Converting a paper proforma template to a user friendly electronic database to collect traumatic brain injury data

M. Veera Prasad¹, Amit Agrawal², S. Satish Kumar³,
B.V. Subrahmanyam⁴, G. Malleswara Rao²

Narayana Medical College Hospital, Chinthareddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh (India)

¹Department of Hospital Administration

²Professor of Neurosurgery, Department of Neurosurgery

³Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine

⁴Professor of Forensic Medicine, Department of Forensic Medicine

Abstract: A structured reporting system which is based on a uniform template will permit uniform data collection and future statistics and will facilitate and validate independent or comparative audit of performance and quality of care. The successful establishment of a multi-center registry depends on the development of a concise data entry form, data entry system and data analysis to continuously maintain the registry. In the first phase we introduced the paper data collection form, in second phase this data form was converted to an electronic interface. In this second phase of the study the paper proforma which was developed in the first phase was converted into an electronic database by using the FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced®. The FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced® is capable to store the data, provides user friendly interface to enter data and can be converted the standalone runtime program to install in any other computer system. The next step is to explore the possibility whether it would be feasible to use this as a multi-center traumatic brain injury registry.

Key words: registry, trauma, head injury, traumatic brain injury, FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced®.

Introduction

The successful establishment of a multi-center trauma registry depends on the development of a concise data entry form, data entry system and data analysis to continuously maintain the registry. (1) The use of the common trauma template has been shown feasible across international registries for the

majority of the data variables. (2) Based on the recommendation we have selected different data variables and we are making continuous efforts to identify the core variables versus optional data which can easily be used across many centers without compromising the quality of data. (3-5) In present article we describe our ongoing experience to convert an

existing paper based data collection system into an electronic form based data collection interface.

Material and methods

The present study was conducted in the Departments of Hospital Administration, Neurosurgery and Department of Accident and Emergency Medicine of the Narayana Medical College and Hospital (NMCH) in Nellore, India. After approval from the institutional ethical committee, all patients with the diagnosis of traumatic brain injury (as per the criteria laid by International Classification of Disease injury codes ICD 10) presenting to the Departments of Neurosurgery and Emergency Medicine were included in the study. (6) It was the second phase of the ongoing project where core variables which were identified in the phase I were converted into an electronic database to maintain the uniformity and reproducibility. (7) In this second phase of the study the paper proforma which was developed in the first phase was converted into an electronic database by using the FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced®. The FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced® is capable to store the data, provides user friendly interface to enter data and can be converted the standalone runtime program to install in any other computer system. Variables were identified as per the international norms and the data points were selected which included demographic details, pre-hospital characteristics, clinical details in emergency room, injury details, course during hospital stay, treatment, diagnosis, disposition and follow up. (8-10) Glasgow Coma Scale score was used to classify severity of the

traumatic brain injury (11) and acute injury severity scoring (AIS) was used to grade the severity of the injury. (12) Additional grading systems were used to classify the severity of diffuse axonal injury (13) and subarachnoid hemorrhage on CT scan. (14, 15) Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) will be used to assess the follow up and outcome. (16)

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and as a percentage for categorical variables. Statistics were calculated using PSPP Statistical Software. (17)

Results

Paper proforma (Annexure I) which was used for data collection able to collect the patient information, clinical details, injury details, details regarding pre-hospital care, emergency room data, investigation detail (including details of CT scan), management offered, immediate outcome and details of short as well as long term follow up. Electronic counterpart which was developed by using the FileMaker Pro 13 Advanced® replicated all these details and had all the variables which were included on the paper proforma. All the variables were stored in a single table in the background. This similarity provided an opportunity to uniformly display the contents and ease of data entry. To facilitate data entry, data were separated into sub groups by tabs which included patient demographics, injury details, emergency evaluation, treatment, in-hospital course, discharge details, diagnosis and follow up. The collected data can be exported into spreadsheet for further analysis by any statistical software program. Interim

analysis revealed that we were able to capture data for age, gender, education, brought by, date of admission, loss of consciousness, vomiting, nausea, ear bleed, nasal bleed, oral bleed, headache and seizures in all 100% instances (Table 1). The most useful features of the program were similarity between paper and electronic interface (means easy to capture data and easy to enter data feature) (Figure 1) and ability to export data in a format which can be easily utilized for data analysis.

