DOI: 10.2478/v10129-011-0023-0

S. Martyniuk, J. Oroń, M. Koziel

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Institute of Soil Science and Plant
Cultivation – State Research Institute, 24-100 Puławy, Poland

FUNGI, INCLUDING *FUSARIUM* SPP., ON EARS OF CONVENTIONALLY AND ECOLOGICALLY GROWN WINTER WHEAT

ABSTRACT

Ears of winter wheat cultivars (Bogatka and Legenda) grown under conventional and ecological (organic) farming systems, were sampled at different developmental stages and examined for their colonization by filamentous fungi, including *Fusarium* spp. Ears samples were shaken in sterile water containing 0.01% of Tween 80 and appropriate 10-fold dilutions of the initial suspension were inoculated onto agar medium containing antibiotics to inhibit bacterial contaminants. After 6-7 days of incubation at 28° C fungal colonies were counted and after further 4 days fragments of colonies were transferred onto other media for identification. On ears at flowering no *Fusarium* species were found in 2008 with dry and hot July, but in 2009 with more frequent rainfalls in July *Fusarium poae*, *F. tricinctum* and *F. avenaceum* were detected on winter wheat ears at the flowering stage. At the hard kernel stage the following species were isolated from winter wheat ears: *F. poae* and *F. sporotrichioides* in 2008 (17 and 18 isolates respectively) and *F. avenaceum*, *F. crookwellense*, *F. poae*, *F. sporotrichioides* and *F. tricinctum* in 2009 (2, 18, 39, 2 and 17 isolates respectively, and 9 unidentified *Fusarium*). In 2008 more *Fusarium* spp. were found on winter wheat ears grown under the conventional system than under the ecological (organic) system. In the ecological system wheat stands are thinner but taller (due to the lack of any mineral fertilizers and plant growth regulators) and in consequence winter wheat ears in this system may keep moisture shorter than those in the conventional system. It seems that this difference may be the most important factor influencing colonization of winter wheat ears by *Fusarium* spp. and other fungi under the compared farming systems.

Key words: ears, farming system, fungi, *Fusarium* spp., winter wheat

INTRODUCTION

Divers and numerous communities of microorganisms including bacteria, yeasts, filamentous fungi and algae can be found on leaves and other above-

ground parts of plants. The majority of these microorganisms, called epiphytes, have no detectable effect on plant growth and function, some of them are beneficial whereas others with the ability to infect plant tissues may be damaging to the host plant (Megan and Lacey 1986, Dik *et al.* 1992, Lindow and Brandl 2003). Epiphytes encounter rather harsh conditions on plant surfaces, where they are exposed to fluxes of UV radiation, rapidly fluctuating temperature and humidity, as well as to a strong competition for limited nutrient sources (Lindow and Brandl 2003). In the case of field grown crops densities and composition of microbial populations on their aerial parts depend also on agricultural practices, such as mineral fertilization or spraying with plant protection chemicals. Fokkema *et al.* (1983, 1987) and Dik *et al.* (1991, 1992) in their extensive studies have proved that pink and white yeasts, predominating in the saprophytic phyllosphere communities colonizing wheat leaves, were effective in removing aphid honeydew and other nutrients from wheat leaves, which resulted in the suppression of necrotrophic pathogens and higher crop yields. Magan and Lacey (1985) reported that flag leaves and ears of spring and winter wheat were colonized by high populations of yeasts and yeast-like fungi, while within filamentous fungi predominated *Cladosporium* spp., *Alternaria alternata*, *Vettricillium lecanii* and *Fusarium* spp. These authors have also shown that some fungicides can significantly reduce fungal populations on flag leaves and ears, with yeasts and *Cladosporium* spp. being the most sensitive fungi to such treatments. In Poland Łukanowski and Sadowski (2005) have found more *Fusarium* spp. on grains of winter wheat grown under conventional and integrated farming system than under ecological system. It seems possible that fungicides applied to control fungal diseases in conventional farming systems reduce populations and thus competitive potential of saprophytic fungi, e.g. yeasts, facilitating in this way infection of winter wheat ears (and grains) with other fungi, including toxinogenic *Fusarium* spp. Using the same field experiment described by Łukanowski and Sadowski (2005) we have done a preliminary examination of populations of microorganisms occurring on ears of two winter wheat cultivars (Roma and Zyta) grown in this experiment (Martyniuk *et al.*, 2009). It was found that populations of saprophytic fungi, particularly yeasts, on ears grown under conventional (intensive) system were not significantly reduced by fungicides applied in this system as compared to the organic farming system. However, qualitative analyses of the mycelial fungi have shown that heads of winter wheat under the conventional system were more intensively colonized with *Fusarium* species than heads under the ecological system. In this paper we present results of our further studies on fungal communities colonizing winter wheat ears at various stages of their development as influenced by farming systems (conventional *versus* ecological).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiment

