. "Fractures of the Pelvis and Acetabulum". (Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2003).
5. Tile M. "Fractures of the acetabulum". *Orthopedic Clinics of North America* 11 (1980): 481-506.
6. Liebergall M., et al. "Acetabular fractures. Clinical outcome of surgical treatment". *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 366 (1999): 205-216.
7. Letournel E. "Acetabulum fractures: classification and management". *Clinical Orthopaedics* 151 (1980): 81-106.
8. Giannoudis PV., et al. "Operative treatment of displaced fractures of the acetabulum, a meta-analysis". *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery Br.* 87 (2005): 24-29.
9. Carroll EA., et al. "Treatment of acetabular fractures in an older population". *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma* 24.10 (2010): 637-644.
10. Mahomed N N., et al. "The Harris hip score: comparison of patient self-report with surgeon assessment". *Journal of Arthroplasty* 16 (2001): 575-580.
11. Hodgson S. "AO Principles of Fracture Management". *Annals of The Royal College of Surgeons of England* 91 (2009): 448-449.
12. Matta JM. "Operative indications and choice of surgical approach for fractures of the acetabulum". *Techniques in Orthopaedics* 1.1 (1986): 13-22.
13. Rowe CR and Lowell JD. "Prognosis of fractures of the acetabulum". *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery Am* 43-A (1961): 30-59.

Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- Issue of Publication Certificate
- High visibility of your Published work

Website: www.actascientific.com/

Submit Article: www.actascientific.com/submission.php

Email us: editor@actascientific.com

Contact us: +91 9182824667