University of Tennessee Health Science Center
UTHSC Digital Commons

Theses and Dissertations (ETD)

College of Graduate Health Sciences

5-2006

Genetic Networks Modulating Retinal Injury

Felix Vazquez-Chona

University of Tennessee Health Science Center

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.uthsc.edu/dissertations>

Part of the [Genetic Processes Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Vazquez-Chona, Felix , "Genetic Networks Modulating Retinal Injury" (2006). *Theses and Dissertations (ETD)*. Paper 286. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21007/etd.cghs.2006.0337>.

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Graduate Health Sciences at UTHSC Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations (ETD) by an authorized administrator of UTHSC Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jwelch30@uthsc.edu.

Genetic Networks Modulating Retinal Injury

Abstract

Many advances have and are being made with the advent of modern sciences. One area that remains resistant to significant advances is the recovery of the mammalian central nervous system (CNS) after injury. Considerable efforts were placed on understanding the cellular and biochemical changes occurring after injury and during wound healing. Aside from cataloging the changes that occur, little progress is being made in understanding the molecular cascades associated with the control of the healing process. The present series of studies define the global changes that occur after injury. They also define CNS repair mechanisms by identifying genetic networks that underlie the temporal changes of retinal wound healing. Gene expression changes in the injured rat retina were analyzed with microarray technology, genetic linkage analysis of gene expression, and higher-level bioinformatic analyses. This work yielded three complementary insights. First, groups of functionally related genes underlie the early, delayed, and sustained responses of wound healing. For example, transcriptional factors such as Fos and Egr1 define the early response, whereas, glial reactive markers such as Gfap and Cd81 define the sustained response. Second, three specific genomic loci modulate coordinated changes in gene expression in mouse brains: regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14. Of the three only the regulatory locus on chromosome 12 specifically modulates the expression of a group of genes involved in early wound-healing events (mainly, the regulation of transcription, differentiation, apoptosis, and proliferation). Third, candidate genes Id2 and Lpin1 are current modulators for the network controlled by the chromosome 12 locus. Higher levels of Id2 and Lpin1 correlated with higher levels of the survival gene Crygd, and with lower levels of acute phase genes Fos and Stat3, reactive gliosis genes Gfap and Cd81, and apoptotic gene Casp3. In this body of work I have moved beyond cataloging changes in the transcriptome to identifying candidate genes modulating the retinal response to injury. During this process I developed an integrated approach of gene expression profiling and higher-level bioinformatic analyses to define genetic networks. This work not only advances our understanding of the molecular networks controlling the CNS response to injury, but may also form the basis for interventions that can rescue injured neurons and re-establish lost CNS connections.

Document Type

Dissertation

Degree Name

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

Program

Anatomy

Research Advisor

Eldone E. Geisert, Ph.D.

Keywords

retina, degeneration, regeneration, microarray, glia, gliosis, gene expression, gene regulation, quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis, expression genetics, microarray

Subject Categories

Genetic Processes | Medical Sciences | Medicine and Health Sciences

GENETIC NETWORKS

MODULATING RETINAL INJURY

A Dissertation

Presented for

The Graduate Studies Council

The University of Tennessee

Health Science Center

In Partial Fulfillment

Of the Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Philosophy

From The University of Tennessee

By

Félix Vázquez-Chona

May 2006

Chapter 2 □ 2004 by Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology

Chapter 3 □ 2005 by Molecular Vision

All other material □ 2006 by Félix Vázquez-Chona

Dedication

To my parents

Rosa Carmina Chona-Hernandez

Feliciano Vázquez-Almaraz

Jenine R. Riddle

Herman R. Riddle

and

To my wife

Sara R. Vázquez

Dissertation Abstract

Many advances have and are being made with the advent of modern sciences. One area that remains resistant to significant advances is the recovery of the mammalian central nervous system (CNS) after injury. Considerable efforts were placed on understanding the cellular and biochemical changes occurring after injury and during wound healing. Aside from cataloging the changes that occur, little progress is being made in understanding the molecular cascades associated with the control of the healing process. The present series of studies define the global changes that occur after injury. They also define CNS repair mechanisms by identifying genetic networks that underlie the temporal changes of retinal wound healing. Gene expression changes in the injured rat retina were analyzed with microarray technology, genetic linkage analysis of gene expression, and higher-level bioinformatic analyses. This work yielded three complementary insights. First, groups of functionally related genes underlie the early, delayed, and sustained responses of wound healing. For example, transcriptional factors such as *Fos* and *Egr1* define the early response, whereas, glial reactive markers such as *Gfap* and *Cd81* define the sustained response. Second, three specific genomic loci modulate coordinated changes in gene expression in mouse brains: regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14. Of the three only the regulatory locus on chromosome 12 specifically modulates the expression of a group of genes involved in early wound-healing events (mainly, the regulation of transcription, differentiation, apoptosis, and proliferation). Third, candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1* are current modulators for the network controlled by the chromosome 12 locus. Higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* correlated with higher levels of the survival gene *Crygd*, and with lower levels of acute phase genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, reactive gliosis genes *Gfap* and *Cd81*, and apoptotic gene *Casp3*. In this body of work I have moved beyond cataloging changes in the transcriptome to identifying candidate genes modulating the retinal response to injury. During this process I developed an integrated approach of gene expression profiling and higher-level bioinformatic analyses to define genetic networks. This work not only advances our understanding of the molecular networks controlling the CNS response to injury,

but may also form the basis for interventions that can rescue injured neurons and re-establish lost CNS connections.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Retinal Wound Healing	2
Gene Expression Profiling	8
Genetics of Gene Expression	9
Aims of the Dissertation	12
Chapter 2. Temporal Changes in Gene Expression After Injury in the Rat Retina	14
Abstract	15
Introduction	16
Methods	17
Results	21
Discussion	34
Chapter 3. Genetic Networks Controlling Retinal Injury	39
Abstract	40
Introduction	41
Methods	43
Results	48
Discussion	63
Chapter 4. Genetic Influences on Gene Expression and Retinal Wound Healing	69
Introduction	70
Methods	72
Results	79
Discussion	97
Chapter 5. Discussion	103
Cataloging Global Changes During Wound Healing Using Microarrays	104
Defining Regulatory Loci That Modulate Gene Expression	110

Finding Regulators of Retinal Wound Healing	115
Model of Intrinsic Regulation Muller Cell Reactivity	119
Perspectives on Testing Candidate Genes	120
Conclusion	126
List of References	127
Appendix A. Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) Report	137
Appendix B. Supplemental Material for Chapter 2	141
Appendix C. Supplemental Material for Chapter 4	153
Appendix D. Supplemental Material for Chapter 5	156
Appendix E. Glossary	158
Vita	163

List of Tables

2.1. Experiments and distribution of animals	18
2.2. Microarray expression profiles after an experimentally induced retinal tear	25
2.3. Transcript changes confirmed with real-time RT-PCR	26
3.1. Data used to define acute phase genes	44
3.2. Acute phase genes expressed in the injured CNS	49
4.1. Oligonucleotides used for RNA interference and real-time RT-PCR	77
B.1. Experimental conditions, samples, and GEO accession numbers	142
B.2. Oligonucleotides used for real-time RT-PCR	143
B.3. Correlation between normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA signals	145
B.4. Gene clusters in the injured retina	146
B.5. Gene functional clusters in injured retina	150
C.1. Wound-healing genes modulated by chromosome 12 locus	154

List of Figures

1.1. Cellular response to retinal injury	4
2.1. The cellular response of the rat retina to injury	22
2.2. Gene expression profiles after retinal injury	28
2.3. Temporal distribution of genes and functional categories after retinal injury	30
2.4. The crystallins α , β , and γ were dramatically upregulated after retinal injury	31
2.5. <i>Cd81</i> clustered into the late-response profile along with tetraspanins and glial reactive markers	33
3.1. Acute phase genes share regulatory loci	51
3.2. Genetic networks controlling acute phase transcripts	53
3.3. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 14 locus	55
3.4. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 6 locus	58
3.5. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 12 locus	61
4.1. Chromosome 12 locus modulates wound-healing mechanisms in the CNS	80
4.2. Chromosome 12 locus modulates transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptotic mechanisms	84
4.3. Candidate genes for chromosome 12 network	86
4.4. <i>Id2</i> and <i>Lpin1</i> are differentially expressed in response to genetic differences and trauma	91
4.5. Higher levels of <i>Id2</i> and <i>Lpin1</i> correlated with lower retinal stress markers	93
4.6. <i>Id2</i> silencing decreases the mitotic activity and healing response of cultured astrocytes	96
5.1. Gene expression after injury is temporally regulated and gene product functions are consistent with known the biology of retinal wound healing	108
5.2. Locus on chromosome 12 modulates the expression of genes involved in differentiation, proliferation, and cell death	111
5.3. <i>Id2</i> is a candidate gene modulating the chromosome 12 network	117
5.4. Model of Muller cell activation by chromosome 12 network	121

B.1. Effect of expression level on coefficient of variation	144
C.1. Expression patterns for chromosome 12 network genes during retinal development and retinal injury	155
D.1. ID2 antibody control using retina from <i>Id2</i> -null mice	157

Chapter 1

Introduction

Retinal Wound Healing

The retina responds to injury and chronic stress by activating a complex series of cellular events that collectively preserve the structure and function of the retina. This wound-healing response can result in permanent cellular changes that can lead to secondary complications and the loss of visual function. This protective mechanism may be a significant barrier to therapeutic measures designed to preserve visual function such as cell transplantation, gene therapy, and bioinert implants. Defining the molecular changes that underlie the retinal healing is key to finding pathways that can be targeted to modulate the complications of retinal repair.

The retina is a complex tissue made of different cell types segregated into distinct cellular layers with associated functional properties. The transduction of light to a neural signal occurs at the back of the retina within the photoreceptors. The signal is processed by bipolar, amacrine and horizontal cells. The signal is relayed to the brain by the retinal ganglion cells. The sensory and processing functions of the neural retina are guarded by the supporting glial cells. The two major retinal glial cells are the Muller cells and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). Retinal glial cells maintain homeostasis and the blood-retinal barriers. Muller cells extend radially spanning nearly the entire thickness of the neural retina from the internal limiting membrane to the external limiting membrane. As these cells span the retina they interact with virtually all the retinal cell types [Sarthy and Ripps, 2001]. RPE cells lie at the back of the eye between the neural retina and the choroid. This location allows the RPE to closely associate with the outer segments of photoreceptors in addition to serving as a barrier protecting the neural retina from the choroidal circulation [Zhao et al., 1997]. Together, Muller and RPE cells are well positioned to be exposed to degenerative changes in neural cells and to play a key role in the wound healing response.

Wound-Healing Animal Models

Studies in animal models have provided information about the cellular response to retinal injury. Stephen J. Ryan's group described the histological features of the inflammatory response to a

penetrating injury that disrupts the sclera and the retina [Gregor and Ryan, 1986; Cleary and Ryan, 1979]. Others have described the neuronal and glial response after retinal breaks induced with scrapes [Miller and Oberdorfer, 1981; Maclaren, 1996] and laser photocoagulation lesions [Humphrey et al., 1993]. Steven K Fisher's group examined the cellular response to the separation of the neural retina from the RPE layer in a model of retinal detachment in cats [Anderson et al., 1983]. The breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) using non-invasive mechanism was produced by Peter A. Campochiaro's group using intravenous sodium iodate or intravitreal injection of epinephrine [Campochiaro et al., 1986]. The response of glial cells was also studied when specific neuronal cells were damaged. Optic nerve crushes target the cell death of retinal ganglion cells which is preceded by the activation of retinal glia [Bahr, 1991]. Targeted cell death of photoreceptors in albino animals by fluorescent light also activates retinal glia. As a general survey of the retinal response to injury, this introduction describes the wound healing response to a mechanical disruption of the retina. The retinal glial cells undergo temporal and spatial changes that can be divided into three major phases: an early acute phase (minutes, hours), a delayed subacute phase (days) and late chronic phase (days, weeks) (Figure 1.1).

Wound Healing Phases

The immediate consequences of trauma include hemorrhage, the formation of a toxic environment, and the activation of glial cells. Direct mechanical trauma to the retina interrupts the blood-retinal barriers, intrudes on the vitreous, and disrupts the subretinal contents into the neural retina [Postel and Mieler, 1999; Gregor and Ryan, 1982]. The flow of fluid and metabolites into the retinal microenvironment creates a highly acidic and toxic environment [Liang and Godley, 2003; Sherry and Townes-Anderson, 2000]. The initial glial responses to injury are simply normal glial properties operating in an abruptly altered environment. For example, the Muller cells attempt to restore ionic and glutamate balance of the extracellular environment; and, RPE cells phagocytize debris and fragmented cells [Bringmann et al., 2000; Sherry and Townes-Anderson, 2000; Zhao et al., 1997]. The increased physiological demands on

Figure 1.1. Cellular response to retinal injury. This schematic describes the wound healing response to a mechanical disruption of the retina (for example, a retinal tear). The retinal glial cells undergo temporal and spatial changes that can be divided into three major phases: an early acute phase (minutes, hours), a delayed subacute phase (days) and late chronic phase (days, weeks).

glial cells result in the swelling of glial cells. Serum factors released from the blood stream and vitreous material are known to activate the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from glia cells, and activate the early activation of signaling cascades involved in proliferation and survival [Geller et al., 2001; Takeda et al., 2002; Izumi et al., 2002]

The subacute phase is characterized by inflammatory responses and phenotypic changes in glial cells. The early release of cytokines by damaged cells and activated glial cells recruit inflammatory cells from the blood stream to the site of injury [Zhou et al., 2005; Zhou and Maren, 2003; Szabo et al., 1991]. Blood derived neutrophils and macrophages as well as endogenous microglia infiltrate the lesion as early as day 1 after injury and peak at day 3 [Zhou et al., 2005; Zhou and Maren, 2003]. Together the inflammatory cells clear fragmented cells and foreign material, and release additional inflammatory factors (cytokines, chemokines, and nitric oxide synthetase). The peak of inflammatory factors prolongs the wave of inflammatory cells and results in edema [Limb et al., 1991; Fisher et al., 1991]. The inflammation and edema can cause further breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier [Vinores et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000].

The loss of retinal cells and the exposure to components of the blood and the vitreous trigger a reactive state in glial cells that includes changes in cell shape, molecular content, and cellular behavior. The loss of retinal cells caused by the initial trauma and cell death activates morphological changes in glial cells. For example, Muller cells enlarge their cell body and extend their processes into the area of cell loss [Lewis et al, 2003; Marc et al., 2003]. RPE cells change shape from cuboidal to fibroblas-like and macrophage-like [Grierson et al., 1994]. One of the defining characteristics in the activation of glial cells in the retina is a reorganization of cytoskeletal content. The most obvious change is an elevation in intermediate filaments. For Muller cells the levels of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and vimentin increase dramatically. In response to injury the RPE cells upregulate cytokeratins and vimentin [Lewis and Fisher, 2003; Stroeve and Mitashov, 1983; Zhao et al., 1997].

Glial cells can also migrate and proliferate. Migration can be activated by vitreal and blood components [Vidaurri-Leal et al., 1984; Gregor and Ryan, 1982; Campochiaro et al., 1986;

Kim and Arroyo, 2002]. The collagen from the vitreous and the fibronectin from serum provide a rich substrate for migration. In addition, cytokines (specifically platelet-derived growth factor and transforming growth factor) released from the bloodstream and infiltrating cells are chemotactic and mitogenic for glial cells [Kim and Arroyo, 2002; Campochiaro et al., 1986; Vinore et al., 1992]. Blood contents also stimulate the proliferation of glial cells. Experimental models of retinal proliferation have demonstrated that hemoglobin and iron stimulate glial cell proliferation even in the absence of direct mechanical trauma to the retina [Burke and Smith, 1981]. Inflammation and cell proliferation resolve within the first week as the response to injury enters its chronic phase [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000].

During the late phase, glial cells remain reactive and participate in the local and global remodeling of the retina. Cells that migrate into the wound, vitreal space, and subretinal space transform the hemorrhage with fibrocellular membranes consisting of collagenous components and cellular components. With time, these membranes may contract, causing significant problems, including retinal detachment [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000; Grierson et al., 1994]. The formation of ectopic fibrocellular membranes in the retina is clinically referred as proliferative vitreoretinopathy [Chaum, 1995; Pastor et al., 2002]. There is also a change that occurs within the retina itself. Muller cells in the vicinity of the lesion activate expression of GFAP, extend their process, and increase in size. These changes continue in a late chronic activation of Muller cells that spreads distally to include eventually large extents of the retina [Lewis and Fisher, 2003; Bignami and Dahl, 1979; Humphrey 1993; Song et al., 2003; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000; MacLaren, 1996; Miller and Oberdorfer, 1981]. Histologically this is seen by a sustained reactivity of GFAP in the cell bodies and process of Muller cells. The global upregulation of GFAP and hypertrophy in Muller cells are a common end point of the retinal response to various forms of injury including tears, toxic injuries and optic nerve damage. In addition to the cellular remodeling of glial cells display, neural cells activate a series of signaling pathways that culminate in neuronal migration, elaboration of new neurites and synapses, and rewiring of retinal circuits [Marc et al., 2003; Fisher et al., 2005; Jones et al.,

2003]. These structural changes are long lasting and represent at the present irreversible changes [Marc et al., 2003; Fisher et al., 2005; Grierson et al., 1994].

Consequences of Retinal Healing and Remodeling

As with many biological processes the activation of glial cells may be a two-edged sword. The activation of glial cells is important in limiting the damage and in protecting neurons and photoreceptors from the initial consequences of injury. In addition to maintaining homeostasis and restoring the blood-retina barrier, glial cell activation can mediate neural cell survival. Muller cell activation, induced by argon laser photocoagulation, slows photoreceptor cell death in the dystrophic retina of RCS rats [Chu et al., 1998]. Similarly, preconditioning with bright lights protects against light damage [Liu et al., 1998]. Injury-induced benefits are due to the release of neurotrophic factors from normal storage in glial cells [Mervin, 1999; LaVail, 1992]. In the therapy of retinal degenerations, injury-induced treatments have been proposed. Limited, short-lived, detachment of neural retina is part of a surgical procedure (macular translocation) for treating age-related macular degeneration [Fujii et al., 2002]. In traumatic injuries, however, the excessive and chronic activation of retinal glial cells contributes to negative remodeling of the retina with scar formation, neural cell death, and rewiring of the retina. These changes negatively alter the architecture and function of the retina. The clinical relevance of retinal healing and remodeling is substantial. The formation of fibrocellular membranes can lead to complications such as detachments, cell death, and ultimately visual impairment. The remodeling that occurs during wound healing can have unintended consequences affecting clinical strategies including surgical procedures, prosthetic implants, stem cell therapies, and gene rescue therapies [Behar-Cohen et al, 2000; Radner et al., 2001; Kinouchi et al., 2003].

While our knowledge of the morphological and cellular changes of reactive glial cells is extensive, a select few of the phenotypic changes has been related to molecular makers and regulatory mechanisms. Even when molecular markers have been identified we do not know (1) the context in which the molecular change occurs, (2) the relationship to global changes across

the retina, and (3) the mechanisms that control these changes. Cataloguing the molecular changes that underlie the retinal healing response. Applying high level bioinformatics to these data can further reveal the mechanisms that control glial cell activation and cellular remodeling. This knowledge will be important in modulating glial cells during injury and therapeutic intervention, and perhaps in modulating glial cells in the survival of genes during retinal degenerations.

Gene Expression Profiling

One of the key factors in defining a cellular phenotype is the selective expression of specific genes as RNA transcripts. This collection of transcribed genes is known as the cell's transcriptome. Changes in gene expression levels contribute to specific phenotypic changes. Increased levels of *Gfap* mRNA in the retina, for example, precede and correlate with increased levels of GFAP immunoreactivity in Muller cells [Cao et al., 1997]. Defining the transcriptome-wide changes that occur after retinal injury can reveal the molecular pathways that modulate retinal healing.

Transcriptome-wide analysis is feasible with DNA microarray technology. Microarray technology is a recent addition to the techniques exploiting a potent feature of complementary nucleic strands to form a duplex. Microarrays, like Southern and Northern blots, use complementary oligonucleotides to probe the levels of specific RNA transcripts. In 1995, Schena and colleagues overcame the technical limitation of probing multiple gene targets by spotting complementary DNAs (cDNAs) into glass slides. A year later, Lockhart and colleagues monitored transcript expression using small, high-density arrays containing tens of thousands of synthetic oligonucleotides. They synthesized short nucleic probes on slides using a combination of photolithography and oligonucleotide chemistry. The advent of cDNA and oligonucleotide microarray opens the opportunity to profile transcriptome-wide changes that occur in the injured retina.

The basic microarray technique involves RNA extraction, amplification, hybridization, and analysis. After RNA extraction, the RNA is copied, while incorporating either fluorescent nucleotides or a tag that is later stained with fluorescence [Butte, 2002]. The labeled, complementary RNA (cRNA) is then hybridized to a microarray for a period of time, after which the excess is washed off and the microarray is scanned under laser light. The fluorescence emitted from thousands of genes is recorded by a computer. Relative intensity units are placed into spreadsheets for comparisons among biological replicates and among experimental conditions. The analysis measures significant expression changes and extracts patterns of expression [Butte, 2002]. Significant expression changes are determined using fold changes (experimental condition versus control experiment) and statistical analyses (for example, Student's Test and Analysis of variance). The true power of microarray analysis comes from the analysis of many hybridizations to identify common patterns of gene expression. Statistical methods that identify similar patterns of expression are generally referred as “cluster analysis” [Quackenbush, 2001]. To interpret the results from the analysis of hundreds of genes across multiple experiments, it is helpful to generate intuitive visual representations. A commonly used approach relies on the creation of “dendograms” or “heat maps” in which each column of the matrix represents an experimental condition, and each row represents the expression pattern for a particular gene. In this dissertation, we use microarray technology to better understand the biology of retinal healing by defining the temporal changes in gene expression after retinal injury and by relating these changes to the inflammatory, proliferative, and remodeling phases of retinal healing.

Genetics of Gene Expression

Defining groups of genes with similar patterns of expression is not an endpoint in the analysis but a beginning. Gene expression profiles can be supplemented by higher-level analyses aiming at

discovering the networks controlling transcript expression. One approach combines gene expression profiling with genetic linkage analysis to reveal the location of genetic elements that modulate expression levels in a genetically variable population [Davarsi, 2003; Broman, 2005]. Genetic analysis of gene expression is called “expression genetics” or “genetical genomics” [Broman, 2005]. Expression genetics has been used to identify genes underlying complex traits, including gene expression, disease, and behavior [Morley et al., 2004; Cheung et al., 2003; Hubner et al., 2005; Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. These studies showed that gene expression is highly variable and heritable and that the expression variability correlates to one or more regions of the genome. Some of these loci modulate genes within the interval (*cis*-acting) but most loci modulate genes at different genomic locations (*trans*-acting). The integrated gene expression profiling and linkage analysis has identified genes that predispose hypertension (*Cd36*), asthma (*C5*), and atherosclerosis (*ABCG5* and *ABCG8*) [Aitman et al., 1999; Karp et al., 2000; Berge et al., 2000]. These studies illustrate the combined power of gene expression profiling and linkage analysis to study gene expression regulation at a greater scale and depth than is possible using either technique alone.

Of interest to the vision community is the regulatory loci that control gene expression in the CNS. Chesler and colleagues [2005] defined genome-wide regulatory loci that modulate gene expression in mouse forebrains. For their expression genetics study, Chesler and colleagues relied on a genetically variable population derived from the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mouse strains, the BXD recombinant inbred (RI) mouse strains. This reference population is of interest because the parental and BXD RI strains have considerable phenotypic differences related to CNS development and pathology. Chesler and colleagues documented that loci modulating gene-expression phenotypes are also related to neurological phenotypes, and that distinct regulatory loci modulate functionally related genes. For example, a group of genes involved in synaptic regulation forms a network modulated by distinct regulatory loci. Chesler and colleagues made their regulatory locus data publicly available at GeneNetwork (www.genenetwork.org). They also integrated their expression genetics data with the mapping for more than 650 phenotypes

previously obtained from the BXD RI mouse strains. The GeneNetwork data can be valuable in identifying unknown molecular interactions. Based on gene expression covariance and the sharing of loci, the GeneNetwork data predicted an association between the nuclear protein P2P-4 and nuclear repressor PUM2. PUM2 pull-down methods combined with RT-PCR confirmed that PUM2 does indeed bind P2P-R mRNA [Scott et al., 2005]. In regards to retinal healing, the GeneNetwork data are of interest because the BXD RI mouse strains segregate phenotypic differences in audiogenic seizures, hearing loss, and neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus [Willott and Erway, 1998; Kempermann and Gage, 2002; Neumann and Collins, 1991]. Moreover, brains and spinal cords of C57BL/6 are resistant to neurotoxicity, whereas the brains and spinal cords of DBA/2J are more susceptible to neurotoxicity [Schauwecker and Steward, 1997; Inman et al., 2002]. Together these studies suggest that genetic variations between the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J alter the expression and function of master regulators of the CNS healing response. Mining the expression genetics data at GeneNetwork is expected to identify gene-expression phenotypes and regulatory loci that modulate the early events of the CNS healing response.

The ultimate goal of expression genetics is to identify the genes underlying polygenic traits and to gain a better understanding of their physiology and biochemistry. The BXD RI strains are an ideal genetic system to identify candidate genes. Each BXD RI strain was derived by inbreeding for over 20 generations an F1 cross between C57BL/6 and DBA/2J strains [Taylor et al., 1999]. The genome for each BXD RI strain is a unique recombination of the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J genomes. Since the parental genomes are sequenced and the segregation of BXD RI strains chromosomes is mapped, we have a complete map of all the candidate genetic variants responsible for the regulatory locus. A standard procedure to identify the best candidate gene is to define the polymorphic genes whose function is relevant to the phenotype [Abiola et al., 2003]. For example when the age-related maculopathy susceptibility locus was found, Hageman and colleagues [2005] focused on immune-associated genes to explain the aberrant complement activation seen in patients with macular degeneration. Higher resolution linkage mapping defined

the *complement factor H* gene as the polymorphic gene that predisposes individuals to age-related macular degeneration. In our case, a candidate gene must be relevant to the biology of CNS healing, namely, is the gene known to be involved in CNS healing events and/or does its transcript change after trauma? The search for master regulators of CNS healing is facilitated by the growing collection of gene expression data that survey the CNS during development and after injury. These analyses can be further complemented with computational tools that examine the promoter sequence and the protein sequence of candidate modulators to determine if there are potential direct interactions between the candidate modulators and downstream targets [Segal et al., 2005]. The convergence of gene expression profiling, expression genetics, and bioinformatics offers a novel and comprehensive approach to discover molecular pathways controlling retinal healing.

