

Article



Generalized the Liouville's and Möbius functions of graph

Hariwan Fadhil M. Salih^{1,*} and Shadya Merkhan Mershkhan²

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Duhok, IRAQ.
- ² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, IRAQ.
- * Correspondence: hariwan.msalih@uod.ac

Received: 9 February 2020; Accepted: 22 April 2020; Published: 31 May 2020.

Abstract: Let G = (V, E) be a simple connected undirected graph. In this paper, we define generalized the Liouville's and Möbius functions of a graph G which are the sum of Liouville λ and Möbius μ functions of the degree of the vertices of a graph denoted by $\Lambda(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \lambda(deg(v))$ and $M(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \mu(deg(v))$,

respectively. We also determine the Liouville's and Möbius functions of some standard graphs as well as determining the relationships between the two functions with their proofs. The sum of generalized the Liouville and Möbius functions extending over the divisor d of degree of vertices of graphs is also given.

Keywords: Generalized Liouville Λ -function, generalized Möbius *M*-function, Liouville λ -function, Möbius μ -function.

MSC: 11A25, 05C99.

1. Introduction

he Liouville and Möbius functions arise and play an important role in various places in number theory which are denoted by λ and μ , respectively. The Liouville λ -function is defined as:

$$\lambda(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1; \\ (-1)^{a_1 + \dots + a_k} & \text{if } n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}. \end{cases}$$

For instance, since $8 = 2^3$, thus $\lambda(8) = (-1)^3 = -1$ [1]. The Möbius μ -function $f : N \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is defined as:

 $\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1; \\ (-1)^t & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t \text{ where the } p_i \text{ are distinct primes;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

For example, since there are two distinct primes in factorizing 10 = 2 * 5, therefore, $\mu(10) = (-1)^2$. Also, there are no distinct primes in $9 = 3^2$, hence, $\mu(9) = 0$ [1]. A function f is said to be multiplicative if for all positive integers m, n such that m, n are relatively primes, then f(mn) = f(m)f(n) [2]. A function f is said to be completely multiplicative if for all positive integers m, n, we have f(mn) = f(m)f(n) [3]. The Liouville's λ -function is an important example of a completely multiplicative function [3], whereas, the Möbius μ -function is multiplicative [2]. Salih and Ibrahim in [4] defined the generalized Euler's Φ -function of a graph which is summation of the Euler's Φ -function of degree of the vertices of a graph and it is denoted by $\Phi(G)$. The general form of Euler's Φ -function of some standard graphs is known. For all other standard terminologies and notations we follow [5–10].

In this paper, we utilize two number theory functions called the Liouville's λ -function and the Möbius μ -function into graph theory in order to define new functions called the generalized Liouville's Λ -function $\Lambda(G)$ and the generalized Möbius *M*-function M(G) of a graph *G*, which are defined as the sum of the Liouville's λ -function and the Möbius μ -function of the degree of vertices of a graph *G*, respectively. In addition, the generalized Liouville's and Möbius functions of some standard graphs are determined along with determining the relationships between the two functions.

2. Generalized the Liouville's and Möbius Functions of Some Standard Graphs

In this section, the generalized Liouville's and Möbius functions of some important graphs which are the path graph P_n , cycle graph C_n , complete graph K_n , complete bipartite graph $K_{(m,n)}$, *k*-partite graph $K_{(m_1,m_2,m_n)}$, star graph S_n , and wheel graph W_n are determined.

Definition 1. Let *G* be a simple connected graph. The generalized Liouville, Λ -function is defined as the sum of the Liouville λ -function of the degree of vertices v of a graph G which is denoted by $\Lambda(G)$. That is

$$\Lambda(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \lambda(deg(v)).$$

Definition 2. Let *G* be a simple connected graph. The generalized Möbius *M*-function is defined as the sum of the Möbius μ -function of the degree of vertices v of a graph G which is denoted by M(G). That is

$$M(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \mu(deg(v)).$$

In the following propositions, we determine general form and exact values of the generalized Liouville Λ -function and the generalized Möbius μ -function of some standard graphs.

Proposition 1. 1. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the path graph $G = P_n$, for $n \ge 2$ vertices, is $\Lambda(P_n) =$ 4 - n.