Discussion

Many of the data registries are guided through the American College of Surgeons guidelines for selection of data points. (18, 19) The amount of information captured may vary from a "minimal dataset" collected in emergency departments to a "comprehensive dataset" with information encompassing from pre-hospital care, management, follow up to rehabilitation. (19-22) The paucity of data, incomplete understanding of the problem and non-availability of definitive guidelines is a challenge to answer many important clinical questions and questions related to the management of traumatic brain injuries. (23) Data collection particularly a registry database is considered indispensable (at the same time it must be as inexpensive as possible). (24) In our previous studies we have found that a well-designed Proforma based under supervision data collection in a relatively low volume trauma center and at regular intervals can be cost-effective which can be managed by personnel with basic training. (7, 25-29)

TABLE I
Completeness of data details in the ongoing
for the selected variables

Data variable	Total	Percent
Age	311	100%
Gender	311	100%
Education	311	100%
Brought by	311	100%
Date of Admission	311	100%
Loss of consciousness	311	100%
Vomiting	311	100%
Nausea	311	100%
Ear bleed	311	100%
Nasal bleed	311	100%
Oral bleed	311	100%
Headache	311	100%
Seizures	311	100%
Arrival Time In ED	288	92.6%
Left Time From ED	285	91.6%
Time of Admission	283	91.0%
Glasgow coma scale	270	86.8%
Best motor response	270	86.8%
Blood pressure systolic	267	85.9%
Blood pressure diastolic	266	85.5%
Best eye response	262	84.2%
Best verbal response	262	84.2%
Pulse rate	260	83.6%
Temperature	206	66.2%
Respiratory rate	196	63.0%
Hemoglobin	91	29.3%
Blood Sugar Random	78	25.1%
Date of Discharge	70	22.5%
Total leucocyte count	68	21.9%
Time of Injury	67	21.5%
Date of Injury	58	18.6%

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore	
Demographics	Clinical Details Injury Details Pre-Hospital and ED Care Investigations Management Outcome
PatientID <input type="text"/>	Source of information ☐ Clinical interview ☐ Medical chart ☐ Not available
Title ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss	Identification marks <input type="text"/>
First Name <input type="text"/>	Nationality <input type="text"/>
Middle Name <input type="text"/>	Informant_broughtby ☐ Patient ☐ Relatives
Last Name <input type="text"/>	☐ Witness ☐ Co-habitants
Age <input type="text"/>	☐ Not known ☐ Special habits
Gender ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Unknown	☐ Wife ☐ Close friends
Address <input type="text"/>	☐ Husband ☐ Room Mates
City <input type="text"/>	DateAdmission <input type="text"/>
Contact Number <input type="text"/>	TimeAdmission <input type="text"/> <input type="button" value="Time"/>
Education ☐ Illiterate ☐ Graduate	Hospital Stay <input type="text"/>
☐ Primary ☐ Post graduate	Referral details ☐ Direct ☐ Medical College
☐ Secondary ☐ Not appropriate (Age < 5 years)	☐ General Practitioner ☐ Police
☐ Matriculation ☐ Not known	☐ Private Hospital ☐ Nursing Home
☐ Intermediate	☐ Government Hospital ☐ Not known
Employment status ☐ Employee in service ☐ Not applicable ☐ Unknown	MLC Number <input type="text"/>
☐ Farmer ☐ Retired employee	
☐ Housewife ☐ Student	
☐ Laborer ☐ Unemployed	
Marital Status ☐ Never been married ☐ Married ☐ Divorced ☐ Widowed	
Driving license ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable	

IP First Name

Figure 1 - Screenshot demonstrate that the exactly similar appearance between paper proforma and screen appearance