Aims of the Dissertation

The goal of this research is to define the molecular mechanisms that control the complex cellular events of retinal healing. The hypothesis is that the phenotypic changes that retinal cells undergo as part of the healing process are controlled by changes in their gene expression profile. This hypothesis is addressed by implementing an experimental design that integrates gene expression profiling, expression genetics, and bioinformatics. The experimental design to define master genes of retinal healing is outlined in the following aims:

Aim 1 (Chapter 2): Define the temporal profiles of gene expression after retinal injury using microarray technology, and relate these profiles to the known cellular changes of retinal healing.

Aim 2 (Chapter 3) Define regulatory loci that modulate wound-healing genes in mouse brains. We will mine expression genetics data by Chesler and colleagues (2005) to identify the genomic

region that modulates genes expression in forebrains from mouse strains derived from the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J strains, the BXD recombinant inbred strains.

Aim 2 (Chapters 3 and 4). Define candidate upstream modulators within a regulatory loci by an integrated bioinformatic approach that combines databases that catalogue single-nucleotide polymorphisms, gene expression profiling of developing and injured CNS, and functional domains within promoters and proteins.

Aim 4 (Chapter 4): Test the effect of candidate gene dosage on wound healing using animal and cell culture systems.

Chapter 2

Temporal Changes in Gene Expression After Injury in the Rat Retina

Permission to reproduce by Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology

Vázquez-Chona F, Song BK, Geisert EE. Temporal changes in gene expression after injury in the rat retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004; 45:2737-46.

Abstract

Purpose: The goal of this study was to define the temporal changes in gene expression after retinal injury and to relate these changes to the inflammatory and reactive response. A specific emphasis was placed on the tetraspanin family of proteins and their relationship with markers of reactive gliosis.

Methods: Retinal tears were induced in adult rats by scraping the retina with a needle. After different survival times (4 hours, and 1, 3, 7, and 30 days), the retinas were removed; mRNA was isolated, prepared, and hybridized to Affymetrix RG-U34A chips. Microarray results were confirmed by using RT-PCR and correlated to protein levels.

Results: Of the 8,750 genes analyzed, approximately 393 (4.5%) genes were differentially expressed. Clustering analysis revealed three major profiles. 1) The early response was characterized by the upregulation of transcription factors. 2) The delayed response included a high percent of genes related to cell cycle and cell death. 3) The late, sustained profile clustered a significant number of genes involved in retinal gliosis. The late, sustained cluster also contained the upregulated crystallin genes. When we examined the tetraspanins *Cd9*, *Cd81*, and *Cd82*, they were also associated with the late, sustained response.

Conclusion: The use of microarray technology allows us to define complex genetic changes underlying distinct phases of the cellular response to retinal injury. The early response clusters genes associated with the transcriptional regulation of the wound-healing process and cell death. Most of the genes in the late, sustained response appear to be associated with reactive gliosis.

Introduction

In response to local injury, the retina responds with a characteristic series of changes at the site of injury. A secondary series of changes then spreads to involve the entire retina, often resulting in progressive degenerative changes and the formation of scar tissue [Ryan et al., 1994; Postel and Mieler, 1999; Gregor and Ryan, 1982; Fisher et al., 2001; Humphrey et al., 1993; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000]. These responses of the retina to injury can be divided into an early acute phase, a delayed subacute phase, and a late chronic phase [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000].

The early phase, which occurs within the first few hours after injury, is characterized by hemorrhage [Ryan et al., 1994; Gregor and Ryan, 1982; Humphrey et al., 1993], alterations in the glutamatergic system [Sherry and Townes, 2000], changes in ionic balance [Szabo et al., 1991], and the beginning of cell-death cascades [Grimm et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2003]. It is during this early phase that the first changes in the transcriptome occur with upregulation of the immediate early genes [Grimm et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2003]. The retina then undergoes a series of delayed cellular responses that last for days. Among these responses is a generalized inflammatory reaction in which damaged cells release pro-inflammatory cytokines that recruit peripheral blood components [Ryan et al., 1994; Gregor and Ryan, 1982; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000]. Many retinal cells experience cell-type-specific responses: dedifferentiation, degeneration, migration, hypertrophy, and proliferation [Fisher et al., 2001; Grimm et al., 2000; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; Sahel et al., 1991]. For example, Müller glial cells and the retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE) enter a reactive state in which they change protein expression, proliferate, and migrate into the wound and vitreal space [Fisher, et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; Grierson et al., 1994]. Inflammation and cell proliferation resolve within the first week as the response to injury enters its chronic phase [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000]. During the late phase, RPE and Müller cells remain reactive and participate in structural remodeling of the retina. Cells that migrate into the wound and

vitreal space replace the hemorrhage with fibrocellular membranes. With time, these membranes may contract, causing significant problems, including retinal detachment [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000; Grierson et al., 1994].

Progress in understanding the mechanisms controlling secondary injury has been significant. Gains have come about by focusing on individual molecules [Humphrey et al., 1993; Bignami and Dahl, 1979; Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003] or groups of molecules [Sherry and Townes, 2000; Szabo et al., 1991; Grimm et al., 2000; Geller et al., 2003] and their participation in specific processes of the retinal healing response. However, many of the molecular events associated with activation of this response remain unknown. In the present study, we used microarray technology to catalogue the expression of thousands of genes after retinal injury. Our first approach was discovery-driven using the power of microarray to define the global patterns of gene expression changes. The second approach was hypothesis-driven focusing on the role of *Cd81* (whose product is involved in proliferation and gliosis [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003; Dijkstra et al., 2000; Geisert et al., 1996; Sullivan and Geisert, 1998]) and markers of reactive gliosis, including the cytoskeletal protein glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, [Ryan et al., 1994; Humphrey et al., 1993; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; Bignami and Dahl, 1979; MacLaren, 1996; Miller and Oberdorfer, 1981]).

Methods

Animals and Surgery

We used a total of 59 male Sprague-Dawley (albino) rats (270-330 gm) and 2 Long Evans (pigmented) rats (350-400 gm), the latter to examine the RPE response to retinal injury (Table 2.1). We anesthetized 47 albino rats and 2 pigmented rats by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (13 mg/kg) and ketamine (87 mg/kg), then induced a retinal tear in both eyes of each rat. For this purpose, we used a 27-gauge needle to penetrate the pars plana, then scraped the superior

Table 2.1. Experiments and distribution of animals.

Experiment	Rats	Survival time
Gene expression	Albino: 30 injured, 6 control	4 hours, and 1, 3, 7, and 30 days
Protein expression	Albino: 6 injured, 6 control	7 days
Histology	Albino: 8 injured, 3 control	1, 3, 7, and 30 days
RPE response	Pigmented: 2 injured	7 days

For the gene expression study, we used six animals per experimental condition to obtain three independent retinal samples with four retinas per sample. For each experimental condition in the protein expression study, we processed 3 independent retinal samples with two animals per sample. For histology of injured retina, we used two animals per experimental condition.

temporal retina medially to laterally, as previously described [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003; MacLaren, 1996; Miller and Oberdorfer, 1981]. Care was taken to prevent lens and sclera damage. Twelve control animals received no injury. All animals were sacrificed by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (26 mg/kg) and ketamine (174 mg/kg). All protocols used in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tennessee Health Science Center and were in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

Microarray Expression Profiles

Arrays, Sample Preparation, and Hybridization. Using the rat RG-U34A oligonucleotide array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA), we examined gene expression levels in the normal rat retina, and injured rat retinas with 4 hours and 1-, 3-, 7-, and 30-day survival time (Table 2.1). Retinas used for expression studies were dissected and examined under a stereomicroscope, SXZ12 (Olympus, Japan), and immediately processed. Nissl-stained sections of the eyecups showed that our retinal dissections included both the neural retina and RPE. We collected retinas from 36 animals—6 animals per experimental condition. For each experimental condition, we processed 3 independent retinal samples with 2 animals per sample. Thus, we collected 18 biological replicates and performed 18 hybridizations (Appendix B, Table B.1). For total RNA extraction, we used the TRIzol method (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and confirmed the integrity of RNA with the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). We synthesized, labeled, and hybridized cRNA onto arrays at Genome Explorations (Memphis, TN) according to standard Affymetrix methods previously described by Rogojina et al. [2003].

Data Extraction and Normalization. We measured gene expression levels with Microarray Suite 5.0 (MAS 5.0; Affymetrix) and Robust Multi-array Average (RMA, [Irizarry et al., 2003]) algorithms. Signals were log transformed (base 2) and the mean intensity for each microarray was normalized to 8 ($\log_2$ scale, [Rogojina et al., 2003]).

Statistic and Clustering Analyses. We determined statistical significance of expression changes using Student's *t*-test statistics. To determine the expected proportion of significant false-positives, we used the false discovery rate of Benjamini and Hochberg [Reiner et al., 2003]. Significantly expressed genes were clustered with CLUSFAVOR 6.0 [Peterson, 2002] using principal component analysis (PCA). Averages are expressed as standard error means (SEM).

Minimal Information to Annotate a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) Compliance. For further discussion on experimental design and other details of methods, see Appendix A, MIAME report. The raw MAS 5.0 data set is publicly available at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) as the group series GSE1001 (for individual accession numbers see Appendix B, Table B.1).

One-Step Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction

Using fluorescent, one-step reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), we verified microarray expression changes for early-response genes *Fos* and *FosII*, delayed-response genes *Il1b* and *Irf1*, and delayed-persistent response genes *Cryab*, *Crygd*, *Cd81*, and *Gfap* (nucleotide sequences shown in Appendix B, Table B.2). We performed primer design, one-step RT-PCR reaction, and RT-PCR analysis as previously described by Rogojina et al. [2003]. To eliminate genomic contamination, we treated total RNA with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI). We performed RT-PCR reactions in the iCycler (BioRad, Richmond, CA) using the reagents in the SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Applied BioSystems, Warrington, UK). To determine the relative change in gene expression, we compared the number of cycles (Ct) needed to reach the midpoint of the linear phase using the iCycler analysis software. All observations were normalized to the housekeeping gene *Rps18*.

Protein Expression and Localization

To determine the levels of protein expression in normal retinas and those at 7 days after injury, we used rabbit polyclonal antibody against GFAP (Thermo Shandon, Pittsburgh, PA) mouse

monoclonal antibody AMP1 that recognizes CD81 [Geisert et al., 1996], and rabbit polyclonal antibodies against crystallins α , β , and γ (provided by Dr. Sam Zigler, National Institute of Health). The secondary antibodies were peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, goat anti-mouse IgG, and goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., East Grove, PA), all of which had minimal cross-reactivity with rat serum proteins. We compared protein expression in injured retinas to control retinas using a previously described immunoblot method [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003; Geisert et al., 1996].

Indirect immunohistochemical methods were used to define the cellular localization of GFAP and crystallins in sections of normal and injured retinas. Injured rats had survival times of 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, and 30 days after retinal injury (Table 2.1). We anesthetized 8 injured rats and 3 control rats, processed tissue, and followed immunohistochemical methods as previously described [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003; Geisert et al., 1996]. For fluorescent microscopy, we used fluorescein-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.). To identify RPE cells, we used a rhodamine-conjugated lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA-E; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and mouse monoclonal anti-cytokeratin antibodies (CBL 234 and MAB 3412; Chemicon, Temecula, CA). We labeled nuclei with a fluorescent Nissl counterstain, Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich), and examined the sections with the Bio-Rad MRC-1024 confocal laser scanning microscope.

Results

Glial and RPE Response to Retinal Injury

To characterize the local and global response, we examined the distribution of retinal glia and RPE cell markers. In normal retinas, anti-GFAP labeled astrocytes and Müller cell endfeet at the ganglion cell layer (GCL; Figure 2.1A). PHA-E lectin stained the normal RPE, choroid, and outer segments (OS, Figure 2.1B)—a pattern similarly described by Cho et al. [2003].

Figure 2.1. The cellular response of the rat retina to injury. Retinas labeled with anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; **A**, **D**, **G**, and **J**), lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA-E; **B**, **E**, **H**, and **K**), and Hoechst nuclear staining (**C**, **F**, **I**, and **L**). The figure illustrates 4 regions of retina: normal retina (**A** - **C**), retina 3 days after injury (**D** - **F**), and two different retinas 30 days after injury (**G** - **I** and **J** - **L**). In normal retinas, anti-GFAP labeled astrocytes and Müller cell endfeet at the ganglion cell layer (GCL; **A**). PHA-E lectin stained the normal RPE, choroid, and outer segments (OS, **B**). At 3 days after injury, cells invading the retinal tear (**F**, arrow) labeled with anti-GFAP (**D**, arrow) or PHA-E lectin (**E**, arrow); whereas, near the injury anti-GFAP labeled mainly Müller cells and astrocytes at the GCL (**D**). At 30 days after injury, two cellular responses were observed at the site of injury. In one response, the cells filling the tear (**I**, arrows) were mainly glia cells (**H**, arrow heads). In the second response, RPE cells mainly filled the tear (**K** and **L**, arrows). In some instances, epiretinal membranes with glia (**G**, arrow) and RPE cells (**H**, arrow) formed over the retinal surface. Panels **A** - **C** are of the same magnification with scale bar in **C** representing 50 μm . Panels **D** - **L** are of the same magnification with the scale bar in **L** representing 50 μm .

After injury, the local response involved glia and RPE cells. As early as 3 days after injury, cells invading the retinal tear were labeled with anti-GFAP (Figure 2.1D, arrow) or PHA-E lectin (Figure 2.1E, arrow). Levels of GFAP and PHA-E labeling continued to increase so that by 30 days after injury, two cellular responses were observed at the site of injury. In one response, the cells filling the tear (Figure 2.1I, arrow heads) were mainly GFAP-positive glia cells (Figure 2.1H, arrow heads). In the second response, RPE cells mainly filled the tear (Figure 2.1K and 1L, arrows) and glia cells formed a well-defined membrane separating the scar from the neural retina (Figure 2.1J). RPE cell response following retinal injury was consistent with the distribution of pigmented cells in injured Long Evans rats (Data not shown). In some instances, epiretinal membranes with glia (Figure 2.1G, arrow) and RPE cells (Figure 2.1H, arrow) formed projecting into the vitreous. Thus, local response to retinal injury involved glia and RPE cells in a manner consistent with previous studies [Song et al., 2003; Loiu et al., 2002].

In addition to the local response, we observed the spread of GFAP immunoreactivity throughout the retina. During the first 3 days after injury, GFAP labeling was limited to Müller cells adjacent to the injury and to astrocytes at the ganglion cell layer (Figure 2.1D). At 7 and 30 days after injury, we observed a global GFAP labeling of the retina localized to astrocytes at the ganglion cell layer, and to Müller cell processes (Figure 2.1G and 2.1J). Near the site of injury, GFAP labeling showed that the Müller cell processes became thicker and extended into the subretinal space, whereas GFAP labeling of peripheral Müller cells extended from the ganglion cell layer to the photoreceptor layer.

Microarray Expression Profiles

In our analysis of microarray data, we used two different tactics: a discovery-driven approach and a hypothesis-driven approach. In the discovery-driven approach, we defined different patterns of gene expression across the data set, clustering genes that might be functionally related. The hypothesis-driven approach focused on the gene expression of *Cd81* and its relationship with reactive gliosis markers, particularly *Gfap*. This approach allowed us to concentrate on one aspect of

the injury response to define the relationships within the tetraspanin family and markers of the reactive glial response.

Quality Controls. The expression profiles ($n = 18$ arrays) met a strict set of quality-control parameters (Table 2.2). Housekeeping genes displayed consistent values and 5' to 3' ratios of less than 3. The average percentage of “Affymetrix present” calls across all arrays was $40.0 \pm 1.1\%$. The intensity profiles ($\log_2$ scale) for all the arrays showed a normal distribution with a mean at 6.59 ± 0.04 and an average standard deviation (σ^2) of 2.32 ± 0.04 . Comparison of expression profiles among arrays from independent replicates of the same experimental group ($n = 3$ arrays/group) showed a within-group average correlation of 0.92 ± 0.01 . Our quality controls are consistent with published microarray data [Yoshimura et al., 2003; Matzilevich et al., 2002; Di Giovanni et al., 2003]. Together, these results indicate integrity of starting RNA, and efficiency of first-strand cDNA synthesis and hybridization.

Data Extraction and Normalization. For temporal analysis of the data and comparison between data extraction methods, the mean intensity for each microarray was normalized to 8 ($\log_2$ scale). This transformation yielded MAS 5.0 signal intensities ranging from 1 to 18 relative units of fluorescence. To determine a “present” signal threshold in our system, we plotted the coefficient of variation versus the averaged signal value and determined the threshold signal yielding stable coefficients of variation. From this analysis, the “present” signal threshold was set at 8.64. Below this threshold, signals displayed coefficients of variation greater than 10%, while their variance increased exponentially (Appendix B, Figure B.1). Using this criterion, 4,480 (50.9%) of the genes met the “present” criterion in at least one condition and were considered for further analysis. We compared the “present” expression signals to RMA values and found an average correlation of 0.92 ± 0.01 (Appendix B, Table B.3).

To further analyze the reliability of our “present” signals, we compared fold changes obtained with normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA to fold changes obtained with RT-PCR (Table 2.3). Using RT-PCR, we measured expression changes for *Fos*, *Fos11*, *Il1b*, *Irf1*, *Cryab*, *Crygd*, *Cd81*, and *Gfap* at 4 hours, 3 days, and 30 days after injury. For these genes, fold changes measured

Table 2.2. Microarray expression profiles after an experimentally induced retinal tear.

Parameters	Control	Survival time					Combined
		4 hours	1 day	3 days	7 days	30 days	
<i>Average group quality controls</i>							
<i>Actb</i> 3'/5' signal ratio	1.84±0.09	1.90±0.09	2.08±0.07	2.43±0.44	2.03±0.05	2.14±0.05	2.07±0.08
<i>Gapdh</i> 3'/5' signal ratio	1.11±0.24	0.84±0.02	0.86±0.02	1.21±0.15	1.03±0.12	1.05±0.01	1.02±0.05
Array signal mean	6.62±0.09	6.65±0.05	6.55±0.07	6.59±0.04	6.66±0.20	6.50±0.03	6.59±0.04
Array signal distribution (χ^2)	2.30±0.08	2.30±0.03	2.38±0.05	2.33±0.02	2.21±0.21	2.41±0.01	2.32±0.04
Affymetrix % present	37.0±1.8	37.9±1.4	43.2±1.2	42.2±3.6	38.2±5.5	41.8±0.3	40.0±1.1
Correlations	0.89±0.02	0.94±0.01	0.95±0.00	0.92±0.01	0.89±0.02	0.94±0.00	0.92±0.01
<i>Differentially expressed genes</i>							
“Present” in at least one condition; fold change > ±1.7 ; and <i>t</i> -test, <i>P</i> < 0.02		113	127	125	40	127	393
False discovery rate (FDR, %)		17.5	16.5	18.0	39.0	14.0	21.0±5.1

Three biological replicates were used per group. Averages are expressed as means ± SEM. MAS 5.0 signals were transformed into the $\log_2$ scale. To determine temporal changes in gene expression, mean intensities were normalized to 8 ($\log_2$ scale) and a signal “present” threshold was set at 8.64 ($\log_2$ scale). The false discovery rate (FDR) of Benjamini and Hochberg [Reiner et al., 2003] was used to determine the expected proportion of false positives. While array expressions at 7 days post-injury displayed lower number of genes with significant expression changes and higher FDR, the three 7-day arrays met the quality controls, and the expression of house keeping genes (e.g., *Gapdh*, *Actb*, *Ubc*, *Hprt*, *Sdha*, and *Rpl41*) was consistent with expression found in the other experimental conditions. Thus, there was no empirical data (technical nor biological) to exclude any data from the 7-day experiments.

Table 2.3. Transcript changes confirmed with real-time RT-PCR.

Gene	Time point	Log ₂ Fold Change		
		RT-PCR	MAS 5.0	RMA
<i>Fos</i>	4h	1.9 [†]	2.1 [†]	1.2 [†]
	3d	0.3	0.1	(0.2)
	30d	0.1	0.2	0.0
<i>Fos11</i>	4h	6.5 [†]	5.4 [†]	2.3 [†]
	3d	2.7 [†]	0.5	0.5
	30d	1.3 [†]	(0.3)	(0.2)
<i>Il1b</i>	4h	2.1 [†]	2.0 [†]	1.4 [*]
	3d	2.0 [*]	1.2 [*]	1.2 [*]
	30d	0.5	0.1	0.7
<i>Irf1b</i>	4h	1.0 [*]	1.9 [*]	1.0
	3d	1.2 [†]	2.3 [*]	1.5 [*]
	30d	0.1 [*]	1.1 [*]	0.2
<i>Cd81</i>	4h	0.2	0.1	(0.1)
	3d	1.0 [*]	1.0 [*]	1.2 [*]
	30d	1.3 [†]	0.9 [*]	0.7 [*]
<i>Cryab</i>	4h	0.5 [*]	0.8	0.9
	3d	2.0 [†]	2.7 [*]	2.6 [*]
	30d	0.5 [*]	2.4 [*]	2.0 [*]
<i>Crygd</i>	4h	(0.4) [*]	(0.7)	(0.5)
	3d	2.7 [†]	2.7 [†]	1.8 [†]
	30d	1.9 [*]	3.1 [*]	1.5 [*]
<i>Gfap</i>	4h	1.6 [†]	0.4	0.4
	3d	2.1 [†]	3.5 [†]	2.8 [*]
	30d	2.3 [†]	4.1 [†]	3.8 [†]

Fold changes measured with real-time RT-PCR were compared to those measured with the microarray U34A. Microarray signals were analyzed using Microarray Suite (MAS) 5.0 and robust multiarray average (RMA). Fold change represents Log₂ (injured/normal expression). Values in parentheses represent negative fold changes. Statistical significance compared population means between the control and each survival time (4h, 3d, and 30d): ^{*} $P < 0.05$ and [†] $P < 0.01$.

with normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA values were highly similar ($r = 0.89$). Both MAS 5.0 and RMA fold changes were similar in magnitude and direction to fold changes obtained with RT-PCR. However, RT-PCR fold changes had higher correlations with normalized MAS 5.0 ($r = 0.76$) than with RMA ($r = 0.58$). Together, the high correlation between normalized MAS 5.0 values and RMA values and the similarity between microarray fold changes and RT-PCR fold changes confirm the reliability of our “present” signals, which eliminate genes with low expression signals. Thus, we have used the normalized MAS 5.0 values for our subsequent analysis.

Discovery-Driven Analysis

The overall goal of our discovery-driven approach was to define groups of genes with similar expression patterns. The first step in the analysis defined 393 genes with significant changes in expression levels (fold change > 1.7 and $P < 0.02$; Table 2.2). These differentially expressed genes represented an average false discovery rate of $21.0 \pm 5.1\%$. Collectively, these genes displayed a range of dynamic expression changes across survival time. The second step involved clustering the 393 genes into groups according to the similarity of their expression profiles. Using principal component analysis, we identified 194 upregulated genes having transcription changes that conformed to one of three major profiles: an early response (Figure 2.2A), a delayed response (Figure 2.2B), and a late, sustained response (Figure 2.2C). Early response genes displayed a transient surge in expression following the retinal tear, whereas delayed response genes showed moderate upregulation at 4 hours and a delayed transient peak at either 1 or 3 days after injury. In contrast, late, sustained genes displayed peak upregulation at either 3 or 7 days and sustained over-expression at 30 days after injury. A complete list of upregulated genes organized by clusters is found in Appendix B, Table B.4.

The distribution of genes by functional category revealed that genes within clusters were functionally related (Figure 2.2D). For instance, 75% of the upregulated transcription genes clustered in the early response profile. Additional functional groups represented in the early response included cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell-survival mechanisms. Genes

Figure 2.2. Gene expression profiles after retinal injury. Differentially expressed genes ($n = 194$) were clustered into 3 major profiles: an early response (**A**), a delayed response (**B**), and a late, sustained response (**C**). Genes were clustered using principal component analysis. Early-response genes displayed a transient surge in expression after injury. Genes fitting the delayed-response profile had a transient peak in expression at either 1 or 3 days after injury. Genes within the third expression profile had a late expression peak (i.e., at either 3 or 7 days) and sustained over-expression at 30 days after retinal injury. A complete list of upregulated genes organized by clusters is found on Appendix B, Table B.3. The distribution of genes by functional category reveals that those within each expression profile are functionally related (**D**).

highly represented in the delayed response profile included those regulating cell cycle, cell death and survival, neural development, and gliosis. A high percentage of late, sustained genes were associated with the reactive responses of the retina: gliosis, inflammation, stress response, neuronal degeneration, and tissue remodeling. A summary and expanded version of the distribution of genes by clusters and functional category are shown in Figure 2.3 and Appendix B, Table B.5, respectively.

One unexpected finding was the clustering of the crystallin genes with the retinal reactive marker *Gfap*. All the crystallins in the microarray—*Cryaa*, *Cryab*, *Cryba1*, *Cryab4*, *Crybb2*, *Crybb3*, *Crygc*, *Crygd*, and *Cryge*—displayed strong, sustained upregulation (Appendix B, Table B.5, category 7). To confirm our findings, we examined the mRNA and protein expression of selected crystallins. We measured the mRNA expression of *Cryab* and *Crygd*, using RT-PCR. *Cryab* mRNA levels showed a moderate fold increase of 1.42 ± 0.11 at 4 hours after injury, a robust upregulation of 4.13 ± 0.12 at 3 days, and a moderate fold change of 1.46 ± 0.04 at 30 days (Figure 2.4A). *Crygd* mRNA showed an initial down-regulation of 1.34 ± 0.03 , followed by significant changes to 6.36 ± 0.25 at 3 days and 3.64 ± 0.41 at 30 days (Figure 2.4A). Our microarray and RT-PCR measurements showed agreement in the direction of change of *Cryab* and *Crygd* (Figure 2.4A). Moreover, the mRNA expression of *Cryab* and *Crygd* across time displayed a pattern of expression that was similar to that of genes in our late, sustained profile, with a delayed peak at 3 days and strong, sustained upregulation even after 30 days.