The studies were based on a long-term (established in 1994) field experiment located on a brown soil (Albic Luvisol) at the IUNG-PIB Experimental Station in Osiny (51°27' N; 22°2' E, Lublin voivodeship). In this experiment various crops are grown under three different farming systems: conventional, integrated and ecological (Martyniuk *et al.*, 2001; Kuś *et al.*, 2007). For the purpose of these studies ears of two winter wheat cultivars (Bogatka and Legenda) grown under conventional and ecological farming systems were sampled in 2008 and 2009. In the conventional farming system (CFS) the following crop rotation is used: winter rape – spring barley – winter wheat. In this system winter wheat was grown according to the high input technology generally used by farmers in Poland, which included two applications of fungicides. In 2008 the first spray of winter wheat plants with fungicides (Tilt Plus + Unix 75WG) was performed on May 7 and the second application of Prosaro 250EC took place on May 30. In 2009 Impet 460EC was sprayed on May 7 and Prosaro 250EC on June 1. In the ecological farming system (EFS) the crop rotation includes: potato – spring wheat – grass/red clover mixture (2 years) – winter wheat. All crops, including winter wheat, in this system are grown without any applications of synthetic mineral fertilizers and plant protection chemicals.

Sampling of ears and microbial analyses

Ears of winter wheat were sampled four times during 2008 and 2009 at the following growth stages (Zadoks): I – heading (GS 57-59), II – flowering (GS 65-69), III – milk kernel (GS 73-75) and IV – ripening (GS 91-93). Three samples, each consisting of 10 ears, were randomly collected from fields of both winter wheat cultivars (Bogatka and Legenda). Ear samples were placed in disinfected plastic bags and within 2 hours they were brought to the laboratory and refrigerated at 4° C. Next day ears were cut into small fragments and 10g samples were placed in 300 ml glass bottles containing 90 ml of autoclaved water with the addition of 0.01% Tween 80 (Dik *et al.*, 1992). The content of the bottles was agitated for 30 min on a rotary shaker at 200 rev per min. The resultant suspensions were then serially diluted (10-fold dilutions) and from appropriate dilutions aliquots of 0.1 ml were inoculated onto the surface of agar medium in Petri plates to assess numbers of yeasts and filamentous fungi occurring on the examined ears. Basal yeast agar (BYA) medium containing 20 g glucose, 1 g yeast extract (Difco), 10 g proteose peptone (Difco), 15 g agar and 10⁶ i.u. streptomycin sulphate per liter was used (Dik *et al.*, 1992). Fungal colonies were counted after 6-7 days of incubation at 27° C. Numbers of both groups of fungi were expressed as colony forming units (cfu) per 1g of ear d.m. Colonies of filamentous fungi were transferred onto fresh BYA slants and identified using the following manuals: Domsch *et al.* (1980), Kwaśna *et al.* (1991), Leslie and Summerell (2006). The analysis of variance

(ANOVA) and Tukey's test ($\alpha = 0.05$) were used to determine the significance of differences between the farming systems and winter wheat cultivars.

