iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: IX Month of publication: September 2017

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.9075>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Evaluation of Stability of Water-Based Nano Fluids Using UV/VIS Spectrophotometer

Gulivindala Anil kumar¹, Sajja. Ravi Babu²

¹ Student, Thermal engineering, M.tech, GMRIT, Rajam

² Sr.Asst Professor, Department of Power Engineering, GMRIT, Rajam

Abstract: *Stability is a key issue while preparing and utilization of nano fluids. Stable suspension by direct dispersion of nano particles in base fluids is not possible. Modifiers such as magnetic stirring, ultra sonication, pH modifiers and surfactant addition are used to increase the stability. But these pH modifiers and surfactant addition affect properties whereas magnetic stirring, ultra sonication involved in time consumption. There is a need to find the best method to get stable suspension with less effect on properties. The present study involves the measurement of stability in terms of absorbance by using UV/Vis spectroscopy. From this study best modifier is identified and analyzed to get better results.*

Keywords: Nano fluids, stability, modifiers, absorbance

I. INTRODUCTION

Dispersing certain weight fraction of nano particles in base fluids is called as Nano fluids. Due to outstanding enhancement in thermal conductivity compared to base fluids made nano fluids as promising heat transfer fluids. These findings encouraged to enhance convection heat transfer of commonly used coolants modified by nano particles (1). It has been reported that the enhancement of nanofluids depend not only on nanoparticle parameters but also on their suspension stability in base fluid. (2)

Unfortunately, the nano particles have a great tendency to aggregate due to their higher densities compared to base fluids and this deteriorates the nano fluid performance (3).

It is necessary to keep uniform and homogenous dispersion of nano particles at different volume fractions to obtain possible enhancement. Therefore it is important measure stability of nano fluid for quality issue also.

Various stabilization methods such as ultra-sonication, pH control, and adding surfactant had been considered to enhance stability during preparation of nanofluids (4).

Previously, the methods to inspect stability were limited to sedimentation, photo capturing, TEM (transmission electron microscopy), SEM (scanning electron microscopy), from 2003, a new method for stability measurement by UV-Vis Spectrophotometer is adopted (5). In this paper, the effect of pH values and SDBS (sodium dodecyl benzene sulphate) surfactant concentration, ultra sonication, magnetic stirring are studied.

The absorbance measurements using UV-Vis spectrophotometer were taken as the stability responses after preparation. The objective of this work is to optimize the stability based methods of magnetic stirring, ultra sonication and surfactant addition through pH control. Appropriate time to get stable suspension by magnetic stirring and ultra-sonication is explained by measuring absorbance through UV-Vis spectrophotometer is discussed. Furthermore, the optimum stable combination of SDS wt. % is and its effects also explained.

A. Preparation of nanofluid

The samples were prepared using the two step method (6). Al₂O₃ of 30nm nano particles procured from nano labs, Jamshedpur, India. To achieve the study purpose, different volume fractions 0.015, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15% is preferred. Sodium dodecane benzene sulphate of 10% to nano particle weight is used for all the volume fractions (7). Magnetic stirring, ultra sonication are done for 1 hour for every volume fraction. Hcl and NaoH are preferred to modify the pH of the nano fluid (8, 9). Through this process, it can be assumed that nanoparticles are stably dispersed in the entire volume. Different samples and different variations are preferred to study and stability is measured in terms of absorbance by using UV- Vis spectrophotometer (10).

Fig.1: magnetic stirring of Al_2O_3 - H_2O

Fig.2: ultra- sonication of Al_2O_3 - H_2O

B. Experimentation

- 1) *Ultraviolet/Visible spectroscopy*: UV/Vis spectroscopy was used extending over a range of wavelengths from 200 nm to 900 nm (11). The output is a relation between absorbance of light and wavelength. To assess the dispersion of nanoparticles in base fluid, the absorbance of nano fluids sample is compared to the absorbance of water (base fluid). The difference in absorbance is directly related to nanoparticle concentration. The absorbance measured directly after preparation is taken as a reference, where sedimentation process is not effective at that time due to small sedimentation velocity. In this case the concentration of the upper part of the sample is reduced with a subsequent reduction in the effective surface area of nanoparticles. This will be directly reflected on the maximum absorbance measured by UV/Vis spectroscopy. So, any fall in the absorbance value can be easily related to agglomeration rate of Al_2O_3 nano particles (12).