To extend our crystallin gene findings, we measured the protein levels of the crystallins α , β , and γ using a quantitative immunoblot method (Figure 2.4B). The immunoreactivity of the crystallins significantly increased to 7.1 ± 0.39 , 6.8 ± 0.66 , and 11.1 ± 2.4 , respectively, at 7 days after injury. Taking this analysis one step further, we defined the localization of the reactivity of crystallins α , β , and γ in normal and injured retinas. In normal retinas, we observed labeling of crystallins α , β , and γ mainly at the ganglion cell layer (Figure 2.4C, 4E, and 4G). The crystallins had well-defined patterns of immunoreactivity at the site of injury and within the layers of the retina. At 7 and 30 days following injury, crystallin immunoreactivity was seen throughout

Figure 2.3. Temporal distribution of genes and functional categories after retinal injury. Location of genes describes relative gene expression peaks: early expression corresponds to peak expression at 4 hours; delayed expression corresponds to peak expression at 1 or 3 days; and late expression corresponds to peak expression at 7 or 30 days. For specific expression profiles see Appendix B, Table B.4.

Figure 2.4. The crystallins α , β , and γ were dramatically upregulated after retinal injury. The microarray expression changes for *Cryab* and *Crygd* (A, gray bars) were confirmed with RT-PCR (A, white bars). Immunoblots show increased levels of crystallin α , β , and γ proteins (B). Crystallin α , β , and γ expression in normal retinas (C, E, and G, respectively) and injured retinas 7 days after injury (D, F, and H, respectively) was localized by immunohistochemistry. In normal retina, crystallin immunoreactivity was present mainly at the ganglion cell layer (GCL; C, E, and G). Seven days after injury, there was a strong crystallin immunoreactivity throughout the scar spanning the retinal tear and protruded into the vitreal space (data not shown). High immunoreactivity levels for crystallins α (D), β (F), and γ (H) were found immediately adjacent to the retina at GCL and outer segment layer (OS). No staining was seen when secondary antibody control was used (data not shown). Asterisks denote significant changes from the normal (t -test, $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$).

the scar that spanned the retinal tear and protruded into the vitreal space. Immediately adjacent to the injury, strong crystallin immunostaining was present at the ganglion cell layer and the photoreceptor layer (Figure 2.4D, 4F, and 4H; 7-day retinas shown). Moderate levels of immunoreactivity were also observed throughout the inner plexus layer, the inner nuclear layer, and the RPE. The well-defined pattern of crystallin mRNA upregulation by microarray and RT-PCR as well as the increase of crystallin protein and crystallin localization in the retina by immunohistochemical methods validate our discovery-driven observations that crystallins displayed strong, sustained transcription in response to injury in the rat retina.

Hypothesis-Driven Approach

The primary interest of our laboratory is the role of CD81 in the healing response of the retina. We specifically examined the relationships between *Cd81*, other tetraspanins, and markers of reactive glia. Our microarray data showed that *Cd81* was moderately upregulated at 4 hours, to 1.05 ± 0.1 , and significantly increased to 1.99 ± 0.2 and 1.88 ± 0.1 at 3 and 30 days, respectively (Figure 2.5A, gray boxes). RT-PCR confirmed the sustained upregulation of *Cd81* mRNA after retinal injury (Figure 2.5A, white boxes). Our analysis showed that *Cd81* clustered in the late, sustained profile, along with the tetraspanins and several glial reactive markers (see Appendix B, Table B.4, genes 126-194). We used a second round of PCA to define further the associations between *Cd81* and the late, sustained profile genes. The subclusters were visualized by plotting the first two components (Figure 2.5B). *Cd81* clustered tightly with tetraspanins *Cd9* and *Cd82*, as well as with the genes for associated proteins MHC class I (*RT1Aw2* and *RT1.Dau*) and MHC class II (*RT1.C/E*). Together, these genes displayed persistent changes after retinal injury, with peak expression occurring at 3 days after injury. Tetraspanins *Cd37*, *Cd53*, and *Cd151* were present in the normal retina, but their expression remained constant following injury. Among the glial reactive markers, *Anxa5*, *Cntf*, *Pea15*, *Pmp22*, *S100a1*, and *Sparc* clustered tightly with *Cd81*, whereas *Clu*, *Cryab*, *Gfap* and *A2m* formed a separate cluster within the late sustained profile (Figure 2.5B).

Figure 2.5. *Cd81* clustered into the late-response profile along with tetraspanins and glial reactive markers. RT-PCR confirmed the sustained upregulation of *Cd81* after injury (**A**). A second round of principal component analysis was used to define further the associations between *Cd81* and the genes within the late-response profile. The subclusters were visualized by plotting the first two components (**B**). *Cd81* clustered tightly with tetraspanins *Cd9* and *Cd82*, as well as with the genes for associated proteins MHC class I (*RT1Aw2* and *RT1.Dau*) and MHC class II (*RT1.C/E*). Asterisks denote significant changes from the normal (*t*-test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).

Discussion

Our microarray analyses describe both a local reactive response at the site of retinal injury as well as changes occurring across the entire retina. As with injuries in other parts of the central nervous system (CNS), a local glial response is identified by the dramatic upregulation of the cytoskeletal protein GFAP [Ridet et al., 1997]. In the retina, this occurs in both Müller cells and astrocytes [Fisher et al., 2001; Humphrey et al., 1993; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; 21]. Reactive Müller glia cells hypertrophy, proliferate, and form a protective barrier around the damaged area [Humphrey et al., 1993; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; MacLaren, 1996]. Studies done in our laboratory [Song et al., 2003] and by others [Humphrey et al., 1993; MacLaren, 1996] have identified the spread of GFAP reactivity over the entire retina even after a modest local insult. This global GFAP response has been observed in association with various types of injury, including retinal detachment [Fisher et al., 2001], mechanical injury [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003; MacLaren, 1996; Miller and Oberdorfer, 1981] laser lesions [Humphrey et al., 1993], and optic nerve injury [Bignami and Dahl, 1979], as well as after transplantation of retinal cells [Behar-Cohen et al., 2000]. Widespread spatial changes have also been reported for CD81 [Song et al., 2003], heat shock protein 27 [Strunnikova et al., 2001], clusterin [Wong et al., 2001], and NF- κ B [Geller et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2002]. Therefore, by examining changes over the entire retina, we measured in a consistent way the local and global response to the retinal tear.

The initial set of differentially expressed genes included 393 genes, 4.5% of the genes in the RG-U34A chip. Using clustering analysis [Peterson, 2002; Di Giovanni et al., 2003; Heyer et al., 1999], we discovered three major expression profiles: an early response, a delayed response, and a late, sustained response. Genes within each of the profiles were functionally related. The early response profile reflects rapid transcription of the immediate early genes as well as genes involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell survival. Genes highly represented in the delayed profile included those with functions relating to the cell cycle, cell death and survival, neural development, and gliosis. Within the late, sustained profile, a high percentage of genes were

associated with the reactive response of the retina: gliosis, inflammation, stress response, neuronal degeneration, and tissue remodeling. The clustering of functionally related genes during retinal wound healing suggests that genes within a profile may be co-regulated. Others have identified transcriptional networks controlling clusters of genes expressed during the cell-cycle progression in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [Heyer et al., 1999, Spellman et al., 1998]. Spellman and associates also found that genes in a given cluster share common regulatory motifs in the promoter region [Spellman et al., 1998]. Ongoing work in our laboratory reveals that selected genes within a cluster may be under the control of a common regulatory network [Geisert EE, et al. *IOVS* 2003 44: E-Abstract 2967].

The temporal regulation of genes and functional groups we observed is consistent with previous studies of gene expression in the retina [Grimm et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2003], brain [Matzilevich et al., 2002], and spinal cord [Di Giovanni et al., 2003]. For example, early gene expression of the transcription factors *c-Fos*, *Fos11 (Fra-1)*, *Nfkb1*, *Stat3*, *Egr1 (Krox-24/NGFI-A)*, *Cebpd*, *Atf3*, and *Irf1* has been reported in response to retinal ischemia [Yoshimura et al., 2003], photopic injury [Grimm et al., 2000], and retinal detachment [Fisher et al., 2001; Geller et al., 2003]. Moreover, the progression of gene expression changes in our study reflects the biochemical, cellular, and morphologic changes previously reported [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Humphrey et al., 1993; Sherry and Townes, 2000; Szabo et al., 1991; Grimm et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2003; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; Grierson et al., 1994; Sahel et al., 1991; Geller et al., 2003]. An initial inflammatory response [Ryan et al., 1994; Humphrey et al., 1993; MacLaren, 1996] and change in ionic balance [Sherry and Townes, 2000; Szabo et al., 1991] are followed by a series of cellular responses that include RPE and Müller cell reactivity and proliferation, and cell death [Fisher et al., 2001; Grimm et al., 2000; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001; Grierson et al., 1994; Sahel et al., 1991]. During the late response, the reactive RPE and Müller cells participate in structural remodeling of the retina, including the formation of fibrocellular membranes [Ryan et al., 1994; Fisher et al., 2001; Behar-Cohen et al., 2000; Grierson et al., 1994]. Our analysis demonstrates that microarray clustering analysis can identify

components of biochemical complexes and cellular pathways involved in the response of the retina to injury.

Given the importance of Müller cells, astrocytes, and microglia in the response of the retina to injury, genes associated with reactive gliosis are of particular interest. In this study, a significant group of gliosis-related genes clustered with *Gfap* in the late, sustained profile (Figure 2.3). Several of these gliosis-related factors, including *Cp* [Chen et al., 2003], *CNTF* [Wang et al., 2002], *ApoE* [Anderson et al., 2001], *CLU* [Wong et al., 2001] *Anxa5* [Yoshimura et al., 2003], *S100* [Sahel et al., 1991], and *SPARC* [Gilbert et al., 1999], are known to be expressed by reactive Müller and RPE cells. Whereas *mrf-1*, *Pea15*, and *Pmp22* genes were unexpectedly upregulated in the retina, although they are expressed by reactive glia elsewhere in the nervous system [Tanaka et al., 1998; Trencia et al., 2003; Atanasoski et al., 2002]. Potential factors controlling glial activation in the retina include cytokines and inflammatory transcription factors. Cytokines and their receptors that are upregulated in our microarray data and are thought to play a key role in the modulation of glial cell function include IL-1 α , IL-6R, and *CNTF* [Wang et al., 2002; Acarin et al., 2001]. The actions of these cytokines in glia cells are mainly mediated by the transcription factors STAT3 and NF- κ B [Acarin et al., 2001]. For example, intravitreal injections of *CNTF* or IL-6 activate STAT3 in Müller cells [Wang et al., 2002]. Among the reactive glia genes targeted by STAT3 include *Gfap*, *A2m*, *Fos*, *Irf1*, and *Stat3* [Wang et al., 2002; Acarin et al., 2001; Horvath, 2001]. In addition, Müller cells show NF- κ B activation within hours after retinal detachment [Geller et al., 2003]. Noteworthy, NF- κ B also modulates the expression of *Gfap* as well as *Il1b* and *Il6* [Acarin et al., 2001]. Together, these data suggest that STAT3 and NF- κ B mediate signaling pathways involved in the glial response to retinal injury.

One novel revelation in our analysis was the profound upregulation of crystallins α , β , and γ (Figure 2.2). Traditionally, crystallins have been thought to be lens-rich proteins involved in lens development and maintenance. However, recent studies suggest that crystallins have broader roles and are expressed beyond lens tissue [Yoshimura et al., 2003; Horwitz, 2003; Piatigorsky, 1998; Alge et al., 2002; Sakaguchi et al., 2003; Xi et al., 2003]. Gene-sequence homol-

ogy studies of crystallins show that members of this family display considerable homology with genes involved in the stress response [Horwitz, 2003; Piatigorsky, 1998]. For instance, the α -crystallins belong to the small heat-shock protein family and may act as molecular chaperones [Horwitz, 2003; Piatigorsky, 1998]. In cultured RPE, α B-crystallin upregulation confers resistance to stress-inducible apoptosis [Alge et al., 2002]. A recent gene expression study confirmed the increased expression of selected α , β , and γ crystallin transcripts in the rat retina after ischemia-reperfusion injury [Yoshimura et al., 2003]. Similarly, we found that the mRNA of all ten crystallins represented in the microarray displayed strong, sustained upregulation after retinal injury. These results are consistent with the increased crystallin protein expression confirmed by Sakaguchi and colleagues using mass spectrometry after exposing rat retina to light injury [Sakaguchi et al., 2003]. Furthermore, the temporal and spatial expression of crystallins is similar to that of GFAP, implicating this family of proteins in both the local and global response of the retina to trauma. Notably, studies of gene expression in the brain [Matzilevich et al., 2002] and spinal cord [Di Giovanni et al., 2003] using the identical microarray platform (RG-U34A) did not find a similar upregulation in crystallins α , β , and γ in response to trauma. While crystallin expression in normal mouse retina displays animal-to-animal variation [Xi et al., 2003], studies after retinal detachment [Yoshimura et al., 2003], light injury [Sakaguchi et al., 2003], and our retinal tear consistently report the upregulation of crystallins. The coordinated upregulation of crystallins after injury is a potentially important part of the retinal wound-healing process that merits further investigation. Defining the response of the crystallin family to injury illustrates the power of microarray technologies and the discovery-driven approach.

Microarray technology, combined with gene expression profiling, offers the potential to identify cellular pathways and molecular complexes that are important in the regulation of retinal responses to trauma and disease [Grimm et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2003]. Our laboratory is defining the role of CD81 in reactive gliosis in the retina [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Song et al., 2003], brain [Sullivan and Geisert, 1998], and spinal cord [Dijkstra et al., 2000]. CD81 is part of a molecular complex within the plane of the membrane that links adhesive interactions into sec-

ond-messenger cascades [Boucheix et al., 2001; Hemler, 2001]. Using microarray technology, we monitored gene expression changes for *Cd81* and the entire family of tetraspanins (e.g., *Cd9*, *Cd37*, *Cd53*, *Cd63*, *Cd81*, *Cd82*, and *Cd151*) [Boucheix et al., 2001; Hemler, 2001]. In addition, we specifically mined the data to define changes in molecules known to associate with tetraspanins to determine if the members of the tetraspanin complex are co-regulated. Our analysis indicates that similar patterns of expression are shared by the tetraspanin genes *Cd9*, *Cd81*, and *Cd82*, as well as genes for the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) receptors *RT1Aw2*, *RT1.Dau*, and *RT1.C/E* (Figure 2.3). Tetraspanins CD81, CD9, and CD82 are known to associate with MHC receptors in a number of different cell types, including lymphocytes, endothelial cells, and oocytes [Boucheix et al., 2001; Hemler, 2001; Szollosi et al., 1996]. For instance, CD81 and CD82 interact with MHC class I and II in antigen-presenting cells to modulate signaling through MHC molecules and antigen presentation [Szollosi et al., 1996]. Defining the temporal expression of tetraspanins and molecules to which they complex allows us to focus on the specific role of CD81 in the retina, its potential molecular associations, and its role in the reactive response.

The present study catalogued the time course of expression changes in response to a retinal injury. By surveying the expression patterns of 8,750 genes, we defined an early, a delayed, and a late, sustained response to retinal injury and demonstrated that within each profile, genes participate as functional units involved in different aspects of the healing response. Within the late response profile, we identified a host of glial reactive genes as well as crystallins, a previously overlooked family of proteins in the injured retina. In profiling the expression of tetraspanins, we identified CD9, CD81, and CD82 as potential organizers of molecular complexes regulating proliferation and the glial activation response. Our finding that crystallins and tetraspanins can act in functional units highlights the fact that the function, or therapeutic intervention, of a gene can be regulated at the gene expression level. However, we are limited in our ability to link crystallins or tetraspanins to specific cell populations or specific pathways. Future research using single-cell studies and genetically-altered animals will help dissect the molecular pathways and networks regulating gene expression.

Chapter 3

Genetic Networks

Controlling Retinal Injury

Permission to reproduce by Molecular Vision

Félix R. Vázquez-Chona, Amna N. Khan, Chun K. Chan, Anthony N. Moore, Pramod K. Dash,
M. Rosario Hernandez, Lu Lu, Elissa J. Chesler, Kenneth F. Manly, Robert W. Williams, and
Eldon E. Geisert, Jr. *Mol Vis.* 2005;11:958-970.

Abstract

Purpose: The present study defines genomic loci underlying coordinate changes in gene expression following retinal injury.

Methods: A group of acute phase genes expressed in different nervous system tissues was defined by combining microarray results from injury studies from rat retina, brain and spinal cord. Genomic loci regulating the brain expression of acute phase genes were identified using a panel of BXD recombinant inbred (RI) mouse strains. Candidate upstream regulators within a locus were defined using single nucleotide polymorphism databases and promoter motif databases.

Results: The acute phase response of rat retina, brain, and spinal cord was dominated by transcription factors. Three genomic loci control transcript expression of acute phase genes in brains of BXD RI mouse strains. One locus was identified on chromosome 12 and was highly correlated with the expression of classic acute phase genes. Within the locus we identified the *inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Id2)* as a candidate upstream regulator. *Id2* was upregulated as an acute phase transcript in injury models of rat retina, brain, and spinal cord.

Conclusion: We defined a group of transcriptional changes associated with the retinal acute injury response. Using genetic linkage analysis of natural transcript variation, we identified regulatory loci and candidate regulators that control transcript levels of acute phase genes.

Introduction

To understand the global consequences of retinal injury, several laboratories monitored the transcriptome with microarray techniques [Vazquez et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004]. Changes in transcripts were analyzed to define the key regulatory events following retinal injury. In an earlier study, we defined a temporal grouping of changes related to the biochemical and cellular response to retinal injury [Vazquez et al., 2004]. This type of analysis, defining gene clusters that occur after injury, is not an endpoint in the analysis but a beginning. The growing number of bioinformatic resources provides an opportunity to further analyze and interpret the changes in the transcriptome after retinal injury. We can now examine the transcriptome data from injured retina by using not only various injury models [Vazquez et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004] but also combining data from different regions of the central nervous system (CNS) [Matzilevich et al., 2002; Dash et al., 2004; Di Giovanni et al., 2003; Chesler et al., 2005].

Our previous analysis of rat retina identified three major transcript phases after injury: an early acute phase (within hours), an intermediate subacute phase (within 1 to 2 days), and a late chronic phase (within 3 days to weeks; [Vazquez et al., 2004]). The early acute phase is characterized by the transient upregulation of immediate-early genes, mainly transcription factors and activating cytokines. The intermediate subacute phase is characterized by the expression of cell-cycle and cell-death genes. The late chronic phase is characterized by the expression of genes involved in neuronal and glial structural remodeling. Similar changes were described in injury models in the rat retina [Yoshimura et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004], brain [Matzilevich et al., 2002; Dash et al., 2004], and spinal cord [Di Giovanni et al., 2003]. For example, the early acute genes *Fos*, *Jun*, *Egr1*, and *Nfkb1*, as well as the late chronic genes *Gfap*, *A2m*, *Apoe*, and *Hsp27*, display transcript changes resulting from virtually any trauma or stress throughout the CNS [Vazquez et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004; Matzilevich et al., 2002; Dash et al., 2004; Di Gio-

vanni et al., 2003]. The similarities of coordinate changes in the retina, brain, and spinal cord indicate the presence of common transcriptional networks controlling the CNS response to injury.

GeneNetwork (www.genenetwork.org, [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]) is a powerful analytical tool to define transcriptional networks and regulators in CNS tissues. At the heart of this analysis is a unique population, the recombinant inbred (RI) strains of mice. One group of mice were derived by inbreeding for over 20 generations the F1 crosses between C57BL/6 (B) and DBA/2J (D) mouse strains. Each BXD RI strain has a shuffled genome consisting of a unique recombination of the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J genomes, forming a segregating population of mice. These RI mouse strains, the associated microarray databases, and the genetic-analysis tools at GeneNetwork allow one to explore regulatory loci and networks built around any transcript [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. The best way to think about the genetic linkage mapping is at the transcript level. If there is specific variability in transcript abundance among members of a segregating population, then it is possible to map transcript abundance to a specific chromosomal locus (for methodology, see [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]). One example of this type of analysis was presented by Chesler and colleagues [Chesler et al., 2005] where a group of genes involved in synaptic vesicle regulation forms a network with distinct regulatory loci. The concept of genetic analysis of transcript data is called “expression genetics” or “genetical genomics” (for an overview see [Broman, 2005]). Of interest to the vision community is the comprehensive analysis of regulatory loci controlling gene expression of the mouse brain. Both retina and brain are tissues derived from the neural tube. Thus, they share similar cell types with similar transcriptional regulation. For example, the transcription factor STAT3 plays an important role in activating cortical astrocytes [Acarin et al., 2001] and retinal Muller glial cells [Wang et al., 2002]. Thus, it may be possible to use available genetic analyses of brain transcript data to define genetic networks and regulatory loci for the retina. To identify genomic loci and potential candidate genes controlling changes in the rat retina, we turned to our publicly available database. The GeneNetwork database was generated using RI mouse strains and a similar data set is not currently available for the rat. Since the rat is closely

related to the mouse and since transcriptional expression in the normal and injured CNS is highly conserved across rodent species [Natale et al., 2003], we have chosen to use this mouse database to further analyze the changes in gene expression that occur following injury to the rat CNS.

Here we describe a method to define regulators of retinal wound healing that uses published and publicly available microarray data, transcript regulatory loci databases, and bioinformatic resources. As a starting point, we define regulators that control the expression of acute phase genes after a mechanical injury to the rat retina [Vazquez et al., 2004]. First to eliminate retina-specific genes and to increase the power of our analysis, we extract a group of acute phase genes that are common to injured rat retina, brain, and spinal cord. Second, we determine the regulatory loci controlling the expression of acute phase genes in mouse brains using GeneNetwork. Third, we use computational tools to predict the candidate upstream regulator. This unique combination of analytical tools provides, for the first time, an analysis of retinal microarray data that predicts genetic networks and their candidate upstream regulators.

Methods

Meta-analyses of Microarray Data Sets

We performed meta-analyses of previous experimental data (Table 3.1) to select a group of candidate genes for a common response to injury in neural tissues. We previously published CNS microarray analyses that examined the transcriptome response of injured rat retina and brain, the transcriptome of cultured human and rat astrocytes, and the regulatory loci controlling transcript expression in the mouse brain [Vazquez et al., 2004; Matzilevich et al., 2002; Dash et al., 2004; Chesler et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004]. To complement our analysis of reactive CNS genes, we obtained publicly available data that examined the transcriptome response of injured rat spinal cord, the transcriptome of developing mouse retina, as well as the transcriptome of cultured mouse neurons, mouse astrocytes, and rat microglia [Di Giovanni et al., 2003; Duker et al.,

Table 3.1. Data used to define acute phase genes.

Tissue	Reactive response inducer	Species	Microarray Platform	Experimental conditions	GEO number or reference
Injured retina	Retinal tear	Rat	U34A	4 h; and 1, 3, 7, & 30 d	Vazquez et al., 2004
Injured brain	Cortical impact	Rat	U34A	3 h	Matzilevich et al., 2002
Injured spinal cord	Cord contusion	Rat	U34A	4 h	Di Giovanni et al., 2003
Optic nerve head astrocyte	Cell culture	Human	U95Av2	Cell culture	Yang et al., 2004
Cortical astrocyte	Cell culture	Rat	U34A	Cell culture	GSM34300
Brain microglia	Cell culture	Rat	U34A	Cell culture	Duke et al., 2004
Neuron	Cell culture	Mouse	U74Av2	Cell culture	Kraft et al., 2004
Developing retina	Development	Mouse	U74Av2	P0, P4, P8, P10, P12, P14, P21, & adult	Dorell et al., 2004
Adult forebrain	Genetic variation	Mouse	U74Av2	C57BL/6, DBA2/J, F1, & 32 BXD RI	Chesler et al., 2005

We performed a meta-analysis of previous experimental data to select a group of acute phase genes that are part of a common response to injury in neural tissues. Previously, we examined transcript levels in injured retina, injured brain, cultured astrocytes, and in forebrains from a panel of 35 BXD RI strains [Vazquez et al., 2004; Matzilevich et al., 2002; Dash et al., 2004; Chesler et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004]. Microarray data sets describing transcript levels in injured spinal cord, cultured microglia, cultured neurons, and developing retina were obtained from publicly available published studies [Di Giovanni et al., 2003; Duker et al., 2004; Moran et al., 2004; Kraft et al., 2004; Dorell et al., 2004]. All datasets are available online either at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) or at the author's or journal's website.

2004; Moran et al., 2004; Kraft et al., 2004; Dorell et al., 2004]. Table 3.1 makes clear the source and availability of each microarray data set. The use of microarray datasets involved three different meta-analyses. First, a group of acute phase genes commonly expressed throughout the CNS was defined by directly comparing microarray data from injured rat retina, brain, and spinal cord. For this analysis, we obtained the raw data (CEL files), determined signal values using Microarray Suite 5.0 (MAS 5.0; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA), transformed signals to a log scale (base 2), and normalized microarray mean intensity to 8 as described previously [Vazquez et al., 2004]. These transformations yielded signal intensities ranging from 1 to 18 relative units of fluorescence. Further analyses included only transcripts with medium to high abundance (that is, signals greater than 8.64 [Vazquez et al., 2004]). To determine significant differences, we used Student's t-test ($P < 0.05$) and changes greater than 2-fold. The second meta-analysis determined if an acute phase gene was expressed in cultured astrocytes, cultured microglia, and cultured neurons, as well as in the developing retina. An acute gene was considered present in a tissue if it displayed medium to high abundance [Vazquez et al., 2004]. In the third meta-analysis, we used GeneNetwork to examine transcript regulation in mouse forebrains. Transcriptome analyses with Affymetrix RG-U34A and MG-U74Av2 survey about nine and twelve thousand targets (about 30 and 40 % of the rodent transcriptome; [Affymetrix NetAffex, June 2005 Annotation]).