RESULTS

In 2008 the first sampling of winter wheat ears was done on June 2 when heading was almost complete (GS 57-59) and at this stage of ears development significantly higher numbers (cfu) of fungi (yeasts and filamentous) were found on ears of both cultivars grown in the conventional farming system (CFS) than in the ecological system (EFS). However, at the flowering and milk kernel stages the opposite was true – winter wheat ears under CFS were colonized by lower populations of fungi than those under the EFS (Table 1). At full ripeness winter wheat ears of both cultivars grown in the compared farming systems were colonized by similar populations of total fungi, but at this stage and also at the previous one the ears of cv. Legenda harboured lower numbers of fungi as compared to cv. Bogatka in both farming systems (Table 1). At the heading and flowering stages on ears of both winter wheat cultivars relatively moderate numbers of fungal propagules were found but the abundance of these microorganisms progressively increased during ripening of ears and at the final sampling (GS 91-93) fungal populations on ears were high, reaching $1.9\text{--}3.7 \times 10^6$ cfu per 1 g of ears d.m. (Table 1).

Table 1

Total numbers of fungi (cfu $\times 10^4$) including yeasts (in brackets) on winter wheat ears (1 g d.m.) as influenced by their developmental stages and cultivation system (2008)

Cultivation system	Growth stage			
	Heading	Flowering	Milk kernels	Hard kernels
Cultivar Bogatka				
Conventional	1.75 (0.50)	0.33 (0.26)	15.00 (14.46)	343 (277)
Ecological	0.43 (0.09)	0.88 (0.71)	31.60 (30.49)	367 (307)
Cultivar Legenda				
Conventional	1.56 (0.32)	0.42 (0.29)	8.96 (8.68)	220 (193)
Ecological	0.68 (0.21)	0.92 (0.80)	11.89 (11.37)	260 (223)
LSD _(0.05)	0.8	0.43	4.68	n.s.
for: cultiv. system	(0.4)	(0.44)	(4.75)	n.s.
LSD _(0.05)	n.s.	n.s.	4.68	121
for: cultivar	(n.s.)	(n.s.)	(4.76)	(110)
LSD _(0.05) for cultiv.	n.s.	n.s.	6.61	n.s.
syst. $\times$ cultivar	(n.s.)	(n.s.)	(6.73)	(n.s.)

The qualitative analysis of filamentous fungi occurring on winter wheat ears in 2008 has shown that at the heading, flowering and milk kernel stages *Cladosporium herbarum* predominated on the ears of both cultivars (Table 2). At heading more isolates of this fungus were obtained from winter wheat ears grown in CFS than in EFS, but at other growth stages *C. herbarum* was more numerous on EFS ears than on CFS ones. In 2008 fungi from the genus *Fusarium* were isolated for the first time from ears being at the milk kernel stage of their development and all three isolates were identified as *F. poae* (Table 2). At ripening 35 *Fusarium* isolates were obtained and they belonged to the following species: *F. poae* (17 isolates) and *F. sporotrichioides* (18 isolates). At this stage more *Fusarium* spp. were found on the ears of both winter wheat cultivars grown in CFS than in EFS (Table 2).

Table 2

Number of filamentous fungi isolates* obtained from winter wheat ears as influenced by their developmental stages and cultivation system (E = ecological, C = conventional, 2008)

Fungus	Legenda E	Legenda C	Bogatka E	Bogatka C
Heading				
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	2	8	--	8
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	--	--	1	1
Non-sporulating fungi	2	3	3	4
Flowering				
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	-4	2	5	-6
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.	2	1	--	--
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	--	-1	-	1
Non-sporulating fungi	--	--	2	--
Milk kernels				
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	-	-	-	1
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	13	2	23	2
<i>Fusarium poae</i>	1	1	1	-
Non-sporulating fungi	2	3	-	6
Hard kernels				
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	11	6	29	22
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.	8	--	--	--
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	60	47	72	78
<i>Fusarium poae</i>	1	--	2	14
<i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i>	--	11	1	6
Non-sporulating fungi	24	15	20	13