- 2. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the cycle graph $G = C_n$, for $n \geq 3$ vertices, is $\Lambda(C_n) = -n$.
- 3. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the complete graph $G = K_n$, for $n \geq 3$ vertices, is $\Lambda(K_n) = n\lambda(n-1) =$ $n(-1)^{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_k}$, where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_k^{a_k}$.
- 4. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the complete bipartite graph $G = K_{m,n}$, for any positive integers m, n vertices, is $\Lambda(K_{m,n}) = (m * \lambda(n)) + (n * \lambda(m)) = (m * (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}) + (n * (-1)^{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j})$, where $m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ and $n = q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \dots q_i^{b_j}$.
- 5. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the star graph $G = S_n$, for $n \ge 2$ vertices, is $\Lambda(S_n) = \lambda(n-1) + (n-1)$
- 1) = $(-1)^{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_k} + (n-1)$, where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$. 6. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the k-partite graph $G = K_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_n}$, for any positive integers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \text{ vertices, is } \Lambda(K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(m_i * \lambda \left(\sum_{j \neq i, \ j=1, 2, \dots, n} m_j \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(m_i * (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k} \right),$

where
$$\left(\sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} m_j = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}\right)$$

- 7. The generalized Liouville Λ -function of the Wheel graph $G = W_n$, for $n \ge 4$ vertices, is $\Lambda(W_n) = (1 n) + 1$ $\lambda(n-1) = (1-n) + (-1)^{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k}$, where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.
- 1. In the path graph P_n of order n, if n = 2, we have two vertices of degree one, then we have Proof. $\Lambda(P_2) = \lambda(1) + \lambda(1) = 2$. If $n \ge 3$, we have two vertices of degree one and n - 2 vertices of degree two, then we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(P_3) &= 2\lambda(1) + \lambda(2) = 1; \\ \Lambda(P_4) &= 2\lambda(1) + 2\lambda(2) = 0; \\ \Lambda(P_5) &= 2\lambda(1) + 3\lambda(2) = -1; \\ &\vdots \\ \Lambda(P_n) &= 2\lambda(1) + (n-2)\lambda(2) = 4 - n \end{split}$$

2. There are *n* vertices of degree two in a cycle graph C_n of order *n*, hence we have:

$$\Lambda(C_n) = \sum_{v \in V(C_n)} \lambda(deg(v)) = \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n)) = n\lambda(2) = -n.$$

3. There are *n* vertices of degree n - 1 in a complete graph K_n of order *n*, hence we have:

$$\Lambda(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \lambda(deg(v)) = \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n))$$

= $n\lambda(n-1) = n * (-1)^{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k}$, where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\dots p_k^{a_k}$.

4. There are *m* vertices of degree *n* and *n* vertices of degree *m* in a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ of order m + n, hence we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(K_{m,n}) &= \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \lambda(deg(v)) \\ &= \lambda(deg(u_1)) + \lambda(deg(u_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(u_m)) + \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n)) \\ &= \lambda(n) + \lambda(n) + \dots + \lambda(n) + \lambda(m) + \lambda(m) + \dots + \lambda(m) \\ &= (m * \lambda(n)) + (n * \lambda(m)) \\ &= (m * (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}) + (n * (-1)^{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j}), \end{split}$$

where $m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ and $n = q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \dots q_j^{b_j}$

5. There are n - 1 vertices of degree one and there is one vertex of degree n - 1, say v_1 , in a star graph S_n of order *n*, hence we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(S_n) &= \sum_{v \in V(S_n)} \lambda(deg(v)) \\ &= \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n)) \\ &= \lambda(n-1) + (n-1)\lambda(1) \\ &= (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k} + n - 1, \text{ where } (n-1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}. \end{split}$$

6. There are m_i vertices of degree $\sum_{j \neq i} m_j$ where j, i = 1, 2, ..., n in a complete *k*-partite graph $K_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_n}$ of order $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_n$, hence we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(K_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n}) &= \sum_{v \in V(K_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n})} \lambda(deg(v)) \\ &= \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n)) \\ &= (m_1 * \lambda(m_2 + m_3 + \dots + m_n)) + (m_2 * \lambda(m_1 + m_3 + \dots + m_n)) + \dots \\ &+ (m_n * \lambda(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{n-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(m_i * \lambda \left(\sum_{j \neq i, \ j=1,2,...n} m_j \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(m_i * (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k} \right) \end{split}$$

where $\sum_{j=1,2,...,n} m_j = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$. 7. There are n-1 vertices of degree three and we have one vertex of degree n-1, say v_1 , in a wheel graph W_n of order *n*, hence we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(W_n) &= \sum_{v \in V(W_n)} \lambda(deg(v)) \\ &= \lambda(deg(v_1)) + \lambda(deg(v_2)) + \dots + \lambda(deg(v_n)) \\ &= \lambda(n-1) + (n-1)\lambda(3) = (-1)^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k} - n - 1, \end{split}$$

where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.