Online Regulatory Locus Analyses

To define regulatory loci that control gene expression in mouse brain, we used GeneNetwork [www.genenetwork.org], which is maintained by members of our group (E.J.C, L.L, K.F.M, and R.W.W). Details on the methods, data, and analyses are available at GeneNetwork [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. Briefly, GeneNetwork is a suite of databases and analysis software that identifies regulatory loci that control transcript abundance of nearly 12,000 genes in a panel of 32 BXD RI mouse strains derived from C57BL/6 (B) and DBA/2J (D) mice, both parental strains, and the F1 hybrid (a total of 35 isogenic lines [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al.,

2005]). Strain means for transcript abundance were generated from three to five Affymetrix U74Av2 microarrays, and each individual array was hybridized to a pool of forebrain tissue taken from three adult animals [Chesler et al., 2005]. To maximize strain-dependent expression variance or heritability, probe-set signals were measured by weighting the perfect-match (PM) probes by their heritability [Manly et al., 2005]. Using quantitative genetic analysis and a genetic map consisting of 779 fully genotyped markers, GeneNetwork correlates the mean abundance of each transcript to genotypes at locations throughout the mouse genome. The average distance between adjacent markers is approximately 4 megabases (Mb). Here, we examined the regulation of acute phase genes in mouse brains using GeneNetwork and the weighted-by-heritability transforms [UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003]. Variability across strains was measured using analysis of variance (ANOVA) testing the between-strain variance compared with the total variance for 100 arrays from 35 mouse strains. The degrees of freedom for the between-group and total variance are 34 and 99. Strain-specific variation is significant ($p < 0.05$) when $F_{34,99} > 1.5$. Whole genome maps for all transcripts are replicated and recomputed using a variety of transforms and analytical methods in GeneNetwork, including simple and composite interval mapping, multiple-trait clustering, and principal component analysis. We also confirmed the strength of a candidate upstream regulator using the cerebellum GeneNetwork database [SJUT Cerebellum mRNA M430 MAS5, January 2004]. Since gene names may differ across species and multiple probe sets may be available in the U74Av2 microarray, we include the probe set identifier when referring to genes analyzed with GeneNetwork. We confirmed gene identity of a probe set using Affymetrix NetAffex [June 2005 Annotation] and Ensembl AffyProbe [v33] databases.

Online Bioinformatic Resources

To identify candidate genes within a regulatory locus, we located genes within the appropriate genomic interval using the Genome Browser [Mouse May 2004 assembly]. Single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by comparing genome sequences in the Celera SNP (Celera Discovery Systems, CA; [July 2003 Assembly]), Ensembl Mouse SNPView [v33], and Entrez SNP databases. Once the SNPs were identified, a computational approach was used to determine if the SNP within the *Id2* promoter (rs4229289, for sequence variation and genomic context see Ensembl Mouse SNPView) was within a transcription factor binding site. First, we determined whether the rs4229289 SNP was located within a highly conserved region using Genome Browser Conservation tool [Mouse May 2004 Assembly]. Second, we obtained a highly conserved sequence around the rs4229289 SNP from the alignment of mouse, rat, and human sequences using Genome Browser Conservation tool [May 2004 Assembly]. Alignments with other species were not available. Third, we located putative transcription factor binding sites within the conserved region using the vertebrate TRANSFAC database available through MOTIF database and using the default cut-off score [Knuppel et al., 1994].

Several online databases provide transcript data or transcript distribution in the CNS. Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) is a high-throughput gene expression abundance data repository, as well as a curated, online resource for gene expression data browsing, query, and retrieval [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo]. Retina Developmental Gene Expression describes the gene expression profile of thousands of genes in the developing postnatal mouse retina that were analyzed by hybridization to Affymetrix Mu74 Av2 [www.scripps.edu/cb/friedlander/gene_expression/; Dorell et al., 2004]. Mouse Retina SAGE Library provides serial analysis of gene expression tags or in situ hybridization images for a limited number of transcripts expressed in the developing and adult mouse retina [https://bricweb.partners.org/cepko/default.asp; Blackshaw et al., 2004]. Gene Expression Nervous System Atlas (GENSAT) maps the transcript distribution in the mouse CNS using in situ hybridization [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gensat].

Results

Acute Phase Genes

In our continuing efforts to understand the retinal response to injury, we concentrated on the transcriptome changes that occur within hours after retinal injury: the acute phase genes. We know that there is a sequence of changes leading to reactive gliosis and remodeling of the retina [Vazquez et al., 2004]. To make our analysis more robust and generalized for CNS injury, we combined our injured rat retina data with results from injured rat brain and spinal cord. In these three data sets, 30 genes demonstrated a significant change in expression level (Table 3.2; $P < 0.05$; change > 2 -fold; 4 h postinjury). All of the common changes in the three data sets were upregulated after injury. No common down-regulated transcripts were observed in injured retina, brain, and spinal cord. These acute phase genes can be expressed in a wide range of neural cells including astrocytes, microglia, and neurons (Table 3.2). These results suggested a generalized group of transcript changes that occurs in the CNS after injury.

The acute phase genes belong to several transcript categories including transcription, cytokines, cell adhesion, extracellular proteases, and metabolism. The major transcript group (46.6%) was related to the regulation of gene expression including transcription factors and transcription modulators. Based on gene expression dynamics, acute phase genes displayed either transient or chronic expression changes after retinal injury (Table 3.2). For example, transcription factors such as *Crem*, *Egr1*, *Fos*, *Fosl1*, *Junb*, *Egr1*, *Ier3*, *Btg2*, *Atf3*, and *Nr4a1* were upregulated, with a transient surge at 4 h. Other transcription factors such as *Irf1*, *Stat3*, *Nfkb1*, *Id2*, and *Cebpd* displayed an upregulation at 4 h, followed by a smaller, but sustained, expression out to 30 days after retinal injury (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. Acute phase genes expressed in the injured CNS.

Symbol	Name (alias)	Astrocytic Expression	Microglial Expression	Neuronal Expression	Chronic Expression
Transcription					
<i>Atf3</i>	Activating transcription factor 3	+	+	-	-
<i>Btg2</i>	B-cell translocation gene 2	+	-	+	-
<i>Crem</i>	cAMP responsive element modulator	-	-	-	-
<i>Egr1</i>	Early growth response 1 (Krox-24/NGFI-A)	+	-	+	-
<i>Fos</i>	FBJ osteosarcoma oncogene	+	+	+	-
<i>Fosl1</i>	Fos-like 1	+	-	-	-
<i>Junb</i>	Jun-B oncogene	+	-	+	-
<i>Ier3</i>	Immediate early response 3 (PRG1)	+	+	+	-
<i>Nr4a1</i>	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4a1 (NGFI-B/nurr7)	+	-	+	-
<i>Cebpd</i>	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein delta	+	-	+	+
<i>Id2</i>	Inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Idb2)	+	+	+	+
<i>Irf1</i>	Interferon regulatory factor 1	+	+	-	+
<i>Nfkb1</i>	Nuclear factor kappa B p105	+	+	-	+
<i>Stat3</i>	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3	+	-	+	+
Cytokine					
<i>Cxcl10</i>	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10	+	+	-	+
<i>Il1a</i>	Interleukin 1 alpha	-	+	-	-
<i>Il1b</i>	Interleukin 1, beta	-	+	-	+
<i>Scya2</i>	Small inducible cytokine A2 (Ccl2)	-	+	-	-
<i>Scya3</i>	Small inducible cytokine A3 (Ccl3)	+	-	-	-
Cell adhesion/ECM					
<i>Cd44</i>	Cd44 antigen	+	+	-	+
<i>Icam1</i>	intercellular adhesion molecule-1	+	+	-	+
<i>Plat</i>	Plasminogen activator tissue	+	+	-	+
<i>Serpine1</i>	Serine proteinase inhibitor-1 (Pai1)	+	-	-	+
<i>Timp1</i>	Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1	+	+	-	+
Metabolism					
<i>Hmox1</i>	Heme oxygenase	+	+	+	+
<i>Mat2a</i>	Methionine adenosyltransferase IIa	+	-	-	-
<i>Ptgs2</i>	Prostaglandin synthase 2 (Cox-2)	+	-	-	-
Other					
<i>Ptpn16</i>	Tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 16 (Dusp1/Ptp)	+	-	+	-
<i>Adfp</i>	Adipose differentiation related protein	+	+	-	-
<i>Ifrd1</i>	interferon-related developmental regulator 1	+	-	+	-

Acute phase genes displayed transcript changes in injured retina, brain, and spinal cord. Astrocytic, microglial, and neuronal expressions refer to moderate to high transcript levels in cultured astrocytes [Yang et al., 2004; Kraft et al., 2004], microglia [Duker et al., 2004; Moran et al., 2004], neurons [Kraft et al., 2004]. Chronic expression describes expression in rat retina from 3 to 30 days after injury [GEO data set GSE1001; Vazquez et al., 2004].

Regulatory Locus Analyses

The grouping of genes with similar transcript expression changes suggested the presence of common upstream modulators. To begin defining regulatory mechanisms controlling the retinal injury response, we tested the hypothesis that acute phase genes share common regulatory loci (Figure 3.1). Transcriptome-wide regulatory loci for the mouse brain were mapped previously by our group [Chesler et al., 2005] and can be replicated and recomputed using the databases and genetic-analysis tools at GeneNetwork. We used the mouse brain transcriptome and genetic analysis at GeneNetwork to define common regulatory loci for acute phase genes.

A genome-wide scan estimates the association of transcript abundance variability against genetic markers across the mouse genome. For example, the abundance variability of *Id2* was high in brains from BXD RI mouse strains ($F_{34,99} = 5.2$; probe set 93013_at for *Id2* mRNA). In the genome-wide scan (Figure 3.1A), the correlation of *Id2* variability across the mouse genome is indicated by the likelihood ratio statistic curve (LRS, blue line). At chromosome 12, 10-30 Mb distal to the centromere, *Id2* variation correlated highly with genetic markers *D12Mit209*, *D12Nyu7*, *Rrm2*, *D12Mit234*, and *D12Mit242* (Figure 3.1A; LRS = 44). In a second example, *Fos* displayed variation ($F_{34,99} = 1.5$; probe set 160901_at) that also correlated with genetic markers that span a region of chromosome 12 at 10-30 Mb (Figure 3.1A, LRS = 13). The correlation of *Fos* and *Id2* expression to a chromosomal interval on chromosome 12 suggested that this locus contains one or more polymorphic genes that affect the levels of both transcripts.

In the group of acute phase genes, a number of transcripts had a common regulatory locus on chromosome 12. These included *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, *Id2*, *Egr1*, *Crem*, *Junb*, *Ccl3*, and *Ptpn16*, all of which shared a strong correlation to the locus on chromosome 12, 10-30 Mb (Figure 3.1B; LRS > 10; probe sets 160901_at, 102371_at, 93013_at, 98579_at, 160526_at, 102362_at, 102424_at, and 104598_at, respectively). By aligning genome-wide scans, we identified a strong band of regulatory loci (Figure 3.1B). Most of the genes (*Fos*, *Nr4a1*, *Egr1*, *Crem*, *Junb*, *Ccl3*, and *Ptpn16*) regulated by this genomic location are found outside the regulatory locus, termed

Figure 3.1. Acute phase genes share regulatory loci. We used the genetic analysis of transcript expression at GeneNetwork [www.genenetwork.org] to define genomic loci that control transcript abundance variability in mouse brains. At the heart of this analysis is a unique population, the recombinant inbred (RI) strains of mice. One group of mice were derived by inbreeding for over 20 generations the F1 crosses between C57BL/6 (B) and DBA/2J (D) mouse strains. Each BXD RI strain has a shuffled genome consisting of a unique recombination of the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J genomes, forming a segregating population. These RI mouse strains, the associated microarray databases, and the genetic-analysis tools at GeneNetwork allow one to explore regulatory loci and networks built around any transcript [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. The best way to think about the genetic linkage mapping is at the transcript level. If there is specific variability in transcript abundance among members of a segregating population, then it is possible to map transcript abundance to a specific chromosomal locus. Genetic linkage maps (A-C) show that a group of acute phase genes is controlled by a regulatory locus on chromosome 12. **A:** Individual genome-wide maps for *Id2* and *Fos* display linkage of transcript variability (y-axis) to loci across the mouse genome (x-axis). Genetic analysis of transcript data from brain tissue was determined in a panel of BXD RI mouse strains [Chesler et al., 2005]. Maps were generated by linking transcript variability against 779 genetic markers that are interspaced along the mouse genome by an average of 4 Megabase pairs (Mb). The alternating white and blue columns represent chromosomes. The linkage between transcript variation and genetic differences at a particular genetic locus is measured in terms of likelihood ratio statistic (LRS, solid blue line). Dashed horizontal lines mark transcript-specific significance thresholds for genome-wide $P < 0.05$ (significant, blue) and genome-wide $P < 0.63$ (suggestive, green). Orange triangle indicates gene location. **B:** Multiple parallel genome-wide maps display linkage using color hues with yellow and red bands representing suggestive and significant LRS. A common regulatory locus on chromosome 12 is identified for selected acute phase genes. Within this locus, there is a genomic element modulating the expression of acute phase genes such as *Fos*, *Junb*, and *Egr1*. Individual and multiple genome-wide maps were generated using tools at GeneNetwork. Orange triangles indicate gene location. Linkage maps were generated using the Interval Mapping and Cluster Tree tools at GeneNetwork [UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003].

“*trans*-regulatory locus.” One of the genes, *Id2*, lies within the regulatory locus (orange arrow-head, chromosome 12 at 20.1 Mb; Figure 3.1A and 3.1B). This is termed a “*cis*-regulatory locus” for *Id2*. Transcripts genetically linked to the same regulatory locus are part of a genetic network [Chesler et al., 2005]. In this case, *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, *Id2*, *Egr1*, *Crem*, *Junb*, *Ccl3*, and *Ptpn16* are part of a genetic network that is controlled by the regulatory locus on chromosome 12, 10-30 Mb. In addition to the regulatory locus on chromosome 12, we identified two additional loci that may regulate transcript levels of acute phase genes (Figure 3.2). These regulatory loci were located on chromosomes 6 and 14. In some cases, transcripts correlated to multiple regulatory loci. For example, *Fos* and *Nr4a1* were genetically linked to regulatory loci on chromosomes 6 and 12, whereas, *Nfkb1* and *Scya2* correlated with regulatory loci on chromosomes 6 and 14. The genetic relationships between transcript variation and genomic loci defined three genetic networks controlling the expression of acute phase genes in mouse forebrains (Figure 3.2). The regulators for these genetic networks are located within the regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14.

Evaluating Candidate Upstream Regulators

Once a regulatory locus was identified, the third step in our analysis refined the genomic location responsible for the mapping to this chromosomal interval. Within each regulatory locus, a genomic element generates the variation in expression of acute phase genes. Ultimately, the genomic differences between the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mice underlie the transcript abundance variability observed in BXD RI mouse strains. Since the BXD RI mouse strain haplotypes are finely mapped and the parental genomes are sequenced, we have thorough SNP maps for the 35 strains [Chesler et al., 2005]. SNPs affecting the expression of acute phase genes may be found in a number of genomic elements including promoters, enhancers, exons, or introns. These genomic elements may affect the transcript levels of the upstream regulator. Hundreds or even thousands of SNPs may lie within a regulatory locus. Simple rules help select a candidate gene. A candidate gene lies within the regulatory locus, displays SNPs, and is functionally relevant to the genes correlating to the regulatory locus. Biological significance of SNPs can be queried using

Figure 3.2. Genetic networks controlling acute phase transcripts. Genetic networks were derived from transcripts sharing regulatory loci in mouse brains. As shown in Figure 3.1, acute phase transcripts *Fos*, *Egr1*, *Nr4a1*, *Junb*, *Stat3*, *Id2*, *Crem*, *Ptpn16*, and *Scya3* are controlled by a genomic locus on chromosome 12. We also showed in Table 3.2 that these transcripts are part of a common phase response to injury in retina, brain, and spinal cord. Transcripts genetically linked to the same regulatory loci and highly regulated in injured CNS are hypothesized to be part of a genetic network. In this diagram, lines connecting specific genes to loci represent a correlation between transcript expression and the regulatory locus. The diagram also illustrates two networks controlled by genomic loci on chromosomes 6 and 14.

programs that predict whether SNPs affect known DNA binding sites or protein sequence motifs [Knuppel et al., 1994]. The vast biological content within online resources provides a powerful tool to identify the regulatory element controlling the expression of genes within a biological pathway, in our case the response of the transcriptome to retinal injury. We present our analysis of candidate regulators for several of the loci. We conclude with evidence for a strong candidate gene for the regulatory locus on chromosome 12.

The regulatory locus on chromosome 14, 35-65 Mb, modulates the transcript variability of transcription factors *Stat3*, *Nfkb1*, and *Irf1*; cytokines *Il1b* and *Scya2*; and development-related gene *Adfp* (Figure 3.3A; probe sets 102736_at, 103486_at, 161281_f_at, 161443_r_at, 93858_at, 98427_s_at, and 99099_at). This is a *trans*-regulatory locus for these genes, as they all lie outside this locus. A genomic element within the locus is a *trans*-regulator for these acute phase genes. Within this locus, there were 315 positional candidate genes (Figure 3.3B and 3.3C). A simple procedure to weigh the relevance of a positional gene is to determine whether it displays significant transcript variability in BXD RI mouse strains and whether its variability maps to its gene location—that is, does the gene display a *cis*-regulatory locus? Highly variable transcripts included *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, *Boct*, *Dad1*, *Ctsb*, *Cnih*, and *Ndr2* ($F_{34,99} > 4$). Of these, *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, *Boct*, *Boct*, *Cnih*, and *Ndr2* linked strongly to the regulatory locus on chromosome 14 (LRSs > 17 ; Figure 3.3D, data for *Ndr2* shown, probe set 96088_at). To further evaluate the role of these positional genes, we determined whether their self-regulatory role extended to the cerebellum of BXD RI mouse strains. *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, and *Ndr2* displayed significant *cis*-regulatory loci on chromosome 14, 35-65 Mb (LRSs > 12 , data not shown; probe sets 96038_at, 100073_at, 104456_at, and 161610_at). These data suggested that the regulatory role of the locus on chromosome 14 is conserved across CNS tissues. *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, and *Ndr2* are candidate upstream regulators because they display *cis*-regulatory loci.

Figure 3.3. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 14 locus. **A:** The transcript variability of *Adfp*, *Scya2*, *Irf1*, *Nfkb1*, *Il1b*, *Stat3*, and *Cxcl10* in brains from BXD RI mouse strains is genetically linked to a regulatory locus on chromosome 14. This network was generated by comparing genome-wide scans that measure the linkage of transcript variability across the mouse genome, as described in Figure 3.1. These transcripts are also upregulated as acute phase transcripts in retina, brain and spinal cord (Table 3.2). Transcripts genetically linked to the same regulatory loci and highly regulated in injured CNS are hypothesized to be part of a genetic network. **B:** The combined genome-wide map shows that their transcript expression has a strong genetic linkage to a locus within chromosome 14. The genome wide scan, zoomed at chromosome 14, shows the genetic linkage (y-axis, likelihood ratio statistic [LRS]) across the chromosome (x-axis, Megabase pairs [Mb]). The locus (35 to 65 Mb) includes 315 genes, some of which have a high or low single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) density. SNP density is denoted by the height of the orange lines on the x-axis. Several rules may help in selecting candidate gene responsible for this regulatory locus. The first rule is there have to be SNPs present within the coding or regulatory region of the gene of interest. As a first approximation we used SNP density that is displayed at the bottom of panel **B** and **D**. The second rule is a high degree of transcript abundance variability in the BXD RI strains (illustrated in **C**). **C:** Transcript abundance variability in normal forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains is due to genetic polymorphisms between the parental C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mouse strains. The graph illustrates transcript abundance variability (y-axis) for genes (dots) within the 35 to 65 Mb interval of chromosome 14 (x-axis). For a transcript to be mapped its abundance must vary across the BXD RI mouse strains. The higher the variation in transcript abundance the more likely that the gene is a candidate. Furthermore we expect that the variability is due to a polymorphism in the candidate gene, suggesting that the polymorphic gene is modulating its own expression level (that is, a “*cis*-regulatory locus”). We measured transcript variability using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) that tests the between-strain variance compared with the total variance for 100 arrays from 35 mouse strains. The degrees of freedom for the between-group and total variance are 34 and 99. Strain-specific variation is significant ($p < 0.05$) when $F_{34,99} > 1.5$. In the graph, each dot represents a gene with its variability measured by the ANOVA F-statistic (y-axis) and its genomic location within chromosome 14 described in Mb. *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, *Boct*, *Dad1*, *Ctsb*, *Cnih*, and *Ndr2* are polymorphic genes that displayed significant transcript variability and *cis*-regulatory loci in normal forebrains of BXD mouse strains. **D:** The third criterion identifies the genes that display self regulation across CNS tissues. For example, the transcript variability of *Ndr2* (red circle in **C**) displayed a *cis*-regulatory locus in brain (LRS=38, probe set 96088_at) and cerebellum (LRS=13, probe set 1448154_at_A) of BXD RI mouse strains. Here we illustrate linkage of *Ndr2* transcript variability in BXD RI mouse strains across chromosome 14. Red triangle indicates gene location. *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, and *M6a* also displayed *cis*-regulatory loci in brain and cerebellum (data available at GeneNetwork). **E:** The fourth criterion deals with the biology of the system. Meta-analyses of transcript levels during retinal development and retinal injury can help determine if a candidate gene is a potential regulator in the retina. For example in murine models of retinal development, *Ndr2* displayed moderate transcript levels as early as postnatal day 4 and was highly expressed in the adult retina. In contrast, *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, and *M6a* were absent from birth through adult stages. Normalized expression data for *Ndr2* (y-axis) during murine retinal development (x-axis) is available at Retina Developmental Gene Expression [Dorell et al., 2004].

Identifying polymorphic genes also helps to identify the candidate upstream regulator. Sequences for *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, and *Ndr2* had multiple SNPs between the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J strains. For example, *Ndr2* had a high density of SNPs: 7 within the promoter (Celera SNPs IDs mCV23555818, mCV23556083-5, mCV23556089-91), and 25 within exons and introns (Celera SNPs IDs mCV23555752, 756-8, 762-3, 768-70, 774-6, 780-1, 788, 792-4, 804-6, 811-2, 816-7). The presence of SNPs further supported the role of *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, *M6a*, and *Ndr2* as candidate upstream regulators. The use of transcriptome data from developing mouse retina [Dorell et al., 2004; Blackshaw et al., 2004] or injured rat retina [Vazquez et al., 2004] can be valuable in determining whether a candidate gene plays a role in controlling acute phase genes in the retina. In murine models of retinal development [Dorell et al., 2004; Blackshaw et al., 2004], *Ndr2* displayed moderate transcript levels as early as postnatal day 4 and was expressed in the adult retina (Figure 3.3E; data available at Retina Developmental Gene Expression [Dorell et al., 2004] and Mouse Retina SAGE Library [Blackshaw et al., 2004]). In contrast, *Rnase4*, *AW045965*, and *M6a* were absent from birth through adult retinal stages [data available at Retina Developmental Gene Expression]. Using a suite of computational tools and transcriptome data from CNS gene regulation and development, we defined *Ndr2* (*N-myc downstream regulated gene 2*) as a positional candidate that displayed a *cis*-regulatory locus in the mouse brain and cerebellum and that has a high SNP density. At the present time, *Ndr2* is the best candidate gene for the regulatory locus on chromosome 14 and for potentially being a regulator of retinal acute genes. *Ndr2* displays a conserved self-regulatory role in mouse brain and cerebellum. It is expressed in the developing mouse retina during the critical time of cell proliferation and cell specification. It also displays persistent transcript expression in the adult mouse retina.

The regulatory locus on chromosome 6 at 105-132 Mb also controls the expression of acute phase genes such as *Fosl1*, *Scya2*, *Nr4a1*, *Fos*, *Nfkb1*, *Icam1*, and *Timp1* (Figure 3.4A; probe sets 102371_at, 102736_at, 160901_at, 99835_at, 101141_at, 98427_s_at, and 101464_at). Within the locus, there were 315 positional genes (Figure 3.4B). Using the computational and comparative techniques described above, *Ccnd2* (*Cyclin D2*) best met the criteria for a candidate

Figure 3.4. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 6 locus. **A:** The transcript variability of *Scya2*, *Nfkb1*, *Fosl1*, *Timp1*, *Fos*, *Nr4a1* and *Icam1* in brains from BXD RI mouse strains is genetically linked to the regulatory locus on chromosome 6. This network was generated by comparing genome-wide scans that measure the linkage of transcript variability across the mouse genome, as described in Figure 3.1. These transcripts are also upregulated as acute phase transcripts in retina, brain and spinal cord (Table 3.2). Transcripts genetically linked to the same regulatory loci and highly regulated in injured CNS are hypothesized to be part of a genetic network. **B:** The combined genome-wide map shows that their transcript expression has a strong genetic linkage to a locus within chromosome 6, 105 to 132 Mb. The genome wide scan, zoomed at chromosome 6, shows the genetic linkage (y-axis, likelihood ratio statistic [LRS]) across the chromosome (x-axis, Megabase pairs [Mb]). This locus includes over 200 positional genes, some of which have a high or low single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) density. SNP density is denoted by the height of the orange lines on the x-axis. Several rules may help in selecting candidate gene responsible for this regulatory locus. The first rule is there have to be SNPs present within the coding or regulatory region of the gene of interest. As a first approximation we used SNP density that is displayed at the bottom of panel **B** and **D**. The second rule is a high degree of transcript abundance variability in the BXD RI strains (illustrated in **C**). **C:** Transcript abundance variability in normal forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains is due to genetic polymorphisms between the parental C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mouse strains. The graph illustrates transcript abundance variability (y-axis) for genes (dots) within the 105 to 132 Mb interval of chromosome 6 (x-axis). The higher the variation in transcript abundance the more likely that the gene is a candidate. Furthermore we expect that the variability is due to a polymorphism in the candidate gene, suggesting that the polymorphic gene is modulating its own expression level (that is, a “cis-regulatory locus”). We measured transcript variability using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) that tests the between-strain variance compared with the total variance for 100 arrays from 35 mouse strains. The degrees of freedom for the between-group and total variance are 34 and 99. Strain-specific variation is significant ($p < 0.05$) when $F_{34,99} > 1.5$. In the graph, each dot represents a gene with its variability measured by the ANOVA F-statistic (y-axis) and its genomic location within chromosome 6 described in Mb. *Tulp3*, *Ccnd2*, *Tead4*, and *Atp2b2* are polymorphic genes that displayed significant transcript variability and cis-regulatory loci in normal forebrains of BXD mouse strains. **D:** The third criterion identifies the genes that display self regulation across CNS tissues. For example, the transcript variability of *Ccnd2* (red circle in **C**) displays a strong cis-regulatory locus in the forebrain (LRS=25, probe set 97504_at) and cerebellum (LRS=14, probe set 1416122_at_A) of BXD RI mouse strains. Here we illustrate linkage of *Ccnd2* transcript variability in BXD RI mouse strains across chromosome 6. Red triangle indicates gene location. *Atp2b2*, *Tulp3*, and *Tead4* also displayed cis-regulatory loci in brain and cerebellum (data available at GeneNetwork). The role of a candidate gene as a modulator of the chromosome 6 and wound healing is further strengthen if its transcript is differentially expressed in injured CNS. *Ccnd2* displays increased transcript levels in injured rat retina [GEO data set GSE1001, probe set rc_AA899106_at; Vazquez et al., 2004].

upstream regulator. *Ccnd2* displayed significant transcript abundance variability ($F_{34,99} = 3.8$, Figure 3.4C, probe set 97504_at) and a strong *cis*-regulatory locus on chromosome 6 (LRS = 29, Figure 3.4D) in brains from BXD RI mouse strains. We also observed a strong *cis*-regulatory locus for *Ccnd2* in the cerebellum (LRS = 15; probe set 1416122_at_A; data not shown). Multiple SNPs spanned the transcribed region of *Ccnd2* (Celera SNP IDs mCV24153285, 558, 560, 570, 580-2, 592-4, 615-6). These data suggested that *Ccnd2* controls the expression of classic acute genes in the CNS of BXD RI mouse strains. In mouse retina, *Ccnd2* is expressed during development and adult stages [Mouse Retinal SAGE Library, probe set 97504_at; and GENSAT Image 14340]. Moreover, *Ccnd2* displayed chronic upregulation in the injured rat retina [GEO data set GSE1001, probe set rc_AA899106_at; Vazquez et al., 2004]. Several data defined *Ccnd2* as the best current candidate gene for the regulatory locus on chromosome 6 and for potentially being a regulator of retinal reactive genes. It displays conserved self-regulatory role in mouse brain and cerebellum. It is expressed in the developing mouse retina during the critical time of cell proliferation and cell specification. It also displays chronic upregulation in the injured rat retina.