Fig.3: UV-Vis spectroscopy test for assessing colloidal stability of nanofluids directly after preparations

Based on this illustration, UV/Vis spectroscopy can be used to assess the stability of nano particles in water-based nanofluids. The measurements were performed by pouring the nanofluids sample in a cuvette cell through which electromagnetic radiation is passed. This cuvette has a two highly polished faces to minimize reflection and scatter losses. The other two faces are transparent, where they faces incident light beam. Directly after preparation, a sample was drawn from prepared nano fluids, and then it was poured into the cuvette for testing.

II. RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

Surfactant addition, pH modifiers affect adversely on the properties but give instant results. Magnetic stirring, ultra-sonication are found to be good stability modifiers without changing any properties but it does not give instant results (13). In our study, the influences of properties are never discussed. Selection of suitable surfactant, time to spend on mechanical modifiers can be known by drawing a relation between pH and absorbance.

Fig 4: volume fraction 0.05%

Fig5: volume fraction 0.015%

Fig 6: volume fraction 0.15%

Fig7: volume fraction 0.1%

The increase in absorbance can be observed by increment in volume fraction loading. Higher absorbance shows higher dispersion of nano particles but sudden drop in absorbance for higher wavelengths shows the faster agglomeration. The rapid agglomerations directly showed high sedimentation rate. Similar opinion raised by the researchers (14). It can be observed from base pH values that a basic surfactant will not provide stable suspensions. At lower volume fractions, NaOH showed good stability of nano fluid but it is not possible to use different pH modifiers at different volume fractions. A Surfactant which gives acidic pH value can show better stability compared to basic one.

Fig.8: vol 0.015% with magnetic stirring

Fig.9: vol 0.05% with magnetic stirring

Fig. 10: vol 0.1% with magnetic stirring

Fig.11: vol 0.15% with magnetic stirring

From the fig.8, 9, 10, 11 it can be observed that by using magnetic stirring there is a possibility to get stable suspension. But at higher volume fractions, magnetic stirring is not enough to stable suspension. Because at higher volume fractions, speed offered by magnetic stirrer is failed to break the agglomerations. At lower volume fractions such as 0.015, 0.05 magnetic stirring showed good results and it needed continuous stirring of 1 hour.

Fig. 12: vol 0.015% with sonication

Fig.13: vol 0.05% with sonication

Fig.14: vol 0.1% with sonication

Fig.14: vol 0.1% with sonication

Fig.15: vol 0.15% with sonication

From the fig.12, 13, 14, 15 it can be observed that at higher volume fractions only the energy offered by pulsating wave utilized more. However, after passing the optimized duration of the process, it will cause more serious problems in agglomeration and clogging results in fast sedimentation. For continuous sonication of 30 min is found to be enough to get stable suspension but further reduces the absorbance. It showed that a high energy pulsating wave made agglomeration. Obtained result made an agreement with the other experimental work. (4)

Fig. 16: different volume fractions with surfactant

From the above graphs 16, the effect observed by the NaoH can be as the surfactant SDBS. As the sodium dodecane benzene sulphate base in nature, it follows the same trend followed by the NaoH. A repulsion force between nano particles is made the base fluid to stand at iso-electric point but due to rise in the surface charge of the particles increases the van der Waals attractions which increase agglomerations. Sodium dodecane benzene is not good surfactant for $Al_2O_3-H_2O$ nano fluids and the same opinioned in another work. (16)

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the stability of water- based Al_2O_3 has been evaluated UV/Vis considering the effect of volume fraction, stability modifiers. A relation is drawn between the pH and absorbance. It is proved that SDBS is no longer suitable surfactant and it is showed negative results. There is no need of ultra-sonication for smaller volume fractions and magnetic stirring has does not show good results in higher volume fractions.