Proposition 2. 1. The generalized Möbius M-function of the path graph $G = P_n$, for $n \ge 2$ vertices, is $M(P_n) =$ 4 - n.

- 2. The generalized Möbius M-function of the cycle graph $G = C_n$, for $n \ge 3$ vertices, is $M(C_n) = -n$.
- 3. The generalized Möbius M-function of the complete graph $G = K_n$, for $n \ge 3$ vertices, is

$$M(K_n) = n\mu(n-1) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n = 2\\ n * (-1)^k & \text{if } (n-1) = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.

4. The generalized Möbius M-function of the complete bipartite graph $G = K_{m,n}$, for any positive integers m, n vertices, is

$$M(K_{m,n}) = (m * \mu(n)) + (n * \mu(m))$$

$$= \begin{cases} m & \text{if } n = 1 \\ m * (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, + \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \begin{cases} n & \text{if } m = 1 \\ n * (-1)^j & \text{if } m = p_1 p_2 \dots p_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ and $n = q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \dots q_i^{b_j}$.

5. The generalized Möbius M-function of the star graph $G = S_n$, for $n \ge 2$ vertices, is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & if n-1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$M(S_n) = (n-1) + \mu(n-1) = (n-1) + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } (n-1) = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $(n-1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.

6. The generalized Möbius M-function of the k-partite graph $G = K_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n}$, for any positive integers $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ vertices, is

$$M(K_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(m_i * \mu \left(\sum_{j \neq i, j=1,2,...n} m_j \right) \right) \\ = \begin{cases} m_1 * (-1)^k & \text{if } \sum_{\substack{j \neq 1, j=1,2,...n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}}} \\ = \begin{cases} m_2 * (-1)^t & \text{if } \sum_{\substack{j \neq 2, j=1,2,...n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}}} \\ = \begin{cases} m_n * (-1)^r & \text{if } \sum_{\substack{j \neq n, j=1,2,...n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}}} \\ + \begin{cases} m_n * (-1)^r & \text{if } \sum_{\substack{j \neq n, j=1,2,...n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where $\sum_{j=1,2,...,n} m_j = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.

7. The generalized Möbius M-function of the Wheel graph $G = W_n$, for $n \ge 4$ vertices, is $M(W_n) = (1 - n) + \mu(n - 1) = (1 - n) + \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } (n - 1) = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$ where $(n - 1) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the Proposition 1. \Box

3. Summing up generalized the Liouville Λ -function and generalized the Möbius *M*-function over the divisor *d* and their proofs

In this section, we give some new results of finding the sum of the generalized Liouville Λ -function and the generalized tMöbius *M*-function extending over the divisor *d* which are the sum of the Liouville λ -function and the Möbius μ -function of the divisor of degree of vertices of the above standard graphs.

Theorem 1. For all $v \in V(G)$, $deg(v) \ge 1$, and Λ_d refers to the generalized Liouville Λ -function over the divisor d of deg(v), we have

1.
$$\sum_{v \in V(P_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(P_n) = \sum_{v \in V(P_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d) = 2.$$

2.
$$\sum_{v \in V(C_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(C_n) = \sum_{v \in V(C_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d) = 0.$$

3.
$$\sum_{v \in V(K_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d) = \begin{cases} n & if (n-1) is square; \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. There are two vertices of degree 1 and (n - 2) vertices of degree 2 in a path graph (P_n) :

$$\sum_{v \in V(P_n), d | deg(v)} \Lambda_d(P_n) = \sum_{v \in V(P_n)} \sum_{d | deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= 2 * \sum_{d \mid 1} \lambda(d) + (n-2) * \sum_{d \mid 2} \lambda(d)$$
$$= 2 * \lambda(1) + (n-2) * (\lambda(1) + \lambda(2)) = 2.$$

2. There are *n* vertices of degree 2 in a cycle graph (C_n) :

$$\sum_{v \in V(C_n), d | deg(v)} \Lambda_d(C_n) = \sum_{v \in V(C_n)} \sum_{d | deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * \sum_{d | 2} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * (\lambda(1) + \lambda(2)) = 0.$$

3. There are *n* vertices of degree (n - 1) in a complete graph K_n :

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d) = n * \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d).$$

