7

BUILDING A COMPARATIVE STARCH REFERENCE COLLECTION FOR INDONESIA AND ITS APPLICATION TO PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Carol J. Lentfer

School of Social Science
University of Queensland
St. Lucia, QLD 4072 Australia
Email: c.lentfer@uq.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Indonesia has a very long record of hominin occupation involving at least three human species. It also has a rich diversity of plants and a suite of economically important starch-rich staples that include taro (*Colocasia esculenta*), yams (*Dioscorea* spp.), bananas (*Musa* spp.) and sago palms. However very little is known about the prehistory of plant exploitation in the island archipelago. Key archaeological issues that are often discussed and debated, but remain poorly understood, include human adaptations and economic strategies used in different and changing environments, how local economies contributed to the development of plant management systems within the southeast Asian/Pacific regions, and how they might have been influenced by plant management systems initiated and developed elsewhere. Crucial to a burgeoning focus on archaeobotany in island Southeast Asia is the establishment of comparative modern reference collections for the flora of the region. This paper discusses the ‘Indonesian Starch Project’, the relevance of a comparative modern starch reference collection to Indonesian archaeology and the methods and procedures used in its development. The collection is broad-based and focuses on economically and ecologically important plant groups. To date 121 families and 451 genera are represented in the collection.

KEYWORDS

starch granules, Indonesia, southeast Asia, comparative reference collection

INTRODUCTION

Tom Loy’s first forays into starch research began in the early 1980s when he and Richard Fullagar observed starch granules on stone, shell and glass artefacts recovered from a variety of archaeological contexts in North America and Oceania (Fullagar 2006). Tom continued his pioneering starch research into the 1990s. His discovery and identification of Araceae starch granules and raphides on stone tools from the Solomon Islands (Loy *et al.* 1992) was most influential, being the first strong evidence that people were using stone tools to process starchy foods in the Pacific region as long as 28,000 years ago. Since that time, it has been recognised that starch granules can be well-preserved in a variety of archaeological contexts, for example in

residues on stone tools, pottery, other artefacts and ecofacts (Torrence and Barton 2006), within dental calculus and coprolites (Englyst *et al.* 1992; Juan-Tresserras 1998), in cereal seeds and other preserved foodstuffs (Samuel 1994; Ugent *et al.* 1987), and in sediments (Haslam 2004; Lentfer *et al.* 2002; Therin *et al.* 1999). Consequently there has been a burgeoning interest in starch research in archaeology with significant outcomes for understanding the prehistory of plant exploitation and manipulation in many regions of the world (e.g. Barton and Paz 2007; Crowther 2005; Fullagar *et al.* 2006; Helena *et al.* 2007; Horrocks *et al.* 2004; Parr and Carter 2003; Piperno *et al.* 2004; Zarillo *et al.* 2008).

The application of starch research to Indonesian archaeology has huge potential for resolving many key archaeobotanical issues in the region. The Indonesian archipelago has a very long record of human occupation extending well beyond a million years and involving three distinct human species (Brown *et al.* 2004; Hutterer 1985; Morwood *et al.* 2004; O'Sullivan *et al.* 2001; Sémah *et al.* 2003). It also has a rich diversity of plants and a suite of economically important species including a range of cereal grasses, fruit and nut bearing trees, and plants with edible roots, tubers, corms and rhizomes. From the beginnings of human colonisation of the archipelago, plant exploitation would most probably have been extensive and complex, specifically adapted to different environments and availability of naturally occurring resources. The nature of plant exploitation, diet and plant food processing would have been influenced through time by climatic and environmental change, as well as by the introduction of new technologies, people, cultures and changing economies. The archipelago lies between the southeast Asian mainland and New Guinea, two well-known centres for independent origins of early agriculture (Bellwood 1997; Denham *et al.* 2003, 2004; Harris 1996; Jiang 1995) and spans the Wallace line, a well-established boundary between the southeast Asian and Australasian biogeographic regions. As indicated by current distributions and phylogenetic relationships of taros, yams, *Musa* section bananas and *Metroxylon* and *Caryota* sago palms (Bellwood 1997; De Langhe and De Maret 1999; Denham *et al.* 2004; Fullagar *et al.* 2006; Lebot 1999; Lentfer and Green 2004; Simmonds 1962; Spriggs 1996; Yen 1990), the archipelago has been influenced from both directions across the Wallace Line. Undoubtedly, plant manipulation in the Indonesian archipelago itself would have contributed significantly to domestication, and similar to adjacent regions, played a substantial role in the development of early agriculture.

Four issues to be addressed by archaeobotanical research include the following:

- the question of plant exploitation and foraging strategies of *Homo erectus*, *H. floresiensis* and early *H. sapiens* - what are the similarities and differences between species and changes through time?;
- the nature of plant exploitation and food processing strategies adopted by humans living in tropical rainforests, sometimes referred to as 'green deserts' (Bailey *et al.* 1991, Dentan 1991);
- the origins and spread of vegiculture and arboriculture; and
- the timing and dispersal of various plant cultivars, particularly how the introduction and dispersal of starch-rich root and tuber crops, sago palms, bananas, sugar cane, breadfruit, certain nut trees and cereal crops, might have been influenced by Austronesian expansion in the region.

There has been a keen interest in these issues for several decades spurred on by certain key publications such as Sauer (1953) and Harris (1996). However, systematic and focused archaeobotanical investigation has not been prioritised in mainstream archaeological research, due partly to a perceived notion that plant remains are poorly preserved in the tropics, especially wet environments. Consequently, there is a dearth of information about the prehistory of plant exploitation, particularly for Indonesia. Direct archaeobotanical information is rare, confined mostly to evidence for cereal cropping in the archipelago during the mid-to-late Holocene (e.g.

Glover and Higham 1996). Besides this, much of our current understanding relies on speculation and inference primarily based on the presence of artefacts with inferred plant related function or association (Glover 1986) and/or plant phylogeny, phytogeography and linguistics used for determining plant origins and patterns of plant dispersal (Bellwood 1996; Matthews and Naing 2005; Spriggs 1996).

Recently, following the proven value of starch and phytolith analyses in wet tropical regions, there has been escalating interest in incorporating systematic archaeobotanical investigation in island southeast Asian archaeology. The Niah cave project, Sarawak, the Liang Bua Project in Flores, Indonesia, and various East Timor archaeological projects are key examples where starch and phytolith analyses are being used routinely for palaeoenvironmental reconstruction and residue analyses. Fundamental to this research is a sound knowledge of the range and variation of the various microfossils in plants that occur in the region. There are two major comparative modern phytolith reference collections relevant to the region, however starch reference material is limited. This paper discusses the 'Indonesian Starch Project' and outlines the methods and procedures being used to establish the first major comparative modern starch reference collection and database for the flora of Indonesia.

COMPARATIVE STARCH REFERENCE COLLECTIONS

The establishment of comparative modern starch reference material is of paramount importance to starch research and has been integral to studies seeking to determine taxonomic diagnostics and to identify starch granule provenance. Most collections have been focused on food crops and plant resources relevant to industries, individual studies and regions. For the majority of studies starch storage organs (i.e. tubers, corms, rhizomes, roots, fruits and seeds) have been targeted (e.g. Reichert 1913). Other plant parts with less economic importance and/or assumed to have mainly transitory starch, which occurs in the chloroplasts of plant tissue and is thought to be non-diagnostic (Gott *et al.* 2006), have not been thoroughly examined. Given the general lack of readily accessible, broad-scale collections, therefore, it is often the case that the establishment of new or additional comparative reference collections tailored to suit particular research questions is a mandatory component of research design (Dickau *et al.* 2007; Field and Gott 2006; Loy *et al.* 1992; Perry 2004).

