iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 9 Issue: XI Month of publication: November 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.38761>

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Checking the Stability Criteria of Multi-Storied Building by Varying Opening Area Percentages in Shear Wall Used in Periphery with Seismic Zone III

Mr. Prashant Sharma¹, Dr. A. K. Dwivedi²

¹P. G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, University Department, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, (Rajasthan), India

²Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University Department, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, (Rajasthan), India

Abstract: To decrease the overall cost of the project, it is highly recommended dropping the cost in different manners. To make economic structure, structure without losing the stiffness standards and the cost cutting should be done at every construction stages. The dual systems in building structure consist of structural walls and moment resisting frames. The walls are made up of RCC, which is expensive material. The purpose of current study is to discover the effect of reducing shear wall area in multi-storey building to decrease cost. The buildings are provided with shear walls to improve the lateral load resistance. Post parametric analysis results shows that, the reduction in shear wall area should be modified to a certain limit up to 20 % for cost cutting. But in this study, the opening areas of shear wall are increased above 20% to 36.75% and verify the results of post analysis. In this study 8 cases are analysed with 0%, 11%, 14.20%, 20%, 33.20%, 29.05%, 35%, & 36.75% opening in shear wall and analysis is perform by Response Analysis Method of dynamic analysis using Staad.pro V8i software in Zone III of multi-storey building (G+18). The effects of opening in the wall are studied by considering the moments, shear, and torsion, and axial forces in the beams and columns. It is observed that after a certain percentage of shear opening in walls the building fails in the drift at a certain height. To resolve this problem the flared area of height 0.5 m at the height of failure is provided to counteract the effect of drift. It was observed that by the introduction of shear belt the drift reduces which made the structure stable. Finally in this study, the opening of shear wall area is increased up to 35% and concrete area is reduced 1170.20 m², which is 534.2m² more than the previous studies.

Keywords: Shear Wall, Opening Area, Multi-storeyed Building, Seismic effects, Response Spectrum Method

I. INTRODUCTION TO SHEAR WALL

The shear wall will devour shear forces and prevents the location-position of construction from changing and consequently destruction. But one thing must be given importance that the shear wall arrangement must be supremely accurate, if not the resultant will give a negative effect instead. The shear wall is made up of braced panels (shear panels) to counteract the effects of lateral loading acting on a structure.

Fig. 1: Shear wall in Building

Seismic loads and wind are among the most common loads that shear walls can withstand. When a shear wall is built, it is created in the form of a line of heavily braced, reinforced panels. This is why they are also known as braced wall lines in some region. The wall seamlessly connects two exterior walls and reinforces other shear walls in the structure. Bracing is accomplished with heavy beams and metal brackets or support beams that keep the wall firm and strong. Shear walls are now a vital part of mid and tall buildings. For a building to be an earthquake resistant design, these walls are placed in the planes of the building which reduces lateral displacements under seismic loads. In this way shear wall frame structures are achieved. The shear wall is taken in different forms and types under reinforced materials such as Simple rectangular, Coupled, Rigid frame, Framed walls with infill frame, Column supported, Core type shear wall.

II. OPENING CONCEPT OF SHEAR WALL

Cantilever shear walls always act as coupled shear walls consist of openings and have connected with coupling beams. Multi-storeyed buildings may have openings in rows which is essential for doors, ventilations, openings and windows in both internal and external walls. As per architectural point of view, the opening has provided. This opening has to be decided within the limit to secure the structural resisting components by adverse seismic effects.

Very Small Opening

Medium Opening

Fig. 2: Frame having very small opening of Shear wall

Fig. 3: Frame having medium opening of Shear wall

Very Large Opening

Fig. 4: Frame having very large opening of Shear wall

III. OBJECTIVES

To check the stability criteria of multistorey building, following objectives have been selected:-

- A. To study the various cases of opening in shear wall and comparing them by using Response Spectrum Method of dynamic analysis using Staad.pro software.
- B. To calculate Maximum displacement, Base Shear and Drift values and then comparing all the cases.
- C. To explore the possibilities of overall structural resistance by minimal use of shear wall area.
- D. To determine maximum Axial Forces in columns at ground level for various cases.
- E. To study the variation of maximum Bending Moments & Shear Forces in columns of all cases for multi-storeyed buildings.
- F. To study and compare maximum Bending Moments & Shear Forces in beams along X and Z direction.
- G. To evaluate maximum Torsional Moments in beams along X and Z directions.