For the network defined by the regulatory locus on chromosome 12 (Figure 3.5A; probe sets 93013_at, 161802_i_at, 161716_at, 160526_s_at, 104598_at, 102424_at, 102371_at, and 102362_i_at), the *Inhibitor of DNA binding 2* (*Id2*) is the best current candidate upstream regulator. First, the positional candidate *Id2* (Figure 3.5B; probe set 93013_at) showed significant variability and strong *cis*-regulatory locus in brains from BXD RI mouse strains ($F_{34,99} = 5.2$, Figure 3.5C; and LRS = 44, Figure 3.5D). In the cerebellum, we also observed a strong *cis*-regulatory locus for *Id2* (LRS = 13; probe set 1453596_at_A; data not shown). Second, while several *cis*-regulated genes were located within the regulatory locus on chromosome 12 (for example, *Lpin1* [probe set 98892_at]), only *Id2* was upregulated in rat retina, brain, and spinal cord after injury (Table 3.2). In injured rat retina, *Id2* also displayed a chronic upregulation even after 30 days postinjury (Table 3.2; [GEO data set GSE1001, probe set rc_AI230256_at]). *Id2* was also highly expressed during mouse retinal development at the time of high levels of cell proliferation and remained present in adult mouse retina [Retina Developmental Gene Expression,

Figure 3.5. Evaluating candidate genes within chromosome 12 locus. **A:** The transcript variability of *Id2*, *Crem*, *Egr1*, *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, *Junb*, *Ptpn16* and *Icam1* in brains from BXD RI mouse strains is genetically linked to the regulatory locus on chromosome 12. This network was generated by comparing genome-wide scans that measure the linkage of transcript variability across the mouse genome, as described in Figure 3.1. These transcripts are also upregulated as acute phase transcripts in retina, brain and spinal cord (Table 3.2). Transcripts genetically linked to the same regulatory loci and highly regulated in injured CNS are hypothesized to be part of a genetic network. **B:** The combined genome-wide map shows that their transcript expression has a strong genetic linkage to a locus within chromosome 12, 10 to 30 Mb. The genome wide scan, zoomed at chromosome 12, shows the genetic linkage (y-axis, likelihood ratio statistic [LRS]) across the chromosome (x-axis, Megabase pairs [Mb]). This locus includes over 60 positional genes, some of which have a high or low single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) density. SNP density is denoted by the height of the orange lines on the x-axis. Several rules may help in selecting candidate gene responsible for this regulatory locus. The first rule is there have to be SNPs present within the coding or regulatory region of the gene of interest. As a first approximation we used SNP density that is displayed at the bottom of panel **B** and **D**. The second rule is a high degree of transcript abundance variability in the BXD RI strains (illustrated in **C**). **C:** Transcript abundance variability in normal forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains is due to genetic polymorphisms between the parental C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mouse strains. The graph illustrates transcript abundance variability (y-axis) for genes (dots) within the 10 to 30 Mb interval of chromosome 12 (x-axis). For a transcript to be mapped its abundance must vary across the BXD RI mouse strains. The higher the variation in transcript abundance the more likely that the gene is a candidate. Furthermore we expect that the variability is due to a polymorphism in the candidate gene, suggesting that the polymorphic gene is modulating its own expression level (that is, a “*cis*-regulatory locus”). We measured transcript variability using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) that tests the between-strain variance compared with the total variance for 100 arrays from 35 mouse strains. The degrees of freedom for the between-group and total variance are 34 and 99. Strain-specific variation is significant ($p < 0.05$) when $F_{34,99} > 1.5$. In the graph, each dot represents a gene with its variability measured by the ANOVA F-statistic (y-axis) and its genomic location within chromosome 12 described in Mb. *Lpin1* and *Id2* are polymorphic genes that displayed significant transcript variability and *cis*-regulatory loci in normal forebrains of BXD mouse strains. **D:** The third criterion identifies the genes that display self regulation across CNS tissues. For example, the transcript variability of *Id2* (red circle in **C**) displayed a *cis*-regulatory locus in the brain (LRS=44, probe set 93013_at) and cerebellum (LRS=14; 1453596_at_A) of BXD RI mouse strains. Here we illustrate linkage of *Id2* transcript variability in BXD RI mouse strains across chromosome 12. Red triangle indicates gene location. *Lpin1* also displayed *cis*-regulatory loci in brain and cerebellum (data available at GeneNetwork). **E:** The structure of the *Id2* gene illustrates a SNP at the promoter region and four SNPs within the second intron. **F:** The SNP within the promoter region (Ensembl SNPView ID rs4229289 and Celera SNP ID mC22302957) is located within a highly conserved region and is adjacent to a nuclear transcription factor Y (NF-Y) binding site (TRANSFAC ID M00185). Gene structure was obtained from Ensembl Genome Browser. The TRANSFAC 5.0 database was accessed through the MOTIF website. Highly conserved regions were defined using the Genome Browser Conservation tool [Mouse May 2004 Assembly].

probe set rc_AI230256_at; and Mouse Retina SAGE Library, Mm.34871]. Third, we located one SNP for *Id2* within the promoter and four SNPs within the second intron (Figure 3.5D; Ensembl gene ID ENSMUSG00000020644). An SNP within the promoter region of *Id2* may alter the ability of a transcription factor to modulate *Id2* transcription.

We used in silico promoter analysis to define the transcription factor binding site affected by the SNP (Ensembl SNPView ID rs4229289 and Celera SNP ID mC22302957). Located 320 base pairs upstream of the starting codon, the SNP consisted of an adenine-guanine (A/G) substitution between the DBA/2J and C57BL/6. The SNP was also located in a highly conserved region across mouse, rat, and human orthologs (Figure 3.5F). Using the MOTIF database (www.motif.genome.jp), we determined that the SNP sits next to a CCAAT binding site (TRANSFAC ID M00254), a likely binding site for nuclear transcription factor (NF)-Y (TRANSFAC ID M00185). Based on the TRANSFAC 5.0 database available through the MOTIF website, NF-Y binds to the CCAAT motif when the adjacent 3'-nucleotide is either G or C as seen in the C57BL/6, rat, and human sequences for *Id2* (Figure 3.5F). The nucleotide substitution of an A for a G/C may account for the lower *Id2* levels seen in the DBA/2J and in all the BXD strains carrying the DBA/2J haplotype. Several lines of evidence indicate that *Id2* is a good candidate gene for the regulatory locus on chromosome 12. *Id2* is conserved and has a self-regulatory role in mouse brain and cerebellum. It is expressed in the developing retina during the critical time of cell proliferation and cell specification. It is upregulated immediately following injury to rat retina, brain, and spinal cord.

Discussion

Discovering mechanisms controlling retinal wound healing from gene expression data is a promising and challenging task. The method of extracting genetic networks and gene regulation presented here involves (1) highlighting robust injury genes in multiple models of CNS trauma, (2)

defining regulatory loci using genetic analysis of transcript data (that is, expression genetics [Broman, 2005]) from CNS tissues, and (3) predicting candidate regulators using bioinformatic resources that are available online. Using this approach, we defined a group of acute phase genes that is commonly expressed in the retina, brain, and spinal cord after traumatic injury. Our expression genetic analyses revealed that regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14 control the expression of acute phase genes in brains from BXD RI mouse strains. With the help of an array of online bioinformatic tools, we identified three genetic networks and candidate upstream regulators: *Ndr2*, *Ccnd2*, and *Id2*.

Surprisingly, the changes controlled by these three loci are present in a variety of different CNS tissues: retina, brain, and spinal cord. This begs the question, are the networks controlled by the loci on chromosomes 6, 12 and 14 unique to the CNS? If one examines normal tissues, these regulatory loci appear to be unique to the CNS. For example, expression genetics of hematopoietic stem cells from BXD RI mouse strains showed completely different sets of regulatory loci [Bystrykh et al., 2005]. This is not surprising given the difference in expression patterns between CNS tissues and hematopoietic stem cells [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. The same is true of the liver where Schadt and colleagues [Schadt et al., 2003] identified a different set of regulatory loci that did not correlate with our observations in the CNS. Although the specificity of expression-related regulatory loci in CNS, hematopoietic stem cells, and liver are different in normal resting conditions, we cannot exclude the possibility that some regulatory mechanisms are similar following trauma. Based upon our current data the loci at chromosomes 6, 12 and 14 identified appear specific to the CNS.

Our method complements approaches that identify modules of co-regulated genes, shared *cis*-regulatory motifs, and transcriptional factors binding to *cis*-regulatory motifs [Livesey et al., 2000]. In reality, transcriptome changes during the wound-healing response are controlled by a much more complex network [Ridet et al., 1997; Geller et al., 2001; Bringmann and Reichenbach, 2001]. Our method holds the potential for identifying members of genetic networks and regulators that may include gene products involved in mRNA stability, heterochromatin remod-

eling, transcriptional repression, and other regulatory mechanisms [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrikh et al., 2005; Schadt et al., 2003]. Indeed, even indirect modifiers of the transcriptional response to injury that are not transcription factors can be identified using a genetic approach. Our analysis suggests, for example, that the expression of acute phase genes is genetically linked to the transcript expression of three non-transcription factors including the hydrolase NDRG2, the cyclin CCND2, and the dominant negative helix-loop-helix protein ID2.

Key to defining modulators of regulatory networks in BXD RI mouse strains is the genetic differences between parental strains. Genetic differences between C57BL/6 and DBA/2J strains provide a powerful system to study regulators and their targets, but this approach is limited to the detection of polymorphisms between these strains. For some genes believed to be involved in the response of the CNS to injury there are no genetic differences between the BXD parental strains. For example, STAT3 (a potent activator of acute phase genes such as *Fos* [May et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003]) has no SNPs in the coding or regulatory regions when the sequence of *Stat3* of C57BL/6 strain is compared to that of the DBA/2J strain. Consequently, expression genetics of BXD RI mouse strains revealed no genetic linkage between the *Stat3* locus and genes known to be associated with its regulation such as *Fos*. To provide a fuller examination of the networks controlling retinal wound healing the BXD RI strains can be supplemented by studying additional strains. Other RI strains of mice can be equally valuable. The BXA and AXB RI strains were produced from crosses of the C57BL/6 (B) and A/J (A) mouse strains. Genetic differences between these two strains can be used to map different regulatory loci. One prime example of the use of the AXB RI strains comes from a study of horizontal cell density. A two-fold difference in horizontal cell density was observed between C57BL/6 and A/J mouse strains [Williams et al., 1998]. The genetic backgrounds of the A/J mouse strains and RI strains derived from the C57BL/6 and A/J mouse strains make it possible to map genetic loci controlling horizontal cell number and potentially the response of horizontal cells to retinal injury. Undoubtedly as more genetically diverse sets of strains become available, transcriptome-wide analysis combined with genetic analysis will yield additional regulatory loci. This type of analysis and the

development of additional expanded RI strains of mice hold great promise in the search for genetic networks controlling complex biological processes such as wound healing in the retina and CNS.

Typically, a candidate upstream modulator within regulatory loci will display (1) high transcript variation that maps onto its transcript location, that is, a *cis*-regulatory locus, (2) polymorphisms between parental strains, and (3) a function related to CNS disease or expression changes after CNS injury. *Ndr2*, *Ccnd2*, and *Id2* met the candidate gene criteria for the regulatory loci on chromosomes 14, 6, and 12, respectively. N-myc downstream regulated gene 2 (NDRG2) is found at high protein levels in neurogenic regions of the adult rat brain. In these regions, NDRG2 localizes to GFAP-positive astrocytes or radial glia [Nichols, 2003]. In humans, down-regulation of *Ndr2* is associated with glioblastoma [Deng et al., 2003]. High levels of NDRG2 are often associated with senile plaques of Alzheimer's patients and cellular processes of dystrophic neurons [Mitchelmore et al., 2004]. Our meta-analyses of *Ndr2* using transcript data from developing mouse retina showed that *Ndr2* is expressed during the time of cell proliferation and cell specification. These data suggest that NDRG2 may play a key role in the proliferation of glia cells and the degeneration of neurons.

A second likely upstream candidate of CNS injury is cyclin D2 (CCND2). Cyclin D2 phosphorylates the Rb protein of the RB-E2F complex. Cyclin D2 binding to the Rb protein allows the transcription factor E2F to enter the nucleus and stimulate proliferation. During the development of the cerebellum, cyclin D2 is a marker of proliferating granule cell precursors. Granule neurons are the principal neuronal component of cerebellum, and mice deficient in cyclin D2 show decreases in the number of granule cells and stellate interneurons [Diaz et al., 2002; Huard et al., 1999]. Our meta-analyses of *Ccnd2* also indicated that *Ccnd2* is moderately expressed in cultured astrocytes from the cortex and optic nerve, in the mouse developing retina, and injured rat retina. The enhanced expression of cyclin D2 in the retina following trauma may contribute to reactive responses such as cellular proliferation.

The third and most promising upstream candidate of CNS injury is the inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (ID2). Our analyses suggest that changes in *Id2* expression are a common theme in many reactive CNS tissues. Based on our meta-analyses of microarray data, *Id2* is upregulated in rat retina, brain, and spinal cord after mechanical injury. In rat models of glaucoma [Ahmed et al., 2004], the dystrophic retina also upregulates *Id2* [personal communication, Farid Ahmed and Stanislav Tomarev, NEI, NIH]. We have confirmed upregulation of *Id2* transcript in mouse retina after a mechanical and toxic injury [Vazquez-Chona personal observation]. Optic nerve head astrocytes from patients suffering glaucoma display higher *Id2* transcript levels than astrocytes from non-glaucomatous patients [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. The role of ID2 as a positive regulator of cell-cycle progression [Yokota and Mori, 2002; Toma et al., 2000] is consistent with the proliferative response that occurs in retinal injury. A potential source for dividing cells in the inner nuclear layer is the Muller cells [Dyer and Cepko, 2000; Fischer and Reh, 2003]. When Muller cells enter the cell cycle, they decrease the expression of cell cycle inhibitors, including the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27/Kip1 [Dyer and Cepko, 2000]. ID2 is known to negatively regulate the transcription of cell cycle inhibitor genes, including p15, p16, and p21 [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. ID2 downregulates expression of cell cycle inhibitors by preventing the interaction of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors that promote the transcription of cell cycle inhibitor genes [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. Alternatively, ID2 may stimulate proliferation by binding to the unphosphorylated Rb protein, allowing the release of the transcription factor E2F. E2F in turn activates genes involved in G1-S transition and hence proliferation [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. Re-entry into the cell cycle may also indicate apoptotic activity [Klein and Ackerman, 2003]. ID2 is known to play a role in neuronal apoptosis [Gleichmann et al., 2002]. Cerebellar granule neurons upregulate transcript and protein levels of ID2 during the onset of apoptosis [Gleichmann et al., 2002]. The expression of *Id2* antisense RNA in neurons protects from apoptosis. Together these data suggest that the increase in ID2 may stimulate cells of the INL into the G1-S transition of the cell cycle either for proliferation or apoptosis. We are currently using the genetic background offered by the BXD RI mouse strains to determine the role of the

chromosome 12 locus and ID2 in retinal wound healing. We have confirmed the variability of ID2 at the transcript and protein level in retinas of BXD RI mouse strains [Vazquez-Chona personal observation]. We are using high and low *Id2* expressers to see the effect of *Id2* dose effect on wound healing events following retinal trauma.

The novel combination of microarray analysis, expression genetics, and bioinformatics provides a new and powerful approach to defining regulatory elements in the genome. Using this approach, we were able to generate specific, testable hypotheses defining pathways that regulate proliferative and reactive responses in the retina and elsewhere in the CNS. As more diverse gene expression data sets become available, it is our belief that comparison of gene expression and regulation in different biological contexts will help identify the regulatory elements controlling the reactive response in the retina.

Chapter 4

Genetic Influences on Gene Expression and Retinal Wound Healing

Introduction

Discovering the mechanisms that control retinal wound healing from large-scale analysis of gene expression is a promising and challenging task. Gene expression profiling of retinal wound healing revealed that changes in the transcriptome have specific spatial and temporal patterns [Vazquez et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Ahmed, et al., 2004; Gerhardinger et al., 2005; Rattner and Nathans, 2005]. The retinal response to trauma involves changes that start at the stress site and then spread to include the entire retina [Vazquez et al., 2004]. Transcriptome-wide changes are highly regulated into three temporal patterns of expression—early acute, delayed subacute, and late chronic phases. Genes within each phase are functionally related and reflect the known cellular changes. Transcriptome profiling of injured retina also revealed that global changes are highly similar across different injury models, including mechanical trauma, ischemia, and increased intraocular pressure [Vazquez et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2004; Ahmed, et al., 2004]. Together the growing collection of retinal transcriptome profiles is cataloging the genes that underlie the biochemical and cellular changes of wound healing. The next level of analyses for gene expression data involves defining the networks and regulators that control specific wound healing processes such as cell death and tissue remodeling.

Our approach to defining regulatory mechanisms from transcriptome data involves (1) highlighting networks of genes sharing similar patterns of expression across injury models and (2) defining the location of gene expression modulators using genetic analyses of genes expression (that is, expression genetics). Comparative analysis of gene expression and gene regulation across different biological contexts and different tissues can help define key networks, as well as, define tissue specific networks [Segal et al., 2005]. Using this approach, we previously defined a group of acute phase transcripts that is commonly upregulated in injured retina, brain, spinal cord. In this group we found transcription factors and transcription repressors as well as cell activating factors such as chemokines [Vazquez et al., 2005]. In contemporary transcriptome research, observations of highly co-expressed genes are supplemented by higher-level analyses

aiming at discovering the networks controlling gene expression. One approach combines transcriptome profiling with genetic linkage analysis to reveal the location of the genetic determinants that modulate gene expression variability. Expression genetics has been instrumental in identifying genetic determinants and gene expression phenotypes underlying complex traits, including disease and behavior [Aitman et al., 1999; Karp et al., 2000; Berge et al., 2000]. In an example of gene expression genetics, Chesler and colleagues [2005] identified genome-wide loci that modulate gene expression phenotypes in forebrains of a genetically variable population, the BXD recombinant inbred (RI) mouse strains. BXD RI strains were derived from experimental crosses between the D57BL/6 and DBA/2J strains. Using their database available at GeneNetwork [www.genenetwork.org], we made the surprising finding that a group of genes upregulated in the retina, brain, and spinal cord share co-regulation of their expression levels in forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains. Expression of acute phase genes in BXD RI mouse forebrains was modulated by genetic differences within the three loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14 [Vazquez et al., 2005]. This finding raised the hypothesis that these loci modulate the acute phase response of the CNS.

In this study, we specifically test the hypothesis that loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14 modulate retinal wound healing. We determine the specificity of the loci by comparing regulatory loci across functional groups and tissues. We define candidate genes using an integrated bioinformatic approach that combines online databases, defining single nucleotide polymorphisms and gene expression profiling in the injured and developing CNS. We test the hypothesis by examining the direction of flow and targets in wound healing models where predicted modulators are expressed at different expression levels. This approach illustrates an integrated bioinformatic approach that generates specific, testable hypothesis from gene expression data.

Methods

Meta-analyses of Microarray Data Sets

This study was designed to define networks and regulators from our gene expression data of injured rat retina [Vazquez et al., 2004]. The data set is available online at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) as the group series GSE1001. This data set surveyed the temporal gene expression profiles of rat retinal wound healing (4 h and 1, 3, 7, and 30 days) after a mechanical tear. Our working group of wound-healing genes was defined by comparing injured retinal time points versus normal retina and using three criteria: fold changes $> |\pm 2|$, Student's t-test ($P < 0.05$), and genes with moderate-to-high expression levels (please see Chapter 2 for discussion on expression thresholds). To complement our analysis of wound-healing genes, we obtained publicly available microarray analyses that examined the transcriptome response of developing mouse retina [Dorell et al., 2004]; the transcriptome of cultured mouse neurons [Kraft et al., 2004], mouse astrocytes [Kraft et al., 2004] rat Muller cells [Gerhardinger et al., 2005], human optic nerve astrocytes [Yang et al., 2004], human U87 glioma cells [unpublished data, Eldon Geisert], and rat RPE cells [unpublished data, Eldon Geisert]; and the genetics of gene expression in forebrains from 35 BXD RI mouse strains (available at GeneNetwork, [Chesler et al., 2005]). We made clear in Chapter 3 (Table 3.1) the details and availability of each microarray data set. For these analysis, we obtained the raw data (CEL files), determined signal values using Microarray Suite 5.0 (MAS 5.0; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA), transformed signals to a log scale (base 2), and normalized microarray mean intensity to 8 as described previously [Vazquez et al., 2004]. These transformations yielded signal intensities ranging from 1 to 18 relative units of fluorescence. Further analyses included only transcripts with medium to high abundance (that is, signals greater than 8.64 [Vazquez et al., 2004]).

The use of microarray data sets involved three different meta-analyses. First, we used GeneNetwork to define regulatory loci shared by wound-healing genes (details below). Second, we examined temporal expression patterns of wound-healing genes in the developing mouse ret-

ina [Dorell et al., 2004] and in the injured rat retina [Vazquez et al., 2004]. Third, we determined the expression level of wound-healing genes in cultured astrocytes, cultured Muller cells, cultured microglia, and cultured neurons. A wound-healing gene was considered expressed in a tissue if it displayed medium to high abundance. Comparison of rat genes across species was assayed by finding the corresponding ortholog's probe set(s) using Affymetrix NetAffex (June 2005 Annotation) and Ensembl AffyProbe (v33) database. Since gene names may differ across species and multiple probe sets may be available, we include the probe set identifier when necessary.

Online Regulatory Locus Analyses

Regulatory loci modulating gene expression in forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains were defined using GeneNetwork [www.genenetwork.org]. Details on the methods, data, and analyses are available at GeneNetwork [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]. We described the BXD RI mouse strains and GeneNetwork in Chapter 3. Briefly, GeneNetwork is a suite of databases and analysis software that identifies genomic loci that control transcriptome differences in brain regions in a panel of 32 BXD RI mouse strains derived from C57BL/6 (B) and DBA/2J (D) mice, both parental strains, and the F1 hybrid (a total of 35 isogenic lines [Chesler et al., 2005; Bystrykh et al., 2005]). Using quantitative genetic analysis and a genetic map consisting of 779 fully genotyped markers, GeneNetwork correlates expression variability to genotypes at locations throughout the mouse genome. The average distance between adjacent markers is approximately 4 megabases (Mb). Variability across strains was measured using analysis of variance (ANOVA) testing the between-strain variance compared with the total variance for 100 arrays from 35 mouse strains. The degrees of freedom for the between-group and total variance are 34 and 99. Strain-specific variation is significant ($p < 0.05$) when $F_{34,99} > 1.5$. We used the published, expression genetics data of mouse forebrain [UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003] and of mouse hematopoietic stem cells [GNF Hematopoietic Cells U74Av2 RMA, March 2004] to identify common and unique regulatory loci for wound-healing genes. We also supple-

ment the forebrain data with unpublished expression genetics data of mouse striatum (HBP/Rosen Striatum M430V2 RMA, April 2005) and mouse cerebellum [SJUT Cerebellum mRNA M430 RMA, March 2005]. We also relate gene expression phenotypes to mouse neurological phenotypes using the BXD Published Phenotypes Database also available at GeneNetwork [database queried on November 5, 2005]

Online Bioinformatic Resources

We used online databases and computational tools to identify candidate genes within a regulatory locus, to determine functional themes within a network of genes, and to query literature abstract databases for biological interactions. To identify candidate genes within a regulatory locus, we located genes within the appropriate genomic interval using the Genome Browser [<http://genome.ucsc.edu>, Mouse May 2004 assembly]. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by comparing mouse strain genome sequences in the Celera SNP [Celera Discovery Systems, CA; July 2003 Assembly], Ensembl Mouse SNPView [v33], and Entrez SNP databases. Biological significance of a SNP was determined if the SNP affected a biological motif (for example, transcription factor binding sites and protein-protein interaction sites) using the MOTIF database [<http://motif.genome.jp/>]. Several online databases provide gene expression data or expression distribution in the developing and injured CNS. Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) is a high-throughput gene expression abundance data repository, as well as an online resource for gene expression data browsing, query, and retrieval [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo]. Retina Developmental Gene Expression describes the gene expression profile of thousands of genes in the developing postnatal C57BL/6 mouse retina that were analyzed by hybridization to Affymetrix Mu74 Av2 [www.scripps.edu/cb/friedlander/gene_expression; Dorrell et al., 2004]. Mouse Retina SAGE Library provides serial analysis of gene expression tags or in situ hybridization images for a limited number of transcripts expressed in the developing and adult mouse retina [<https://bricweb.partners.org/cepko/default.asp>; Blackshaw et al., 2004]. Gene Expression Nervous System Atlas (GENSAT) maps the transcript distribution in the mouse CNS using in

situ hybridization [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gensat]. To determine functional themes within a network of genes, we retrieved the Gene Ontology annotation data using WebGestalt (WEB-based GENE SeT AnaLysis Toolkit; [<http://genereg.ornl.gov/webgestalt>]). To mine literature abstracts for biological interactions such as activation and inhibition, and directionality, we used an online tool Chilibot [<http://www.chilibot.net>, Chen and Sharp, 2004].