A few collections have been established for the tropical southeast Asian/Pacific region, including those created by Loy, Barton, Therin, Crowther, Fullagar and Horrocks for their various research projects. However, all of these collections, with the exception of Therin's from West New Britain, Papua New Guinea (see Lentfer and Therin 2006) have primarily targeted food plants aroid corms (Araceae) and yam tubers (Dioscoreaceae) in particular. Given that Therin's collection is limited, consisting of a few hundred samples only, comparative modern reference material available for the determination of the range of starch granule variation within and between plant parts for any particular species, and within and between plant species is in short supply. With the possible exception of well-studied and targeted species or parts of particular species, therefore, accurate taxonomic identification is problematic unless starch granules are embedded in identifiable tissue or associated with other diagnostic plant residues. Furthermore, without a sound knowledge of starch production, range of morphologies and variation in any particular ecological setting, definitive taxonomic identification of what are considered to be well-analysed species should be treated with caution until there is more comparative information. Until this time, the approach taken by Paz (2001) and Barton and Paz (2007) should be adopted whereby levels of confidence are recorded according to how closely the archaeological material matches available comparative reference material.

THE INDONESIAN STARCH PROJECT

The aims of the 'Indonesian Starch Project', initiated in 2002 as a collaborative project between Indonesian and Australian researchers, are to facilitate the development of ancient starch analysis in the Indonesian region by systematic and comprehensive sampling of Indonesian flora and to establish a database that allows for more effective application of starch analysis to archaeological, palaeoenvironmental and palaeoethnobotanical studies. Economically important starch-rich plants, other useful plants and a wide variety of other flora in the region are included to enable systematic investigation of starch presence in plants, evaluation of the diagnostic properties of starch granules, and the identification of plants and plant parts with diagnostic starch.

Plant collection, identification and storage

Where possible all parts of plants including leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds, stems, wood, roots, corms, tubers, rhizomes are sampled. Not all plant parts are included in herbarium collections. Hence, although it can be very useful and expedient to sample from established herbarium collections, it is often necessary to obtain supplementary material from living plants. Furthermore, starch gelatinises at temperatures above 50° Celsius, causing it to swell, break and lose its birefringence properties. Therefore, heated starch is often not useful for diagnostic analysis and collection from herbariums where plant specimens have been heat-treated at temperatures above 50° Celsius for long-term safe storage can be problematic.

Currently, there are over 800 plant accessions in the collection. One hundred and twenty one families and 451 genera are represented (see summary list of families and species in Table 1). Field sampling during the initial stages of this project was undertaken in Flores, West Timor, Java and Bali giving priority to known useful plants and important ecological indicators (Figures 1 to 4). Subsequently, these collections have been expanded by sampling in the Bogor Botanic Gardens in west Java where the focus was on obtaining accessions of useful and non-useful species from plant families with economically important members and medicinal plants. Other accessions presently held in the reference collection include wild and domesticated bananas collected in Papua New Guinea and Flores, aroid and yam samples from the Philippines and Sarawak, and additionally, a range of useful comparative plant species from Fiji.

Table 1. List of plant families and genera included in the comparative starch reference collection. (P/I: pending identification; *Diploid and polyploid cultivars are included in *Musa*)

Family	Genus	No. Species			
Acanthaceae	<i>Acanthus</i>	1	Anacardiaceae	P/I	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Andrographis</i>	1	Annonaceae	<i>Annona</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Asystasia</i>	1	Annonaceae	<i>Cananga</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Barleria</i>	1	Annonaceae	<i>Polyalthia</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Clinacanthus</i>	1	Annonaceae	<i>Saccopetalum</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Gendarussa</i>	1	Anthericaceae	<i>Chlorophytum</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Graptophyllum</i>	1	Apiaceae	<i>Centella</i>	1
Acanthaceae	<i>Pseuderanthemum</i>	1	Apocynaceae	<i>Alstonia</i>	1
Actinidiaceae	<i>Saurauia</i>	3	Apocynaceae	<i>Cerbera</i>	1
Agavaceae	<i>Pleomele</i>	3	Apocynaceae	<i>Pagianta</i>	1
Aizoaceae	<i>Sesuvium</i>	1	Apocynaceae	<i>Plumeria</i>	1
Amaranthaceae	<i>Deeringia</i>	1	Apocynaceae	<i>Thevetia</i>	1
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Crinum</i>	1	Aquifoliaceae	<i>Ilex</i>	2
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Curculigo</i>	3	Araceae	<i>Homalomena</i>	1
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Eucharis</i>	1	Araceae	<i>Acorus</i>	1
Anacardiaceae	<i>Anacardium</i>	1	Araceae	<i>Aglaonema</i>	5
Anacardiaceae	<i>Lannea</i>	1	Araceae	<i>Alocasia</i>	6
Anacardiaceae	<i>Mangifera</i>	2	Araceae	<i>Amorphophallus</i>	3
Anacardiaceae	<i>Pentaspadon</i>	1	Araceae	<i>Anadendrum</i>	2
			Araceae	<i>Anchormanis</i>	1
			Araceae	<i>Anthurium</i>	4

Araceae	<i>Colocasia</i>	3	Compositae	<i>Eupatorium</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Culcasia</i>	1	Compositae	<i>Galinsoga</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Cyrtosperma</i>	2	Compositae	<i>Pterocaulon</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Dieffenbachia</i>	8	Compositae	<i>Sida</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Dracontium</i>	1	Compositae	<i>Sonchus</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Homalomena</i>	3	Compositae	<i>Synedrella</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Monstera</i>	1	Compositae	<i>Vernonia</i>	3
Araceae	<i>Philodendron</i>	2	Compositae	<i>Wedelia</i>	1
Araceae	<i>Raphidaphora</i>	1	Compositae	P/I	-
Araceae	<i>Rhodospathia</i>	1	Compositae	P/I	-
Araceae	<i>Schismatoglottis</i>	4	Compositae	P/I	-
Araceae	<i>Scindapsus</i>	1	Compositae	P/I	-
Araceae	<i>Spathiphyllum</i>	2	Convallariaceae	<i>Ophigon</i>	2
Araceae	<i>Xanthosoma</i>	3	Convallariaceae	<i>Tupistra</i>	1
Araliaceae	<i>Arthrophyllum</i>	1	Convolvulaceae	<i>Ipomoea</i>	6
Araceae	P/I	-	Convolvulaceae	P/I	-
Araceae	P/I	-	Convolvulaceae	P/I	-
Araliaceae	<i>Harmsioplanax</i>	1	Crassulaceae	<i>Kalanchoe</i>	1
Araliaceae	<i>Macropanax</i>	1	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Benincasa</i>	1
Araliaceae	<i>Trevesia</i>	1	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Coccinia</i>	1
Asclepiadaceae	<i>Asclepias</i>	1	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Cucurbita</i>	1
Asclepiadaceae	<i>Calatropis</i>	1	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Lagenaria</i>	1
Asclepiadaceae	<i>Dischidia</i>	1	Cucurbitaceae	P/I	-
Asclepiadaceae	<i>Finlaysonia</i>	1	Cunioneae	P/I	-
Aspidiaceae	<i>Dryopteris</i>	1	Cunioneae	P/I	-
Aspidiaceae	<i>Stenosemia</i>	1	Cyclanthaceae	<i>Carludovica</i>	1
Aspleniaceae	<i>Asplenium</i>	1	Cyclanthaceae	<i>Cyathea</i>	1
Asteliaceae	<i>Cordyline</i>	1	Cyperaceae	<i>Carex</i>	1
Athyroideae	<i>Athyrium</i>	1	Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus</i>	2
Averrhoaceae	<i>Averrhoa</i>	2	Cyperaceae	<i>Lipocarpa</i>	1
Begoniaceae	<i>Begonia</i>	1	Cyperaceae	<i>Mapania</i>	1
Bignoniaceae	<i>Crescentia</i>	1	Cyperaceae	<i>Rhynchospora</i>	1
Bignoniaceae	<i>Kigelia</i>	1	Cyperaceae	<i>Scirpus</i>	1
Bignoniaceae	<i>Stereospermum</i>	1	Davalliaceae	<i>Nephrolepis</i>	1
Bignoniaceae	<i>Tabebuia</i>	1	Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia</i>	2
Blechnaceae	<i>Blechnum</i>	1	Dioscoreaceae	<i>Dioscorea</i>	10
Bombacaceae	<i>Bombax</i>	1	Dracaenaceae	<i>Sanservieria</i>	5
Bombacaceae	<i>Durion</i>	1	Ebenaceae	<i>Diospyros</i>	1
Boraginaceae	<i>Cordia</i>	2	Equisetaceae	<i>Equisetum</i>	1
Bromeliaceae	<i>Ananas</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Acalypha</i>	1
Burseraceae	<i>Canarium</i>	3	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Aleurites</i>	1
Butomaceae	<i>Limnocharis</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Antidesma</i>	1
Cactaceae	<i>Opuntia</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Bischofia</i>	1
Cannaceae	<i>Canna</i>	2	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Codiaeum</i>	1
Capparaceae	<i>Capparis</i>	3	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia</i>	3
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Drymaria</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Excoecaria</i>	1
Celastraceae	<i>Elaeodendron</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Glochidion</i>	1
Chloranthaceae	<i>Sarcandra</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Jatropha</i>	3
Combretaceae	<i>Lumnitzera</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Macaranga</i>	1
Combretaceae	<i>Quisqualis</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Mallotus</i>	1
Combretaceae	<i>Terminalia</i>	2	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Manihot</i>	1
Commelinaceae	<i>Aneilema</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Melanolepis</i>	1
Commelinaceae	<i>Commelina</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Omalanthus</i>	2
Commelinaceae	<i>Murdannia</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Ricinis</i>	1
Commelinaceae	<i>Palisota</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Sauropus</i>	1
Compositae	<i>Ageratum?</i>	1	Euphorbiaceae	P/I	1
Compositae	<i>Bidens</i>	2	Euphorbiaceae	P/I	-
Compositae	<i>Blumea</i>	2	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Scolopia</i>	1
Compositae	<i>Emilia</i>	1	Flagellariaceae	<i>Flagellaria</i>	1
Compositae	<i>Erechtites</i>	2	Gesneriaceae	<i>Cyrtandra</i>	1

