DROUGHT RISK ANALYSIS USING NEURAL NETWORKS FOR AGRI-BUSINESSES AND PROJECTS IN LEJWELEPUTSWA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, SOUTH AFRICA

BERNARD MOEKETSI HLALELE

*Department of business support, Faculty of Management Sciences, Central University of Technology,
Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa*

ABSTRACT

Drought is a complicated natural phenomenon that creates significant economic, social and environmental problems. An analysis of paleoclimatic data indicates that severe and extended droughts are inevitable part of natural climatic circle. This study characterised drought in Lejweleputswa using both Standardised Precipitation Index (SPI) and neural networks (NN) to quantify and predict respectively. A monthly 37-year long time series precipitation data was obtained from online NASA database. Prior to final analysis this dataset was checked for outliers using SPSS. Outliers were removed and replaced by Expectation Maximum algorithm from SPSS. This was followed by both homogeneity and stationarity tests to ensure non-spurious results. A non-parametric Mann Kendall's test was used to detect monotonic trends present in the dataset. Two temporal scales SPI-3 and SPI-12 corresponding to agricultural and hydrological drought events showed statistically decreasing trends with p-value of 0.0006 and 4.9×10^{-7} respectively. The study area has been plagued with severe drought events on SPI-3 while on SPI-12 it showed approximately a 20-year circle. The concluded the analyses with a seasonal analysis that showed no significant trend patterns., and as such NN was used to predict possible SPI-3 for the last season of 2018/2019 and four seasons for 2020. The predicted drought intensities ranged from mild to extreme drought events to come. It is therefore recommended that farmers, agri-business owners and other relevant stakeholders to resort to drought resistant crops as means of adaption.

KEYWORDS: *Drought, Risk, Neural Networks, Agri-Businesses, Project, Lejweleputswa*

Received: Jun 17, 2020; **Accepted:** Jul 07, 2020; **Published:** Nov 06, 2020; **Paper Id.:** IJMPERDOCT202026

INTRODUCTION

A period in time whereby conditions are drier than usual and there is a lesser amount of rainfall than normal for a long period of time; for several weeks, months and even years which lead to water-related problems is defined as drought (Praveen, Ramachandran, Jaganathan, Krishnaveni, & Palanivelu, 2016). Nevertheless, there is no specific definition of drought; but it can be classified into four essential types. In this study, drought is described as a severe state which is has undesirable dry spell which has negative impacts on the economy, society and environment. The four essential types of drought are: meteorological drought, hydrological drought, agricultural drought and socio-economic drought. (i) Meteorological drought is simply the degree of dryness compared to normal conditions and this is irregular over time and certain regions (Olivares Campos, 2016), (ii) hydrological drought on the other hand is deficient rainfall which results into grave reduction in run-off streamflow, inflow into storage reservoirs and revitalizing of groundwater (Orlando Olivares & Zingaretti, 2018), (iii) Agricultural drought refers is a deficit of soil moisture to sustain plants and livestock in that way causing weakened growth and reduced produce (Tefera, Ayoade, & Bello, 2019) and Socio-economic drought is a period

in time whereby human activities are negatively affected by reduction in water availability and precipitation. (Praveen et al., 2016). As much as all these types of drought have a linkage, one leads to another and every now and then overlaps; thus, agricultural drought is regarded as of prime importance and a roadmap in this study. The figure 1 below illustrates the interrelationship of the types of drought.

Figure 1: Sequence of drought types' occurrence and their impacts

Source: National Drought Mitigation Centre, 2012.

Key driving forces behind drought events

El Niño-Southern Oscillations

Despite the fact that numerous continents around the world pass through the separation between two hemispheres, Africa is the only continent that lies within them all and is affected by numerous climatic conditions. (Roman-Cuesta, 2007). As a result, this continent receives conventional rainfall. (Choi, An Prof., Yeh, & Yu, 2013). This also escalates to different climatic conditions such as El Nino. El Nino is a recurring climate pattern which is caused by changes in temperatures of water by warming the eastern Pacific, and therefore affecting the global climate. (Ali & Ali, 2011). This natural occurrence is responsible for exacerbating drought events in the southern hemisphere. (Ali & Ali, 2011). In accordance to Keil, Zeller, Wida, Sanim, & Birner (2008), drought is a resultant of the shifting, changing weather patterns. On the other hand, it is also a belief that droughts chiefly transpire because of natural occurrences due to the earth and atmospheric systems. In contrast, Granzow-de la Cerda, Lloret, Ruiz & Vandermeer (2012) are of the belief that no one knows for sure why droughts occur, but many scientists believe that there is an affiliation between drought occurrence and El Nino events. Thorough comprehension of the relationships between droughts and repeated changes in high and low pressures from one side of the Pacific to the other linked with La Nina allow scientists to formulate improved predictions of this calamitous drought hazard. (Ryu, Svoboda, Lenters, Tadesse, Knutson 2010). Both El Nino and La Nina form the El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle. ENSO is a recurring climate pattern whereby temperature