* Isolates obtained on BYA medium inoculated with the initial suspension (0.1 ml) diluted 100-fold at heading and flowering and 1000-fold at the other stages

In 2009 the first sampling of ears (at heading) was conducted seven days following the fungicide Prosaro application (June 1) and the total numbers of fungi on winter wheat ears at this stage were lower in CFS than in EFS,

but the differences were statistically significant only in the case of cv. Bogatka (Table 3). In this year, in contrast to the previous one, the highest populations of yeasts and mycelial fungi were detected on ears sampled at the flowering stage of their development (GS 65-69). At flowering ears of cv. Bogatka grown in CFS contained lower numbers of total fungi than ears grown in EFS, but in the case of cv. Legenda the opposite was true. At the milk kernels and hard kernels stages ears of both winter wheat cultivars grown in EFS were colonized by higher populations of filamentous fungi and yeasts than ears grown in CFS, however at the last stage the differences were generally insignificant (Table 3).

Table 3

Total numbers of fungi (cfu x 10⁴) including yeasts (in brackets) on winter wheat ears (1 g d.m.) as influenced by their developmental stages and cultivation system (2009)

Cultivation system	Growth stage			
	Heading	Flowering	Milk kernels	Hard kernels
Cultivar Bogatka				
Conventional	0.64 (0.44)	121.4 (115.7)	23.20 (21.70)	34.80 (11.50)
Ecological	3.58 (3.36)	564.6 (547.6)	126.3 (93.40)	41.80 (13.60)
Cultivar Legenda				
Conventional	0.27 (0.07)	124.6 (118.8)	18.90 (12.60)	32.80 (16.50)
Ecological	0.35 (0.12)	76.73 (71.34)	112.1 (99.20)	51.60 (30.90)
LSD _(0.05) for:	0.45	59.4	29.3	n.s.
cultiv. system	(0.44)	(68.2)	(15.0)	(7.0)
LSD _(0.05) for:	0.45	59.4	n.s.	n.s.
cultivar	(0.44)	(68.2)	(n.s.)	(7.1)
LSD _(0.05) cultiv.	0.64	84.0	n.s.	n.s.
syst. × cultivar	(0.64)	(96.4)	(n.s.)	(n.s.)

The results of quantitative analysis, shown in Table 4, indicate that in 2009 the species composition of filamentous fungi isolated from the examined ears was richer than that in the previous year, particularly with respect to the genus *Fusarium*. At flowering 4 isolates of *F. poae* were obtained from ears of cv. Bogatka grown in CFS and a single isolates of *F. avenaceum* and *F. sporotrichioides* from ears of cv. Legenda. On ears at the milk kernel stage two *Fusarium* species were identified, of which *F. sporotrichioides* predominated (18 isolates) on the ears of cv. Legenda in CFS and *F. poae* (9 isolates) on the ears of cv. Bogatka in EFS. On the ripening ears the occurrence of six *Fusarium* species was detected, of which the predominating species were: *F. poae* (39 isolates), *F. crookwellense* (18 isolates) and *F. tricinctum* 17 isolates). At this stage more *Fusarium* isolates were obtained from winter wheat ears grown under EFS than under CFS (Table 4).