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore	
Demographics	Clinical Details Injury Details Pre-Hospital and ED Care Investigations Management Outcome
PatientID <input type="text"/>	Source of information ☐ Clinical interview ☐ Medical chart ☐ Not available
Title ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss	Identification marks <input type="text"/>
First Name <input type="text"/>	Nationality <input type="text"/>
Middle Name <input type="text"/>	Informant_broughtby ☐ Patient ☐ Relatives
Last <input type="text"/>	☐ Witness ☐ Co-habitants
Age <input type="text"/>	☐ Not known ☐ Special habits
Gender ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Unknown	☐ Wife ☐ Close friends
Address <input type="text"/>	☐ Husband ☐ Room Mates
City <input type="text"/>	DateAdmission <input type="text"/>
Contact Number <input type="text"/>	TimeAdmission <input type="text"/> <input type="button" value="Time"/>
Education ☐ Illiterate ☐ Graduate	Hospital Stay <input type="text"/>
☐ Primary ☐ Post graduate	Referral details ☐ Direct ☐ Medical College
☐ Secondary ☐ Not appropriate (Age < 5 years)	☐ General Practitioner ☐ Police
☐ Matriculation ☐ Not known	☐ Private Hospital ☐ Nursing Home
☐ Intermediate	☐ Government Hospital ☐ Not known
Employment status ☐ Employee in service ☐ Not applicable ☐ Unknown	MLC Number <input type="text"/>
☐ Farmer ☐ Retired employee	
☐ Housewife ☐ Student	
☐ Laborer ☐ Unemployed	
Marital ☐ Never been married ☐ Married ☐ Divorced ☐ Widowed	
Driving license ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable	

IP First Name

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore						
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome
History of Traumatic Brain Injury Loss of consciousness ☐ Nasal bleed ☐ Rhinorrhoea ☐ Vomiting ☐ Oral bleed ☐ Otorrhoea ☐ Nausea ☐ Headache ☐ Post traumatic amnesia ☐ Ear bleed ☐ Seizures ☐ Loss of consciousness duration ☐ None ☐ 30 to 59 minutes ☐ more than 24 hour ☐ Less than one minute ☐ Less than one hour ☐ 1 to 7 days ☐ 1 to 29 minutes ☐ 1 to 24 hour ☐ More than 7 days Lucid interval ☐ Yes ☐ No Diabetes ☐ Hypertension ☐ Smoking ☐ Alcohol ☐ Pre injury ASA ☐ ASA-PS 1. A normal healthy patient Physical ☐ ASA-PS 2. A patient with mild systemic disease Status ☐ ASA-PS 3. A patient with severe systemic disease ☐ ASA-PS 4. A patient with severe systemic disease that is life ☐ ASA-PS 5. A moribund patient who is not expected to operation ☐ ASA-PS 6. A declared brain-dead patient whose organs for donor purposes ☐ Unknown Influence of alcohol ☐ None ☐ Suspected ☐ Confirmed ☐ Unknown				Examination Pulse rate _____ Temperature _____ Blood pressure systolic _____ Respiratory rate _____ Blood pressure diastolic _____ Glasgow coma scale _____ Decorticate posturing ☐ Best eye response _____ Decerebrate posturing ☐ Best verbal response _____ Best motor response _____ PupilsRightSize _____ PupilsRightReaction ☐ Normal ☐ Can not assess ☐ Sluggish ☐ Non-reactive PupilsLeftSize _____ PupilsLeftReaction ☐ Normal ☐ Can not assess ☐ Sluggish ☐ Non-reactive Oculocephalic ReflexRight ☐ Present ☐ Not Tested ☐ Absent Oculocephalic ReflexLeft ☐ Present ☐ Not Tested ☐ Absent		
IP _____		First Name _____				

