ISBN: 978-1-948012-17-1

Ethics and Information Technology (ETIT)

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.26480/etit.02.2020.05.09>

CrossMark

ECONOMIC EMISSION DISPATCH OF THERMAL-WIND-SOLAR POWER SYSTEM BY USING NSGA II

S. K. Dey^a, D.P. Dash^b, M. Basu^a^aDepartment of Power Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata^bDepartment of Electrical Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Kalahandi*Corresponding Author Email: michaelnichols3912@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History:

Received 25 October 2020
Accepted 26 November 2020
Available online 03 December 2020

ABSTRACT

Economic environmental dispatch (EED) of a thermal-wind-solar system in the company of battery is a significant chore in electric power plant operation that involves allocation of generation among the online units so that the price, NO_x extraction level and SO₂ extraction level are enhanced concurrently whilst gratifying each and every experimental constraint. In the current research, Nondominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm-II (NSGA-II) has been suggested for solving EED problem. The experimental outcomes obtained from the proposed method for EED have been fit to that gained from Strength Pareto Evolutionary Algorithm II (SPEA II).

KEYWORDS

Wind power uncertainty, PV unit, battery backup unit, fuel price, NO_x emanation intensity, SO₂ discharge intensity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Most electrical energy is produced by burning fossil fuels nowadays which releases various pollutants like oxides of sulfur (SO₂), Nitrogen oxides (NO_x), oxides of carbon (CO, CO₂) etc into the air. One of the principles defies for electric utilities is to decrease air contamination. The act proposed in the year 1990 related to Clean Air is planned to diminish global warming. It necessitates that the conventional generation units ought to the above mentioned pollutants spread dimension (Le et al., 1995).

More than one method has been projected in the writing to cut down the pollution of natural contamination (Talaq et al., 1994). This considers the installation of switching device that maintains the emission level, utilization of low emanation raw materials, and replacement of the old combustion chamber through new models and get away with outflow thought (Nanda et al., 1988; Farag et al., 1995).

These preliminary methods either call for the setting up of latest equipments or alteration of the existing equipments that involves significant funds disbursement. Therefore, the last method is more recommended. Diverse techniques have been discussed related to the Economic Emission Dispatch (EED) problem (Dhillon et al.; Chang et al., 1995; Yokoyama et al., 1988).

The three aims - price, NO_x extraction and SO₂ extraction are contradictory in nature and for discovering overall optimal dispatch they have to be considered concurrently. For arranging the on line generator productivity having the expected load requirement for getting most effective result in terms of price, NO_x extraction and SO₂ extraction at the same time while

satisfying each and every operational constraint the Economic environmental dispatch (EED) has been used.

Several methods related to EED problem are discussed in the text. The EED as a multiple, contradictory intentional issue & used goal-programming methods to resolve the non linear problem (Srinivasan et al., 1994; Huang et al., 1997). Optimization procedure based upon linear programming are discussed in where the objectives are regarded one by one (Srinivasan and Tettamanzi, 1997; Das and Patvardhan, 1998).

Numerous investigations were done to assess the development of multi-objective evolutionary search strategies throughout the previous couple of years. Strength Pareto Evolutionary Algorithm (SPEA 2) (Abido, 2003), Non-Dominating Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA II) (Robert et al., 2004), Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm (MOEA) etc., comprise evolving multi-purpose techniques which are pertained towards solving the EED issues (Abido, 2006).

A non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II is recommended in this paper for EED of thermal wind sun oriented power framework with battery backup where price, sulphur dioxide (SO₂) extraction and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) extraction are contending objectives.

This problem is produced as a nonlinear restricted multi-objective optimization difficulty (Wang and Singh, 2007; Wang and Singh, 2008; Agrawal et al., 2008).

Extensive experiments have been carried out for validating the proposed scheme by pertaining it on Test System. The results reported from the investigation on NSGA-II is compared and analyzed to that obtained from SPEA 2.