IV.METHODOLOGY AND MODELLING

Fig. 5: Plan of all structures

Fig. 6: Case WO1: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 0%)

Fig. 7: Case WO2: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 0.55 m x 4 m (11%))

Fig. 8: Case WO3: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 0.71 m x 4 m (14.2%))

Fig. 9: Case WO4: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 1 m x 4 m (20%))

Fig. 10: Case WO5: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 1.66 m x 4 m (33.20%))

Fig. 11: Case WO5a: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 1.66 m x 3.5 m (29.05%))

Fig. 12: Case WO6: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 2 m x 3.5 m (35%))

Fig. 13: Case WO7: - (Bay size = 5 m x 4 m & shear wall opening area = 2.10 m x 3.5 m (36.75%))

Table 1: Data taken for analysis of structure

Constraint	Assumed data for all buildings
Soil type	Medium Soil
Seismic Zone	III
Response reduction factor (Ordinary shear wall with SMRF)	4
Importance factor (For all semi Commercial & Residential building)	1.2
Damping ratio	5%
Fundamental natural time period of vibration (T_a)	$0.09 \cdot h / (d)^{0.5}$
Plinth area of building 925 sq. m	925 sq. m
Floors configuration	G + 18
Height of building	79.50 m
Floor to floor height	4 m
Depth of foundation	3.5 m
Beam Size	550 mm x 600 mm
Column Size	650 mm x 700mm
Slab thickness	180 mm
Shear wall thickness	280 mm
Material properties	M 30 Concrete
Method used for Seismic Analysis	Response Spectrum Method
Moment of Inertia Reduction Factor	For Columns 70% (0.70) For Beams 35% (0.35)

V. RESULT ANALYSIS

The result parameters obtained by the application of loads and their combinations on various cases as per Indian Standard 1893: 2016 code of practice. Result of each parameter has discussed with its representation in graphical form below:-

Fig. 14: Bar Chart for Displacement in X and Z directions

Fig. 15: Bar Chart for Base Shear in X and Z direction

Fig. 16: Bar Chart for Maximum Axial force in Column

Fig. 17: Bar Chart for Maximum Shear force in Column

Fig. 18: Bar Chart for Maximum Bending moment in Column

Fig. 19: Bar Chart for Maximum Shear force in Beam

Fig. 20: Bar Chart for Maximum BM in Beam

Fig. 21: Bar Chart for Beam Max Torsion Moment

Fig. 22: Bar Chart for Max Drift Value

A. Discussions

After analysis perform on all cases then conclusion is following below:

- 1) The Displacement values increase proportionally with shear wall opening area increases. In this study, the displacements continuously increases up to case 5 (WO5), after case 5 shear wall area is increases but the displacement comparatively decreases.
- 2) The Base Shear value decreases inversely with the shear wall opening area increases. The Base Shear value is maximum in case 1 and minimum in case 8. When the opening area of shear wall is increases then the Base Shear value decreased.
- 3) The Axial Force in column initially increases up to case 2. After that the value of Axial force decreases inversely with the shear wall opening area increases. When opening area of shear wall is increased then the Axial Force in column is decreased.
- 4) The Shear Force in column is not vary up to case 5. But when the flared area used (in case 6-8) then the Shear Forces in Column rapidly increases.
- 5) The Bending Moment in column is mostly constant up to case 5 (minor variation shows). But in case 6 to 8 the Bending Moment in column increases.
- 6) The Maximum Shear force in Beam is initially increases in case 1 & case 2. After that the Maximum Shear Force in beam are decreased continuously.
- 7) The maximum Bending Moment in Beam increases proportionally with the shear wall area is increased. The Maximum Bending Moment in Beam values are increasing with the shear wall opening area.
- 8) The Maximum Torsional Moment in Beam initially increases in case 1 & case 2 and after that decrease up to case 5 and again increases with shear wall opening is increased. The Maximum Storey Drift increases proportionally to the shear wall opening area.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this research work, various percentage openings in shear wall are used to reduce the cost for making the economic structure. Based on which following conclusions are made.