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore						
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome
DateInjury _____ TimeInjury _____ Type of injury ☐ Closed ☐ Blast ☐ Penetrating ☐ Laceration ☐ Blunt ☐ Crush ☐ Unknown Mechanism of ☐ Motor vehicle accident ☐ Airplane ☐ Low energy fall at the same level ☐ Motorcycle accident ☐ Railway train ☐ High energy fall from a higher level ☐ Bicycle accident ☐ Firearm ☐ Blast injury ☐ Pedestrian ☐ Penetrating brain injury ☐ Unknown ☐ Ship ☐ Hit by blunt object Location of injury ☐ Home ☐ Mine ☐ Sports ☐ Residential Institution ☐ Highway ☐ Road Traffic Accident ☐ Road Traffic Deceleration ☐ Non-intentional injury ☐ Farm ☐ Industrial Place ☐ Recreational ☐ Street ☐ Not known ☐ Road Traffic Acceleration ☐ Incidental Direct fall		Place of injury ☐ Within city limits ☐ Outside city ☐ Rural ☐ Urban ☐ Not known Incident victim ☐ Motor vehicle occupant ☐ Cyclist ☐ Scooter ☐ Pillion rider ☐ Pedestrian ☐ Moped ☐ Motor Bike ☐ Other Intention of Injury ☐ Accident ☐ Self-inflicted ☐ Assault ☐ Other ☐ Unknown Type of vehicle ☐ Two wheeler ☐ Three wheeler ☐ Bicycle ☐ Heavy vehicle ☐ Tractor ☐ Four wheeler ☐ Pedestrian ☐ Bus ☐ Truck ☐ Bullock cart				
Body regions involved Head ☐ Neck ☐ Brain ☐ Face ☐ Thorax ☐ Chest ☐ Abdomen ☐ Pelvis ☐ Extremities ☐ Pelvic contents ☐ C-spine ☐ D-spine ☐ L-spine ☐						
Any life threatening injuries Airway Obstruction ☐ Aortic rupture ☐ Tension pneumothorax ☐ Tracheobronchial rupture ☐ Open pneumothorax ☐ Massive haemothorax ☐ Pulmonary contusion ☐ Flail chest ☐ Blunt cardiac injury ☐ Cardiac tamponade ☐ Diaphragmatic rupture ☐ Simple pneumo_haemothorax ☐		AIS Head neck ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Brain injury ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Cervical spine ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable External skin ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Face ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Thorax chest ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Thoracic spine ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Abdomen pelvis ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable Lumbar spine ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☐ Serious ☐ Severe ☐ Critical ☐ Unsurvivable				
IP _____		First Name _____				

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore						
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome
First aid	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable			Airway ☐ Clear ☐ Adjunctive airway ☐ Unknown ☐ Obstructed ☐ Intubated		
Helmet	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable			Breathing ☐ Unknown ☐ Spontaneous, adequate ☐ Spontaneous, insufficient ☐ Manual support with bag, valve, mask ☐ Mechanical ventilation		
Seat belt	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable			Circulation ☐ No specific treatment ☐ Vasopressors ☐ IV fluids ☐ CPR ☐ Crystalloids ☐ Unknown ☐ Hypertonic saline - Colloids - Blood		
Pre hospital Cardiac Arrest	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable			Airway management ☐ No specific treatment ☐ Supplemental oxygen via nasal tube or mask ☐ Adjunctive airway ☐ Temporary support with bag, valve, mask (for example) ☐ Intubation ☐ Mechanical ventilation ☐ Unknown		
GCS at scene	_____			Discharge destination from ER ☐ Discharge home ☐ Discharge other facility ☐ Admission to hospital - ward ☐ Admission to hospital - intermediate/high care unit ☐ Admission to hospital - ICU ☐ Admission to hospital - OT ☐ Admission to hospital - other (e.g. observation unit) ☐ Admission to hospital - Rehabilitation ☐ Unknown ☐ Death		
GCS motor component at scene	_____					
GCS upon arrival in hospital	_____					
GCS Motor Component upon arrival in hospital	_____					
Highest Level of Pre Hospital Care Provided	_____					
Pre Hospital Airway Management	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable					
Type of Transportation	☐ Ground ambulance ☐ Walk-in ☐ Helicopter ambulance ☐ Police ☐ Fixed-wing ambulance ☐ Other ☐ Private/public vehicle ☐ Unknown					
Activation of the Trauma Team	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ The hospital has no formal trauma team activation ☐ Unknown					
Arrival Time In ED	_____					
Left Time From ED	_____					
IP _____			First Name _____			

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore						
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome
Blood Investigations						
Hemoglobin	_____	Blood Sugar Fasting	_____	Blood Sugar PP	_____	
Total leucocyte count	_____	Blood Sugar Random	_____	Blood Group	_____	
CT Brain						
DateCT	_____	TimeCT	_____	Scheduled for operation	_____	
CTClassificationCategory	☐ Diffuse Injury-I ☐ Diffuse Injury-III ☐ Evacuated Mass Lesion ☐ Diffuse Injury -II ☐ Diffuse Injury-IV ☐ Nonevacuated Mass Lesion					
Extradural hemorrhage	☐ Intraventricular hemorrhage	☐ Depressed fracture	☐ Acute subdural hemorrhage	☐	☐	
Cerebral contusion	☐ Mass effect pressure	☐ Hydrocephalus	☐ Chronic subdural hemorrhage	☐	☐	
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	☐ Midline shift	☐ Intracranial air	☐ Intracerebral hemorrhage	☐	☐	
Fischers grade	☐ 1.No hemorrhage evident ☐ 2.Subarachnoid hemorrhage less than 1 mm thick ☐ 3.Subarachnoid hemorrhage more than 1 mm thick ☐ 4.Subarachnoid hemorrhage of any thickness with Intra-ventricular hemorrhage (IVH) or parenchymal extension					
IP _____			First Name _____			