fluctuate between the ocean and atmosphere in the east-central Equatorial Pacific, approximately between the International Date Line and 120 degrees West (Olivares Campos, 2016). Nevertheless, these two events happen every 2-7 years with El Nino events occurring more often than those of La Nina (Tefera et al., 2019). There has been a rising trend in global weather disasters since 1980, and with extreme climatic events such as droughts. (Orlando Olivares & Zingaretti, 2018). Comprehending phenomena such as ENSO is pivotal because of the possibility that it could cause an enormous loss of property, destruction to the environment and the loss of human life. Conjectures by ENSO, tracing and monitoring is of a significant role to insurers, government authorities and other pertinent stakeholders for drought management for proactive planning against unfavourable impacts such as acute climatic changes like drought events. (Praveen et al., 2016)

Solar Activity (Sunspots number)

Sunspots could also be another source associated with drought. Sunspots commonly last for a period of approximately 11 years. (Minckley, Roulston, & Williams, 2013). They are described as cool surface areas on the sun that are visible in pairs and are darker in comparison with other parts of the sun. These spots have a strong magnetic field and rotate like a giant hurricane. (Mèthy, Damesin, & Rambal, 1996). These authors also affirm that several authors have acknowledged that sunspots have impacts on temperature, precipitation, length of growing seasons, air circulation, atmospheric pressure, high altitude, wind speed and other natural phenomena around the world. Furthermore, Xiao & Zhuang (2007) construct solar activity as a main cause of cyclic deviations of the global climate through triggering of the evaporation processes. Scientists have also shown that sunspot numbers and drought events are correlated. For instance, during solar activity- drought events take place at solar maximum (Solheim, Stordahl, & Humlum, 2011). This occurrence is related to climatic conditions where temperatures become high during solar maximum (Minnis, 1958). Solar energy is the principal energy source as well as control on evaporation; therefore, distributions of insulation and evaporation are strongly linked. (Siingh et al., 2011). The energy from the sun is the central source of energy present for heating the surface of the planet earth. This energy supplied by the sun is an outcome of its activity and it differs with time. The major cycle of the sun is eleven years. The major cause of drought events is believed to be the solar activity (Ghormar, 2014). The coefficient of correlation between insulation and evaporation ranges between 0.820 and 0.948 and values of the calculated solar radiation are used in the computation of the Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) in Penman equation (Abarca del Rio, Gambis, Salstein, Nelson, & Dai, 2003). The solar radiation that falls on the earth's surface depends on the distance it travels to the object and the angle at which rays hit an area or object. (Mèthy et al., 1996). The universal law for the intensity of radiation, distinctively the sine law of sunlight states that the sunlight always strikes the high latitude obliquely, so it spreads out more and is less intense. (Minckley et al., 2013).

Drought Impacts

There are several impacts of drought and these include: mass starvation, famine and a pause or sometimes an end to economic activity particularly in areas where rain fed agriculture is the main stay of the rural economy (4, n.d.). It is generally known that drought is the chief cause of forced human migration and environmental refugees, deadly conflicts over the use of diminishing natural resources, food insecurity and starvation, a damage to significant habitations and as well as loss of biological diversity; volatility of socio-economic conditions, poverty and unpredictable climatic conditions through reduced carbon sequestration possibility (Roman-Cuesta, 2007). Drought and desertification impacts are among the pricey incidents or occurrences in Africa, for instance, the prevalent destitution as well as the unstable economy of many African countries which in actuality depend on