Animals, Anesthesia, and Surgery

Four strains of mice were used for this study ($n = 48$ mice). These included the DBA/2J strain ($n = 20$), BXD38 strain ($n = 20$), C57BL/6 strain ($n = 4$), and F1 progeny from a DBA/2J and C57BL/6 cross ($n = 4$). Strains were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and colonies were maintained. The BXD38 strain is an inbred line derived from brother-sister matings starting from an F2 intercross [Taylor et al., 1999]. For all experiments we used adult, male mice (10 weeks old, 22-25 g). All protocols used in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tennessee Health Science Center and were in accordance with the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research and with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Prior to any retinal injuries, mice were deeply anesthetized with avertin (1.25% 2,2,2-tribromoethanol and 0.8% tert-pentyl alcohol in water; 0.8-1.0 ml, intraperitoneal injection). Injured animals and non-injured animals used for gene and protein expression were deeply anesthetized with CO₂. Animals used for immunohistochemistry were deeply anesthetized with avertin (1.0-1.2 ml, intraperitoneal injection) prior to perfusion. Retinal wound healing was induced via a mechanical tear, toxic injury, and optic nerve crushes. A tear was induced by penetrating the pars plana with a 27-gauge needle and scraping the superior nasal retina, as previously described [Vazquez et al., 2004]. A toxic injury was induced by injecting kanaic acid into the vitreous (2 ng diluted in sterilized saline). An optic nerve crush was performed using a binocular operating microscope, incising the conjunctiva of eye, exposing the optic nerve, and pressing on the nerve with a cross-action forceps for 15 seconds.

Cell Culture Studies

Mouse astrocytic C8-D1A cells (ATCC, American Tissue Culture collection; CRL-2541) were routinely maintained in Basal Medium Eagle (GIBCO, Carlsbad, California) supplemented with 5% Fetal Bovine Serum (Intergen, Logan, Utah), D-Glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), Penstrep Antibiotic-Antimycotic (GIBCO), Gentamicin Sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich), and Sodium Bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were maintained in an incubator at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Wound healing was modeled in culture by growing cell monolayers on 24-well plates and then scratching the monolayer with a sterile plastic 10- μ l pipette (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH). Morphologic response of astrocytes along the margin of the wound was followed by taking phase contrast micrographs at different time intervals following the wound. The rate of migration was measured by the distance that cells migrate into the wound at different time points. Cell cycle dynamics were analyzed with flow cytometry. Cells in single cell suspension were fixed with ice cold 70% ethanol. After the ethanol was washed off, cells were treated with 1% BSA in PBS to block nonspecific binding. To stain DNA, cells were incubated with propidium iodine (10 μ g/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) and RNase A (1 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS at 37°C for 30 min. Samples were then analyzed with a cytometer (Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences). Cell viability was assayed with 0.4% trypan blue and examined by low-power microscopy.

RNA Interference

Using conventional criteria, we designed 21-base short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that (1) avoid the 75 bases downstream of the start codon, (2) form a 19-base core duplex with 3' TT overhangs, (3) contain approximately 50% G/C content, and (4) display target specificity. siRNAs targeted three sites of the *Id2* mRNA (NM_010496, at 568, 898, and 1131; Table 4.1). Sense and anti-sense strands were annealed as recommended by manufacturer (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). Control siRNA sequences included a scrambled siRNA duplex and a Cy3-labeled scrambled siRNA duplex (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO). siRNA duplexes were transfected using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as recommended by the manufacturer. Briefly, 2×10^5

Table 4.1. Oligonucleotides used for RNA interference and real-time RT-PCR.

Targets	Accession No.	Sequence (5' 3')
<i>Id2_siRNA_1</i>	NM_010496	AUUCAACGUGUUCUCCUGGdTdT CCAGGAGAACACGUUGAAUdTdT
<i>Id2_siRNA_2</i>	NM_010496	ACAGCAUUCAGUAGGCUCGdTdT CGAGCCUACUGAAUGCUGdTdT
<i>Id2_siRNA_3</i>	NM_010496	CAAAGCACUGGUUGUCUGAdTdT UCAGACAACCAGUGCUUUGdTdT
<i>Id2</i>	NM_010496	GTCCTTGCAAGCATCTGAAT CTTAGTTTTCTTCCGCTTTCTT
<i>Lpin1</i>	NM_015763	CCCCATTCTCATAGCTCAA CACTAGTGGCTCCTCCTTGC
<i>Fos</i>	NM_010234	AGAATCCGAAGGGAACGGAA GGTCGTTGAGAAGGGGCAG
<i>Stat3</i>	NM_21365	TGTTGGAGCAGCATCTTCAG CTTGGCTCTTGAGGGTTTTG
<i>Casp3</i>	NM_00981	CCTCAGAGAGACATTCATGGC TCGGCTTTCCAGTCAGACTC
<i>Crygd</i>	NM_007776	AGCAGTGGATGGGTTTCAG GTGGAATCGGTCCTGGAG
<i>Cd81</i>	NM_133655	CTGTTTGCCTGTGAGGTGG TCAGTGTGGTCAGTGC GTT
<i>Gfap</i>	NM_010277	AGGGACAATCTCACACAGGAC CTCCAGCGACTCAACCTTC
<i>Gapdh</i>	NM_001001303	TCCCACTCTTCCACCTTCGATG GTCCACCACCCTGTTGCTGTA
<i>Rps18</i>	XM_215328	CTCGCTCCTCTCCTACTTGG ACCGGGTTGGTTTTGATCT

cells and 1×10^5 cells were seeded in 12-well and 24-well plates. Transfection was optimized using 50% confluent cells and 50 nM siRNA duplex concentration. For functional studies, we used a second siRNA transfection 24hrs after the first transfection.

Real Time RT-PCR

Total RNA from was isolated and treated with DNase using the SV Total RNA Isolation kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Transcript levels were measured using quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). We performed primer design, qRT-PCR reaction, and RT-PCR analysis as described in Chapter 2. Nucleotide sequences are shown in Table 4.1. We performed qRT-PCR reactions in the iCycler (BioRad, Richmond, CA) using the reagents in the SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Applied BioSystems, Warrington, UK). To determine the relative change in gene expression, we compared the number of cycles (Ct) needed to reach the midpoint of the linear phase using the iCycler analysis software. All observations were normalized either to the housekeeping genes *Gapdh* or *Rps18*.

Protein Expression and Localization

To quantify expression levels, we used standard immunoblot methods [Vazquez and Geisert, 2000]. Proteins were extracted from cells and tissue by homogenization in 2% Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) in 50nM TRIS buffer (pH=7.5). Equal quantities of proteins were separated on 4-15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Primary antibodies included hamster anti-CD81 (Eat2; Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA), rabbit anti-GFAP (Company), rabbit-anti ID2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit anti-ezrin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-STAT3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and rabbit anti-PCNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Primary antibodies were detected with peroxidase-labeled secondary anti-rabbit, anti-mouse, and anti-hamster antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA).

Indirect immunohistochemical methods were used to define the cellular localization of GFAP [Vazquez and Geisert, 2000]. For the purpose of immunohistochemistry, deeply anesthetized animals were perfused through the heart with saline and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Eyes were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, stored in 30% sucrose, and sectioned to obtain 16- μ m frozen sections. To retrieve cross-linked cytoplasmic antigens, we treated retinal sections with either a solution of 1 mmol/L ethylene glycol-bis (2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N' - tetraacetic acid (EGTA, pH 8.4; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 0.3 M sucrose for 20 min at 80°C. For immunohistochemistry of cells, cells were grown and transfected on 8-well Poly-D-Lysine Coated Culture Slide (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA). Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 5% acetic acid in ethanol. Sections and cells were washed in borate-buffered saline (BBS, pH 8.0; Sigma-Aldrich) and blocked in 4% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFAP (1:100 dilutions; Company) and FITC donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:200 dilutions; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, CA) were dissolved in BBS, 0.4% BSA, and 0.1% Triton X-100. DNA was stained with 4,6-diamino -2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich), and TOPRO-3 (Invitrogen). For fluorescence microscopy, sections were examined with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (MRC-1024; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). No immunoreactivity was observed in normal or injured retina when primary antibodies were omitted.

Results

Specificity and Targets of Chromosome 12 Locus

We examined regulation genes occurring during the process of CNS wound-healing (acute, subacute, and chronic phase genes) using gene expression genetics of forebrains in 35 BXD RI strains. Our meta-analyses revealed that a group of wound-healing genes (Appendix C, Table C.1) shared genetic linkages to loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14 (Figure 4.1A). The linkage

Figure 4.1. Chromosome 12 locus modulates wound-healing mechanisms in the CNS. Genetic linkage maps show the regulation of gene expression in BXD recombinant inbred (RI) strains. This regulation is based on the genetic correlation of gene expression (individual rows on the y-axis) to genomic markers across the mouse genome (x-axis) as described in Chapter 3. Blue hues represent correlations for elevated gene expression in mice with C57BL/6 allele at a given locus, and orange hues represent correlation for elevated gene expression in mice with DBA/2J allele. **A:** Expression of wound healing genes in mouse forebrains is controlled by three regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14. **B:** Synaptic-related genes in mouse forebrains are also controlled by regulatory loci on chromosomes 6 and 14. **C:** Wound-healing genes shared no regulatory loci in hematopoietic stem cells. **D:** Published data from phenotypes in BXD RI strains further support that chromosome 12 locus also associates with neurological phenotypes. The C57BL/6 allele for the locus is one of the loci associated with resistance to noised-induced cell death of spiral ganglion cells, the cells innervating auditory receptors cells [GeneNetwork ID 10652 and 10655; Willott and Erway, 1998]. The DBA/2J allele of this locus also predisposes susceptibility to generalized convulsions when exposed to intense auditory stimulation [GeneNetwork ID 10434-5, 10437-8, and 10504; Neumann and Collins, 1991]. In contrast, the C57BL/6 allele is one of the loci that confers enhanced neurogenesis and survival of new neurons and astrocytes in adult hippocampus [GeneNetwork ID 10338, 10340-1, and 10378; Kempermann and Gage, 2002]. Linkage maps were generated using the Interval Mapping and Cluster Tree tools at GeneNetwork [UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003; GNF Hematopoietic Cells U74Av2 RMA, March 2004; HBP/Rosen Striatum M430V2 RMA, April 2005; SJUT Cerebellum mRNA M430 RMA, March 2005; BXD Published Phenotypes, queried November 2005].

mapping of these genes suggest that gene expression is a complex trait with multiple loci affecting gene expression phenotype. To define the specificity of regulatory loci, we compared the loci controlling the expression of wound-healing genes to the loci controlling synaptic-related genes. Wound-healing and synaptic-related genes shared regulatory loci on chromosomes 6 and 14 (Figure 4.1A and 4.1B). The only locus unique to wound-healing genes was chromosome 12 locus. The uniqueness of this locus was further tested by comparing the loci controlling wound-healing genes in mouse forebrains and in hematopoietic stem cells. Wound-healing genes shared no regulatory loci in hematopoietic stem cells (Figure 4.1C). We also observed that chromosome 12 locus modulates three neurological phenotypes in BXD RI strains: audiogenic seizures, hearing loss based on spiral ganglion cell number, and neurogenesis of the adult hippocampus (Figure 4.1D). The comparison of regulatory loci across functional groups and across tissues reveals that the chromosome 12 locus specifically regulates the transcript expression of reactive transcripts in mouse forebrains.

The majority of the transcripts modulated by the chromosome 12 locus have their gene location outside this locus. Regulation of gene expression by an element outside the gene location is known as *trans*-regulation. Some of these *trans*-regulated genes are associated with the CNS response to injury: *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, and *Gfap*. Regulation of gene expression by a genomic element within or adjacent to the gene location is termed *cis*-regulation. For example *Id2* and *Lpin1* lie within the locus (Figure 4.1A). Despite their diverse genomic locations, the expression of these genes is controlled by the segregating pattern of the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J alleles corresponding to chromosome 12, 10 to 30 Mb (Figure 4.1A). On average when the C57BL/6 alleles are present, *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, and *Id2* are expressed at higher levels than when the DBA/2J alleles are present. The converse is true for *Gfap*: when the DBA/2J alleles are present *Gfap* is expressed at higher levels than when the C57BL/6 alleles are present. Since the pattern of expression of these transcripts in forebrains is correlated to the chromosome 12 locus, it follows that their expression patterns are correlated to each other. Indeed transcripts positively correlated to the same parental allele are positively correlated. For example, *Fos*, *Nr4a1*, and *Id2* are positively co-regulated by

C57BL/6 alleles and are also positively correlated with each other, whereas they are negatively correlated with *Gfap*, a transcript positively correlated to the DBA/2J allele (Appendix C, Table C.2). Gene expression associations such as co-regulation by the same genomic loci and significant expression correlation define genetic networks [Chesler et al., 2005]. Therefore, the wound-healing genes that are linked to chromosome 12 locus are part of a genetic network that controls their expression due to genetic differences between the parental strains (Figure 4.2A). Since these transcripts are also differentially expressed in injured retina, this network may also control wound-healing events in the retina and elsewhere in the CNS.

Biological Processes Controlled by Chromosome 12 Network

The most obvious approach to understanding the functional role of a genetic network is to examine the functions of gene products associated with the network. For the chromosome 12 network four functional themes emerged: regulation of transcription, cell death, cell proliferation, and neural development and differentiation (Figure 4.2B). A non-biased, statistical approach to defining the function of the network ($n = 41$ genes) is to compare the observed number of regulated genes as compared to the expected number in a population belonging to a particular functional category. For the network we observed 27% (11 out of 41 genes) of genes to be related to the regulation of neural development and differentiation. This percentage is higher than the percentage (7%) observed among the total population of retinal reactive transcripts, and much higher than the percentage of expected genes in the entire genome. These bioinformatic-based results document that genes in chromosome 12 network participate in the regulation of transcription, cell death, cell proliferation, and neural development and differentiation. The functional significance of the network is further validated by defining known molecular associations among these gene products in each of these molecular processes. We queried the biological literature using text-mining tools to illustrate networks of known biological interactions. Within the transcripts grouped into the neural development and differentiation category, the literature documents

Figure 4.2. Chromosome 12 locus modulates transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptotic mechanisms. **A:** Genetic networks were derived from transcripts sharing regulatory loci as shown in Figure 4.1. Blue lines connecting specific genes to loci represent correlations for elevated gene expression in mice with C57BL/6J allele, and orange lines represent correlation for elevated gene expression in mice with DBA/2J allele. Genes located within the regulatory loci (*cis*-regulated) are indicated with a two-arrow line. **B:** The major functional themes described by the network's gene functions are the regulation of transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and cell death. A non-biased, statistical approach to defining the function of the network ($n = 41$ genes) is to compare the observed number of regulated genes as compared to the expected number in a population belonging to a particular functional category. For the network we observed 27% (11 out of 41 genes) of genes to be related to the regulation of neural development and differentiation. This percentage is higher than the percentage (7%) observed among the total population of retinal reactive transcripts, and much higher than the percentage of expected genes in the entire genome. To determine functional themes within a network of genes, we retrieved the Gene Ontology annotation data using WebGestalt (WEB-based GENE SeT Analysis Toolkit; <http://genereg.ornl.gov/webgestalt>). **C:** We queried the biological literature using text-mining tools to illustrate networks within the transcripts grouped into the neurogenesis category (*Pax6*, *Neurod1*, *Id2*, *Nfib*, *Egr1*, *Hes3*, *Stat3*, *Ets1*, *Sox11*, *Casp3*, *Itgb1*, and *Sdc1*). The literature documents known molecular interactions these genes, including activation and inhibition that occur during neurogenesis. To mine literature abstracts we used the online tool Chilibot [www.chilibot.net].

known molecular interactions such as activation and inhibition that occur during neurogenesis (Figure 4.2A). These literature-based associations illustrate that transcription factor NeuroD1 activates pro-neural transcription factor Pax6. The network also shows that transcription repressor ID2 modulates NeuroD1. All these relationships are well established during neurogenesis. Additional content-rich networks can be derived for transcripts involved in the regulation of transcription, cell cycle, and cell death. Text-mining approaches support our hypothesis and provide direction of potential molecular interactions. Data mining of biological concepts, gene function, and protein interactions suggests that the chromosome 12 network may regulate transcription, proliferation, apoptosis, and changes in phenotype (that is, de-differentiation) during retinal wound healing.

Candidate Upstream Modulators

Having determined the network's targets and biological significance, the next level of analysis defines the upstream modulator responsible for the chromosome 12 locus. The correlation of reactive genes to the interval on chromosome 12 (10 to 30 Mb) suggests that within the locus there are one or more polymorphic genes controlling the expression of genes in this network. This chromosomal interval has a high degree of homology (that is, synteny) with intervals on the human chromosome 2 (1 to 18 Mb or p25 to p24.3) and on the rat chromosome 6 (34 to 48 Mb or q14 to q16) [NCBI MapViewer, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mapview>]. In these syntenic regions, the gene order and gene homology is highly conserved. Within the locus there are over sixty known genes (Figure 4.3A). A review of how this network was derived is helpful in determining the search criteria for candidate genes. The network was derived from transcripts that are differentially expressed in the retina after trauma and from transcripts that are co-regulated in mouse forebrains due to genetic differences between the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J mouse strains. Consequently, a candidate gene must have genetic polymorphisms that result in the expression variability of its own transcript (self-regulation). In addition, the candidate gene's function must be consistent with molecular events that occur during retinal wound healing. Our analyses to

Figure 4.3. Candidate genes for chromosome 12 network. A candidate gene must have genetic polymorphisms that result in the expression variability of its own transcript (*cis*-regulation). In addition, the candidate gene's function must be consistent with molecular events that occur during retinal wound healing. **A:** Within the locus there are over sixty positional genes. This diagram summarizes our computational work to examine each gene's single nucleotide polymorphism density; self-regulation in CNS tissues; gene expression pattern during the developing retina and injured retina; and known function in CNS pathology and development. Within the zoomed interval, bars represent genes and their spacing represents approximate location. Red bars represent genes that meet criteria. Genes location was determined using Genome Browser [<http://genome.ucsc.edu>, Mouse May 2004 assembly]. **B:** Polymorphisms for *Id2* and *Lpin1*. The C57BL/6 and DBA/2J sequences for *Id2* display four genetic variants and for *Lpin1* over 140 variants. As a complementing guide to defining gene variants that are responsible for susceptibility to CNS injury, we compared the gene sequences of the DBA/2J to sequences from two resistant strains, the C57BL/6 and 129/svj [Schauwecker and Steward, 1997; Inman et al., 2002]. This approach identified three nucleotides in the *Lpin1* sequence of the DBA/2J that are different from sequences of both the C57BL/6 and 129/svj (Celera SNP IDs mCV22346966, mCV22347703, and mCV22347384). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified using the Celera SNP database available through the SNP Browser tool in GeneNetwork. **C:** We identified genes whose expression patterns in forebrain, cerebellum, and striatum of BXD mouse strains map to their gene locations. Within the interval we identified in red genes that appear to self-regulate their own expression in at least two brain regions. Linkage maps were generated using the Interval Mapping and Cluster Tree tools at GeneNetwork (UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003; GNF Hematopoietic Cells U74Av2 RMA, March 2004; HBP/Rosen Striatum M430V2 RMA, April 2005; SJUT Cerebellum mRNA M430 RMA, March 2005). **D.** We determined genes that are differentially expressed during the developing retina and injured retina (in red). Gene expression patterns in the developing mouse retina were obtained using Retina Developmental Gene Expression [www.scripps.edu/cb/friedlander/gene_expression/]. Gene expression patterns in the injured rat retina were obtained using our data available online at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) as the group series GSE1001. Gene expression levels from cultured mouse neurons [Kraft et al., 2004], mouse astrocytes [Kraft et al., 2004], rat Muller cells [Gerhardinger et al., 2005], human optic nerve astrocytes [Yang et al., 2004], human U87 glioma cells [unpublished data, Eldon Geisert], and rat RPE cells [unpublished data, Eldon Geisert] were obtained from published and unpublished data. Asterisk represent genes with no probe set available. We also examined if any of the genes in the locus were involved in gliosis, neurodegeneration, neurogenesis in the CNS using the text-mining tool Chilibot [www.chilibot.net/]. We used the following Affymetrix probe sets: for *Id2* were U74v2 93103_at, M430 1435176_a_at_A, and U34A rc_AI230256_at; for *Lpin1* U74v2 98892_at, and M430 1426516_a_at_A; for *Sox11* U74v2 101631_at and M430 1431225_at_B, and M430 1453002_at_B; and for *AL024210* M430 1438758_at_A and M430 1449076_x_at_A. *AL024210* is highly homologous to human *MTCBP1* (NP_060739) and rat *Alp1* (NP_954528).

identify the upstream regulator for the network includes expression profiles from injured retina, brain, and spinal cord; gene expression genetics of mouse forebrain, cerebellum, and striatum; as well as, gene expression atlases and text-mining of biological literature.

We identified genes whose expression patterns in forebrain, cerebellum, and striatum of BXD mouse strains map to their gene locations. Using this criterion, we identified the known genes *Lpin1*, *E2f6*, *Cys1*, *Oact2*, *Id2*, and *Sox11*, as well as the predicted genes *AL024210* and *Tct15* as polymorphic genes that display significant self-regulation of their transcript expression in at least two CNS tissues. For example, *Lpin1*, *Sox11*, *AL024210*, and *Id2* have their gene location on chromosome 12 at 15.9, 23.9, 25.2, and 21.6, respectively. Their DNA sequences between the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J genomes display single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in their coding and regulatory regions (Figure 4.3B, *Lpin1* and *Id2* SNPs only shown). *Lpin1* has over 140 SNPs within the transcribed regions most of them located within introns. Within the exons there are missense mutations (Celera SNP ID mCV22640829) and several silent point mutations (Celera SNP IDs mCV22640556-7). *Sox11* has a missense mutation (Celera SNP ID mCV22347029) and several silent point mutations (Celera SNP IDs mCV22345285-7030). *AL024210* has SNPs in the first intron (Celera SNP ID mCV23344577) and in the 5' untranslated region (Celera SNP IDs mCV23344575-6). *Id2* also has SNPs on its promoter (Celera SNP ID mC22302957), introns (Celera SNP IDs mCV22302969, 77-79, 89), and third exon (mCV22302990, 2991, 3002, 3003). These polymorphisms might explain the strong linkage of expression patterns of *Lpin1*, *Sox11*, *AL024210*, and *Id2* to their gene locations (*cis*-regulation). The self-regulatory role of these genes extends across brain tissues including forebrain, cerebellum, and striatum (Figure 4.3C). To further explain this self-regulatory function, we examined if the polymorphisms can alter functional motifs or splice sites. For example, *Id2* has a SNP on the promoter (Celera SNP ID mC22302957) that is adjacent to a putative nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) transcription factor-binding site [Vazquez et al., 2005]. *Lpin1* has exonic SNPs near the 5' splice site of intron 11 (Celera SNP ID mCV22346966) and near two spliced mouse expressed sequenced tags on exon 1 (Celera SNP IDs mCV22347703 and mCV22347384). Genetic variants

in a transcription factor binding site and at splice locations can lead to difference in mRNA levels. These differences make the genetic mapping possible. Of interest to CNS wound healing are three *Lpin1* SNPs in DBA/2J sequence. They are different to the nucleotides in C57BL/6 and 129/svj sequences. These two latter strains are known to be resistant to trauma-induced cell death in brain and spinal cord [Schauwecker and Steward, 1997; Inman et al., 2002]. In silico analysis of SNPs is valuable in defining candidate genes and predicting potential mechanism by which the SNPs interfere with the expression of candidate genes.

The role of a gene as candidate regulator of chromosome 12 network, and potentially of retinal wound healing, is bolstered by determining if its gene product is known to play a role CNS development and disease. Using the publicly available data on mouse retinal development [Dorell et al., 2004], we determined that *Lpin1*, *Id2*, and *Sox11* are expressed by the developing retina and have well defined patterns of expression (Figure 4.3C; note that *AL024210* levels were below detectable thresholds). Using our microarray and RT-PCR data on rat retinal injury [Vazquez, et al., 2004], we found that *Lpin1* and *Id2* are acute phase genes during retinal injury (Figure 4.3C; the *Sox11* U34 probe set displayed signals below noise thresholds and there is no probe set for *AL024210*). *Lpin1*, *Id2*, and *Sox11* can be expressed by cultured neural cells including quiescent cortical neurons and astrocytes, quiescent retinal pigment epithelium. Glial cells cultured from reactive optic nerve head and diabetic retinas also expressed *Id2* and *Lpin1* at high levels of expression, and *Sox11* at low to moderate levels of expression. Together these results document that *Lpin1*, *Id2*, and *Sox11* are candidate genes because they display (1) self-regulation in the brain, cerebellum, and striatum; (2) polymorphisms between parental strains; (3) differential expression during the developing retina and/or injured retina; and (4) moderate to high levels of expression in normal and reactive neural cells. However, we cannot exclude the role of predicted genes (*AL024210* and *Tct15*), or an unknown gene within the locus as potential regulators of chromosome 12 network. As a first step toward documented the effect of the chromosome 12 locus on wound healing events, we focus on the candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1*.

Chromosome 12 Network Modulates Retinal Wound Healing

Our work has led to the hypothesis that the 10-30 Mb locus on chromosome 12 modulates wound healing in the CNS. The segregating pattern of the C57BL/6 and DBA/2J alleles for the chromosome 12 locus in BXD RI strain background generate a natural range of transcript expression (low to high) for candidate genes. We utilize this system of gene expression dosage to test hypotheses and to further define expression relationships within the network. We specifically focus on gene dosage effects of candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1* on the expression of acute phase genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, apoptosis gene *Casp3*, gliosis genes *Gfap* and *Cd81*, and survival gene *Crygd*.