Table 4

Number of filamentous fungi isolates* obtained from winter wheat ears as influenced by their developmental stages and cultivation system (E = ecological, C = conventional, 2009)

* Isolates obtained on BYA medium inoculated with the initial suspension (0.1 ml) diluted 100-fold at heading and flowering and 1000-fold at the other stages

Fungi	Legenda E	Legenda C	Bogatka E	Bogatka C
Heading				
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	1	-	-	-
<i>Alternaria tenuissima</i>	1	-	-	-
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	11	7	4	10
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	1	-	1	-
Non-sporulating fungi	16	10	7	9
Flowering				
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	-	1	-	-
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	15	16	45	21
<i>Fusarium poae</i>	-	-	-	4
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> .	1	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium sporotrich.</i>	-	1	1	-
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	-	-	8	-
Non-sporulating fungi	4	4	4	6
Milk kernels				
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	1	-	5	5
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	-	1	-	-
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	62	23	56	46
<i>Fusarium poae</i>	2	4	9	-
<i>Fusarium sporotrich.</i>	-	18	-	1
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i>	-	-	-	1
<i>Penicillium</i> sp	-	-	-	1
<i>Rhizopus</i> sp.	-	-	1	-
Non-sporulating fungi	14	1	30	15
Hard kernels				
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	7	3	9	18
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	1	-	-	-
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	16	9	29	24
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i>	2	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium crookwellense</i>	1	-	17	-
<i>Fusarium poae</i>	1	3	13	22
<i>Fusarium sporotrich.</i>	-	1	-	1
<i>Fusarium tricinctum</i>	15	1	1	-
<i>Fusarium</i> sp	-	7	-	2
Non-sporulating fungi	10	15	20	15

DISCUSSION

In 2008 the first spraying of winter wheat plants with fungicides (mixture of Tilt Plus and Unix 75WG) in CFS was done on May 7 and the second one with Prosaro 250EC on May 30, and three days later (June 2) the first sampling of ears was performed. At this sampling significantly more fungi, both filamentous fungi and yeasts, were found on winter wheat ears grown in the conventional farming system (CFS) than in the ecological system (EFS) (Table 1). In CFS heading of winter wheat plants started about 2-3 days earlier than in EFS, thus in CFS ears were exposed to air contamination for several days longer than in EFS. This difference in connection with relatively short time (3 days) between the fungicide Prosaro application and ears sampling could be an explanation for the lack of an inhibitory effect of the fungicide on fungal communities colonizing ears in CFS as compared to EFS. This explanation could be supported by the results obtained in 2009. In this year the first sampling of ears was done seven days after spraying of winter wheat plants with the fungicide Prosaro. This longer exposure of fungal populations occurring on ears to the fungicide resulted in a substantial reduction of their numbers on ears at the heading stage in CFS as compared to EFS (Table 3). In general, at the flowering and milk kernels stages of ears development markedly lower numbers of fungi, including yeasts, were detected on winter wheat ears grown in CFS than in EFS in both growing seasons and this reduction could be attributed mainly to the fungicides applied in CFS. It is also important to point out that at these two stages of ears development yeasts predominated within fungal communities colonizing ears of both winter wheat cultivars and their shares in the total fungal propagules occurring on ears ranged from about 70% to 97%. Our results are in accord with those reported by Magan and Lacey (1986) who showed that fungicides generally reduced populations of saprophytic filamentous fungi (e.g. *Cladosporium* spp.) and yeasts on leaves and ears of field grown spring and winter wheat. In these and other studies (Buch and Burpee, 2002; De Azeredo *et al.*, 1998; Dik *et al.*, 1992) yeasts and yeast-like fungi also predominated within fungal communities colonizing the above-ground parts of plants.

In 2008 the highest numbers of fungi, with yeasts as predominating group (82%-90%), were found on fully ripened ears sampled on July 21, but in 2009 the most numerous fungal populations (with 93%-97% of yeasts) occurred on ears sampled at flowering (June 20) and at ripening (the last sampling on July 22) numbers of fungi on ears markedly dropped, mainly due to a substantial decrease of the share of yeasts (33%-59%) in the total numbers of fungi (Tables 1 and 3). These differences could be related to weather conditions which in 2008 were characterized by dry and hot June and similar weather in the first decade of July, but in 2009 June

was wet (20 days with rainfalls) followed by dry and hot weather, particularly in the second decade of July (http://www.iung.pulawy.pl/images/pdf/Dobor_odmian_ekologia.).