Quick Response Code	This paper was presented at	Access this article online	
	International Conference on Contemporary Issues in Computing (ICCIC-2020) - Virtual IETE Sector V, Salt Lake, Kolkata From 25th-26th July 2020	Website: www.intelcomp-design.com	DOI: 10.26480/etit.02.2020.05.09

Nomenclature

F_C : function representing the expenditure.
E_{NO_x} : outcome representing the NO _x discharge
E_{SO_2} : outcome representing the SO ₂ discharge
P_{si} : power output of i^{th} generator
$P_{si}^{min}, P_{si}^{max}$: lower and upper generation limits for i^{th} generating unit
$a_{si}, b_{si}, c_{si}, d_{si}, e_{si}$: cost coefficients of i^{th} generator
$\alpha_{ni}, \beta_{ni}, \gamma_{ni}, \eta_{ni}, \delta_{ni}$: NO _x emission coefficients of i^{th} generator
$\alpha_{si}, \beta_{si}, \gamma_{si}$: SO ₂ emission coefficients of i^{th} generating unit
P_{ti} : planned breeze intensity of i^{th} wind turbine unit
$W_{avg,i}$: accessible breeze intensity of i^{th} wind turbine unit
P_{wri} : appraised capacity of i^{th} wind energy convertor
$P_{wi}^{min}, P_{wi}^{max}$: lowermost and uppermost production limits for i^{th} wind generator
d_{wi} : parameters related to direct cost for the i^{th} wind generator
J_{ci} : parameters related to penalty cost for the i^{th} wind generator
K_{ri} : spare charge parameters for the i^{th} wind energy convertor
k_s : the factor related to shape for given area
C : the factor related to scale for given area
V_{in} : speed at which the turbine first starts to rotate and generate power
V_r : rated wind speed
P_{PVi} : received output through i^{th} solar based plant
P_{PVR} : comparable evaluated power yield of the solar cell
G : sun oriented projected light
G_{std} : sun based light for the given condition
R_c : a specific light point
K_{si} : parameters related to straight rate for the i^{th} solar plant
P_b : The most extreme charge and release limit to/from battery
P_b^{max} : introduced limit of battery storage
P_{Dm} : requirement of load at specific time m
P_{Lm} : transmission losses occurs at specific time m
B_{ij} : Coefficient of loss in transmission
N_s : number of thermal generators
N_w : wind Structure

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Here thermal-Wind-Solar integrated scheme is proposed to standardize respective target capacities - rate, arrival of SO₂ and NO_x in chorus while gratifying the operational restriction. The resulting goal and variables that are utilized in current work are as talked about individually:

2.1 Cost

The operational expense of a thermal-wind-solar system involves the raw material rate for coal-based units alongside the expense of wind speed creating entity and sun oriented PV plants. The complete expense can be expressed as:

$$F_C = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} f_{si}(P_{si}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} f_{wi}(P_{wi}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{PV}} [K_{si} \times P_{PVi}] \quad (1)$$

The raw material charge capacity of every coal based unit, thinking about the valve point impact, be articulated like

$$f_{si}(P_{si}) = a_{si} + b_{si}P_{si} + c_{si}P_{si}^2 + |d_{si} \times \sin\{e_{si} \times (P_{si}^{min_{si}} - P_{si})\}| \quad (2)$$

The expense of wind power incorporates three segments - an immediate cost, an under estimation penalty cost and a spare cost due to over estimation of wind control. Henceforth, the charge related to wind energy conversion of i^{th} generated entity at m^{th} time is figured as (Hetzer et al., 2008).

$$f_{wi} = \{(d_{wi} \times P_{ti}) + C_{pi}(W_{avg,i} - P_{ti}) + C_{ri}(P_{ti} - W_{avg,i})\} \quad (3)$$

$$C_{pi}(W_{avg,i} - P_{ti}) = J_{ci}(W_{avg,i} - P_{ti}) = J_{ci} \times \int_{P_{wi}}^{P_{wri}} (w - P_{ti}) f_w(w) dw \quad (4)$$

$$C_{ri}(P_{ti} - W_{avg,i}) = K_{ri}(P_{ti} - W_{avg,i}) = K_{ri} \times \int_0^{P_{wi}} (P_{ti} - w) f_w(w) dw \quad (5)$$

$$f_w(w) = \frac{k_s h v_{in}}{P_{wr} c} \left[\frac{(1 + \frac{hw}{P_{wr}}) v_{in}}{c} \right]^{k_s - 1} + \exp \left\{ - \left[\frac{(1 + \frac{hw}{P_{wr}}) v_{in}}{c} \right]^{k_s} \right\} \quad (6)$$

The characterization of wind power is carried out by utilizing Weibull Probability Density Funtion. $f_w(w)$ is Weibull Probability Density Funtion of wind power. In this case, $h = \frac{v_r}{v_{in}} - 1$. The detailed description can be found in (Hetzer et al., 2008).