climate-sensitive segments such as rain-fed agriculture. All plants and animal life present in a particular region which are not resilient to drought are most likely to go into extinction. (Nagamuthu&Rajendram, 2015). The collective results of drought and bush burning (during dry seasons) have made the plants to go extinct and animals to drift into safer places. Drought, land degradation and desertification have had grave impacts on the richness and variety of fauna and flora (Francisco, 2013). Moreover, plants biodiversity will alter with time, unpleasant species will dominate, and total biomass production will dwindle (Khan & Gomes, 2019). Plants and animals are reliant on water, like people. Drought can minimize their food supplies and damage their habitats. Occasionally, this damage lasts for only a limited period of time, and other times it is irrevocable. Drought can also affect people's health and safety. For example, drought impacts on society include anxiety or depression about economic losses, conflicts due to water shortages, reduction of income, fewer recreational activities, and increase of heat stroke incidents and sometimes loss of human lives. Moreover, drought conditions can also grant a considerable increase in wildfire risk. This is due to withering of plants and trees from lack of precipitation, scourge insect infestations, and diseases, all of which are associated with drought. (Prokurat, 2015). Lengthy periods of drought can cause more wildfires and more powerful wildfires, which impinge on the economy, the environment as well as the society in a number of ways like destroying neighbourhoods, crops and habitats (Do Amaral, Cunha, Marchezini, Lindoso, Saito, & Dos Santos Alvala, 2019). Again, drought not only always offers similar instant and remarkable visuals related with occurrences such as hurricanes and tornadoes, but it still has a huge price tag. In point of fact, droughts are the second in rank types of phenomena that are associated with billion-dollar weather disasters for the past three decades (Nagamuthu&Rajendram, 2015). With staggering yearly losses close to \$9 billion annually, drought is a severe hazard with socioeconomic risks for most African countries. (Siingh et al., 2011). These pricey drought impacts come in different forms. For instance, the economic impacts of drought include farmers who lose money because drought destroyed their crops or worse ranchers who may have to spend more money for animal feeds and irrigation of their crops. Economic impacts can either be direct or indirect. Directly, it could be a decrease in dairy production and indirectly, it could be observed through increases in the price of the cheese (Francisco, 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The monthly rainfall dataset of this study was obtained from National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) data portal. This dataset was used as the only input parameter for Standardized Precipitation Index computation. Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) is plainly described as a normalised index that signifies a likelihood of a rainfall occurrence of an observed rainfall amount in comparison with the rainfall climatology at a particular geographical location over a long-term reference period (Siingh et al., 2011). Furthermore, Yusof, Hui-Mean, Suhaila, Yusop, &Ching-Yee (2014) affirm that SPI is a probability index that offers an enhanced demonstration of both abnormal wetness and dryness than any Palmer indices such as Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). The value of this index is that it can be computed for different time scales, for that reason, issuing early warning of drought and its severity (Gaas, 2018). This index is appropriate for risk management purposes (Verma, Verma, Yadu, &Murmu, (2016). Moreover, this index is advantageous in that precipitation is the only parameter in its computation therefore making it less complex. Conversely, the weakness of this index is that it can only compute the precipitation deficit; values founded on initial data may alter, and values vary as the period of record grows (Jordan, 2017). The table 1 below illustrates the values of SPI categorisation.

Table 1: SPI values

SPI Value	Category	Probability %
≥ 2.0	Extremely wet	2.3
1.5 to 1.99	Very wet	4.4
1 to 1.49	Moderately wet	9.2
-0.99 to 0.99	Near normal	34.1
-1.0 to -1.49	Moderately dry	9.2
-1.5 to -1.99	Severely dry	4.4
≤ -2.0	Extremely dry	2.3

Source: Hlalele, 2016

The SPI calculations are founded on the fact that precipitation increases over a fixed time scale of interest, for instance ; SPI-3, SPI-6, SPI-9, SPI-12, SPI-24 and SPI-48, so from that a series is integrated in a gamma probability distribution which is apt for this climatological precipitation time series. (Yusof et al., 2014). The gamma distribution is described by the following density function.

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} \quad \text{for } x > 0 \quad (1)$$

Where α and β are estimated for each station as well as for each month of the year.