When $(n-1) \ge 3$ is a square and by the fact that any square number has odd number of divisors and hence we always have the term $\lambda(n-1)$ at the end of the sum which is equal to 1 and all the others by the definition of Liouvile λ -function are canceled. Thus

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d) = n * \lambda(n-1) = n.$$

When $(n-1) \ge 3$ is not a square. There are two cases:

τ

Case 1: If deg(v) is a prime (p), then the divisors are only 1 and p and hence $\lambda(1) = 1$ and $\lambda(p) = -1$. Thus

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_n), d|p} \Lambda_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \sum_{d|p} \lambda(d) = n * (\lambda(1) + \lambda(p)) = 0.$$

Case 2: If deg(v) is not a prime, then there are always even number of divisors of deg(v) and they are always canceled with one another by the definition of Liouvile λ -function and we obtain that

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_n), \ d| \deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} \sum_{d| \deg(v)} \lambda(d) = n * (0) = 0.$$

4. There are m vertices of degree n and n vertices of degree m in a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$:

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * \left(\sum_{d \mid m} \lambda(d) \right) + m * \left(\sum_{d \mid n} \lambda(d) \right)$$

We denote $\left(\sum_{d|m} \lambda(d)\right) = S_1$ and $\left(\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d)\right) = S_2$. When *m* is square. There are two cases:

Case 1: If *n* is a square. By the fact that any square number has odd number of divisors and hence we always have the term ($\lambda(m) = 1$) and ($\lambda(n) = 1$) left in S_1 and S_2 respectively and all the other terms in S_1 and S_2 are canceled by the definition of Liouville λ -function, *i.e.*,

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * \lambda(m) + m * \lambda(n) = n + m.$$

Case 2: If *n* is not a square, then all the terms in S_2 are canceled by the definition of Liouville λ -function because there are always even number of divisors of *n* in S_2 . Since *m* is a square, then ($\lambda(m) = 1$) is the only term left in S_1 . Hence,

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * \lambda(m) + 0 = n.$$

From the above two cases when m is a square, we obtain that

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), \ d|deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d|deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= \begin{cases} m+n & \text{if } n \text{ is a square;} \\ n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When *m* is not a square. There are also two cases:

Case 1: If *n* is a square, then $(\lambda(n) = 1)$ is the only term left in *S*₂. Since *m* is not a square, so all the terms in *S*₁ are canceled and thus *S*₁ = 0. Hence,

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * (0) + m * (\lambda(n)) = m$$

Case 2: If *n* is not a square and since *m* is also not a square, then all the terms in S_1 and S_2 are canceled and hence $S_1 = S_2 = 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= n * (0) + m * (0) = 0.$$

From the two cases above when m is not a square, we obtain that

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d | deg(v)} \Lambda_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n})} \sum_{d | deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= \begin{cases} m & \text{if } n \text{ is a square;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From all the above investigation for all m and n, (4) has been proved.

- 5. The proof follows from (4) by the fact that $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$.
- 6. There are (n 1) vertices of degree 3 and one vertex of degree (n 1), say v_1 , then

$$\sum_{v \in V(W_n), d \mid deg(v)} \Lambda_d(W_n) = \sum_{v \in V(W_n)} \sum_{d \mid deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= (n-1) * \sum_{d \mid 3} \lambda(d) + \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= (n-1) * (0) + \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d) = S.$$

In order to prove *S*, there are two cases:

Case 1: If (n - 1) is square and by the fact that any square number has odd number of divisors, the term $\lambda(n - 1) = 1$ is always left in *S*, *i.e.*,

$$S = \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d) = \lambda(n-1) = 1.$$

Case 2: If (n - 1) is not square, then there are always even number of divisors in *S* and by the definition of the Liouville λ -function, all the terms in *S* are canceled with one another. Thus

$$S = \sum_{d \mid (n-1)} \lambda(d) = 0$$

From the two cases above, we obtain that

$$\sum_{v \in V(W_n), d | deg(v)} \Lambda_d(W_n) = \sum_{v \in V(W_n)} \sum_{d | deg(v)} \lambda(d)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (n-1) \text{ is a square;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