 2.2 NO_x Emission

NO_x outflows of coal-fired unit are hard to replicate as they are generated from various foundations. NO_x emission is not simple to represent since they are highly nonlinear (Dubey et al., 2016; Liang and Liao, 2007; Deb and Agrawal, 1995). The proposed NO_x emission is considered to be a combinational relation between quadratic and exponential task which is stated as (Lamont and Obessis, 1990):

$$E_{NO_x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} [\alpha_{ni} + \beta_{ni}P_{si} + \gamma_{ni}P_{si}^2 + \eta_{ni} \exp(\delta_{ni}P_{si})] \quad (7)$$

 2.3 SO₂ Emission

SO₂ emanation of coal-fired plant relies upon the measure of coal consumed and be able to be reproduced as quadratic polynomial capacity expressed in the following way:

$$E_{SO_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} [\alpha_{si} + \beta_{si}P_{si} + \gamma_{si}P_{si}^2] \quad (8)$$

2.4 Real power balance constraint

The total real power balance states that as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_s} P_{si} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} P_{wi} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{PV}} P_{PVi} + P_b - P_D - P_L = 0 \quad (9)$$

The power from PV cell is communicated by the accompanying articulation:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_{PVi} &= P_{PVR} \left(\frac{G^2}{G_{std} R_c} \right) \text{ for } 0 < G < R_c \\ P_{PVi} &= P_{PVR} \left(\frac{G}{G_{std}} \right) \text{ for } G > R_c \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

The most extreme charge and release limit of the battery which relies upon battery-capacities is spoken to by Eq. (11).

$$-P_b^{max} \leq P_b \leq P_b^{max} \quad (11)$$

Where, P_b is certain for releasing and negative for charging.

2.5 Real power operating limits:

$$P_{si}^{min_{si}} \leq P_{si} \leq P_{si}^{max_{si}} \quad i \in N_s \quad (12)$$

$$P_{wi}^{min_{wi}} \leq P_{wi} \leq P_{wi}^{max_{wi}} \quad i \in N_w \quad (13)$$

3. FUNCTION OF MULTI-OBJECTIVE METHOD

Multi-target method issue involving various destinations and constraints like primary and secondary may be expressed like:

$$\text{Minimize } f_i(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{obj} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{area under discussion } \begin{cases} g_k(x) = 0 & k = 1, \dots, K \\ h_l(x) \leq 0 & l = 1, \dots, L \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where f_i is the i^{th} intent function, X is a assessment vector.

4. PROPOSED METHOD

In order to manage the multi-target optimization issues have spearheaded NSGA (Srinivas and Deb, 1995). Non-domination is utilized to position to arrangements, and strength contribution is profited in support of expansion command over in the investigation area. Because of not highly susceptible to fitness sharing parameters of NSGA have instigated NSGA-II as it produce more authentic and dependable solution speedy than its precursor (Deb et al., 2002). Because of word constraints, the fact depiction of NSGA-II is not given in the current paper.

5. SIMULATIONS AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A projected approach has been functional for solving single framework. So as to check the exhibition of given recommended "NSGA-II" come close to, "SPEA 2" (Zitzler et al., 2001), has been related for taking care of the issue. The proposed approach has been carried out in MATLAB 7.0 taking place a computer (Dual-Core, 80 GB, 3.3 GHz).

The current work considers Fuel charge, NO_x outflow and SO₂ discharge as the three objective functions are limited exclusively via employing "Real Coded Genetic Algorithm". In this examination, the populace dimension, hybrid and transformation likelihood esteems have been taken as 100, 0.9 and 0.2.

The most extreme number of iterations becomes 200. On the off chance that there ought to be an event of NSGA-II not withstanding SPEA 2, the populace estimate, most quantity of iterations, hybrid and transmutation probabilities have been chosen as 20, 30, 0.9 and 0.2 in favour of one assessment framework.

5.1 Test system

Our assessment test framework contains nine thermal generating units, one identical wind generator, one comparable sunlight based PV plant and one proportional battery storage system. Thermal unit data has been adopted from (Lamont and Obessis, 1990). The openness of wind power is shown as probabilistic necessity in power equalization condition. The factor related to Weibull shape for given area and the factor related to scale for given area in wind energy converter are $k_{s1} = 1.7$ and $c_1 = 17$ separately.