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4A} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4A}{3}} \right)$$

$$\beta = \frac{\bar{x}}{\alpha}$$

where $A = \ln(\bar{x}) - \frac{\sum \ln(x)}{n}$, and n = number of observations (2)

After these parameters have been estimated then their resulting values are used to calculate cumulative probability as;

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(x) dx = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} dx \quad (3)$$

In cases where $t=x/\beta$ then an incomplete gamma function becomes

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (4)$$

Since gamma function is undefined at $x=0$ then the cumulative probability is calculated from the following equation (Rahmat, Jayasuriya, & Bhuiyan, 2012):

$$H(x) = q + (1 - q) G(x), \quad (5)$$

where q is the probability of a zero and $G(x)$ the cumulative probability of the incomplete gamma function. If m is the number of zeros in a precipitation time series, then q can be estimated by m/n . The cumulative probability is then transformed to the standard normal random variable z with mean zero and variance one, which is the value of the SPI (Yusof et al., 2014). In the event where it is standardized, the potency of the irregularity is categorised as illustrated in Table 1, where the same table also demonstrates the corresponding probabilities.

Data Quality Control

Homogeneity tests are carried out to scrutinize statistical properties of a certain dataset in statistics. In essence, the tests thoroughly look at the location, stability and variations which are local within the time series over time (Abraham & Yatawara, 1998). The author also confirms that this occurrence is the same as testing statistical distribution, for that reason identifying if there are any changes in the distribution. The test is also carried out to evade false or unauthentic results from the data sets. (HosseinzadehTalaee, Kouchakzadeh, & Shifter Some'e, 2014). A non-parametric Pettitt's test was used. Outliers and missing were identified and substituted by Expectation Maximum algorithm (EIM) with the help of SPSS software. EM is described as a statistical algorithm appropriate to be used when there are missing or hidden values in the data sets (Lobato & Velasco, 2004). Tan & Yilmaz (2002) construct that EM is a well-liked too used in statistical estimation problems that involve data which is incomplete. Likewise, Technology & Bay (2001) define EM as an algorithm that allows parameter estimation in probabilistic models with data which is not complete.

Before carrying out any data analysis, it is essential to assess the apparent proof of patterns and trends in the climate data (Kliewer & Mertins, 1997). Non-parametric Mann-Kendall test is used in the study to assess if any trends existed. This test is universally used to identify monotonic trends in series of environmental, climate and hydrological dataset. The null hypothesis (H_0) means that data came from a population with autonomous realisations is identically distributed. The alternative hypothesis (H_a), means that data follows a monotonic trend. The Mann-Kendall statistic indicates how strong and weak two variables are associated and show correlation direction (Kliewer & Mertins, 1997). One of the advantages of this statistic is that, the data does not necessarily have to follow any definite probability distribution. The test was conducted simultaneously with a non-parametric Pettitt's test to gauge data homogeneity and descriptive statistics.

Parameters used to characterise drought

The temporal characteristics are those features of a hazard associated with time and they are commonly linked with questions such as the following: When does the hazard occur? What is the frequency of the occurrence? What is the duration of the hazard? How fast do they hit and how conventional are they? (Andreadis, 2005; Van Niekerk, 2011). Drought intensity is described in numerous ways by different academics; nevertheless, in accordance to Pope et al (2013) intensity is a degree of insufficient rainfall. The authors further explain that, intensity can be defined as a result of duration as well as intensity. Abdulmaleket *et al.* (2013) affirms that drought intensity gauges how far rainfall is below the average precipitation of the region. Understanding intensity can be used as a way of ascertaining the feasible impact of a hazard on communities. Understanding intensity can be used as a way of ascertaining the feasible impact of a hazard on communities as well as the levels of risk at which elements are exposed to (Van Niekerk, 2011). This aspect of drought is conveyed in several parameters such as the Standard Total Accumulative Dry Spell (STCD), Average Dry Spell Index (ADSI), Longest Multi year Drought (LMYD) and Largest Single Year Drought (LSYD) (Abdulmaleket *et al.*, 2013). STCD signify the total cumulative drought index used. One more parameter used to quantify the same

aspect is ADSI. LMYD and LSYD are other parameters obtained from drought indices such as SPI whose high values have negative outcomes on every facet of the environment, including socio-economic situation of communities. ADSI values offer valuable knowledge on the region's characteristics essential for arrangement of water resources as well as irrigation projects. Areas with low values need special attention. Likewise, The ADSI values are of great significance to decision makers for the planning of agricultural projects of the affected areas for future. The LSYD also is significant to take into consideration in crop cultivation given that crops barely survive its high values. So, these four parameters are defined by the equations below:

$$ADSI = STCD/N \quad (6)$$

where N=total number of years of the series

$$LMYD = \text{Maximum of any successive years} \quad (7)$$

$$LSYD = \text{Maximum drought index value of the single year} \quad (8)$$

Drought Frequency and Duration Analysis

For a long-term planning to be effective in water projects such as irrigation and dam sizing purposes, there has to be an analysis of both dry and wet spells from a climatic and hydro-meteorological standpoint. (Abdulmaleket *al.*, 2013). In drought analysis a period declared as dry when $SPI < 0$. These are some of the parameters used to calculate the drought duration: Longest Dry Spell Duration (LDSD), Drought Tendency (DT) and Average Dry Spell Duration (ADSD). LDSD is described as the highest of any consecutive dry spells that occurred on one occasion through the study record N. High values of both LDSD and ADSD it means that water resources planning must be considered in that particular region. Nevertheless, DT is the ratio which involves the total number of dry spell cases to the wet spell cases. This parameter measures the predisposition of the study area which suffers from the dry spells, thus it measures the frequency of a hazard under consideration. These are defined by the following equations:

$$LDSD = \sum_i^N Di, i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (9)$$

$$DT = \sum D / \sum W \quad (10)$$

$$ADSD = \sum D / N \quad (11)$$

If successive dry spell cases (D) are followed by a wet spell, like D, D, D, W, D then $\sum D = 4$, and $N = 2$ since an interrupted sequence of several cases of (D) constitute only one dry spell event.

A frequency analysis offers an early warning system. Disaster managers and appropriate stakeholders have the ability to foresee when the next incident will take place. (Sobrinho et al. 2011). Hydro-climatic hazards such as drought have a propensity of following a seasonal pattern. When the number of times and the length of a hazard such as drought are known, such knowledge helps in planning accordingly (Hlalele, 2016). In a frequency analysis, approximation of the probability of an incidence of future occurrences is established on a primary base for risk management. (Yulianget *al.* 2014). Again, it is used to foresee how frequently a hazard event happens over space and time (Des Jardins, 2012).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 2 shows a map of Free State province in South Africa where the study area (Lejweleputswa is located within the province. Lejweleputswa is one of the five districts in the province that was negatively affected by the 2015/16 drought disaster. This is a

place where the highly productive areas such as Bothaville are located. Free State is said to be the breadbasket of South Africa where most maize production is, therefore, an analysis of drought in the area serves as an early warning system to the farmers and all other water users.

Figure 2: Free State Province showing districts

Source: <https://municipalities.co.za/>

A preliminary analysis was conducted to explore the precipitation dataset. Figure 3 shows a plot of Lejweleputswa precipitation from 1981 to 2018. A non-parametric Mann Kendall's test was used to detect trends in the precipitation data. There was no statistically decreasing or increasing trend detected as the p-value was found to be 0.055 that is slightly above the significance level of 0.05. Table 2 shown descriptive statistics where the minimum and maximum precipitation are 0.0mm and 241,650 mm respectively. The standard deviation was found to be above the mean value of 42.362mm. Prior to further analysis two tests were conducted, homogeneity and stationarity tests. These are conducted to ensure that final results are free errors. A non-significant p-value of homogeneity implies that the dataset was homogenous and can be used for further analysis. The p-value for homogeneity was 0,138 and above the significance level of 0.05 as shown in tables 3. Stationarity test results also showed stationary condition of the dataset about the mean over the years, implying that further analysis could be conducted, and results can be representative of the current situation form the data. A one-tailed Dickey-fuller test with a significant p-value < 0,0001 was found as shown in table 4.

Figure 3: Lejweleputswa district precipitation plot (1981-2018)

Table 2: Summary statistics precipitation

Variable	Observations	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation
Precipitation	456	0,000	241,650	42,362	43,518

Table 3: Pettitt's test (value)

K	7207,000
t	2010
p-value (Two-tailed)	0,138
alpha	0,05

Table 4: Dickey-Fuller test (ADF (stationary) precipitation

Tau (Observed value)	-10,634
Tau (Critical value)	-3,389
p-value (one-tailed)	< 0,0001
alpha	0,05

A drought monitoring and prediction (DMAP) software was used to further investigate drought conditions at 3- and 12-month time scales. Figures 4 and 5 show SPI-3 and SPI-12 plot for the study area. In both plots, a Mann Kendall's test indicated significantly statistical decreasing trends with p-values ≤ 0.001 and 4.9×10^{-7} on SPI-3 and SPI-12 respectively. These conditions indicate potential risks of drought in the study area.