Gleicheniaceae	<i>Gleichenia</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Archidendron</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Andropogon</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Bauhinia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Bambusa</i>	3	Leguminosae	<i>Caesalpinia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Bambusa</i>	2	Leguminosae	<i>Cajanus</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Bothriochloa</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Calliandra</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Centotheca</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Canavalia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Chloris</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Cassia</i>	2
Gramineae	<i>Coix</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Centrosema</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Cymbopogon</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Crotalaria</i>	2
Gramineae	<i>Dendrocalamus</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Cynometra</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Echinochloa</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Derris</i>	2
Gramineae	<i>Eleusine</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Desmodium</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Eragrostis</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Erythrina</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Erianthus</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Glyricidia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Heteropogon</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Indigofera</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Imperata</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Leucaena</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Neololeba</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Mimosa</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Oplismenus</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Moghania</i>	2
Gramineae	<i>Oryza</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Mucuna</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Panicum</i>	2	Leguminosae	<i>Parkia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Paspalum</i>	3	Leguminosae	<i>Peltophorum</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Pennisetum</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Phaseolus</i>	2
Gramineae	<i>Saccharum</i>	2	Leguminosae	<i>Pithecellobium</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Setaria</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Pometia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Shizostachyum</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Pongamia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Sorghum</i>	3	Leguminosae	<i>Pongamia</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Spinifex</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Pterocarpus</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Sporobolus</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Sesbania</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Themeda</i>	3	Leguminosae	<i>Tamarindus</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Thuarea</i>	1	Leguminosae	<i>Tetrapleura</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Thysanolaena</i>	2	Leguminosae	<i>Vigna</i>	1
Gramineae	<i>Tribulus</i>	1	Leguminosae	P/I	-
Gramineae	<i>Urochloa</i>	1	Leguminosae	P/I	-
Gramineae	<i>Zea</i>	1	Leguminosae	P/I	-
Guttiferae	<i>Garcinia</i>	3	Liliaceae	<i>Asparagus</i>	1
Gramineae	P/I	-	Liliaceae	<i>Aspidistra</i>	2
Gramineae	P/I	-	Liliaceae	<i>Cordyline</i>	1
Gramineae	P/I	-	Liliaceae	<i>Herreria</i>	1
Heliconiaceae	<i>Heliconia</i>	5	Liliaceae	<i>Pleomele</i>	1
Hypericaceae	<i>Hypricum</i>	1	Lomariopsidaceae	<i>Teratophyllum</i>	1
Icacinaceae	<i>Gonocaryum</i>	1	Lythraceae	<i>Lagerstroemia</i>	1
Icacinaceae	<i>Platea</i>	1	Lythraceae	<i>Pemphis</i>	1
Iridaceae	<i>Neomarica</i>	3	Magnoliaceae	<i>Manglietia</i>	1
Labiatae	<i>Coleus</i>	1	Magnoliaceae	<i>Michelia</i>	1
Labiatae	<i>Hyptis</i>	3	Malvaceae	<i>Abutilon</i>	1
Labiatae	<i>Ocimum</i>	1	Malvaceae	<i>Gossypium</i>	2
Labiatae	<i>Orthosiphon</i>	1	Malvaceae	<i>Hibiscus</i>	3
Labiatae	P/I	-	Malvaceae	<i>Sida</i>	1
Labiatae	P/I	-	Malvaceae	<i>Thespesia</i>	1
Labiatae?	P/I	-	Malvaceae	<i>Urena</i>	1
Lauraceae	<i>Cinnamomum</i>	1	Marantaceae	<i>Calathea</i>	4
Lauraceae	<i>Cryptocarya</i>	1	Marantaceae	<i>Maranta</i>	1
Lauraceae	<i>Litsea</i>	3	Marantaceae	<i>Monotagma</i>	1
Lauraceae	<i>Persea</i>	1	Marantaceae	<i>Phrynium</i>	1
Lecythidaceae	<i>Barringtonia</i>	3	Marattiaceae	<i>Angiopteris</i>	1
Leeaceae	<i>Leea</i>	1	Melastomataceae	<i>Medinilla</i>	1
Leguminosae	<i>Abrus</i>	1	Melastomataceae	<i>Melastoma</i>	2
Leguminosae	<i>Acacia</i>	2	Melastomataceae	<i>Osbeckia</i>	1
Leguminosae	<i>Adenanthera</i>	1	Meliaceae	<i>Dysoxylum</i>	1
Leguminosae	<i>Albizia</i>	2	Menispermaceae	<i>Anamirta</i>	1

Menispermaceae	<i>Arcangelisis</i>	1
Menispermaceae	<i>Tinospora</i>	1
Menispermaceae	P/I	-
Moraceae	<i>Artocarpus</i>	2
Moraceae	<i>Ficus</i>	16
Moringaceae	<i>Moringa</i>	1
Musaceae	<i>Ensete</i>	1
Musaceae	<i>Musa</i>	24*
Musaceae	<i>Strelitzia</i>	1
Myristicaceae	<i>Myristica</i>	2
Myrsinaceae	<i>Aegiceras</i>	1
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	1
Myrtaceae	<i>Eugenia</i>	1
Myrtaceae	<i>Psidium</i>	1
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium</i>	4
Nephrolepidaceae	<i>Nephrolepis</i>	1
Orchidaceae	<i>Calanthe</i>	1
Orchidaceae	<i>Eria</i>	2
Orchidaceae	<i>Goodyera</i>	1
Orchidaceae	<i>Malaxis</i>	1
Orchidaceae	<i>Vanilla</i>	1
Orchidaceae	P/I	-
Oxalidaceae	<i>Oxalis</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Areca</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Arenga</i>	6
Palmae	<i>Borassus</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Calamus</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Caryota</i>	3
Palmae	<i>Cocos</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Corypha</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Cyrtostachys</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Elaeis</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Eugeissona</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Licuala</i>	4
Palmae	<i>Livistonia</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Metroxylon</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Nypa</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Oncosperma</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Phoenix</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Pinanga</i>	1
Palmae	<i>Ptychosperma</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Salacca</i>	2
Palmae	<i>Verschaffeltia</i>	1
Pandanaceae	<i>Freycinetia</i>	3
Pandanaceae	<i>Pandanus</i>	10
Phormiaceae	<i>Dianella</i>	2
Piperaceae	<i>Piper</i>	6
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago</i>	1
Podocarpaceae	<i>Podocarpus</i>	1
Polygalaceae	<i>Polygala</i>	1
Polygonaceae	<i>Polygonum</i>	2
Polypodaceae	<i>Diplazium</i>	1
Polypodaceae	<i>Dryopteris</i>	1
Polypodiaceae	P/I	-
Rhamnaceae	<i>Ziziphus</i>	1
Rhizophoraceae	<i>Bruguiera</i>	1
Rhizophoraceae	<i>Ceriops</i>	1
Rhizophoraceae	<i>Rhizophora</i>	1
Rosaceae	<i>Prunus</i>	2
Rosaceae	<i>Rubus</i>	3