Spare and penalty charge coefficients in favour of turbine units preferred like $K_{r1} = 5$ and $K_{p1} = 5$ respectively. The power limiting capacity of wind turbine is $P_{wr1} = 175$ MW. Given cut-in, cut-off and appraised wind velocities are $v_{in} = 5$, $v_o = 45$ and $v_r = 15$ individually. The power of PV based generation is $P_{pvr} = 150$ MW. 3.5 is the given parameter related to direct cost (K_s) of solar based generation. The introduced limit (P_b^{max}) of storage system of battery is 100MW. Power requirement is 2400 MW.

Price, NO_x discharge and SO₂ emanation destinations are limited independently by using RCGA. Results received on or after fuel charge reduction, NO_x discharge reduction and SO₂ discharge reduction, are pre-cised in Table 1. Figure 1 depicts cost, NO_x discharge and SO₂ emanation intermingling qualities.

Results got from both price and NO_x discharge targets streamlined all the while and both price and SO₂ emanation goals upgraded at the same time via employing NSGA-II along with SPEA 2 be condensed into Table 1. Allocation of 10 non-dominating arrangements procured in the end iteration of projected NSGA-II in addition to SPEA 2 obtained from both price and NO_x discharge goals upgraded at the same time and shown in Figure2.

Figure 1: NO_x emission, SO₂ emission and cost convergence for Test System.

Figure 2: Pareto-optimal face locate from end iteration in support of test framework

6. CONCLUSION

In this article, proposed approach is stated with the aim of resolving economic emission dispatch of coal- wind-sun based framework with battery backup.

The problem is devised as a bi-objective method with challenging price, NO_x extraction and SO₂ extraction objectives. Extensive experimental evaluation and comparative analysis has been carried out using experimental methodologies for verifying the effectiveness of the propounded system.

The test upshots procured on the suggested system are collated with the results procured through Strength Pareto Evolutionary Algorithm 2 (SPEA 2).

The results obtained from the experiments clearly demonstrate that the above method offers more combative recital with respect to the solution as compared to the existing algorithm used for comparison.

FLOWCHART OF NSGA II:

Table 1: Test results of test system 1 for $P_D = 2400$ MW

PARAMETERS	RCGA			NSGA-II	SPEA 2	NSGA-II	SPEA 2	NSGA-II	SPEA 2
	Economic dispatch	NO _x Emission Dispatch	SO ₂ Emission Dispatch	Economic Dispatch NO _x Emission		Economic Dispatch SO ₂ Emission		Economic Dispatch NO _x emission SO ₂	
P_{s1} (MW)	238.29	117.26	46.403	240.000	194.168	46.509	45.000	240.000	187.457
P_{s2} (MW)	238.29	127.59	45.557	240.000	186.082	92.622	161.381	186.284	240.000
P_{s3} (MW)	367.39	449.83	277.512	450.000	450.000	357.011	325.057	418.291	450.000
P_{s4} (MW)	346.34	150.14	349.923	201.201	150.000	3500.000	350.000	289.347	244.381
P_{s5} (MW)	346.34	150.00	349.982	331.431	350.000	350.000	350.000	240.241	350.000
P_{s6} (MW)	350.00	749.17	390.789	350.000	558.404	351.232	363.876	408.286	350.000
P_{s7} (MW)	35.000	35.301	175.000	35.000	35.000	98.487	53.530	35.000	37.100
P_{s8} (MW)	35.000	35.000	175.000	35.000	35.000	175.000	175.000	35.000	35.000
P_{s9} (MW)	93.327	235.725	239.967	176.288	97.382	232.605	227.153	197.549	70.140
P_{sp} (MW)	174.99	175.00	174.995	170.001	170.149	171.551	175.000	175.000	163.343
P_{PV} (MW)	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000
P_b (MW)	100.00	100.00	99.869	96.077	98.813	100.000	100.000	100.000	97.576
cost (\$/h)	30302.	32113	32634.6	30944.6	35411.0	32199.00	32212.9	31105.3	31025.2
NO _x emission (Ton/h)	1.825	1.620	2.098	1.737	1.709	2.005	1.976	1.738	1.764
SO ₂ emission (Ton/h)	11.062	11.281	10.820	11.192	11.235	10.882	10.885	11.136	11.170
CPU time (sec)	5.106	5.265	4.951	2.857	3.254	2.873	3.289	2.947	3.427

REFERENCES

Abido M. A., 2003. Environmental/economic power dispatch using multiobjective evolutionary algorithm, IEEE Transaction on Power Systems, 18(4), 1529-1537.