Figure 4: Lejweleputswa district SPI-3 plot (1981-2018)

Figure 5: Lejweleputswa district SPI-12 plot (1981-2018)

DMAP software was again used to further investigate drought severities on these two time scales, SPI-3 and SPI-12. Figure 6 and 7 show the study area under frequent drought events 3 and 12 months' time scale respectively, where the 1992/3 and 2015/16 drought events were most severe in the period 1981 to 2018. The same condition is detected by SPI-12 where 2015/16 was the driest year where the study area was under drought disaster as declared by the South African government.

Figure 6: Free State Province districts' hydrological drought (SPI-3) severity

Figure 7: Free State Province districts' hydrological drought (SPI-12) severity

In south Africa, only specific seasons are very important for agricultural activities. As such four seasons, winter (May-July), Spring (Aug-Oct), Summer (Nov-Jan) and Autumn (Feb-April) were selected to provide more relevant information for the farmers in the study area. Figure 8 shows a plot seasonal drought values against hydrological years from 1981 to 2018. A Mann Kendall's test was not statistically significant with a p-value of 0.099. This was contradictory to the trends in SPI-3 and SPI-12 where trends were statistically decreasing. Therefore, this meant predictions were more difficult given that no trend was detected. In order make reliable predictions, a machine learning prediction tool (neural networks) was applied to provide drought conditions

in the next 5 seasons. Table 5 shows the model structure of the neural network's algorithm. The model used 12 neurons in both inner and hidden layers and 1 in the outer layer with the mean square error of 0.097906. The model was able to predict that the 2020 two seasons would be under extreme agricultural drought as drought intensity values were below -2 as shown in table 6.

Figure 8: Lejweleputswa district seasonal drought plot (1981-2018)

Table 5: Neural Network model structure

Included Observation	140 (After Adjusting Endpoints)
Network Architecture	
Input Layer Neurons	12
Hidden Layer Neurons	12
Output Layer Neurons	1
Activation Function	BipolarSigmoidFunction
Back Propagation Learning	
Learning Rate	0.05
Momentum	0.5
Criteria	
Error	0.801467
MSE	0.097906
MAE	0.223453

Table 6: Neural Network Forecasted SPI-3 values

Year	Season	SPI-3 value
2018 - 2019	Aug-Oct	1.60
2019-2020	Nov-Jan	-2.93
2019-2020	Feb-Apr	-0.68
2019-2020	May-Jul	-2.45
2019-2020	Aug-Oct	-1.47

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, two temporal scales SPI-3 and SPI-12 corresponding to agricultural and hydrological drought events showed

statistically decreasing trends with p-value of 0.0006 and 4.9×10^{-7} respectively. The study area has been plagued with severe drought events on SPI-3 while on SPI-12 it showed approximately a 20-year circle. The concluded the analyses with a seasonal analysis that showed no significant trend patterns., and as such NN was used to predict possible SPI-3 for the last season of 2018/2019 and four seasons for 2020. The predicted drought intensities ranged from mild to extreme drought events to come. It is therefore recommended that farmers, agri-business owners and other relevant stakeholders to resort to drought resistant crops as means of adaption.