- 1) The case WO5 fails in drift (according to IS L/250) at 39.5 m height when 33.20% opening in shear wall is provided then the flared area (shear belt) of height 0.5 m at a height of 39.5 m is provided to counteract the effect of drift in case WO5a. In the cases WO6 & WO7, the flared area of height 0.5 m at height of 20 m, 31.5 m & 39.5 m are provided but the case WO7 fails in Drift. The opening in shear wall of 35% greater is not provided.
- 2) Displacement in both X and Z direction increases and when it cross the limit of 35%, the structural components fails and it needs increase in dimension. Building WO6 will be economical.
- 3) Base shear values decreases as the weight of the structure decreases when cutting the percentage area of shear wall. For this, in both X and Z directions, building WO6 shows the best parametric values at 35 % shear wall opening.
- 4) Shear forces in column in both Y and Z direction increase with reduction in Shear wall area, the members fail beyond building WO6 values. Hence building SD shows the safest values for shear forces in column.
- 5) The Moment values in column decreases from building WO1 to WO7 and beyond building WO6, the member fails. Hence building WO6 shows the safest values for bending moment in columns.
- 6) Beam in both X and Z direction shows least values of shear forces in building WO6 and beyond this, the beam fails.

A. Scope of the Future Work

The future scope of this research work, the flared area similarly used where the lateral force acting more efficiency in high earthquake zone. The shear wall constructed at the lift core where use the flared area (shear belt) for providing more stiffness and reducing the concrete area.

REFERENCES

- [1] Friscimanna, W.W, Probhu, S.S. and Toppler, J.F. (1963), "Multi storey frames and interconnected shear walls subjected to lateral loads", Concrete and constructional Engg, Vol.43 and 58, pp. 227-234 and 283-293.
- [2] Arvidsson Kent, (1974), "Shear walls with Door openings near the Edge of the wall", ACI, Vol.71, pp 353-357.
- [3] Gagan Yadav, Sagar Jamle, (2020), "Opening Effect of Core Type Shear Wall Used in Multistoried Structures: A Technical Approach in Structural Engineering", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, (ISSN: 2456-1908 (O), 2349-6495(P)), vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 344-351. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.73.50>
- [4] Khan, A.H and Stafford smith, B. (1976), "A Simple Method of Analysis for Deflection and Stresses in Wall-Frame structures Building and Environment", Vol 2, pp 69-78. Pergamon press.
- [5] Pankaj Kumar Dhakad, Sagar Jamle, (2020), "Base Shear Reduction by Using Optimum Size of Beams in Top Floors with Different Grades in Multistoried Building at Different Levels", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, (ISSN: 2456-1908 (O), 2349-6495(P)), vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 293-296. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.74.20>
- [6] Daniel, J.I, shiu, K.N. Corley, W.G. (1986), "Openings in Earthquake-Resistant Structural walls", ASCE, vol 112, pp 1660-1676.
- [7] Gagan Yadav, Sagar Jamle, (2020), "Use of Shear Wall with Opening in Multistoried Building: A Factual Review", International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, (ISSN: 2277-4106 (O), 2347-5161(P)), vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 243-246. <https://doi.org/10.14741/ijcet/v.10.2.9>
- [8] Pala, S. and Ozmen, G. (1993), "Effective Stiffness of Coupling Beams In Structural Walls", Faculty of Civil Engg. Istanbul technical University, Vol 54, pp 925-931.
- [9] Sagar Jamle, Nirmal Delmiya, Rahul Singh, (2020), "Efficient Use of UPV Meter: A Non Destructive Test of Concrete by Fragmentation Analysis", Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology, ISSN: 1006-7930, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 3385-3394. <https://doi.org/10.37896/JXAT12.04/1078>
- [10] Kobaynshi, J., Korenag, T., Shibata, A., Akino, K., Taira, T. (1995), "Effect of small openings on strength and stiffness of shear walls in reactor building". Journal of Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol 156, pp. 17-27.
- [11] Zamran Khan, Sagar Jamle, (2020), " Optimization of Stability of Building based on Variation in Shear Wall & Concrete Grade Parameters: A Review", International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET), ISSN: 2321-9653, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 444-450. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2020.31489>
- [12] Diasuke Kato, Toshimi Kabeyasawa, Shunsuke Otani and Hiroyuki Aoyama(1995), "Earthquake-Resistance Design of shear walls with one opening" ACI publication. Vol. 92, pp. 495-500.
- [13] Andrew Clark Johnson (1997), "Monotonia and cyclic performance of long shear walls with openings", Thesis submitted to the faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- [14] Christopher, P. Taylor, Paul A.Cote, and John W. Wallace (1998), "Design of Slender reinforced concrete walls with openings". Structural journal, Volume 95, Issue 4, pages 420-433.
- [15] Qamaruddin, M. (1998), "In plane stiffness of shear walls with openings". Journal of Building and Environment, Volume 34, Issue 2, pages 109-127.
- [16] Chiou, Y.J. Liou, Y. W. (2003), "Repair of large scale Reinforced Concrete framed Shear walls with opening", ACI publication, vol 211, pp. 263-292.