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore								
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome		
Conservative management								
Urinary catheter	☐	Crystalloids	☐	Blood transfusion	☐	Cervical traction	☐	
Nasogastric tube	☐	Colloid	☐	Cervical	☐	Bed rest	☐	
ICP Monitoring				☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Not applicable		Days on Ventilator		
Intracranial Surgery				☐ Yes ☐ No		Date Surgery		
Cranial Surgery Codes				Extracranial Surgery Codes				
Aneurysm_non trauma	☐	Optic nerve decompression	☐	Maxillofacial	☐	Pelvic fracture_internal fixation	☐	
Acute SDH	☐	Posterior fossa surgery	☐	Extremity fracture lower limb_internal fixation	☐	Pelvic fracture_external fixation	☐	
Contusion	☐	Ventriculostomy for CSF drainage	☐	Extremity fracture lower limb_external fixation	☐	Spinal stabilization_cervical	☐	
Craniofacial surgery	☐	Debridement -minimal for penetrating injuries	☐	Extremity fracture upper limb_internal fixation	☐	Spinal stabilization_thoracic	☐	
CSF shunt	☐	Debridement_extensive for penetrating injuries	☐	Extremity fracture upper limb_external fixation	☐	Spinal stabilization_lumbar	☐	
Chronic SDH	☐	Foreign body removal	☐	Fasciotomy	☐	Thoracotomy	☐	
Decompressive craniectomy	☐	Bone flap replacement	☐	Laparotomy_abdomen	☐	Tracheostomy	☐	
Depressed skull fracture	☐	Cranioplasty	☐	Vascular_operative				
Epidural hematoma	☐	Intracranial Surgery Other	☐	Vascular_endovascular treatment				
Intracerebral hematoma	☐				Wound closure_graft			
Infection	☐				Extracranial Surgery Other			
IP				First Name				

Common Data Elements in Traumatic brain Injury Pilot Study Department of Neurosurgery Narayana Medical College, Nellore							
Demographics	Clinical Details	Injury Details	Pre-Hospital and ED Care	Investigations	Management	Outcome	
Discharge				Diagnosis			
Date Discharge							
Discharge Destination Hospital	☐ Discharge home ☐ Discharge other facility ☐ Admission to hospital – ward ☐ Admission to hospital – intermediate/high care unit ☐ Admission to hospital – ICU ☐ Admission to hospital – OT ☐ Admission to hospital – other (e.g. observation unit) ☐ Admission to hospital – Rehabilitation ☐ Unknown ☐ Death			S02.0 Fracture of vault of skull ☐ S02.1 Fracture of base of skull ☐ S02.3 Fracture of the orbital floor ☐ S02.7 Multiple fractures involving skull and facial bones ☐ S02.8 Fractures of other skull and facial bones ☐ S02.9 Fracture of skull and facial bones, part unspecified ☐ S06.0 Concussion ☐ S06.1 Traumatic cerebral oedema ☐ S06.2 Diffuse brain injury ☐ S06.3 Focal brain injury ☐ S06.4 Epidural haemorrhage ☐ S06.5 Traumatic subdural haemorrhage ☐ S06.6 Traumatic subarachnoid haemorrhage ☐ S06.7 Intracranial injury with prolonged coma ☐ S06.8 Other intracranial injuries ☐ S06.9 Intracranial injury, unspecified ☐ S07.1 Crushing injury of skull ☐			
Glasgow Outcome Scale Score at Discharge	☐ Good recovery ☐ Persistent vegetative state ☐ Moderate disability ☐ Death ☐ Severe disability						
Follow up							
Mortality	☐ Found Dead ☐ Died at Scene ☐ Died on arrival at Hospital ☐ Died in Hospital ☐ Died after Discharge						
IP				First Name			