REFERENCES

1. Abarca del Rio, R., Gambis, D., Salstein, D., Nelson, P., & Dai, A. (2003). Solar activity and earth rotation variability. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 36(3), 423–443. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707\(03\)00060-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(03)00060-7)
2. Abdulmalek, A., Asheikh&Tarawneh, Q.Y. 2013. An analysis of Dry spell patterns Intensity and duration in Saudi Arabia. *Middle East Journal of Scientific Research*. 13(3): 314-327.
3. Abraham, B., &Yatawara, N. (1988). a Score Test for Detection of Time Series Outliers. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 9(2), 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9892.1988.tb00458.x>
5. Andreadis, K. 2005. Trends in 20th century drought characteristics over the continental UnitedStates.http://www.hydro.washington.edu/Lettenmaier/Presentations/2005/andreadis_UBCUW_2005.pdf. Date of access: 20 Oct. 2019.
7. Ali, W., & Ali, B. W. (2011). Drought and drought mangement.
8. Choi, J., An Prof., S. Il, Yeh, S. W., & Yu, J. Y. (2013). ENSO-like and ENSO-induced tropical pacific decadal variability in CGCMs. *Journal of Climate*, 26(5), 1485–1501. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00118.1>
9. Des Jardins, D. 2012. Incorporating drought risk from climate change into california water planning.http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/docs/comments111312/deirdre_desjardins_2.pdf.Date of access: 01 Oct. 2019.
11. Do Amaral Cunha, A. P. M., Marchezini, V., Lindoso, D. P., Saito, S. M., & Dos Santos Alvalá, R. C. (2019). The challenges of consolidation of a drought-related disaster risk warning system to Brazil. *SustentabilidadeEm Debate*, 10(1), 43–59. <https://doi.org/10.18472/SustDeb.v10n1.2019.19380>
12. Francisco, A. R. L. (2013). 濟無No Title No Title. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
13. Gaas, A. O. (2018). Impact Assessment of Recurrent Droughts on Agricultural and Pastoral Communities in Somaliland. 6(4), 1411–1421.
14. Ghormar, B. K. T. B. R. (2014). Solar Interplanetary and Geomagnetic Activity in Solar Cycle 23. 2(07), 1–2.
15. Granzow-de la Cerda, Í., Lloret, F., Ruiz, J. E., &Vandermeer, J. H. (2012). Tree mortality following ENSO-associated fires and drought in lowland rain forests of Eastern Nicaragua. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 265, 248–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.10.034>
16. Hlalele, B.M. 2016. A probabilistic approach to drought frequency analysis in Mafube Local

17. Municipality, South Africa. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 7(6): 3008-3015.
18. HosseinzadehTalaee, P., Kouchakzadeh, M., &ShiftehSome'e, B. (2014). Homogeneity analysis of precipitation series in Iran. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 118(1–2), 297–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-1074-y>
19. Jordan, A. J. (2017). *Vulnerability, Adaptation to and Coping with Drought: The Case of Commercial and Subsistence Rainfed Farming in the Eastern Cape*. WRC Report Number: TT716/1/17. In Water Research Commission.
20. Keil, A., Zeller, M., Wida, A., Sanim, B., &Birner, R. (2008). What determines farmers' resilience towards ENSO-related drought? An empirical assessment in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Climatic Change*, 86(3–4), 291–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9326-4>
21. Khan, S. M., & Gomes, J. (2019). Drought in Ethiopia: A Population Health Equity Approach to Build Resilience for the Agro-Pastoralist Community. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 11(2), 42. <https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v11n2p42>
22. Klierwer, J., & Mertins, A. (1997). Design of paraunitary oversampled cosine-modulated filter banks. *ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing - Proceedings*, 3, 2073–2076. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.1997.599355>
23. Lobato, I. N., & Velasco, C. (2004). A simple test of normality for time series. *Econometric Theory*, 20(4), 671–689. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466604204030>
24. Méthy, M., Damesin, C., & Rambal, S. (1996). Drought and photosystem II activity in two Mediterranean oaks. *Annales Des Sciences Forestières*, 53(2–3), 255–262. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19960208>
25. Minckley, R. L., Roulston, T. H., & Williams, N. M. (2013). Resource assurance predicts specialist and generalist bee activity in drought. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1759). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2703>
26. Minnis, C. M. (1958). Solar activity and the ionosphere. *Nature*, 181(4608), 543–544. <https://doi.org/10.1038/181543a0>
27. Nagamuthu, P., & Rajendram, K. (2015). Occurrences of Flood Hazards in the Northern Region of Sri Lanka. *J. S. Asian Stud*, 03(03), 363–376. Retrieved from <http://www.escijournals.net/JSAS>
28. National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC), 2012. What is drought?
29. <http://drought.unl.edu/DroughtBasics/WhatisDrought.aspx>. Date of access: 11 Nov. 2019.
30. Olivares Campos, B. O. (2016). Análisis temporal de la sequíameteorológicaenlocalidadessemiáridas de Venezuela. *UGCiencia*, 22(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.18634/ugcj.22v.1i.481>
31. Orlando Olivares, B., & Zingaretti, M. L. (2018). Análisis de la sequíameteorológicaencuatrollocalidadesagrícolas de Venezuela mediante la combinación de métodosmultivariados. *UNED Research Journal*, 10(1), 181–192. <https://doi.org/10.22458/urj.v10i1.2026>
32. Praveen, D., Ramachandran, A., Jaganathan, R., Krishnaveni, E., & Palanivelu, K. (2016). Projecting droughts in the purview of climate change under RCP 4.5 for the coastal districts of South India. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.87677/ijst/2016/v9i6/87677>
33. Prokurat, S. (2015). Drought and water shortages in Asia as a threat and economic problem. *Journal of Modern Science*, 26(3), 235–250.
34. Rahmat, S. N., Jayasuriya, N., & Bhuiyan, M. (2012). Trend analysis of drought using Standardised Precipitation Index (SPI) in Victoria, Australia. *Proceedings of the 34th Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium, HWRS 2012*, (1996), 441–448.
35. Román-Cuesta, R. M. (2007). Environmental and human factors influencing fire trends in ENSO and non-ENSO years in tropical Mexico (*Ecological Application* (2003) 13, (1177-1192)). *Ecological Applications*, 17(5), 1555. <https://doi.org/10.1890/1051->