7. The proof follows from (4) as it is a special case of (7). □

Theorem 2. For all $v \in V(G)$, $deg(v) \ge 1$, and M_d refers to the generalized Möbius M-function over the divisor d of deg(v), we have

$$1. \sum_{v \in V(P_n), d | deg(v)} M_d(P_n) = \sum_{v \in V(P_n), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = 2.$$

$$2. \sum_{v \in V(C_n), d | deg(v)} M_d(C_n) = \sum_{v \in V(C_n), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = 0.$$

$$3. \sum_{v \in V(K_n), d | deg(v)} M_d(K_n) = \sum_{v \in V(K_n), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = 0.$$

$$4. \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d | deg(v)} M_d(K_{m,n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n}), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} m & if n = 1; \\ 2 & if m = n = 1; \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

$$5. \sum_{v \in V(S_n), d | deg(v)} M_d(S_n) = \sum_{v \in V(S_n), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 2 & if n = 2; \\ n - 1 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

$$6. \sum_{v \in V(W_n), d | deg(v)} M_d(W_n) = \sum_{v \in V(W_n), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = 0.$$

$$7. \sum_{v \in V(K_{m_1,m_2,\dots,m_n}), d | deg(v)} M_d(K_{m_1,m_2,\dots,m_n}) = \sum_{v \in V(K_{m_1,m_2,\dots,m_n}), d | deg(v)} \mu(d) = 0, where n \ge 3$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the Theorem 1. \Box

4. Relationships between the generalized Liouville Λ-function and Möbius *M*-function

In this section, some relationships between generalized the Liouville and Möbius functions are determined.

Theorem 3. For all $v \in V(G)$, $deg(v) \ge 1$, we have

$$\Lambda(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \lambda(deg(v)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{d^2 | deg(v)} \mu\left(\frac{deg(v)}{d^2}\right) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{deg(v) = d^2m} \mu(m)$$

Proof. There is only one nonzero term in the sum, as $\mu\left(\frac{deg(v)}{d^2}\right) = 0$ except when $\left(\frac{deg(v)}{d^2}\right)$ is the product of distinct primes. Let $deg(v) = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\dots p_k^{a_k}$. If the odd a'_i s as $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}$ are listed. Then $\left(\frac{deg(v)}{d^2}\right) = p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\dots p_{i_j}$ is the nonzero term. When j is even, then $\mu(p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\dots p_{i_j}) = 1$, and when j is odd, then $\mu(p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\dots p_{i_j}) = -1$. Therefore, for every $v \in V(G)$, we have $\lambda(deg(v)) = (-1)^{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k} = (-1)^j$. Thus the theorem is proved. \Box

Theorem 4. For all $v \in V(G)$, $deg(v) \ge 1$, we have

$$M(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \mu(deg(v)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{d^2 \mid deg(v)} \mu(d) * \lambda\left(\frac{deg(v)}{d^2}\right) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{deg(v) = d^2m} \mu(d) * \lambda(m)$$

Proof. The prove follows from the Theorem 3. \Box

Corollary 1. For any vertices $v \in V(G)$ such that $deg(v) = p_1p_2...p_k$, where p_i is distinct for all i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have $\lambda(deg(v)) = \mu(deg(v)) = \mu^2(deg(v))(-1)^{\omega(deg(v))}$, where $\omega(deg(v))$ is the number of distinct primes of deg(v).

Proof. The proof is straightforward as λ and μ are multiplicative functions and deg(v) is the product of distinct primes. \Box

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- [1] Apostol, T. M. (2013). Introduction to analytic number theory. Springer Science & Business Media, NY.
- [2] Tattersall, J. J. (2005). Elementary number theory in nine chapters. Cambridge University Press, NY.
- [3] Borwein, P. B., Borwein, P., Choi, S., Rooney, B., & Weirathmueller, A. (Eds.). (2008). *The Riemann hypothesis: a resource for the afficionado and virtuoso alike (Vol. 27)*. Springer Science & Business Media, NY.
- [4] Salih, H. F. M., & Ibrahim, N. B. (2019). Generalized Euler's Φ-function of graph. New Trends in Mathematical Sciences, 7(3), 259-267.
- [5] Baker, A. (1984). A concise introduction to the theory of numbers. Cambridge University Press, NY.
- [6] Burton, D. M. (2006). Elementary number theory. Tata McGraw-Hill Education..
- [7] Manin, Y. I., & Panchishkin, A. A. (2005). Introduction to modern number theory (Vol. 49). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- [8] Beineke, L. W., & Wilson, R. J. (1997). Graph connections: relationships between graph theory and other areas of mathematics. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [9] Balakrishnan, R., & Ranganathan, K. (2012). A textbook of graph theory. Springer Science & Business Media, NY.
- [10] Shoup, V. (2009). A computational introduction to number theory and algebra. Cambridge university press, Cambridge.



© 2020 by the authors; licensee PSRP, Lahore, Pakistan. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).