Rubiaceae	<i>Borreria</i>	1
Rubiaceae	<i>Lepisanthes</i>	1
Rubiaceae	<i>Morinda</i>	2
Rubiaceae	<i>Mycetia</i>	1
Rubiaceae	<i>Ophiorrhiza</i>	1
Rubiaceae	P/I	-
Rubiaceae	P/I	-
Rubiaceae	P/I	-
Rutaceae	<i>Acronychia</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Citrus</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Clausena</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Eudoia</i>	2
Rutaceae	<i>Feroniella</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Glycosimis</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Pleiospermum</i>	1
Rutaceae	<i>Swinglea</i>	1
Rutaceae	P/I	-
Rutaceae ?	P/I	-
Sabiaceae	<i>Meliosma</i>	1
Salicaceae	<i>Salix</i>	1
Salvadoraceae	<i>Azima</i>	1
Santalaceae	<i>Santalum</i>	1
Sapindaceae	<i>Blighia</i>	1
Sapindaceae	<i>Cardiospermum</i>	1
Sapindaceae	<i>Nephelium</i>	1
Sapindaceae	<i>Schleichera</i>	1
Sapotaceae	<i>Chrysophyllum</i>	1
Sapotaceae	<i>Planchonella</i>	1
Saxifragaceae	<i>Itea</i>	1
Saxifragaceae	<i>Polyosma</i>	2
Selaginellaceae	<i>Selaginella</i>	1
Solanaceae	<i>Brugmansia</i>	1
Solanaceae	<i>Datura</i>	1
Solanaceae	<i>Solanum</i>	2
Sonneratiaceae	<i>Sonneratia</i>	1
Sterculiaceae	<i>Heritiera</i>	1
Sterculiaceae	<i>Melochia</i>	1
Sterculiaceae	<i>Pterospermum</i>	1
Sterculiaceae	<i>Theobroma</i>	1
Theaceae	<i>Adinandra</i>	1
Thelypteridaceae	<i>Sphaerostephanos</i>	1
Thymelaeaceae	<i>Phaleria</i>	1
Tiliaceae	<i>Grewia</i>	1
Tiliaceae	<i>Microcos</i>	2
Tiliaceae	<i>Schoutenia</i>	1
Tiliaceae	P/I	-
Ulmaceae	<i>Celtis</i>	1
Urticaceae	<i>Cypholopus</i>	1
Urticaceae	<i>Debregeasia</i>	1
Urticaceae	<i>Dendrocnide</i>	1
Urticaceae	<i>Leucosyke</i>	1
Urticaceae	P/I	-
Urticaceae	<i>Pipturus</i>	1
Urticaceae	<i>Villebrunea</i>	1
Verbenaceae	<i>Avicennia</i>	1
Verbenaceae	<i>Clerodendron</i>	3
Verbenaceae	<i>Lantana</i>	1
Verbenaceae	<i>Premna</i>	1
Verbenaceae	<i>Stachytarpheta</i>	2
Verbenaceae	<i>Tectona</i>	1

Verbenaceae	<i>Teijsmanniodendron</i>	1
Verbenaceae	<i>Vitex</i>	1
Verbenaceae	P/I	-
Verbenaceae	P/I	-
Verbenaceae	P/I	-
Verbenaceae	P/I	-
Zingiberaceae	<i>Alpinia</i>	4
Zingiberaceae	<i>Amomum</i>	5
Zingiberaceae	<i>Catimbium</i>	2
Zingiberaceae	<i>Costus</i>	12
Zingiberaceae	<i>Cucurma</i>	7
Zingiberaceae	<i>Etlingera</i>	2
Zingiberaceae	<i>Globba</i>	1
Zingiberaceae	<i>Hedychium</i>	4
Zingiberaceae	<i>Hornstedtia</i>	1

Zingiberaceae	<i>Kaempferia</i>	1
Zingiberaceae	<i>Languas</i>	1
Zingiberaceae	<i>Tapeinocheilos</i>	2
Zingiberaceae	<i>Zingiber</i>	4
Zingiberaceae	P/I	-
Zingiberaceae	P/I	-
Zingiberaceae	P/I	-
Zygophyllaceae	<i>Tribulus</i>	1
** 27 further accessions	P/I	-

Figure 1. Collecting plants at Liang Bua, Flores Indonesia (photo: C. Lentfer).

Figure 2. Dr Polhaupessy sampling plant products from markets in Kupang, West Timor (photo: C. Lentfer).

Plant specimens, including roots and other starch storage organs, are prepared following conventional herbarium procedures. The specimens are immersed in methylated spirits or ethyl alcohol at 70% dilution to avoid fungal growth during field collection and stored between paper in plant presses. This technique allows specimens to be stored wet for considerable periods in humid tropical conditions. For long-term storage they are slowly dried at temperatures not exceeding 35° Celsius. Taxonomic identification of specimens has been undertaken in the field and/or laboratory and cross-checked against herbarium specimens. Accessions are presently stored at the Bogor Herbarium, Indonesia and the University of Queensland, Australia.

Figure 3. Display of medicinal plant products beside garden house in West Flores (photo: C. Lentfer).

Figure 4. The author identifying plants collected from Rana Mese, West Flores and preparing herbarium specimens (photo: J. Collins).

Preparation of starch for microscopic analysis

Following this, sub-samples of all plant specimens including all parts of plants are stored in vials in 70% ethyl alcohol. Following this sub-samples of plant parts are prepared separately by grinding with a pestle and mortar in distilled water. Ground residue is stored in separate vials in 70% ethyl alcohol. For microscopic analysis 100 μ l sub-sample of ground residue is mounted onto microscope slides and dried at temperatures at or below 35° Celsius. Corners of coverslips are secured onto glass slides with nail polish. Prior to analysis dried samples are re-hydrated with distilled water to allow for rotation of granules.

Starch granule analysis

All slide residues are analysed using light microscopy at x400, x600 and x1000 magnification. Qualitative analysis of starch granule assemblages is used initially. Presence or absence of starch is noted for every plant part for each plant specimen. Descriptors for all different types of granules in assemblages are recorded according to abundance, type (i.e. whether compound or simple), size range, and morphological characteristics of granules (see the 12 attributes listed in Table 2). During the initial recording stage attribute descriptions are separated by a slash (e.g. a/s/s/sr/hsph/0/cc/s/t/c/sv/stel). Photographs of all different starch granule morphotypes present in each plant part are taken with microscope dedicated digital cameras using non-polarized and polarized light. Figures 5 to 11 show examples from the collection of starch granules found in significant economic plants (photos by C. Lentfer and A. Crowther). Descriptors are transferred to an electronic database to facilitate searches and comparative analyses. Field collection records, plant uses, the presence or absence

of starch granules in plant parts, and images of starch granules are also stored in an electronic database. The microscope slides and sub-samples in vials are presently stored at the University of Queensland and a sub-set is held at the Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung, Indonesia.

The second stage of analysis is the determination of the diagnostic value of starch granules for species/plant tissue differentiation. This involves rigorous morphometric analysis of starch granule assemblages using quantitative statistical methods. It will be especially important for plants and tissues with starch granules that are similar and difficult to differentiate.