Data variables

Before establishing a multi-center national data registry it is advisable to develop a suitable and concise registry data entry form, database, secure electronic form, availability accessibility to a computer and Internet connection, funded data entry personnel and experienced personnel in trauma injuries in order to continuously maintain and analyze the registry. (1) Many studies have recommended that before starting the data registry it will be useful to define the data set well in advance as it will ensure standardization of variables and will ensure outcome comparison in terms of patient and injury characteristic across many international studies. (2, 19, 24, 30-32) However, the datasets of existing trauma registries frequently lack compatible definitions of common data variables. (33-37) The fundamental principle to develop a data collection form is to avoid a cumbersome forms and the data collection forms should be of simple digital, analogue and 'tick box' design as where possible. (3) It is important to understand that too little data would be having limited value, but too much data could be time-consuming and expensive to collect and administer. (38-42)

Database

Nowadays relational databases are becoming popular as these are simpler and reliable. (1) The database can be developed as a standalone system or as a web-based system which depend on the ultimate purpose of this database. (1) While converting a paper based data registry to computer based registry many technical considerations (include expert advice from information technologists) needs

to be addressed which include selection of hardware, software, operating systems, memory support, and security. (30) It has been found that a simple standalone database is preferred to establish own data registry and a web-based model is preferred to establish a nationwide multi-center trauma registry then a web-based model is preferred. (1) In addition an attractive yet simple user interface will help to simplify the medical terminology and trauma score complexities. (1) To address the issue of an uninterrupted power supply (a condition unattainable in most developing countries) portable computer platforms can be the excellent solution. (43)

Data collection

Real-time data collection is the ideal but needs extensive funding, the continual presence of a dedicated data collector and almost unlikely to be practical in the pre-hospital phase (3) particularly in developing countries. A careful prospective planning for collecting data and full co-operation between pre-hospital and in-hospital personnel is mandatory to minimize the possibility of omission or duplication of data. (3) Further data collection can be optimized and simplified if the baseline data can be imported from the hospital electronic medical record system. (19, 30, 44-46) Data security can be ensured by providing username and password, encrypted data transfer which will give access to only authorized technicians and data managers. (1)

Challenges

The main factors leading to the successful establishment of a multi-center trauma registry are the development of a concise data entry form, development of a user-friendly

secure web-based database system, the availability of a computer and Internet connection in each data collection center, funded data entry personnel well trained in extracting medical data from the medical record and entering it into the computer, and experienced personnel in trauma injuries and data analysis to continuously maintain and analyze the registry. (1, 30) Data collection efforts can be hampered by several difficulties, particularly scarcity of funds and lack of adequately trained staff. (30) The cost can be a major determinant for the successful deployment of a data registry system; however as our previous experience has also revealed data trauma registries can be implemented in a cost-effective manner in developing countries. (7, 29, 30) Further as has been described in the literature our study was conducted in a single tertiary-care academic institution with an electronic record keeping system, full-fledged trauma team and round-the-clock availability of computed tomography (CT) and many other diagnostic modalities and these setting may not reflect the reality of many of the health care facilities in developing countries. (47)

Recommendations

Implementation of the paper trauma registry can be a useful adjunct before the planned implementation of the electronic data collection system. (9) It is evident that a structured reporting system which is based on a uniform template will permit uniform data collection and future statistics and will facilitate and validate independent or comparative audit of performance and quality of care. (48, 49) Although different personnel take part at different stages of trauma care with requirements for data collection, yet there is a

potential for the development of a single unifying model which can include a glossary of terms used in the pre-hospital and early hospital phase, and definitions, time points, and intervals. (3) We agree with the recommendations made in 1996, during the 9th ITACCS Symposium in London, where the working group suggested an urgent need for a common terminology and reporting template to facilitate the acquisition, processing, audit and analysis of data which will not only be compatible but comparable also. (3)

Conclusion

We believe that the present data entry system has the potential to provide a clearer and user friendly descriptive data collection platform based on that a multicenter data entry template can be developed. In the first phase we introduced the paper data collection form, in second phase this data form was converted to an electronic interface. The data collection is continued in the paper form which is now entered into the electronic database for future reference. The next step is to explore the possibility whether it would be feasible to use this as a multi-center traumatic brain injury registry.