We confirmed dose levels for candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1* in retinas from BXD RI strains at the transcript and protein level. In forebrains of BXD RI mouse strains, normal *Id2* and *Lpin1* transcript levels are highly variable ($F_{34,99} = 5.2$ and $F_{34,99} = 5.1$, $p < 10^{-9}$; Figure 4.4A, expression pattern for *Id2* shown). C57BL/6 forebrains expressed higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* than DBA/2J forebrains, whereas, the F1 cross displayed *Id2* and *Lpin1* levels between those of the parental strains. In BXD RI strain forebrains, high *Id2* and *Lpin1* levels correlate with the segregating pattern of the C57BL/6 allele, whereas, low *Id2* and *Lpin1* levels correlate with the DBA/2J allele. Similar patterns of *Id2* and *Lpin1* variability was observed in the striatum and cerebellum of BXD RI mouse strains [GeneNetwork, probe sets 1435176_a_at_A and 1426516_a_at_A]. To test this relationship in the retina, we measured transcript levels at both ends of the *Id2/Lpin1* expression spectrum. For a high *Id2/Lpin1* expresser we used the BXD38 strain. For the low *Id2/Lpin1* expresser we used the DBA/2J mouse strain. Qualitative RT-PCR confirmed that the BXD38 retina expressed higher transcript levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* than DBA/2J retina ($p < 0.05$; Figure 4.4B). We also confirmed the protein levels for ID2 in selected BXD RI mouse strains. Protein levels documented that ID2 protein levels in BXD38 and C57BL/6 retinal samples were higher than in DBA/2J retinal samples, and the protein levels for F1 cross were in between those of the parental strains (Figure 4.4B). In protein samples we also noted low variability within strain samples; but in general the samples confirmed the ID2 dosage predicted by the microarray analysis of BXD RI strain forebrains. Protein levels were not

Figure 4.4. *Id2* and *Lpin1* are differentially expressed in response to genetic differences and trauma. **A:** Gene expression pattern for *Id2* across forebrains from BXD recombinant mouse strains. *Id2* expression is highly heritable ($F_{34,99} = 5.2$, $p < 10^{-9}$). High *Id2* expression levels correlated with the C57BL/6 allele of the chromosome 12 locus (at 10-30 Mb); whereas, low *Id2* expression levels correlated with the DBA/2J allele. Similar results were also obtained for *Lpin1* ($F_{34,99} = 5.1$, $p < 10^{-9}$; data not shown). Data obtained from GeneNetwork [UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 HWT1PM, December 2003]. **B:** Transcripts levels for *Id2* and *Lpin1* in the retina of BXD RI strains were measured with real time RT-PCR. For a high *Id2/Lpin1* expresser we used the BXD38 strain. For the low *Id2/Lpin1* expresser we used the DBA/2J mouse strain. We also confirmed the protein levels for ID2 in selected BXD RI mouse strains. Protein levels were not measured for *Lpin1*. **C:** Transcripts levels for *Id2* and *Lpin1* after retinal trauma. Transcripts were measured in DBA/2J retina four hours after a mechanical tear or vitreal injections of kainic acid. **D:** Protein levels from injured retina also confirmed the upregulation of ID2. The upregulation of ID2 in injured retina is consistent with the upregulation of classic wound healing factors such as the acute phase factor STAT3, the reactive gliosis marker GFAP, and the proliferative marker PCNA. Ezrin (villin 2) is a house-keeping gene product. For each protein and RNA sample, retinas from two normal or injured animals were pooled ($n = 4$ retinas per sample).

measured for *Lpin1*. These results suggest BXD38 retina expresses higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* than DBA/2J retina.

We also confirmed the response of *Id2* and *Lpin1* to retinal trauma. Microarray analyses revealed that rat retina, brain, and spinal cord upregulate *Id2* after a mechanical injury [Vazquez et al., 2005]. In the rat retina, *Id2* is upregulated during the acute phase (6 fold change, $p < 0.03$; 4 hours after injury). During the delayed acute and late chronic phase *Id2* is also upregulated but at lower levels (3 fold change, $p < 0.05$; 7 hours after injury). The rat U34 Affymetrix array does not have a *Lpin1* probe set. Here we measured *Id2* and *Lpin1* upregulation in mouse retina after different models of retinal trauma. *Id2* is upregulated as an acute phase gene in response to a retinal tear and toxic injury in DBA/2J retina (2.8 ± 0.2 and 3.9 ± 0.3 fold changes, $p < 0.05$; Figure 4.4C). Similar changes were observed in the injured BXD38 retina (data not shown). *Lpin1* is also upregulated as an acute phase transcript in response to a toxic injury in DBA/2J retina (2.9 ± 0.25 fold changes, $p < 0.05$; Figure 4.4C). No significant changes were observed for *Lpin1* in the injured BXD38 retina (data not shown). Protein levels from injured retina also confirmed the upregulation of ID2 (Figure 4.4D). The upregulation of ID2 in injured retina is consistent with the upregulation of classic wound healing factors such as the acute phase factor STAT3, the reactive gliosis marker GFAP, and the proliferative marker PCNA (Figure 4.4D). These results confirm that *Id2* and *Lpin1* are acute phase genes of the injured mouse retina.

To investigate the role of chromosome 12 locus during retinal injury, we examined the wound-healing response of retinas that expresses high levels of *Id2/Lpin1* (strains with the C57BL/6 allele) and compared this to low levels of *Id2/Lpin1* (strains with the DBA/2J allele). We induced retinal wound healing by exposing the retina with kainic acid, which results in glutamate-induced neurotoxicity. The neurotoxin induced significant upregulation of candidate genes and of retinal markers of acute phase, gliosis, apoptosis, and survival in BXD38 and DBA/2J retina (Figure 4.5A). Expression differences between strains were measured by a ratio with the injured BXD38 retina as the numerator and injured DBA/2J retina as the denominator. We observed distinct expression differentials for candidate genes, wound-healing genes, and

Figure 4.5. Higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* correlated with lower retinal stress markers. To investigate the role of chromosome 12 locus during retinal injury, we examined the wound-healing response of retinas that expresses high levels of *Id2/Lpin1* (BXD38 strain with the C57BL/6 allele) and compared this to low levels of *Id2/Lpin1* (strains with the DBA/2J allele). **A:** Acute-phase levels for markers of acute phase (*Fos* and *Stat3*), gliosis (*Stat3*, *Gfap*, and *Cd81*), apoptosis (*Casp3*), and survival (*Crygd*) were measured in BXD38 and DBA/2J retinas of fours after a vitreal injection of kanaic acid. Data for DBA/2J retina shown. **B:** Expression differences between strains were measured by a ratio with the injured BXD38 retina as the numerator and injured DBA/2J retina as the denominator. For each RNA sample, retinas from two injured animals were pooled ($n = 4$ retinas per sample). **C:** We extended these findings by using an optic nerve crush model, a retinal injury that produces a slow progressing wound-healing response. Six days after an optic nerve crush, we measured stress levels using the classic stress marker GFAP, a cytoskeletal protein normally expressed by astrocytes and the end-feet of Muller cells (2 animals per strain).

survival genes (Figure 4.5B). Candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1* were expressed more highly in the injured BXD38 retina than in the injured DBA/2J retina (2.1 ± 0.5 and 144 ± 2.1 fold differences, $p < 0.05$). Expression of acute phase genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, apoptosis gene *Casp3*, gliosis genes *Gfap* and *Cd81* were lower in the injured BXD38 retina than in the injured DBA/2J retina (Figure 4.5B). As a measure of neuroprotection, we surveyed the transcript levels of the chaperone crystallin gamma (*Crygd*). The high *Id2/Lpin1* expresser strain (strains with the C57BL/6 allele) displayed higher transcript levels of the survival gene *Crygd* (Figure 4.5B). Our comparison of wound-healing gene expression in the high and low *Id2/Lpin1* expressers, suggest that higher levels of *Id2/Lpin1* expression and the C57BL/6 allele correlate with lower levels of acute phase, gliosis, and apoptosis markers. Higher levels of *Id2/Lpin1* expression and the C57BL/6 allele also correlated with increased expression of survival gene *Crygd*.

We extended these findings by using an optic nerve crush model, a retinal injury that produces a slow progressing wound-healing response. An optic nerve crush results in a wound-healing process that involves the death of retinal ganglion cells and activation of Muller cells [Wax and Tezel, 2002]. We measured levels of stress using the classic stress marker GFAP, a cytoskeletal protein normally expressed by astrocytes and the end-feet of Muller cells (2 animals per strain). Six days after an optic nerve crush, the central and the peripheral retina of the low *Id2/Lpin1* expresser (DBA/2J strain) displayed increased GFAP immunoreactivity: Muller cells increased the expression of GFAP, as seen by the increased immunoreactivity along the radial branches of Muller cells (Figure 4.5C). In contrast the high *Id2/Lpin1* expresser (the BXD38 strain) at six days after injury displayed GFAP labeling of Muller cells only at the peripheral retina and a delayed upregulation of GFAP in the central retina. The differences in GFAP immunoreactivity between the high and low *Id2/Lpin1* expressers (Figure 4.5C) were consistent with the mRNA results (Figure 4.5B): higher levels of *Id2/Lpin1* (the C57BL/6 allele) confer a decrease reactive response by Muller cells. These results suggest that the C57BL/6 allele may confer resistance to retinal injury whereas the DBA/2J allele may confer susceptibility.

Id2 Silencing Decreases Wound Healing Response of Cultured Astrocytes

Candidate upstream modulator ID2 is known to be involved in proliferation and differentiation of neural precursor cells [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. In CNS pathology, ID2 also has been described in reactive glia [Tzeng et al., 1999; Tzeng et al., 2001; Aronica et al., 2001; Hernandez et al., 2002]. We investigated the function of ID2 in glial cells by silencing the *Id2* transcript in a mouse astrocyte cell line, the C8D1A astrocytes. C8D1A astrocytes efficiently uptake short inhibitor RNAs (siRNAs) and transport them near the nucleus (Figure 4.6A, red channel). We designed three siRNAs to target the *Id2* mRNA (Figure 4.6B) and compared their silencing efficiency against a scrambled siRNA. Off-target silencing was determined by measuring the transcript and protein changes of the reactive gliosis molecule, CD81. After a 24 h transfection, the siRNAs successfully reduced *Id2* transcript to levels below 30%. The siRNA with the best silencing efficiency was used for all experiments (93% silencing, $p < 0.001$; Figure 4.6B). This siRNA targets the 3' untranslated region of *Id2* at about 568 nucleotides from the starting site. *Id2* siRNAs produced minimal off-target effects, as shown by the non-significant changes in *Cd81* transcript levels (Figure 4.6B). Reductions in *Id2* expression also resulted in a 33% decrease in ID2 protein levels to ($p < 0.02$, Figure 4.6C). Protein change for off-target genes was minimal. CD81 protein levels were virtually unchanged (Figure 4.6C). Therefore, our RNA interference technique successfully decreased the expression of *Id2* with minimal off-target effects.

To determine functional changes due to lower ID2 levels, we used a cell culture model of wound healing induced by the loss of contact inhibition. In this model, astrocytes at the leading edge upregulate GFAP, migrate, and proliferate to repair the wound. Twenty-four hours after transfection, we induced the loss of contact inhibition by scrapping cells off at the center of the monolayer. We followed morphological changes of the reactive astrocytes entering the wound using time-lapse photography. At 24 and 48 h there was a significant decrease in the rate of wound-healing closure (Figure 4.6D). For example, migration distance of the *Id2*-siRNA treated cells was 54.8% ($p < 10^{-6}$) of control-siRNA treated cells at 24 h after scratch (Figure 4.6D). To determine if the decrease in wound healing was due changes in cell cycle dynamics, we measured

Figure 4.6. *Id2* silencing decreases the mitotic activity and healing response of cultured astrocytes.

changes in mitotic rate using DNA cell cycle analysis. DNA content measurements with flow cytometry revealed that there was a 32.5% decrease in the number of cells in the S-phase (from 32.6 to 24.7%). Together these data suggest that ID2 controls the progression of astrocytes through the cell cycle.

Discussion

The present study defined a genetic network and the location of its upstream modulator using gene expression profiling and bioinformatic tools. Our approach to defining networks controlling retinal wound healing involves (1) highlighting networks of highly co-expressed genes in different models of injury and different CNS tissues; (2) defining the location of an upstream regulator to a specific genomic locus using expression genetics; (3) predicting upstream modulators and targets using bioinformatic tools; and (4) testing the effect of candidate gene dosage on wound healing using animal and cell culture systems. Here we predict, refine, and test a genetic network that modulates the expression of genes involved in the early CNS wound-healing response. Upstream regulators for this network are located within the regulatory locus on chromosome 12, 10-30 Mb away from centromere. Dosage levels for candidate genes within the locus (*Id2* and *Lpin1*) correlated positively with lower expression levels for markers of acute phase response, gliosis, and apoptosis. Our results support the convergence of gene expression profiling, expression genetics, and bioinformatics as a rational approach to discover molecular pathways controlling retinal wound healing.

Previous studies identified three genetic networks that modulate the gene expression of wound healing genes [Vazquez et al., 2005]. Only one of these networks, chromosome 12 network, appears specific to the response of the CNS to injury. Our comparison of regulatory loci across tissues and functional groups documents that chromosome 12 locus specifically regulates the expression of wound healing genes in mouse brains. Our data documents that this network

modulates genes known to regulate transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis of neural cells. Expression patterns of chromosome-12-modulated genes in the injured retina reveal that most of these genes are differentially expressed during the early acute and delayed sub-acute phases (Appendix C, Figure C.1). The function and temporal expression of the network's genes are consistent with early wound healing events in the injured retina. The early wound healing phases are characterized by neuronal cell death and glial proliferation at the site injury, as well as by the early activation of neuronal and glial cells leading to phenotypic changes (that is, de-differentiation). Published data also support the hypothesis that chromosome 12 network modulates the CNS response to trauma. In BXD RI mouse strains, the DBA/2J allele for the chromosome 12 locus is one of the loci associated with susceptibility to noise-induced cell death of spiral ganglion cells, the cells innervating auditory receptors cells [Willott and Erway, 1998]. The DBA/2J allele also predisposes susceptibility to generalized convulsions when exposed to intense auditory stimulation [Neumann and Collins, 1991]. In contrast, the C57BL/6 allele is one of the loci that confers enhanced neurogenesis and survival of new neurons and astrocytes in adult hippocampus [Kempermann and Gage, 2002]. Together these data suggest that the chromosome 12 network displays a prominent role in CNS wound healing.

To test the hypothesis that chromosome 12 network modulates the wound healing response in the retina, we examined the acute phase expression of classic immediate early genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, apoptotic gene *Casp3*, and reactive gliosis genes *Stat3*, *Gfap*, and *Cd81* in one BXD RI strain segregating the C57BL/6 allele (BXD38 strain) and another segregating the DBA/2J allele (DBA/2J strain). The C57BL/6 allele correlated with low expression levels of genes involved in the immediate-early response, cell death, and reactive gliosis. The C57BL/6 allele also correlated with increased levels of the survival gene *Crygd*. In contrast, the DBA/2J allele correlated with higher levels of immediate-early response, cell death, and reactive gliosis genes, as well as, with lower levels of the survival genes. Our immunohistochemistry of injured retina confirmed that the C57BL/6 allele and DBA/2J allele correlated with lower and greater levels of the classic marker of retinal stress, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP). These data

suggest that C57BL/6 allele confers protection and DBA/2J allele confers susceptibility to retinal damage. Our results are consistent with published data. The C57BL/6 background confers resistance to apoptosis and seizures in the auditory system; resistance to glutamate-induced neurotoxicity in brain and spinal cord; enhanced neurogenesis and survival of new neurons and astrocytes in hippocampus [Willott and Erway, 1998; Neumann and Collins, 1991; Kempermann and Gage, 2002; Schauwecker and Steward, 1997; Inman et al., 2002]. These data document that chromosome 12 locus can modulate the acute-phase expression of apoptotic, gliosis-related, and survival genes; and that within this locus there is a master regulator of the CNS response to injury.

A genetic variant located on chromosome 12 locus is responsible for the phenotypic differences in the wound healing response of BXD RI mouse strains. Using an integrated bioinformatic approach, we examined each polymorphic gene within the locus to determine the best candidate genes that can self-regulate its expression (that is, *cis*-acting) and regulate the expression of wound healing genes. *Id2*, *Lpin1*, *Sox11*, and *AL024210* displayed *cis*-acting regulation in brain, cerebellum, and striatum of BXD RI mouse strains. This means that a genetic variant (or variants) within or near the loci of these genes affect their own expression. *Id2*, *Lpin1*, *Sox11*, and *AL024210* are candidate genes because they display highly conserved self-regulation across CNS tissues. Of these candidate genes, *Id2* is expressed by reactive glia in brain, spinal cord, and optic nerve head [Tzeng et al., 1999; Tzeng et al., 2001; Aronica et al., 2001; Hernandez et al., 2002]. *Id2* is also upregulated as an immediate early gene in injured retina, brain, and spinal cord [Vazquez-Chona et al., 2005]. Such strong circumstantial evidence is not available for *Lpin1*, *Sox11*, and *AL024210*. As a complementing guide to defining gene variants that are responsible for susceptibility to CNS injury, we compared the gene sequences of the DBA/2J to sequences from two resistant strains, the C57BL/6 and 129/svj [Schauwecker and Steward, 1997; Inman et al., 2002]. This approach identified three nucleotides in the *Lpin1* sequence of the DBA/2J that are different from sequences of both the C57BL/6 and 129/svj (Celera SNP IDs mCV22346966, mCV22347703, and mCV22347384). Our computational analyses of SNPs show that SNPs in *Id2* and *Lpin1* may affect DNA binding sites or splice variants. Using these approaches we fo-

cused on transcription regulator *Id2* and the nuclear protein *Lpin1* as the best, current candidate genes. Additional studies are required to determine if transcription factor *Sox11* and expressed sequence *AL024210* participate in early signaling of retinal wound healing. In normal and injured retinas, *Id2* and *Lpin1* were more highly expressed in strains carrying the C57BL6 allele than strains carrying the DBA/2J allele. Higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* correlated with higher levels of survival gene *Crygd* and lower levels of acute-phase genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, apoptotic genes *Casp3*, and gliosis-related genes *Gfap* and *Cd81*. These results document that DNA variants on *Id2* and *Lpin1* of DBA/2J allele may result in lower expression of *Id2* and *Lpin1* and in higher levels of apoptotic genes and gliosis-related genes.

The known functions of ID2 are consistent with the potential roles of chromosome 12 during the early CNS response to injury: regulation of transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. In its classical function, ID2 modulates neural and glial differentiation via the negative regulation of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors (for example, NeuroD and Olig) [Ross et al., 2003; Liu and Harlan, 2003; Wang et al., 2001]. ID2 binds to bHLH transcription factors to inhibit their binding to E-box sequences, defined by the CANNTG motif [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. E-boxes are present in promoters of many pro-neural differentiation genes (for example, *Pax6*) [Ross et al., 2003]. These data suggest that ID2 can modulate activation of retinal cells by regulating E-box mediated transcription. Several of the network genes have E-boxes in their promoters including *Fos* [Chaudhary and Skinner, 1999], *Gfap* [Tzeng, 2003], *Sdc1* [Vihinen et al., 1996], *Pax6* [Marsich et al., 2003], and *Cp* [Vazquez-Chona, personal in silico promoter observations]. For example, ID2 modulates the gene expression of *Fos* during Sertoli cell differentiation [Chaudhary and Skinner, 1999]. ID2 can also promote proliferation by inhibiting the E-box mediated transcription of cell cycle inhibitors (for example, p21CIP1/WAF1, p15INK4B, and p16INK4B) [Pagliuca et al., 2000]. Alternatively, ID2 may stimulate proliferation by binding to the unphosphorylated Rb protein, allowing the release of the transcription factor E2F. E2F in turn activates genes involved in G1-S phase transition and hence proliferation [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. In our studies, *Id2* silencing in cultured astrocytes de-

creased the number of cells entering the S phase. ID2 can also negatively modulate apoptosis. In animals with *Id2* null mutation, Sertoli cells and mammary epithelial cells display increased levels of apoptosis relative to wild-type animals [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. The increased apoptosis in *Id2*^{-/-} animals suggest that ID2 may be required as a survival factor for some cell types [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. In our studies, higher levels of *Id2* correlated with higher levels of survival gene *Crygd* and lower levels of acute-phase genes *Fos* and *Stat3*, apoptotic gene *Casp3*, and gliosis-associated genes *Gfap* and *Cd81*. Together the functions of ID2 and these data suggest that ID2 is an ideal candidate upstream modulator of the chromosome 12 network.

The role of Lipin 1 during retinal wound healing is not clear. Lipin 1 belongs to a novel family of nuclear proteins that are involved in adipose tissue development and insulin resistance. Lipin proteins share three conserved domains: a lipin, N-terminal conserved region, a nuclear localization sequence, and a LNS (Lipin/Ned1/SMP2) conserved region. Mutations in the *Lpin1* gene lead to fatty liver dystrophy (fld) in *fld* mice, characterized by loss of body fat, fatty liver, hypertriglyceridemia, and insulin resistance [Peterfy et al., 2001]. *Lpin1* null mutations also lead to peripheral neuropathy due to the dysregulation of a battery of genes required for the regulation of storage lipid metabolism in both the endoneurium and peri/epineurium [Verheijen et al., 2003]. The mechanisms of action by lipin proteins are unknown. A study of yeast lipin protein, Ned1, revealed that lipin proteins can associate with factors involved in nuclear transport and chromosome segregation [Tange et al., 2002]. Gene expression patterns in the developing retina suggest that *Lpin1* is expressed during the time of Muller cell birth and differentiation (P8-P14) [Retina Developmental Gene Expression, probe set 98892_at; Mouse Retina SAGE Library, Mm.153625]. We will use in situ hybridization to determine the cellular source of *Lpin1* mRNA. We will also use *fld* mice to determine the role Lipin 1 has during wound healing.

Our work makes two clear contributions to the field of CNS wound healing research. At one level, our work describes an integrated approach of gene expression profiling and higher-level bioinformatic analyses to define networks and their regulators. This approach is valuable because it suggests how researchers might develop a package of capabilities to enable a system-

atic reconstruction of pathways related to their field. Our second contribution is the finding of a regulatory locus that modulates the early response of the retina to injury. Computational analyses and molecular manipulation of this locus will help define the regulatory gene(s) modulating the response of mammalian CNS to trauma and chronic stress.

Chapter 5

Discussion

This dissertation focused on understanding the response of the retina to injury. The response of the retina to injury is controlled by changes in gene expression and the series of studies presented in this dissertation are one of the first to use a unique combination of microarray studies to define loci controlling the initial events controlling the injury response. By implementing integrated gene expression profiling, expression genetics, and bioinformatics, we revealed three novel aspects of this wound healing process. First, groups of functionally related genes underlie the early, delayed, and sustained responses of wound healing (Chapter 2). Second, three specific genomic loci modulate coordinated changes in gene expression in mouse brains: regulatory loci on chromosomes 6, 12, and 14 (Chapter 3). Third, the chromosome 12 locus specifically modulates the expression of a group of genes involved in early wound-healing events. As a result of these studies we have identified two very good candidate genes *Id2* and *Lpin1* as potential modulators for the chromosome 12 network (Chapter 4). The chromosome 12 network represents one of the first regulatory mechanism derived from gene expression data of injured retina. Previous chapters discussed the biological significance of each of these findings. In this chapter, I provide a retrospective discussion of the biological significance and scope of my experimental design to catalog global changes (microarrays), to define regulatory loci of gene expression (expression genetics), and to identify candidate genes (bioinformatics).

Cataloging Global Changes During Wound Healing Using Microarrays

Global View of Gene Activity and Protein Expression

At the most basic level, microarray analysis measures mRNA abundance. Traditionally, microarrays target the 3' regions of mRNA transcripts [Lipshutz et al., 1999]. Levels of hybridization to 3' regions are used to predict transcript expression levels and differences. We used real-time RT-PCR as an independent means to confirm expression changes. Our results describe a strong agreement in direction of change between the Affymetrix microarray platform and the real-time

RT-PCR method: 100% agreement for probes with high signals and 85% agreement for probes of all signal levels ($n = 22$ genes) [Rogojina et al., 2003; Vazquez et al., 2004]. There is also a moderate correlation ($r = 0.76$) when comparing the magnitude of changes [Vazquez et al., 2004].

Our results and other studies confirm the power of microarray to describe global gene expression changes in the injured retina [Vazquez et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2003; Ahmed, et al., 2004; Gerhardinger et al., 2005; Rattner and Nathans, 2005].

We also confirmed the upregulation of protein changes predicted by our microarray study including GFAP, CD81, ID2, STAT3, as well as crystallins alpha, beta, and gamma (Figures 2.4 and 4.4). The literature provides additional support for protein expression changes for NF κ B, CP, CLU, ANXA5, SPARC, and HSP27 [Wu et al., 2002; Wong et al., 2001; Strunnikova et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2003; Gilbert et al., 1999]. Our results demonstrate that changes in transcript levels correlate with immediate changes in protein levels. The silencing of *Id2* using RNA interference decreased ID2 protein expression (Figure 4.6). Several studies integrated dynamic expression profiles of transcript and protein and found that the trends of differential expression are maintained across broad transcriptome and proteomic data [Hack, 2004; Beyer et al., 2004; Mijalski et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2004]. Lin and colleagues [2004] further demonstrated that changes in protein levels depend on gene expression changes. They showed that changes in protein levels that occur during the stress response are blocked if gene transcription is inhibited. However, we also found that the correlation between transcript and protein expression is weak to moderate [Rogojina et al., 2003]. When we decreased the *Id2* transcript by over 90%, protein changes for ID2 decreased only by 33%. These discrepancies in our study suggest that hybridization to 3' regions provide a limited picture of gene expression control after transcription and translation. Post-transcriptional control of gene expression includes the processing (for example, mRNA splicing), export, localization, turnover, and translation of mRNAs [Mata et al., 2005]. Studies that investigated alternative splicing of mRNA revealed that nearly 80% of the human genes have alternative isoforms [Johnson et al., 2003]. We conclude that differential splicing might explain the moderate correlation between our microarray and RT-PCR results. Post-translational events

that could account for differences between our microarray and protein results include modification and degradation. Despite these limitations, microarray analysis was, and continues to be, our method of choice as it has a major advantage over current proteomic approaches. Proteomic approaches (two-dimensional gel and mass spectrometry) survey hundreds of gene products while microarrays can survey thousands of genes in a single experiment. In summary, commercial arrays provided a comprehensive, reliable assessment of global changes in the injured retina; however, the global microarray approach provided a qualitatively incomplete assessment of post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of specific proteins of interest.