Table 2. List of attributes used for the description of starch granules

1. Abundance	2. Type	3. Size (Max. dim.)	4. 2D shape	5. 3D shape
absent (0) rare	a simple s	< 5 µm vs	subround sr	hemispherical hsph
(<20 granules) common (>20-50) abundant (>50-100) v. abundant (>100)	r compound c semicompound sc ab vab	>5-10 µm s >10-20 µm m >20-50 µm l >50 µm vl	round r ovate ov sub-ovate sub.ov triangular ovate tri.ov rectangular ovate rect.ov elongate ovate el.ov irregular ovate irr.ov irregular triangular ovate irr.tri.ov elongate irregular ovate el.irr.ov polygonal plygl irregular irr	elongate hemisph. el.hsph spherical sph subspherical subsph ovoid ov sub-ovoid sub.ov triangular ovoid tri.ov rectangular ovoid rect.ov elongate ovoid el.ov irregular ovoid irr.ov irregular triangular ovoid irr.tri.ov elongate irregular ovoid el.irr.ov globose gl polyhedral plyhdl quadrilateral qu irregular irr elongate irregular el.irr globose elongate gl.el kidney kid elongate kidney el.kid cone cone disc di bell bell unilobate unilob
6. Protrusion	7. Facet	8. Texture	9. Lamellae	10. Hilum - Position
present absent	+ 0 none observed 0 flat fl concave cc multifaceted flat mff multifaceted concave mfc	wrinkle wr smooth s rough r ridged rdg	present absent	eccentric e centric c highly eccentric he
11. Hilum - Type	12. Hilum - Fissure	Additional notes		
large vacuole lv small vacuole sv crystal cr slot sl irregular irr papilla pap	none 0 stellate stel simple s open slot os open irreg. oi tri tri disc d

Figure 5. (a) *Alocasia* sp. starch, showing typical multifaceted morphology (CL uncoded, corm). (b) *Colocasia esculenta* starch, showing typical multi-faceted morphology and small granule size (CL uncoded, corm). (c and d) *Cyrtosperma chamissonis* starch, showing simple and compound granule forms (c) and centric extinction cross, visible in cross-polarised light (d) (TL-IV69-4tuber) (scale bars in a, c and d = 10 µm; b = 5 µm).

Figure 6. (a) *Caryota rumphiana* (CL05160wood). (b) *Eugeissonia utilis* (CL05150wood). (c) *Metroxylon sagu* (CL05176trunk). (d) *Salacca affinis* (CL05182trunk) (scale bars in a and c = 10 µm; b and d = 20 µm).

Figure 7. (a) Rounded ovoid to elongate-ovate starch forms in *Dioscorea alata* (TL-IV69-8tuber). (b) Flat-based, rectilinear-triangular starch type produced by *Dioscorea bulbifera* (TL-IV69-14tuber). In addition to lamaellae, these granules have strong longitudinal striae that are very visible at the distal end. (c) Small, angular (multi-faceted) granules from *Dioscorea esculenta* (TL-IV69-7tuber). (d) Ovate-shaped compound grains in *Dioscorea esculenta* (TL-IV69-7tuber) (scale bar in a = 20 µm; b, c and d = 10 µm).

Figure 8. (a and b) Starch from *Heliconia caribea* (CL05197stem), showing typical rounded-rectilinear ovate granule form observed in all *Heliconia* sp. examined. (c and d) *Heliconia dasyantha* starch granules, showing the two distinctive starch types that occur within the seed (CL05288seed) (scale bars in a, b and c = 20 µm; d = 10 µm).

CURRENT STATUS OF THE REFERENCE COLLECTION

The comparative modern starch reference collection and database supplements other such collections of starch, phytolith, pollen, parenchyma and macrobotanical remains. It has enormous potential to contribute to our scientific knowledge in a wide variety of academic research disciplines including archaeology plant phylogenetic studies, palaeobotany, palynology and studies involving climatic and environmental change in the tropics throughout the Quaternary. Included in the accessions are plant families, genera and species common to tropical regions throughout the world, and as such, the reference collection and database have relevance to Indonesia, the wider Indo/Pacific region, and tropical regions elsewhere. By incorporating a broad range of economically and ecologically important plants and plant groups hitherto unexamined, and by treating plant parts as separate entities, it provides for rigorous comparative analyses of starch granules, thereby building onto our knowledge about the range and variation of morphologies and their diagnostic value for palaeobotanical analysis. The first stage of analysis involves recording descriptors and images of starch granules. This is nearing completion for a number of economically important plant families including the Araceae, Dioscoreaceae, Heliconiaceae, Musaceae, Zingiberaceae, Palmae and Pandanaceae (see Table 3). Analysis of other plant families is in progress. Following initial qualitative analyses, morphometric analysis using quantitative statistical methods will be undertaken. This will be on an ad hoc basis as the need arises, giving precedence to plant groups relevant to specific research problems.

The comparative modern starch reference collection is a growing archaeobotanical resource and will be expanded as new accessions are obtained. A record of accessions and work in progress is included in unpublished reports presented to the Pacific Biological Foundation (Lentfer 2008). I thank Tom Loy's enterprise, his long-standing and strong interest in ancient starch research and the groundwork he laid for the establishment of the Archaeological Microscopy Laboratory in the School of Social Science at the University of Queensland where the bulk of the analytical work for the 'Indonesian Starch Project' is being undertaken.

Figure 9. (a and b) *Musa banksii* (WNB10fruit). (c) *Musa acuminata* x *schizocarpa* (ES10fruit). (d) *Musa acuminata* var. *cerifera* (CL05190fruit) produces two distinctive starch granule forms in the fruit; a larger, ovate to elongate ovate, eccentric type and a comparatively much smaller spherical to sub-spherical, centric type. (e and f) Two starch types present in *Musa acuminata* (CL_F/03/96leaf); cf. *Heliconia dasyantha* seed (Figure 8c-d). (Scale bars in a, b and c = 20 µm; d and e = 10 µm; f = 5 µm).

Figure 10. (a and b) *Musa halabanensis* (CL05194corm) and (c and d) *Musa sanguinea* both produce similar types of very distinctive, ‘conical-bivalve’ granules which may be diagnostic (scale bars = 20 µm).

Figure 11. (a) *Pandanus dubius* (CL05341wood). (b) *Pandanus sp. maluka* (CL05140wood). (c and d). *Pandanus furcatus* (CL05131wood) (scale bars in a, b and d = 10 µm; c = 5 µm).

Table 3. Plants and plant parts that have been examined in the first stage of the analysis

Accession No.	Species	Plant Part
Araceae		
7075	<i>Acorus calamus</i>	lf,st,rz,rt
5016	<i>Aglaonema marantaifolia</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5038	<i>Aglaonema novoguineense</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5013	<i>Aglaonema oblaneotam</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5014	<i>Aglaonema pictum</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5015	<i>Aglaonema simplex</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
NC	<i>Aglaonema</i> sp.	lf,cm,rt
5022	<i>Alocasia alba</i>	lf,st,rt
48	<i>Alocasia culcullata</i>	st
18	<i>Alocasia denudata</i>	st,cm
5020	<i>Alocasia gigantea</i>	lf,cm,sd
5021	<i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i> var. <i>diversifolia</i>	lf
5023	<i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i> var. <i>diversifolia</i>	pet,st,fl
NC	<i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i>	lf,st,cm,rt
58	<i>Alocasia princeps</i>	cm
NC	<i>Alocasia</i> sp. 'elephant ear'	st,rt
5019	<i>Amorphophallus blumei</i>	pet,lf,st,rt,cm,sd
6915	<i>Amorphophallus campunulatus</i>	cm
5018	<i>Amorphophallus variabilis</i>	lf,st,rt,cm,sd
5027	<i>Anchomanes hookeri</i>	pet,lf,cm,rt
NC	<i>Anthurium</i> sp.	lf,st,rt
91	Unknown	cm
5029	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	pet,lf,cm,rt
PM1	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	cm
53	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	cm
92	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	cm
6990	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	cm
NC	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	cm
5042	<i>Culcasia manii</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
694	<i>Cyrtosperma chamissonis</i>	cm
5089	<i>Cyrtosperma johnstonii</i>	pet,lf,rt,fl
5011	<i>Dieffenbachia amoena</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5012	<i>Dieffenbachia arvida</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
NC	<i>Dieffenbachia exotica</i>	lf,st,cm,rt
5010	<i>Dieffenbachia howmanii</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5009	<i>Dieffenbachia splendens</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5028	<i>Dracontium gigas</i>	pet,cm,rt
5032	<i>Homalomena cordata</i>	pet,rt
5033	<i>Homalomena cordata</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
NC	<i>Homalomena</i> sp.	lf,st
5037	<i>Homalomona humilis</i>	pet,lf,st,rt,fl
5039	<i>Homalomona pendula</i>	pet,st,rt
NC	<i>Monstera deliciosa</i>	lf,rt
5030	<i>Philodendron gloriosum</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
NC	<i>Philodendron selloum</i>	lf,cm,rt
NC	<i>Raphidophora</i> sp.	lf,st,rt
5031	<i>Rhodospathia latifolia</i>	pet,lf,st
5034	<i>Schismatoglottis lancifolia</i>	pet,lf,st,rt,fr,
5035	<i>Schismatoglottis neoguineensis</i>	pet,st,rt
5036	<i>Schismatoglottis pumila</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5040	<i>Schismatoglottis rupestris</i>	pet,lf,st,rt,fl
5045	<i>Scindapsus pictus</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5043	<i>Spathiphyllum commulatum</i>	pet,lf,st,rt,fl