Correspondence

Dr. Amit Agrawal
Professor of Neurosurgery
Department of Neurosurgery
Narayana Medical College Hospital
Chinthareddypalem
Nellore-524003
Andhra Pradesh (India)
Email - dramitagrawal@gmail.com
dramit_in@yahoo.com
Mobile - +91-8096410032

References

- Shaban S, Eid H, Barka E, Abu-Zidan F. Towards a national trauma registry for the United Arab Emirates. *BMC Research Notes* 2010;3:187.
- Ringdal KG, Lossius HM, Jones JM, et al. Collecting core data in severely injured patients using a consensus trauma template: an international multicentre study. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R237.
- Dick WF, Baskett PJ. Recommendations for uniform reporting of data following major trauma--the Utstein style. A report of a working party of the International Trauma Anaesthesia and Critical Care Society (ITACCS). *Resuscitation* 1999;42:81-100.
- Lossius HM, Langhelle A, Søreide E, et al. Reporting data following major trauma and analysing factors associated with outcome using the new Utstein style recommendations. *Resuscitation* 2001;50:263-272.
- WHO | Injury surveillance guidelines [online]. Available at: http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/publications/surveillance/surveillance_guidelines/en/.
- ICD-10 Version:2010 [online]. Available at: <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en>.
- Agrawal A, Prasad MV, Kumar SS, Subrahmanyam BV, Harisha PN, Rao GM. Developing a traumatic brain injury registry: lessons learned from difficulties. *Romanian Neurosurgery* 2014;XXI:307-312.
- TBI Standards - NINDS Common Data Elements [online]. Available at: http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/TBI.aspx#tab=Data_Standards.
- Morris SC, Manice N, Nelp T, Tenzin T. Establishing a trauma registry in Bhutan: needs and process. *SpringerPlus* 2013;2:231.
- Burkhardt M, Nienaber U, Holstein JH, et al. Trauma registry record linkage: methodological approach to benefit from complementary data using the example of the German Pelvic Injury Register and the TraumaRegister DGU((R)). *BMC medical research methodology* 2013;13:30.
- Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. *Lancet* 1974;2:81-84.
- Greenspan L, McLellan BA, Greig H. Abbreviated Injury Scale and Injury Severity Score: a scoring chart. *The Journal of Trauma* 1985;25:60-64.
- Marshall LF, Marshall SB, Klauber MR, et al. A new classification of head injury based on computerized tomography. *Special Supplements* 1991;75:S14-S20.
- Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. *Neurosurgery* 1980;6:1-9.
- Frontera JA, Claassen J, Schmidt JM, et al. Prediction of symptomatic vasospasm after subarachnoid hemorrhage: the modified fisher scale. *Neurosurgery* 2006;59:21-27; discussion 21-27.
- Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage: a practical scale. *The Lancet* 1975;305:480-484.
- PSPP - GNU Project - Free Software Foundation [online]. Available at: <http://www.gnu.org/software/pspp/>.
- Report from the 1988 Trauma Registry Workshop, including recommendations for hospital-based trauma registries. *The Journal of trauma* 1989;29:827-834.
- Moore L, Clark DE. The value of trauma registries. *Injury* 2008;39:686-695.
- Clark DE, Hahn DR. Hospital trauma registries linked with population-based data. *The Journal of trauma* 1999;47:448-454.
- Lucas CE, Buechter KJ, Coscia RL, et al. The effect of trauma program registry on reported mortality rates. *The Journal of trauma* 2001;51:1122-1126; discussion 1126.
- Williams JM, Furbee PM, Prescott JE, Paulson DJ. The emergency department log as a simple injury-surveillance tool. *Annals of emergency medicine* 1995;25:686-691.
- Agrawal A, Joharapurkar SR, Golhar KB, Shahapurkar VV. Early tracheostomy in severe head injuries at a rural center. *J Emerg Trauma Shock* 2009;2:56.
- Rutledge R. The goals, development, and use of trauma registries and trauma data sources in decision making in injury. *The Surgical clinics of North America* 1995;75:305-326.
- Agrawal A. Injury surveillance or trauma registry: Need of hour and time to start. *The Indian Journal of Neurotrauma* 2011;8:37-39.
- Agrawal A. Fatal road traffic cranio-cerebral injuries: Time to act and need to study. *The Indian Journal of Neurotrauma* 2012;9:156-157.
- Agrawal A. A critical appraisal of neurotrauma and neurocritical care perspectives of traumatic brain injuries in Indian scenario. *The Indian Journal of Neurotrauma* 2013;10:38-42.
- Agrawal A, Galwankar S, Kapil V, et al. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of traumatic brain injuries in a rural setting in Maharashtra, India. 2007-2009. *International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science*