- [17] Prafoolla Thakre, Sagar Jamle, Kundan Meshram, (2020), "Opening Area Effect of Shear Wall in Multistorey Building under Seismic Loading", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, (ISSN: 2456-1908 (O), 2349-6495(P)), vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 122-129. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.72.17>
- [18] Kim, H.S. and Lee, D.G. (2003), "Analysis of shear wall with openings using super element". Engineering Structures, vol 25, pp. 981-991.
- [19] Sagar Jamle, Dr. M.P. Verma, Vinay Dhakad, (2017), "Flat Slab Shear Wall Interaction for Multistoried Building Analysis When Structure Length is greater than width under seismic Forces", International Journal of Software & Hardware Research in Engineering (IJSHRE) ISSN: 2347-4890 Vol.-05, Issue-3, pp. 32-53.
- [20] Sahanubhuti, Ashok. (2003) "Seismic Analysis and Design of RCC Coupled Shear Wall Structure", M.Tech Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra, India.
- [21] Archit Dangi, Sagar Jamle, (2018), "Determination of Seismic parameters of R.C.C. Building Using Shear Core Outrigger, Wall Belt and Truss Belt Systems". International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (ISSN : 2349-6495(P) | 2456-1908(O)), vol. 5, no. 9, pp.305-309 AI Publications, <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.5.9.36>
- [22] Can Balkaya, Erol Kalkan (2004), "Three Dimensional Effects on openings of Laterally Loaded pierced shear walls", Journal of structural engineering (ASCE), Vol 130, issue 10, pp 1506-1514.
- [23] Sagar Jamle, Dr. M.P. Verma, Vinay Dhakad, (2017), "Flat Slab Shear Wall Interaction for Multistoried Building under Seismic Forces", International Journal of Software & Hardware Research in Engineering (IJSHRE), ISSN: 2347-4890, Vol.-05, Issue-3, pp. 14-31.
- [24] Hyun-Su Kim, Dong- Guen Lee, Chee Kyeong Kim (2005), "Efficient three dimensional seismic analysis of a high-rise building structure with shear walls", Engineering Structures, vol. 27, pp-963-976.
- [25] Reddy, T.R. (2006), "Effect of Opening in Shear Walls of Multistoried Buildings", M. Tech Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, India.
- [26] Prafoolla Thakre, Sagar Jamle, Kundan Meshram, (2019), "A Review on Opening Area with Respect to Wall Area in Shear Wall for Multistoried Building ", International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, (ISSN: 2348-1269 (O), 2349-5138 (P)), vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 156-161.
- [27] Shariq, M., Abbas, H., Irtaza, H., Qamaruddin, M. (2007), "Influence of openings on seismic performance of masonry building walls". Science Direct, Vol.43, pp 1232-1240.
- [28] Romesh Malviya, Sagar Jamle, (2020), "Increasing Stability of Multistoried Building using Different Grades of Concrete in Column Member Sets at Different Locations", International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, (ISSN: 2277-4106 (O), 2347-5161(P)), vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 208-213. <https://doi.org/10.14741/ijcet/v.10.2.3>
- [29] Yash Joshi, Sagar Jamle, (2019), "Effect of Curtailed Shear Wall on Dynamic Analysis of RC Building", International Journal of Management, Technology And Engineering, (ISSN: 2249-7455(O)), vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 223-230.
- [30] National Conference on High-Rise Buildings: Materials and Practices, New Delhi, India, October 30-31, 2006.
- [31] IS: 1893:1984, "Indian standard code of criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures". Bureau of Indian standards. New Delhi, India.
- [32] IS: 1893(Part 1):2002, "Indian Standard code for criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures". Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
- [33] IS: 13920:1993, "Indian Standard code of practice for Ductile detailing of reinforced concrete structures subjected to seismic forces". Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
- [34] IS 1983: 2016, "Indian standard code of criteria for earthquake resistance design of structure" Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.

10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129

IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)