NC	<i>Spathiphyllum</i> sp.	lf,cm,rt
NC	<i>Spathiphyllum</i> sp.	lf,tb,rt
NC	<i>Syngonium</i> sp.	lf,rt,st
5026	<i>Xanthosoma hastifolium</i>	pet,lf,st,cm,rt
5024	<i>Xanthosoma nigrum</i>	st,cm
5025	<i>Xanthosoma sagittifolium</i>	lf,st,pet
692	<i>Xanthosoma sagittifolium</i>	cm
Dioscoreaceae		
698	<i>Dioscorea alata</i>	tb
5088	<i>Dioscorea alata</i>	lf,st,pet,tb
7062	<i>Dioscorea alata</i>	lf
5086	<i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i>	pet,lf,st,blbl,tb,rt
6914	<i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i>	tb
697	<i>Dioscorea esculenta</i>	lf,st,pet,tb
6918	<i>Dioscorea esculenta</i>	tb
696	<i>Dioscorea esculenta</i>	tb
5087	<i>Dioscorea hispida</i>	pet,tb,rt
7060	<i>Dioscorea hispida</i>	pet,tb,sk
6913	<i>Dioscorea nummularia</i>	st
6912	<i>Dioscorea pentaphylla</i>	st
6910	<i>Dioscorea rotundata</i>	st
F/03/22	<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.	lf,st,tb
F/03/17	<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.	lf,st,tb
F/03/04	<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.	lf,tb
NC	<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.?	tb
6911	<i>Dioscorea trifida</i>	st
Heliconiaceae		
5197	<i>Heliconia caribea</i>	lf,psst,rt,fl
5196	<i>Heliconia collinsiana</i>	lf,psst,cm,rt
5288	<i>Heliconia dasyantha</i>	lf,psst,cm,fl,fr,sd
5287	<i>Heliconia indica</i>	lf,cm,rt,fl,fr
Musaceae		
MB1	<i>Ensete glaucum</i>	fr,sd
F/03/13	<i>Musa</i> AAA	psst,cm,rt,fr
F/03/96	<i>Musa acuminata</i>	lf,st
ES6	<i>Musa acuminata</i> ssp. <i>banksii</i>	fr
M7	<i>Musa acuminata</i> ssp. <i>banksii</i>	lf,psst,cm,fl,fr,sk,sd
ES11	<i>Musa acuminata</i> ssp. <i>banksii</i>	lf,psst,cm,fr,sk,sd
M8	<i>Musa acuminata</i> ssp. <i>banksii</i> x <i>schizocarpa</i>	lf,br,fl,fr,sk,sd
5190	<i>Musa acuminata</i> var. <i>cerifera</i>	lf,psst,cm,rt,fl,fr
ES10	<i>Musa acuminata</i> var. <i>schizocarpa</i>	fr,sd
ES10	<i>Musa acuminata</i> x <i>schizocarpa</i>	br,psst,fr,sk,sd
WNB10	<i>Musa banksii</i>	fr,sk,sd
5195	<i>Musa borneensis</i>	lf,psst,cm
NI14	<i>Musa</i> Fe'i	fr,sd
ES5	<i>Musa</i> Fe'i	fr
5194	<i>Musa halabanensis</i>	lf,psst,cm
WH1	<i>Musa ingens</i>	lf,rs,psst,rt,fl,fr
WH2	<i>Musa ingens</i>	psst,fl,fr,sk,sd
WH3	<i>Musa ingens</i>	sd
MB3	<i>Musa maclayi</i>	lf,psst,fr,sd
MB5	<i>Musa maclayi</i>	lf,br,psst,fl,fr,sk
5193	<i>Musa ornata</i>	lf,rt,cm
M5	<i>Musa peekeli</i>	lf,br,psst,sk,sd
M5	<i>Musa peekelii</i> ssp. <i>angustigemma</i>	fr
5192	<i>Musa salaccensis</i>	lf,psst,cm,rt
5191	<i>Musa sanguinea</i>	lf,psst,cm,rt,fl,fr
M4	<i>Musa schizocarpa</i>	lf,psst,fl,sd

MB2	<i>Musa schizocarpa</i>	lf,br,psst,fl,fr,sk
ES3	<i>Musa schizocarpa</i>	fr,sk
ES5	<i>Musa schizocarpa</i>	sd
ES4	<i>Musa schizocarpa</i>	fr,sk,sk
7106	<i>Musa</i> sp.	pet,lf,psst,rt
NC	<i>Musa</i> sp. 'halevudi'	lf,psst
5175	<i>Musa velutina</i>	lf,rt,fr,sk,sk
F/03/90	<i>Musa velutina?</i>	rt,fl,fr
NC	<i>Strelitzia reginae</i>	fr
Palmae		
7010	<i>Areca catechu</i>	fr
5162	<i>Arenga brevipes</i>	lf,wd,rt
5149	<i>Arenga obtusifolia</i>	rs
5156	<i>Arenga pinnata</i>	wd,rt
7013	<i>Arenga pinnata</i>	fr,sk
F/03/24	<i>Arenga pinnata?</i>	wd
5154	<i>Arenga tremula</i>	lf,rs,wd
5155	<i>Arenga undulatifolia</i>	lf,wd,rt
5187	<i>Borassus flabellifer</i>	wd,rt,sk
F/02/38	<i>Calamus javensis</i>	st
5158	<i>Caryota cummungi</i>	rs,wd,ped,fr,rt
5179	<i>Caryota intis</i>	rs,rt,sk
5160	<i>Caryota rumphiana</i>	wd
5177	<i>Cocos nucifera</i>	rs,wd
7097	<i>Corypha utan</i>	wd
5157	<i>Cyrtostachys microcarpa</i>	lf,rs,wd,rt
5184	<i>Cyrtostachys renda</i>	wd
5178	<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	fr
5150	<i>Eugeissona utilis</i>	lf,rs,wd,rt,fr
5161	<i>Licuala rumphii</i>	rt
5183	<i>Licuala spinosa</i>	rs,wd,rt
5148	<i>Livistonia hasseltii</i>	sd,st
5176	<i>Metroxylon sagu</i>	pet,lf,wd,rt
5186	<i>Nypa fruticans</i>	lf,rs,fr
5159	<i>Oncosperma tigillarum</i>	rs,rt
5151	<i>Phoenix</i> sp.	rs,wd
5145	<i>Pinanga coronata</i>	wd,rt,fr
7102	<i>Pinanga coronata</i>	pet,lf,ped,wd,bk
5146	<i>Ptychosperma macarthii</i>	lf,wd,rt,fl
5153	<i>Ptychosperma propinquum</i>	wd
5182	<i>Salacca affinis</i>	lf,rs,wd,rt
5168	<i>Salacca zalacca</i>	sd
5181	<i>Salacca zalacca</i>	rs,wd,fr
5147	<i>Verschaffeltia splendida</i>	wd
Pandanaceae		
7084	<i>Freycinetia insignis</i>	lf,wd,fr
F/02/54	<i>Freycinetia</i> sp.	lf
5136	<i>Pandanus affinis</i>	lf,wd,rt
5341	<i>Pandanus dubius</i>	lf,wd
5131	<i>Pandanus furcatus</i>	lf,pet,wd,rt
5143	<i>Pandanus kurzii</i>	rt
5135	<i>Pandanus multifurcatus</i>	pet,lf,st,wd
5123	<i>Pandanus nitidus</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5124	<i>Pandanus papilio</i>	pet,lf,st,rt
5142	<i>Pandanus polycephalus</i>	pet,lf,wd,fr,sk
5139	<i>Pandanus pygmaeus</i>	lf,wd,rt
5145	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	lf,wd,rt,fr,sk
5140	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	lf,wd,rt