- 2012;2:167-171.
29. Agrawal A, Kakani A, Baisakhiya N, Galwankar S, Dwivedi S, Pal R. Developing traumatic brain injury data bank: Prospective study to understand the pattern of documentation and presentation. *The Indian Journal of Neurotrauma* 2012;9:87-92.
30. Nwomeh BC, Lowell W, Kable R, Haley K, Ameh EA. History and development of trauma registry: lessons from developed to developing countries. *World journal of emergency surgery* 2006;1:32.
31. Nathens AB, Brunet FP, Maier RV. Development of trauma systems and effect on outcomes after injury. *Lancet* 2004;363:1794-1801.
32. Ringdal KG, Coats TJ, Lefering R, et al. The Utstein template for uniform reporting of data following major trauma: a joint revision by SCANTEM, TARN, DGU-TR and RITG. *Scandinavian journal of trauma, resuscitation and emergency medicine* 2008;16:7.
33. Edwards A, Di Bartolomeo S, Chierigato A, et al. A comparison of European Trauma Registries. The first report from the EuroTARN Group. *Resuscitation* 2007;75:286-297.
34. Garthe E. Overview of trauma registries in the United States. *J AHIMA* 1997;68:26, 28-32; quiz 33-24.
35. Mann NC, Guice K, Cassidy L, Wright D, Koury J. Are statewide trauma registries comparable? Reaching for a national trauma dataset. *Academic emergency medicine* 2006;13:946-953.
36. Owen JL, Bolenbaucher RM, Moore ML. Trauma registry databases: a comparison of data abstraction, interpretation, and entry at two level I trauma centers. *The journal of trauma* 1999;46:1100.
37. Ringdal KG, Lossius HM. Feasibility of comparing core data from existing trauma registries in scandinavia. Reaching for a Scandinavian major trauma outcome study (MTOS). *Scand J Surg* 2007;96:325-331.
38. Cameron PA, Finch CF, Gabbe BJ, Collins LJ, Smith KL, McNeil JJ. Developing Australia's first statewide trauma registry: what are the lessons? *ANZ journal of surgery* 2004;74:424-428.
39. Zafar H, Rehmani R, Raja AJ, Ali A, Ahmed M. Registry based trauma outcome: perspective of a developing country. *Emergency medicine journal* 2002;19:391-394.
40. Podang J, Singhasivanon P, Podhipak A, Santikarn C, Sarol JN, Ancheta CA. Primary verification: is the TRISS appropriate for Thailand? *The Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health* 2004;35:188-194.
41. Onwudike M, Olaloye OA, Oni OO. Teaching hospital perspective of the quality of trauma care in Lagos, Nigeria. *World journal of surgery* 2001;25:112-115.
42. Talwar S, Jain S, Porwal R, Laddha BL, Prasad P. Trauma scoring in a developing country. *Singapore medical journal* 1999;40:386-388.
43. Acosta JA, Hatzigeorgiou C, Smith LS. Developing a trauma registry in a forward deployed military hospital: Preliminary report. *The Journal of trauma* 2006;61:256-260.
44. Schultz CR, Ford HR, Cassidy LD, et al. Development of a hospital-based trauma registry in Haiti: an approach for improving injury surveillance in developing and resource-poor settings. *The Journal of trauma* 2007;63:1143-1154.
45. Cameron PA, Gabbe BJ, Cooper DJ, Walker T, Judson R, McNeil J. A statewide system of trauma care in Victoria: effect on patient survival. *Med J Aust* 2008;189:546-550.
46. Probst C, Paffrath T, Krettek C, Pape H. Comparative Update on Documentation of Trauma in Seven National Registries. *European Journal of Trauma* 2006;32:357-364.
47. Mehmood A, Razzak JA. Trauma registry—needs and challenges in developing countries. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2009;59:807-808.
48. Cummins RO, Chamberlain D, Hazinski MF, et al. Recommended guidelines for reviewing, reporting, and conducting research on in-hospital resuscitation: the in-hospital 'Utstein style'. A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, the Australian Resuscitation Council, and the Resuscitation Councils of Southern Africa. *Resuscitation* 1997;34:151-183.
49. Spaite D, Benoit R, Brown D, et al. Uniform pre-hospital data elements and definitions: a report from the uniform pre-hospital emergency medical services data conference. *Annals of emergency medicine* 1995;25:525-534.