0761(2007)17[1555:E]2.0.CO;2

36. Potop, V., Boroneant, C., Možný, M., Štěpánek, P. & Skalák, P. 2013. Observed spatiotemporal
37. characteristics of drought on various time scales over the Czech Republic. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*. 112(4): 1-21.
38. Ryu, J. H., Svoboda, M. D., Lenters, J. D., Tadesse, T., & Knutson, C. L. (2010). Potential extents for ENSO-driven hydrologic drought forecasts in the United States. *Climatic Change*, 101(3), 575–597. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-009-9705-0>
39. Singh, D., Singh, R. P., Singh, A. K., Kulkarni, M. N., Gautam, A. S., & Singh, A. K. (2011). Solar Activity, Lightning and Climate. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 32(6), 659–703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-011-9127-1>
40. Sobrino, J.A. & Julien, Y., 2011. Global trends in NDVI-derived parameters obtained from GIMMS
41. data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32(15): 4267- 4279.
42. Solheim, J.-E., Stordahl, K., & Humlum, O. (2011). Solar Activity and Svalbard Temperatures. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2011, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/543146>
43. Tadić, T., Dadić, T. & Bosak, M. 2015. Comparison of different drought assessment methods in
44. continental Croatia. DOI: 10.14256/JCE.1088.2014. Date of access: 20 Sep. 2019.
45. Tan, B., & Yilmaz, K. (2002). Markov chain test for time dependence and homogeneity: An analytical and empirical evaluation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 137(3), 524–543. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(01\)00081-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(01)00081-9)
46. Technology, B., & Bay, H. K. (2001). Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Patras, 26500 Rion, Patras Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Patras 1 Computer Science Department, University of Ioannina. (October).
47. Tefera, A. S., Ayoade, J. O., & Bello, N. J. (2019). Comparative analyses of SPI and SPEI as drought assessment tools in Tigray Region, Northern Ethiopia. *SN Applied Sciences*, 1(10). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1326-2>
48. Van Niekerk, D. 2011. Introduction to disaster risk reduction. Potchefstroom: The African Centre
49. for Disaster Studies NWU Potchefstroom.
50. Verma, M. K., Verma, M. K., Yadu, L. K., & Murmu, M. (2016). Drought analysis in the seonath river basin using reconnaissance drought index and standardised precipitation index. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 7(6), 714–719.
51. Xiao, J., & Zhuang, Q. (2007). Drought effects on large fire activity in Canadian and Alaskan forests. *Environmental Research Letters*, 2(4), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/044003>
52. Yuliang, Z., Li, L., Ping, Z., Juliang, J., Jianqiang, L. & Chengguo, W. 2014. Identification of drought
53. and frequency analysis of drought characteristics based on palmer drought severity index model. *The Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*. 30(23):174-184.
54. Yusof, F., Hui-Mean, F., Suhaila, J., Yusop, Z., & Ching-Yee, K. (2014). Rainfall characterisation by application of standardised precipitation index (SPI) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 115(3–4), 503–516. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0918-9>