5137	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,wd,rz,fr
5120	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,st
5134	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,wd,rt,fr,sd
5121	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,st,rt,rt
5144	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,st,wd
F/03/92	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	rt
F/03/067	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	st
5333	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,st,rt,fr,sd
7099	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	lf,st,wd,rt
5122	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,st,rt
5132	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	pet,lf,wd,rt
5138	<i>Pandanus tectorius</i>	lf,wd,rz,rt
7004	<i>Pandanus tectorius</i>	lf
F/03/02	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	rt
Zingiberaceae		
5063	<i>Alpinia katsumadai</i>	pet,st,rz,fr,sd
5063	<i>Alpinia katsumadai</i>	lf
5059	<i>Alpinia romburghiana</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
5052	<i>Alpinia schumannia</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,fl
5052	<i>Alpinia schumannia</i>	ped
5060	<i>Alpinia speciosa/zerumbet</i>	st,rz
5057	<i>Amomum aculeatum</i> var. <i>sulianum</i>	pet,st,rz,rt,fl
5211	<i>Amomum compactum</i>	st
5066	<i>Amomum compactum</i>	pet,rz,rt
5053	<i>Amomum lappaceum</i>	pet,lf,rz
5050	<i>Amomum meglalochellos</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,fl
5065	<i>Amomum truncatum</i>	st,rz
5062	<i>Catimbium malaccensis</i>	st,rz
5064	<i>Catimbium speciosum</i>	lf,st,rz
5075	<i>Costus afer</i>	lf,st,rz,rt
5079	<i>Costus discolor</i>	st,rz
5051	<i>Costus lucanusianus</i>	pet,st,rz,rt,fl
5077	<i>Costus malortianus</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt,fl
5080	<i>Costus megalobrachteia</i>	rt
5048	<i>Costus mexicanus</i>	pet
5073	<i>Costus niveus</i>	rz
5073	<i>Costus niveus</i>	pet,st
5074	<i>Costus rumphianus</i>	st,rz
5078	<i>Costus speciosus</i>	rz,rt
5076	<i>Costus spiralis</i>	fl
5079	<i>Costus villosissimus</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
F/03/96	<i>Cucurma</i> sp.	lf,st,rz,rt
7063	<i>Cucurma viridiflora</i>	pet,st,rz,rt
7073	<i>Cucurma zedoaria</i>	lf,rz,rt
5055	<i>Curcuma aeruginosa</i>	pet,lf,rz,rt
5061	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	pet,sr,rz,rt
5056	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	st,rz,rt
5083	<i>Curcuma roscoeana</i>	lf,st
5205	<i>Curcuma zedoaria</i>	pet,rt
5069	<i>Etlingera elattior</i>	st,rz
7074	<i>Globba marantina</i>	lf,st,rz,rt
5047	<i>Hedychium coronarium</i> var. <i>flavescens</i>	pet,rz,rt
5084	<i>Hedychium elatum</i>	pet,st,rz,fl
581	<i>Hedychium poccineum</i>	lf,st
5082	<i>Hedychium poccinium</i>	pet,rz,rt
5046	<i>Hedychium roxburghii</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
5046	<i>Hedychium roxburghii</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
5067	<i>Hornstedtia minor</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
7059	<i>Kaempferia galanga</i>	lf,st,rz

5058	<i>Languas galanga</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
7057	<i>Languas galanga</i>	lf,st,rz,rt
5071	<i>Tapeinocheilos ananassae</i>	lf,st,rz,fl,sd
5070	<i>Tapeinocheilos punglus</i>	pt,st,rz
5070	<i>Tapeinocheilos purgus</i>	pet,lf,rz,rt
5200	<i>Zingiber aromaticum</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
5054	<i>Zingiber gramineum</i>	pet,rz
5054	<i>Zingiber gramineum</i> var. <i>robustum</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
7049	<i>Zingiber oderatum</i>	pet,lf
5049	<i>Zingiber odoriferum</i>	pet,lf,st,rz,rt
NC	<i>Zingiber officinale</i>	rz
5201	<i>Zingiber ottensi</i>	rz,rt
7077	<i>Zingiber</i> sp.	lf,rz,rt
F/03/32	Unknown	rz
WNB	Unknown	fl,rz

KEY: br (bract), blbl (bulbil), cm (cm), fl (flower, fr (fruit), lf (leaf), ped (peduncle),pet (petiole), psst (pseudostem), rs (rachis), rt (root), rz (rhizome), sd (seed), sk (skin), st (stem), tb (tuber)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has been in joint collaboration with Dr Netty Polhaupessy from the Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung, Indonesia. Funding for the project has been provided by the Pacific Biological Foundation and supplemented with funding from the Australian Research Council. I thank the Geological Research Development Centre for their support, the Bogor Botanic Gardens, and a number of Australian Universities including the Southern Cross University (Lismore, NSW), the University of Queensland (Brisbane, Qld.) and the University of New England (Armidale, NSW). Other Institutions lending support for this project include Arkenas (Jakarta), the Provincial Government of the Eastern Lesser Sunda Islands, the local governments at Ruteng, Labuan Bajo, and Reo, and the Indonesian Conservation Department. I am indebted to all the people who helped us collect plants and who conveyed their knowledge of plants to us for our records. I greatly appreciate the assistance of Dr Netty Polhaupessy, Professor Soejono, Mr. Abraham G., Thomas Sutikna, Rokus Awe Due, Wahyu Saptomo, Jatmiko and Stefanis from Hotel Sindah, Ruteng. I greatly appreciate the assistance provided by Dr Huw Barton, especially pertaining to formulation of the diagnostic attributes for starch granules. I also thank Professor Mike Morwood, Associate Professor Bill Boyd and Jacqui Collins and Robert Neal for their kind assistance. Finally, I thank Alison Crowther, Cassandra Venn, Heath Anderson and Jasmine Murray for all their hard work in the laboratory.

REFERENCES

- Bailey, R. C., M. Jenicke and R. Rechtman 1991. Reply to Colinvaux and Bush. *American Anthropologist* 91:59-82.
- Barton, H. and V. Paz 2007. Subterranean diets in the tropical rainforests of Sarawak, Malaysia. In T. P. Denham, J. Iriarte and L. Vrydaghs (eds) *Rethinking Agriculture: archaeological and ethnoarchaeological perspectives*, p.50-77. New York: Left Coast Press.
- Bellwood P. 1996. The origins and spread of agriculture in the Indo-Pacific region: gradualism and diffusion or revolution and colonisation. In D. R. Harris, (ed.) 1996. *The Origins and spread of Agriculture and Pastoralism in Eurasia*, pp. 465-498. London: UCL Press.
- Bellwood, P. 1997. *Prehistory of the Indo-Malaysian Archipelago*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.