A. 0.015, 0.05 volume fractions require 1 hour of magnetic stirring to get stable suspension during the experiment.

B. 0.1, 0.15 volume fractions require 45 min of ultra-sonication to get stable suspension during the experiment.

C. A surfactant maintains its pH lower than 4.5 or higher than 10 give stable suspensions in water based nano fluids

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors can acknowledge any person/authorities in this section. This is not mandatory.

REFERENCES

- [1] Stephen U. S. Choi¹ and J. A. Eastman², "Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles", ASME November 1995, Conference , Sanfrancisco
- [2] A. Nasiri, M. Shariaty-Niasar, A. Rashidi, A. Amrollahi and R.Khodafarin, "Effect of dispersion method on thermal conductivity and stability of nanofluid," Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, Vol.35, pp. 717-723, 2011.
- [3] W. Evans, R. Prasher, J. Fish, P. Meakin, P. Phelan, P. Keblinski, Effect of aggregation and interfacial thermal resistance on thermal conductivity of nanocomposites and colloidal nanofluids, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 51 (5-6)(2008) 1431-1438
- [4] R. Sadeghi · S. Gh. Etemad · E. Keshavarzi · M. Haghshenasfard, "Investigation of alumina nanofluid stability by UV-vis spectrum " Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014
- [5] Kreibig U, Genzel L (1985) Optical absorption of small metallic particles. Surf Sci 156:678-700
- [6] Ghadimi A, Saidur R et al (2011) A review of nanofluid stability properties and characterization in stationary conditions. Int J HeatMassTransf 54(17):4051-4068

- [7] Y. Hwang, J.K. Lee, C.H. Lee, Y.M. Jung, S.I. Cheong, C.G. Lee, B.C. Ku, S.P. Jang, Stability and thermal conductivity characteristics of nanofluids, *Thermochim. Acta* 455 (1-2) (2007) 70–74
- [8] Y. Hwang, J.-K. Lee, J.-K. Lee, Y.-M. Jeong, S.-i. Cheong, Y.-C. Ahn, S.H. Kim, Production and dispersion stability of nanoparticles in nanofluids, *Powder Technol.* 186 (2) (2008) 145–153
- [9] G.P. Peterson, C.H. Li, Heat and mass transfer in fluids with nanoparticle, *Adv. Heat Transfer Suspensions* 39 (2006) 257–376
- [10] Diaa-Eldin A. Mansour and Eman G. Atiya, Application of UV/Vis Spectroscopy to Assess the Stability of Oil-Based Nanofluids, *IEEE* 978-1-5090-4654-6/16, 2016
- [11] A. Ghadimi, R. Saidur and H.S.C. Metselaar, “A review of nanofluid stability properties and characterization in stationary conditions”, *Int. Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 54, pp. 4051–4068, 2011
- [12] Wei Yu and Huaqing Xie, A Review on Nanofluids: Preparation, Stability Mechanisms, and Applications, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Nanomaterials*, Volume 2012, Article ID 435873, 17 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/435873
- [13] L. Jiang, L. Gao, J. Sun, Production of aqueous colloidal dispersions of carbon nanotubes, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 260 (1) (2003) 89–94
- [14] H. Chang, C. Jwo, P. Fan, S. Pai, Process optimization and material properties for nanofluid manufacturing, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 34 (3) (2007) 300–306
- [15] S.S. Khaleduzzamana, M.R. Sohela, R. Saidura, b, J. Selvarajb, “Stability of Al₂O₃-water Nanofluid for Electronics Cooling System”, *ScienceDirect*, 6th BSME International Conference on Thermal Engineering (ICTE 2014) 406 – 411
- [16] D. Wen, Y. Ding, Experimental investigation into the pool boiling heat transfer of aqueous based α -alumina nanofluids, *J. Nanopart. Res.* 7 (2005) 265–274

10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129

IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)