Abido M. A., 2006. Multiobjective evolutionary algorithms for power dispatch problem, IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computing, 10(3), 315-329.

Agrawal, S., Panigrahi, B. K., Tiwari, M. K. 2008. Multiobjective particle swarm algorithm with fuzzy clustering for electrical power dispatch, IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computing, 12(5), 529-541.

Chang C. S., Wong K. P., and Fan B., 1995. Security-constrained multiobjective generation dispatch using bicriterion global

optimization, IEE Proc – General Transmission Distribution, 142(4), 406-14.

Das D. B. and Patvardhan C., 1998. New multi-objective stochastic search technique for economic load dispatch, IEE Proceeding. -C, 145(6), 747-752.

Deb, K., and Agrawal, R. B., 1995. Simulated binary crossover for continuous search space, Complex Systems, 9(2), 115-148.

Deb K., Pratap A., Agarwal S., and Meyarivan T., 2002. A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II, IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computing, 6(2), 182-197.

Dhillon J. S., Parti S. C., and Kothari D. P., 1993. Stochastic economic emission load dispatch, Electric Power System Research. 26), 186-197.

- Dubey H. M., Pandit M. and Panigrahi B. K., 2016. Ant lion optimization for short-term wind integrated hydrothermal power generation scheduling, *International Journal of Electric Power and Energy Systems*, 83, 158–174.
- Farag A., Al-Baiyat S., and Cheng T. C., 1995. Economic load dispatch multiobjective optimization procedures using linear programming techniques, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 10, 731–738.
- Herrera F., Lozano M., and Verdegay J. L., 1998. Tackling real-coded genetic algorithms: Operators and tools for behavioral analysis, *Artificial. Intelligence. Review*, 12(4), 265–319.
- Hetzer J., Yu D. C. and Bhattarai K., 2008. An economic dispatch model incorporating wind power, *IEEE Transaction on Energy Conversion*, 23(2), 603–611.
- Huang C. M., Yang H. T., Huang C. L., 1997. Bi-objective power dispatch using fuzzy satisfaction-maximizing decision approach, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 12(4), 1715–1721.
- Lamont J. W. and Obessis E. V., 1995. Emission dispatch models and algorithms for the 1990'S, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 10(2), 941–947.
- Le K. D., Golden J. L., Stansberry C. J., Vice R. L., Wood J. T., Ballance J., Brown G. et al. 1995. Potential impacts of clean air regulations on system operations, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 10(2), 647–656.
- Liang R.H., and Liao, J.H. 2007. A fuzzy-optimization approach for generation scheduling with wind and solar energy systems, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 22, 1665–1674.
- Nanda J, Kothari DP, Linga Murthy KS, 1988. Economic emission load dispatch through goal programming techniques, *IEEE Transaction Energy Conversion*, 3(1), 26–32.
- Robert, T. F., King, A. H., Harry, C. S., 2004. Rughooputh, and Deb, K. Evolutionary multiobjective environmental/economic dispatch: Stochastic versus deterministic approaches, *KanGAL, Rep.* 2004019, 1–15
- Srinivas N. and Deb. K., 1995. Multi-objective function optimization using nondominated sorting genetic algorithms," *IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computing*, 2(3), 221–248.
- Srinivasan D., and Tettamanzi A. 1997. An evolutionary algorithm for evaluation of emission compliance options in view of the clean air act amendments, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 12, 152–158
- Srinivasan D., Chang C.S., and Liew A.C. 1994. Multiobjective generation scheduling using fuzzy optimal search technique', *IEE Proceeding.-C*, 141(3), 233–242.
- Talaq J. H., El-Hawary F., and El-Hawary M. E., 1994. A summary of environmental/economic dispatch algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 9, 1508–1516.
- Wang L. and Singh C., 2007. Environmental/EPD using a fuzzified multiobjective particle swarm optimization algorithm, *Electric Power System Research*, 77(12), 1654–64.
- Wang L. and Singh C., 2008. Stochastic economic emission load dispatch through a modified particle swarm optimization algorithm" *Electric Power System Research*, 78, 1466–1476.
- Yokoyama, R. S, Bae H., Morita T., Sasaki H. 1988. Multiobjective generation dispatch based on probability security criteria, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 3(1), 317–24.
- Zitzler E., Laumanns M., and Thiele L., 2001. SPEA2: Improving the Strength Pareto Evolutionary Algorithm, *Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Zurich, Switzerland, Technical report TIK-Report 103.*