- Brown, P., T. Sutikna, M. J. Morwood, R. P. Soejono, Jatmiko, E. Wayhu Saptomo and Rokus Awe Due 2004. A new small-bodied hominin from the Late Pleistocene of Flores, Indonesia. *Nature* 431:1055-1061.
- Crowther, A. 2005. Starch residues on undecorated Lapita pottery from Anir, New Ireland. *Archaeology in Oceania* 41:62-66.
- De Langhe, E. and P. De Maret 1999. The banana: its significance in early agriculture. In C. Gosden and J. Hather (eds.), *The Prehistory of Food. Appetites for Change*, pp. 377-396. London: Routledge.
- Denham, T., S. Haberle and C. Lentfer 2004. New evidence and revised interpretations of early agriculture in Highland New Guinea. *Antiquity* 78 (302):839-857.
- Denham, T., S. G. Haberle, C. Lentfer, R. Fullagar, J. Field, N. Porch, M. Therin, B. Winsborough and J. Golson 2003. Multi-disciplinary evidence for the origins of agriculture from 6950-6440 cal. BP at Kuk Swamp in the Highlands of New Guinea. *Science* 301:189-193.
- Dentan, R. K. 1991. Potential food sources for foragers in Malaysian rainforest: Sago, yams and lots of little things. *Journal of the Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology* 147:420-444.
- Dickau, R., A. J. Ranere and R. G. Cooke 2007. Starch grain evidence for the preceramic dispersals of maize and root crops into tropical dry and humid forests of Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104(9):3651-3656.
- Englyst, H. N., S. M. Kingman and J. H. Cummings 1992. Classification and measurement of nutritionally important starch fractions. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 46:S33-S50.
- Field, J. and B. Gott 2006. Compiling a reference collection for studying Pleistocene grinding stones. In R. Torrence and H. Barton (eds) *Ancient Starch Research*, pp. 105-106. Walnut Creek, California: Left Coast Press.
- Fullagar, R. 2006. History of starch research on stone tools. In R. Torrence and , H. Barton (eds) *Ancient Starch Research*, pp. 181-182. Walnut Creek, California: Left Coast Press.
- Fullagar, R., J. Field, T. Denham and C. Lentfer 2006. Early and mid Holocene processing of taro (*Colocasia esculenta*), yam (*Dioscorea* sp.) and other plants at Kuk Swamp in the Highlands of Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 33:595-614.
- Glover, I. 1986. *Archaeology in Eastern Timor, 1966-67*. Terra Australis 11. Canberra: Department of Prehistory, Australian National University.
- Glover, I and C. F. W. Higham 1996. New evidence for early rice cultivation in south, southeast and east Asia. In D. R. Harris (ed.) 1996. *The Origins and spread of Agriculture and Pastoralism in Eurasia*, pp. 412-441 London: UCL Press.
- Gott, B., H. Barton, D. Samuel and R. Torrence 2006. Biology of starch. In R. Torrence and H. Barton (eds), *Ancient Starch Research*, pp. 35-45. Walnut Creek, California: Left Coast Press.
- Harris, D. R. (ed.) 1996. *The Origins and Spread of Agriculture and Pastoralism in Eurasia*. London: UCL Press.
- Haslam, M. 2004. The decomposition of starch grains in soils: implications for archaeological residue analyses. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31:1715-34.

- Helena, C, C. Boyadjian, S. Eggers and K. Richard 2007. Dental wash a problematic method for extracting microfossils from teeth. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34(10):1622-1628.
- Horrocks, M., G. Irwin, M. Jones and D. Sutton 2004. Starch grains and xylem cells of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and bracken (*Pteridium esculentum*) in archaeological deposits from northern New Zealand. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31:251-258.
- Hutterer, K.L., 1985. The Pleistocene archaeology of Southeast Asia in regional context. In G-J. Bartstra and W.A. Casparie (eds) *Modern Quaternary Research in Southeast Asia: Papers Read at Symposium 1 12th Congress of the Indo-Pacific Prehistory Association Philippines, 26th January-2nd February 1985*, pp. 1-23. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema,.
- Jiang, Q. 1995. Searching for evidence of early rice agriculture at prehistoric sites in China through phytolith analysis: an example from central China. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 89:481-485.
- Juan-Tresserras 1998. La cerveza prehistorica: Investigaciones aqueobotanicas y y experimentales. In J.L. Maya, F. Cuesta and J. L. Cachero (eds) *Geno: Un Poblado del Bronce Final en el Bajo Segre (Lleida)*, pp. 239-252. Barcelona: Universitat de Barcelona.
- Lebot, V. 1999. Biomolecular evidence for plant domestication in Sahul. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 46:619-628.
- Lentfer, C. J. 2008. Building a Starch Reference Collection for Southeast Asia. Unpublished Report, Pacific Biological Foundation, Port Macquarie, N.S.W., Australia.
- Lentfer, C. J. and R. C. Green 2004. Phytolith evidence for the terrestrial plant component at the Lapita Reber-Rakival site on Watom Island, Papua New Guinea. In V. Attenbrow and R. Fullagar (eds) *A Pacific Odyssey. Papers in Honour of Jim Specht. Records of the Australian Museum Museum. Supplement* pp. 75-88. Sydney: Australian Museum.
- Lentfer, C. J., M. Therin and R. Torrence 2002. Starch grains and environmental reconstruction: A modern test case from West New Britain, Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 29:687-698.
- Lentfer C. and M. Therin 2006. Collecting starch in Papua New Guinea. In R. Torrence and H. Barton (eds) *Ancient Starch Research*, pp. 99-101. Walnut Creek, California: Left Coast Press.
- Loy, T. H., M. Spriggs and S. Wickler 1992. Direct evidence for human use of plants 28,000 years ago: starch residues on stone artifacts from the northern Solomon Islands. *Antiquity* 66:898-912.
- Matthews, P. and K. W. Naing. 2005. Notes on the provenance of wildtype taros (*Colocasia esculenta*) in Myanmar. *Bulletin of National Museum of Ethnology* 29 (4):587-615.
- Morwood, M.J., R. P. Soejono, R. G. Roberts, T. Sutikna, C. S. M. Turney, K. E. Westaway, W. J. Rink, J.- x. Zhao, G. D. van den Bergh, Rokus Awe Due, D. R. Hobbs, M. W. Moore, M. I. Bird & L. K. Fifield, 2004. Archaeology and age of a new hominin from Flores in eastern Indonesia. *Nature* 431:1087-1091.
- O'Sullivan, P.B., M.J. Morwood, D. Hobbs, F. Aziz, Suminto, M. Situmorang, A. Raza and R. Maas, 2001. Archaeological implications of the geology and chronology of the Soa basin, Flores. *Indonesian Geology* 29(7):607-610.
- Parr, J. F. and M. Carter 2003. Phytolith and starch analysis of sediment samples from two archaeological sites on Dauar Island, Torres Strait, Northeastern Australia. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 12:131-141.

- Paz, V. 2001. Archaeobotany and Cultural Transformation: Patterns of early plant utilisation in northern Wallacea. Unpublished PhD thesis. Cambridge: University of Cambridge.
- Perry, I. 2004. Starch analyses reveal the relationship between tool type and function: An example from the Orinoco valley of Venezuela. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31:1069-1081.
- Piperno, D. R., Weiss, E., Holst, I. and Nadel, D. 2004. Processing of wild cereal grasses in the Upper Palaeolithic revealed by starch grain analysis. *Nature* 430:670-673.
- Reichert, E. T. 1913. *The Differentiation and Specificity of Starches in Relation to Genera, Species, etc.* Washington DC: Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- Samuel, D. 1996. Investigations of Ancient Egypt baking and brewing methods by correlative microscopy. *Science* 273:488-490.
- Sauer, C.O. 1953. *Agricultural Origins and Their Dispersals*. New York: American Geographical Society.
- Sémah, F., A. M. Sémah, and T. Simanjuntak 2003. More than a million years of human occupation in insular Southeast Asia: the early archaeology of eastern and central Java. In J. Mercader (ed.) *Under the Canopy: The Archaeology of Tropical Rain Forests*, pp. 161-190. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Simmonds, N. W. 1962. *The Evolution of Bananas*. London: Longmans.
- Spriggs, M. 1996. What is Southeast Asian about Lapita? In T. Akazawa and Szathmary (eds) *Prehistoric Mongoloid Dispersals*, pp. 324-348. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Torrence, R. and H. Barton (eds) 2006. *Ancient Starch Research*. Walnut Creek, California: Left Coast Press.
- Therin, M., R. Fullagar and R. Torrence 1999. Starch in sediments: a new approach to the study of subsistence and land use in Papua New Guinea. In C. Gosden & J. Hather (eds) *Prehistory of Food*, pp. 438-462. London: Routledge.
- Ugent, D., T. Dillehay, and C. Ramírez 1987. Potato remains from a Late Pleistocene settlement in southcentral Chile. *Economic Botany* 41:17-27.
- Yen, D. E. 1990. Environment, agriculture and colonization of the Pacific. In D. E. Yen and J. M. J. Mummery (eds) *Pacific Production Systems: Approaches to economic prehistory*, pp. 258-277. *Occasional Papers in Prehistory* 18. Canberra: Department of Prehistory, Research School of Pacific Studies, Australian National University.
- Zarrillo, S., D. M. Pearsall, J. S. Raymond, M. A. Tisdale and D. J. Quon 2008. Directly dated starch residues document early formative maize (*Zea mays* L.) in tropical Ecuador. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105:5006-5011.