The studied factors (farming systems) and weather conditions influenced also the qualitative composition of filamentous fungi colonizing winter wheat ears during their development. We were particularly interested in the fungi belonging to the genus *Fusarium*. It is well known that many species of this genus under favorable conditions are able to infect heads of cereal (FHB – *Fusarium* head blight) and, under favorable weather conditions, to produce various toxins contaminating the infected grain (Kiecana *et al.*, 2005; Kwaśna *et al.* 1991; Łukanowski and Sadowski 2005; Magan and Lacey 1986, Perkowski *et al.*, 1996; Wakuliński and Chełkowski, 1993). Generally, in 2009 more isolates of various filamentous fungi, including *Fusarium* species, were obtained from the studied winter wheat ears than in the previous year, probably mainly due to the above mentioned differences in weather conditions, particularly in June. In 2008 at the flowering stage, the most important developmental stage in cereals for ears infections by *Fusarium* spp. (Kiecana *et al.*, 2005; Mesterhazy A., 1978; Perkowski *et al.*, 1996; Wakuliński and Chełkowski, 1993), no *Fusarium* species were isolated, but in 2009 with wet weather at this stage three *Fusarium* species (*F. avenaceum*, *F. poae*, *F. sporotrichioides*) were found to occur on ears of both winter wheat cultivars (Table 4). The most pronounced effect of the compared farming systems on the occurrence and species composition of *Fusarium* fungi colonizing ears of winter wheat grown under these systems was found at the ripening stage. In 2008 more *Fusarium* isolates were obtained from ears of both winter wheat cultivars grown under CFS than under EFS (Table 2). Earlier we reported similar results for two other winter wheat cultivars (Roma and Zyta) grown under the same farming systems in 2007 (Martyniuk *et al.*, 2009). Weather conditions in 2007, particularly scanty rainfalls in June and July, were very similar to those in 2008, but in 2009 markedly more rainfalls occurred during flowering and ripening, and in this year the highest numbers of *Fusarium*, both in terms of species and isolates, were present on the studied ears (Table 4). In 2009, contrary to the previous years, slightly more isolates of *Fusarium* species were obtained from winter wheat ears grown in EFS than in CFS. It seems that these differences might be explained, at least partially, by the differences in the winter wheat stands “architecture”. The stands of winter wheat cultivars grown in CFS are usually denser and shorter than those in EFS (Kuś *et al.*, 2007). In the years with dry weather conditions during June (at flowering and milk kernels), like those occurring in 2007 and 2008, ears in denser and shorter winter wheat stands in CFS might preserve moisture (e.g. dew droplets) for a longer period of time during the day than ears in sparser stands in EFS, making more favorable conditions for ears colonization by *Fusarium* fungi

in CFS, as compared to EFS. In the years with frequent rainfalls, like those in 2009, stands “architecture” seems to play less important role in the colonization of winter wheat ears by fungi, including *Fusarium* species.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Ears of winter wheat grown under the conventional farming system (CFS) are usually colonized by less numerous communities of fungi, than ears under the ecological farming system (EFS).
2. Yeasts are predominating group of fungi occurring on winter wheat ears, particularly on ears being at the flowering and milk kernel stages of their development.
3. In the years with dry weather conditions during June (at flowering and milk kernels), ears in denser and shorter winter wheat stands in CFS might preserve moisture (e.g. dew droplets) for a longer period of time during the day than ears in sparser stands in EFS, making more favorable conditions for ears colonization by *Fusarium* fungi in CFS, as compared to EFS. In the years with frequent rainfalls stands “architecture” seems to play less important role in the colonization of winter wheat ears by fungi, including *Fusarium* species.

REFERENCES

- Buck J.W., Burpee L.L., 2002. The effects of fungicides on phylloplane yeast populations of creeping bentgrass. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 48(6): 522-529.
- De Azeredo L.A.I., Gomes E.A.T., Mendonca-Hagler L.C., Hagler A.N., 1998. Yeast communities associated with sugarcane in Campos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Internatl Microbiol.*, 1: 205-208.
- Dik A.J., Fokkema N.J., Van Pelt J.A., 1991: Consumption of aphid honeydew, a wheat yield reducing factor, by phyllosphere yeasts under field conditions. *Neth. J. Pl. Pathol.* 97: 209-232.
- Dik A.J., Fokkema N.J., Van Pelt J.A., 1992: Influence of climatic and nutritional factors on yeast population dynamics in phyllosphere of wheat. *Microb. Ecol.* 23: 41-52.
- Domsch K.H., Gams W., Anderson T-H., 1980: *Compendium of soil fungi*. Acad. Press. London, New York, Toronto, Sydney.
- Fokkema N.J., Riphagen I., Poot R., De Jong C., 1983: Aphid honeydew, a potential stimulant of *Cochliobolus sativus* and *Septoria nodorum* and the competitive role of saprophytic mycoflora. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 81: 355-363.
- Fokkema N.J., Dik A.J., Daamen R.A., 1987: Use of cerbendazim and carbendazim-resistant yeasts to create different yeast densities on wheat leaves for studies on biological control. *Neth. J. Pl. Pathol.* 93: 273-283.
- Kiecana I., Mielniczuk E., Perkowski J., Goliński P., 2005: Porażenie wiech przez *Fusarium poae* (Peck) Wollenw. oraz zawartość mikotoksyn w ziarnie owsa. *Acta Agrobot.*, 59(2): 91-102.
- Kuś J., Jończyk K., Kawalec A., 2007: Factors limiting the yields of winter wheat in different crop production systems. *Acta Agrophys.*, 10(2): 407-417.
- Kwaśna H., Chelkowski J., Zajkowski P., 1991: *Fungi*, t.XXII (in Polish), PAN, Instytut Botaniki, pp. 152.
- Leslie J.F., Summerell B.A., 2006: *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*. Blachwell Publishing, Ames, USA, pp. 388.
- Lindow S.L., Brandl M.T., 2003: Microbiology of phyllosphere, *Appl. Environm. Microbiol.* 69: 1875-1883.

- Łukanowski A., Sadowski Cz., 2005: The use of PCR assay for quality testing of grain of winter wheat cultivated in organic, integrated, conventional system and monoculture in phytopathological aspect (in Polish). *Acta Agrobot.* 59 (2): 55-69.
- Magan N., Lacey J., 1986: The phylloplane microflora of ripening wheat and effect of late fungicide applications. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 109, 1: 117-128.
- Martyniuk S., Gajda A., Kuś J., 2001: Microbiological and biochemical properties of soils under cereals grown in the ecological, conventional and integrated systems. *Acta Agroph.* 52: 185-192.
- Martyniuk S., Oroń J., Mączka M., 2009: Charakterystyka mikroorganizmów występujących na kłosach pszenicy ozimej uprawianej w systemie konwencjonalnym i ekologicznym. *Post. Ochr. Rośl./Prog. Plant Prot.* 49(3): 1309-1316.
- Mesterhazy A., 1978: Comparative analysis of artificial inoculation methods with *Fusarium* spp. on winter wheat varieties. *Phytopathol.* 93(1): 12-25.
- Perkowski J., Kiecana I., Schumacher U., Muhler H.M., Chełkowski J., Goliński P., 1996: Head blight and biosynthesis of *Fusarium* toxins in barley kernels field inoculated with *Fusarium culmorum*. *Eur. J. Pathol.* 102: 491-496.
- Wakuliński W., Chełkowski J., 1993: *Fusarium* species transmitted with seed of wheat, rye, barley, oats and triticale. *Hod. Rośl. Aklim. i Nasien.* 37: 131-136.
- http://www.iung.pulawy.pl/images/pdf/Dobor_odmian_ekologia.pdf