The Challenge of Tissue Heterogeneity

Transcriptome-wide analyses of injured retina are bulk characterizations of gene expression from diverse cell populations including photoreceptors, interneurons, ganglion cells, glial cells, peripheral blood cells, as well as their sub-populations. This type of cellular heterogeneity poses two major difficulties. First, cellular heterogeneity challenges the technical sensitivity of the system to detect transcripts expressed at low levels in unique cell populations. To enhance the detection and confidence level, we used comparative analyses of expression signatures across species and CNS tissues [Vazquez et al., 2005]. In our initial microarray study, *Id2* was overlooked as a wound-healing gene due to the strict statistical criterion of a 98% confidence level. By highlighting common reactive transcripts in retina, brain, and spinal cord, we decreased technical and tissue specific noise and found that *Id2* is a common acute phase gene in CNS injury using a 95% confidence level.

The second issue raised by tissue heterogeneity is our ability to determine cell sources for specific changes. We determined a potential source by examining the expression of a transcript in profiles from cultured and dissociated CNS cells, including neurons, astrocytes, microglia, Muller glia, and RPE cells [Vazquez et al., 2005]. We found that often transcript expression and cellular source is maintained across tissues. For example, *Gfap* and *Cd81* are expressed by astrocytes in the brain and in Muller glial cells in the retina [Clarke and Geisert, 1998; Gerhardinger et

al., 2005]. However, making inferences about in vivo expression from cultured cell expression profiles must be made judiciously. Transcript expression is dynamic and certainly some transcript levels measured in these cells are in response to the trauma of dissociation and sorting. The identification of cell-specific genes is possible through the application of computational and genome-wide analyses. Bryant Jones [2005] documented that genes involved in the regulation of glial cell development in *Drosophila* share a binding site for the glial cell missing (GCM) transcription factor. We predict that computational analyses of non-coding regions will predict the cellular source of gene expression. By combining our retinal transcriptome profiles with profiles of injured CNS tissue and cultured neuronal cells we reduced the challenges of tissue heterogeneity.

Inferences About Changes in Function

Changes in retinal function and regulatory mechanisms can be inferred from the grouping of genes with similar patterns of expression. We examined clustered genes based on their function described by the Gene Ontology database (www.geneontology.org) and from the literature. From these analyses, we determined that temporal clusters show significant enrichment in genes that are known to mediate similar functions (Figure 5.1) [Vazquez et al., 2004]. Transcription factors are expressed during the early response. Apoptosis and proliferation related genes are expressed during the delayed phase. Tissue remodeling genes and reactive gliosis are expressed during the chronic phase. Similar temporal patterns of functional groups were described in the wound-healing response of spinal cord and brain [Di Giovanni et al., 2003; Velardo et al., 2004; Natale et al., 2004]. The ultimate goal of gene expression profiling is to relate specific transcript changes with specific changes in cell function. Our results document that the silencing of *Id2* and *Cd81* decreases astrocyte wound healing by different mechanisms: *Id2* affects proliferation (Figure 4.6) and *Cd81* affects migration (X. Wang and E. Geisert, personal observations). However, microarray analysis provided a limited view of the functional changes during retinal wound healing. We found that our microarray analyses described cultured RPE cells as mitotically active on the basis of gene expression [Rogojina et al., 2003]. However, differences in proliferation rates between

Figure 5.1. Gene expression after injury is temporally regulated and gene product functions are consistent with known the biology of retinal wound healing.

RPE cell lines are indistinguishable based on gene expression. These results show that post-translational events (phosphorylation, localization, modification and degradation) modulate cell function and that post-transcriptional regulation is not obvious from gene expression profiles. By providing global views of functional changes, our analysis of expression data is a first step toward the characterization of internal programs underlying retinal wound healing.

We predict that computational analyses will help define the molecular interactions defined by genes that share similar pattern of expression. For example, computational analyses of amino acid sequence analyses revealed that clustered genes share functional domains and display sites of known protein-protein interaction [Novatchkova and Eisenhaber, 2001]. These data suggest that gene clusters represent much more than abundance correlations. Indeed, Fraser and Marcotte [2004] argued that the complete set of relations defined by amino acid and DNA sequences within a cluster provides the most complete and unbiased analysis of gene function and regulation. By integrating gene expression data with additional levels of biological information (for example, databases about gene function, functional motifs, and molecular pathways), we will infer about specific biological processes underlying wound healing and catalog potential protein-protein interactions.

Inferences About Regulatory Mechanisms

Similar patterns of expression by functionally related genes suggested the hypothesis that clustered genes are regulated by common mechanisms. One approach defines modules of co-regulated genes based on the sharing of *cis*-regulatory motifs (transcription factor binding sites, enhancers, etc.). Livesey and colleagues [2000] documented that genes expressed during the terminal differentiation of photoreceptors share promoter binding sites for the transcription factor CRX. The regulatory relationships of genes and factors within a cluster have been built into motifs and networks, yielding clues about transcriptional networks modulating coordinated expression [Lee et al., 2002; Segal et al., 2005]. Gene expression however is modulated by more than transcription factor activity (for example, heterochromatin remodeling and endogenous gene si-

lencing). Also, gene expression changes are modulated at the level of translation and post-translation, as described earlier. Thus, transcriptional networks represent one level of gene expression that can be derived from combining expression clusters with computational analyses of promoters. The next section describes an approach that can define transcriptional regulators as well as post-transcriptional and post-translational regulators of co-regulated genes.

Defining Regulatory Loci That Modulate Gene Expression

Specificity of Regulation by Chromosome 12 locus

As a first step toward defining regulatory mechanisms from our retinal injury microarray data, we used online expression genetics to define the genomic location for the upstream modulator(s) controlling wound-healing gene expression [Vazquez et al., 2005]. Our meta-analyses revealed that a locus on chromosome 12 (10-30 Mb locus from the centromere) modulates a group of injury genes that are primarily expressed during the early phases of wound healing (Figure 5.2). The discovery of regulatory networks using meta-analyses of brain expression genetics data raises issues about meta-analysis reliability, wound-healing regulation specificity, and regulation relevance to gene expression in the retina. We addressed the reliability of our observations by testing whether genetic associations are replicated in independent cohorts. For example, chromosome 12 locus is a *cis*-regulatory locus for *Id2*, *Lpin1*, and *Sox11* using two independent GeneNetwork databases: UTHSC Brain mRNA U74Av2 (Nov05) and the INIA M430 Brain (April05) database (Figure 4.3). Both databases used independent samples and different array platforms (U74Av2 and M430). We addressed specificity of regulatory loci by comparing regulation across tissues (brain versus hematopoietic stem cells) and functional groups (wound healing versus synaptic genes). From these analyses, we concluded that chromosome 12 locus specifically modulates the expression of wound-healing genes in whole brains. We addressed the relevance of brain data to gene regulation in the retina by examining gene regulation in multiple CNS tissues.

Genetic Network

Network's function

Processes controlled by the network

Differentiation

Nfib, Fos, Ets1
Neurod1, Pax6, Id2
Sdc1, Hes3
Bcl2, Casp3

Proliferation

Dusp1, Map2k2, Ssb,
Rab14, Rala,
Nfib, Pax6, Id2,
Casp3, Nfib, Hk2,

Cell death

Lyzs, Casp3, Bcl2
Nr4a1, Trib3, Id2
Vdac1, Fos

Figure 5.2. Locus on chromosome 12 modulates the expression of genes involved in differentiation, proliferation, and cell death.

For example, the chromosome 12 locus modulates the expression of *Id2*, *Lpin1*, and *Sox11* and in the forebrain, cerebellum, and striatum. The conserved gene regulation across CNS tissues was our motivation for defining regulators for retinal injury genes using available data of genetic interactions from mouse brains. Our RT-PCR data shows that the differential expression of specific transcripts across the retinas of BXD RI strains was in agreement to those displayed in brain. Our analyses of gene expression data injured retina, brain, and spinal cord supports the hypothesis that there is a generalized response to CNS injury [Vazquez et al., 2005]. After injury for example, a group of acute phase genes, mostly transcription factors, are upregulated in injured retina, brain, and spinal cord. Regulation of transcript expression is also known to be maintained across CNS tissues. The transcription factor STAT3 plays an important role in activating cortical astrocytes [Acarin et al., 2001] and retinal Muller glial cells [Wang et al., 2002]. Published neurological phenotypes in BXD RI strains suggest that the chromosome 12 locus can modulate wound healing events across CNS tissues: seizure response of the auditory system, cell death of spiral ganglion cells, and proliferation of adult stem cells in the hippocampus [GeneNetwork IDs 10652, 10655, 10434-5, 10437-8, 10504, 10338, 10340-1, and 10378; Willott and Erway, 1998; Neumann and Collins, 1991; Kempermann and Gage, 2002]. These data documents the reliability of our analysis, specificity of chromosome 12 regulation of wound-healing genes, and the relevance of chromosome 12 across CNS tissues including the retina.

We have defined a genetic network that modulates wound-healing gene expression in the CNS. The upstream modulator for this network is located within the 10-30 Mb locus from the centromere of mouse chromosome 12 [Vazquez et al., 2005]. In injured BXD RI strains, the expression of reactive glial genes (*Gfap*, *Fos*, *Stat3*, and *Cd81*), cell death genes (*Casp3*), and survival gene (*Crygd*) correlate with the segregation of C57BL/6 and DBA/2J alleles for the chromosome 12 locus. Reactive glial genes *Gfap*, *Fos*, *Stat3*, and *Cd81* and cell death gene *Casp3* are expressed at lower levels in strains with the C57BL/6 allele than in strains with the DBA/2J allele; whereas survival gene *Crygd* is expressed at higher levels in strains with the C57BL/6 allele than in strains with the DBA/2J allele. Published data support the hypothesis that chromosome 12

network modulates the CNS response to trauma. In BXD RI mouse strains, the DBA/2J allele for the chromosome 12 locus is one of the loci associated with susceptibility to noise-induced cell death of spiral ganglion cells, the cells innervating auditory receptors cells [Willott and Erway, 1998]. The DBA/2J allele also predisposes susceptibility to generalized convulsions when exposed to intense auditory stimulation [Neumann and Collins, 1991]. In contrast, the C57BL/6 allele is one of the loci that confers enhanced neurogenesis and survival of new neurons and astrocytes in adult hippocampus [Kempermann and Gage, 2002]. Moreover, the combined function of genes in the network also suggests that the chromosome 12 network can regulate transcription, differentiation, proliferation, and cell death. These processes are characteristic of the early wound-healing response [Vazquez et al., 2004]. Together these data suggest that the chromosome 12 network displays a prominent role in CNS wound healing.

Chromosome 12 Network Modulates Activation of Muller Glia

Preliminary results suggest that chromosome 12 network modulates transcript changes and phenotypic changes of retinal glial cells either through intrinsic or extrinsic mechanisms. The reactive glial genes *Gfap*, *Fos*, *Stat3*, and *Cd81* are expressed at lower levels in strains with the C57BL/6 allele than in strains with the DBA/2J allele. Increased expression and nuclear translocation of transcription factors FOS and STAT3 are characteristic of the early activation of Muller cell signaling cascades [Geller et al., 2001]. Increased expression of cytoskeleton protein GFAP and cell adhesion molecule CD81 are part of a series of phenotypic changes in the Muller cells that include enlargement of cell body, extension of process into areas of retinal damage, and in some cases proliferation [Bignami and Dahl, 1979; Clarke and Geisert, 1998]. Together, these phenotypic changes in glial cells are known as reactive gliosis. The classic marker to measure retinal stress and Muller cell reactivity is GFAP [Lewis and Fisher, 2003]. Immunohistochemistry of GFAP revealed a moderate reactive response by Muller cells in strains with the C57BL/6 allele relative to strains with the DBA/2J allele. The chromosome 12 locus also modulates the gene expression of additional reactive gliosis markers, including *Cp*, *Lcn2*, *Itgb1*, and *Coll1a*.

Several of the chromosome 12 network genes are also differentially expressed in reactive Muller cells including *Egr1*, *Nr4a1*, and *Lcn2* [GEO data set GSE1979; Gerhardinger et al., 2005].

These data support a model where chromosome 12 locus intrinsically modulates the response of Muller cells to retinal injury by repressing the expression of reactive gliosis genes.

Muller cell reactivity is also extrinsically modulated by the extent of neuronal survival. Experimental models of glaucoma with no direct damage to retina, as in the case of the mechanical crushing of retinal ganglion axons at the optic nerve head, suggest that survival and apoptotic signals are relayed from the ganglion cells to the Muller cells. Indeed, Muller cell reactivity precedes ganglion cell death [Wax and Tezel, 2002]. Injured retina from BXD RI strains display moderate Muller cell reactivity that correlates with lower apoptotic markers (*Fos* and *Casp3*) and with higher survival markers (*Crygd*). Increased expression and activity of transcription factor FOS and protease CASP3 are hallmarks of neuronal cell death in the retina [Hafezi et al., 1999]. Genetic and pharmacological inactivation of FOS and CASP3 protects retinal cells against apoptosis [Wenzel et al., 2000]. Both *Fos* and *Casp3* are controlled by the chromosome 12 network. Additional chromosome 12 network genes involved in the positive regulation of cell death include *Nr4a1*, *Trib3*, *Vdac1*, *Lyzs*, and *Lamp2*. Anti-apoptotic genes include *Bcl2*, *Tcf4*, and *Ptpn16/Dusp1*. In addition to modulating the expression of apoptosis-related genes, chromosome 12 locus also participates in the regulation of cell death phenotypes in BXD RI mouse strains. The DBA/2J allele is associated with susceptibility to noised-induced cell death of spiral ganglion cells [Willott and Erway, 1998]. Here we showed that the DBA/2J allele correlated with higher levels of apoptotic genes *Fos* and *Casp3* in injured retina. In our studies, the C57BL/6 allele correlated with higher levels of survival gene *crystallin gamma D*. Crystallins are heat-shock like proteins and their upregulation rescues retinal degenerations [Otani et al., 2004]. In injured retina, ganglion cells, photoreceptors, and interneurons upregulate crystallins [Vazquez et al., 2004; Otani et al., 2004]. These data suggest that chromosome 12 can modulate survival and cell death signaling mechanisms of retinal neural cells. The extent of neuronal survival and cell death extrinsically influences the extent of Muller cell reactivity.

Significance of Chromosome 12 Network in Glaucoma

The chromosome 12 network represents a novel mechanism that explains differences in the response to retinal injury in murine models. Specifically, our data shows that the DBA/2J allele of the chromosome 12 locus confers susceptibility to neurodegeneration and glial reactivity induced by different experimental models of retinal injury, including toxic injuries and optic nerve crush. Our data and published data [Wax and Tezel, 2002] show that retinal ganglion cells are the most susceptible retinal cells to neurodegeneration after toxic injuries and optic nerve crushes. The work by Simon John's group [2005] has shown that DBA/2J mice are highly susceptible to retinal ganglion cell death due to elevated intraocular pressure, a hallmark of glaucoma. In humans, family history and genetic factors are important in the susceptibility or resistance to glaucoma. Understanding the genetic factors that control neuronal degeneration and glial reactivity in the retinas of BXD RI mice can help elucidate the mechanisms that control susceptibility to glaucoma in humans. The chromosome 12 locus may represent the location for genetic variants responsible for susceptibility to glaucoma. This chromosomal interval has a high degree of homology (that is, synteny) with intervals on the human chromosome 2 (1 to 18 Mb or p25 to p24.3) and on the rat chromosome 6 (34 to 48 Mb or q14 to q16) [NCBI MapViewer, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mapview>]. In these syntenic regions, the gene order and gene homology is highly conserved. The next section outlines our work to identify the polymorphic genes responsible for differences in wound-healing response in BXD RI strains.

Finding Regulators of Retinal Wound Healing

We used gene expression genetics as a guide to identify the location of modulators of wound-healing genes. Regulatory loci can span hundreds of genes (several megabase pairs [Mb]). In our study the regulatory locus spans a region of approximately 20 Mb, containing nearly seventy known genes (Figure 5.3). Our approach to define the candidate gene(s) was guided by the biol-

ogy of the system: co-regulation of wound-healing genes in segregating populations. Therefore, likely candidate modulators are positional, polymorphic genes with expression variability that map to their own locus and with product functions relevant to wound healing. Our data support *Id2*, *Lpin1*, and *Sox11* as the best current candidate genes.

We searched for genetic variants because that is the variable that accounts for expression phenotypes in the BXD RI strains. Identifying polymorphic genes is assayed by comparing the sequenced genomes of the parental strains. DNA variants within the regulatory region of candidate genes can alter transcription rates by affecting the binding of transcription factors to the promoter. We proposed that the strong self-regulation of *Id2* in BXD RI strains is due to a SNP that affects the binding to the CAAT consensus sequence in the promoter (Figure 5.3) [Vazquez et al., 2004]. DNA variants in the transcribed regions also affect hybridization levels of the target to the probes in the array. This is why probes for the same candidate gene but targeting different mRNA regions may provide different hybridization levels. This was illustrated by Aitman and colleagues [1999] who used linkage analysis and microarrays to identify a variant form of *Cd36* as the fatty acid transporter gene causing insulin resistance in hypertensive rat. The gene in the hypertensive rat has a DNA variant located in the 3' untranslated region that affects its hybridization to the microarray probe derived from the 3' region from wild-type animals. The examples of *Id2* and *Cd36* illustrate that microarray hybridization signals are instructive in suggesting candidate genes. Unfortunately, most commercial microarrays fail to detect DNA variants toward the 5' region due to the 3' bias of probes. In these cases, candidate genes can be defined by determining their relevance to wound healing events and determining whether missense mutations alter gene product functions.

A comprehensive and systematic way to determine whether a gene is relevant to wound healing is to examine its expression pattern using the growing collection of gene expression profiling of injured CNS. Transcript information can be complemented and supplemented by mining the literature for a known function in CNS injury and development. One must be careful in

Figure 5.3. *Id2* is a candidate gene modulating the chromosome 12 network.

ruling out candidate genes based on the absence of information. In our original screen for candidate genes we selected *Id2* over *Lpin1* based on preponderance of biological data suggesting that the functions of *Id2* were consistent with CNS wound healing (Figure 5.3). Post-hoc results with real-time RT-PCR suggest that *Lpin1* in the retina also displayed high expression differences due to genetic variations and due to trauma (Figure 4.4). Differences in gene product activity in segregating populations, however, can be independent of gene expression differences. A mutation can affect functional domains such as sites for phosphorylation, protein-DNA interaction, and protein-protein interaction that affect the expression of down stream targets without affecting the expression of upstream modulators. Surveys of functional domains are possible by computational analysis of libraries that catalog functional domains based on amino acid sequences. Based on evidence of transcript self regulation, transcript differential expression during retinal development and retinal injury, as well as relevant function of candidates, we have determined that *Id2*, *Lpin1*, and *Sox11* have strong biological data suggesting their participation in wound healing.

Bioinformatic tools have guided our approach to define hypotheses about modulators of retinal wound healing. This approach is possible with the growing body of biological data that map the global function of cells at different levels (for example, at the level of the genome, transcriptome, proteome, phenome, etc.). High-through put data are, by nature, error prone. Therefore, high-through put data supporting specific hypotheses need to be confirmed by independent means or alternative methods. In this body of work, we illustrate the value of comparative analyses of high-through put data to confirm hypotheses, as we did for our analyses of acute-phase genes in the CNS and regulatory locus analyses [Vazquez et al., 2005]. Skepticism of any data, even published data, is healthy. We initially ignored the use of mice with the *Id2*-null mutation for studies of adult wound healing because the data by Wang and colleagues [2001] indicated that the *Id2*-null were post-natal lethal. However, the data by others indicate that *Id2*-null mice show growth retardation but are viable [Yokota and Mori, 2002]. In perspective, bioinformatic data are reliable when they meet quality control criteria and statistical stringency. In this respect, hypotheses derived from bioinformatic means are no different than those derived from classical means,

and, should not be required to undergo more stringent, nor less stringent, testing and refinement. Here, we described a method that utilizes a collection of bioinformatic tools to candidate genes responsible for the regulatory locus on chromosome 12. We also proposed models for the intrinsic and extrinsic modulation of Muller cell reactivity.

Model of Intrinsic Regulation Muller Cell Reactivity

We integrated computational analyses of biological data (that is, bioinformatics) with the literature to generate potential models of regulation. The known functions of ID2 in the regulation of transcription and neural differentiation are consistent with a model of intrinsic regulation of Muller cell reactivity by the chromosome 12 network. *Id2* is expressed by reactive glia in brain, spinal cord, and optic nerve head [Tzeng et al., 1999; Tzeng et al., 2001; Aronica et al., 2001; Hernandez et al., 2002]. *Id2* is also upregulated as an immediate early gene in injured retina, brain, and spinal cord [Vazquez et al., 2005]. The retina upregulates *Id2* in response to different models of injury including mechanical injury, toxic injury, optic nerve crush, increase intraocular pressure. Expression profiles document that *Id2* is highly expressed in reactive Muller cells [GEO data set GSE1979, probe set rc_AI230256_at]. In its classical function, ID2 modulates neural and glial differentiation via the negative regulation of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors (for example, NeuroD and Olig) [Ross et al., 2003; Liu and Harlan, 2003; Wang et al., 2001]. ID2 binds to bHLH transcription factors to inhibit their binding to E-box sequences, defined by the CANNTG motif [Yokota and Mori, 2002; Ross et al., 2003]. E-boxes are present in promoters of many reactive gliosis markers modulated by chromosome 12 network including *Fos* [Chaudhary and Skinner, 1999], *Stat3* [Shi et al., 1996], *Gfap* [Tzeng, 2003], *Sdc1* [Vihinen et al., 1996], and *Cp* [F. Vazquez, personal in silico promoter observations]. There is evidence that ID2 modulates the gene expression of *Fos* during Sertoli cell differentiation [Chaudhary and Skinner, 1999]. Based on these data, we proposed a model of an intrinsic program, where ID2 is

expressed in Muller cells and modulates reactive gliosis markers via E-box mediated transcription (Figure 5.4).

Regulation of Muller cell reactivity by the chromosome 12 network via repression of E-box mediated transcription is consistent with the known activating signaling pathways of reactive glial markers. Two transcriptional activators of *Gfap* expression are downstream targets of chromosome 12, *Fos* and *Stat3*. Both FOS and STAT3 mediate *Gfap* expression via activating protein 1 (AP1) binding sites and STAT binding sites (Figure 5.4) [Besnard et al., 1991]. ID2 in turn can mediate control of *Fos* and *Stat3* expression by preventing bHLH from binding to their E-boxes. Thus, ID2 can modulate *Gfap* expression by negatively regulating *Fos* and *Stat3* expression. Alternatively, ID2 could negatively regulate *Gfap* expression. *Gfap* has an E-box in its promoter [Tzeng, 2003]; however, there is no evidence to support that *Gfap* expression is regulated through the E-box binding site. Repression of E-box mediated transcription of *Fos*, *Stat3*, and *Gfap*, can all contribute to lower levels of *Gfap*. A similar paradigm might exist for additional chromosome 12 network genes that are expressed in reactive glia. For example, *Cp* has binding sites for FOS and STAT3 as well as E-box binding sites [F. Vazquez, personal in silico promoter observations]. In this model, chromosome12 network modulation of Muller cell reactivity offers a new level of regulation via ID2 that is consistent with current activating mechanisms of retinal glial cells.

Perspectives on Testing Candidate Genes

Cellular Localization

Whole genome-wide analyses are powerful approaches to defining regulatory mechanisms. The next level of analyses requires the experimental confirmation of these regulatory mechanisms. A first step toward evidence of causation and mechanism of action is determining cellular localization of candidate modulators. When antibodies are available, immunohistochemistry is an efficient, and cost effective technique to determine the cellular source of gene products. We

Intrinsic:

Extrinsic:

Figure 5.4. Model of Muller cell activation by chromosome 12 network.

however found that antibodies need appropriate controls to confidently determine the cellular source. For example, we found that an ID2 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SC-489) labels interneurons of the retina. However, when we tested against retina from animals with a null-mutation for *Id2* we found virtually no difference between wild-type and knock-out animals (Appendix D, Figure D.1). The non-specificity of the ID2 antibody was surprising as the antibody in western blots recognizes an antigen that corresponds to the molecular weight of ID2, and the intensity of immunoreaction is proportional to transcript levels (Figure 4.4). LPIN1 antibody (Alpha Diagnostic, LPN11-A) is also non-specific in tissue. In both cases, antibodies were generated against small, synthetic peptides that may not reflect the protein conformation in tissues. The limited availability and reliability of antibodies to assess gene function illustrates the current limitation of protein and molecular methods to keep up with gene-discovery approaches.

Gene Dosage Effect Using BXD RI Strains

We tested the role of candidate genes during wound healing by testing the effect of gene expression dosage in BXD RI mouse strains. The natural range of gene expression in BXD RI mouse strains offers a powerful tool to test and refine hypotheses. Candidate genes whose expression dosage correlate with the degree of resistance or susceptibility of retinal wound healing provide strong evidence of wound healing modulation. In this project, we showed that high levels of expression for *Id2* and *Lpin1* correlate with lower expression levels for markers of acute phase, reactive gliosis, and cell death. Our results nicely complement published neurological studies which showed that the chromosomal region around the *Id2* and *Lpin1* loci correlate with the extent of audiogenic seizure, neuronal hearing cell death, and hippocampal adult neurogenesis [Willott and Erway, 1998; Neumann and Collins, 1991; Kempermann and Gage, 2002]. BXD RI strains also offer a system to differentiate the contribution of candidate genes to wound healing by increasing the resolution of the regulatory locus, currently at 10-30 Mb from centromere. The new BXD RI strain set developed by Peirce and colleagues [2004] offer two chromosomal recombinations between genetic markers at 11 and 22 Mb (D12Mit182 and D12Mit84) and be-

tween genetic markers at 22 and 30 Mb (D12Mit84 and D12Mit153). Additional strains (BXDA24, BXDA35, BXDA7) could help refine the correlations between candidate genes *Lpin1*, *Id2*, *Sox11*, and *AL024210* (located at 15.9, 21.6, 23.9, and 25.2 Mb) and extent of retinal wound healing. There is an important experimental design consideration: Since each BXD RI mouse strain is a unique recombination of the parental genomes, the experiment needs to include sufficient number of strains to significantly correlate gene dosage level effects on wound healing phenotype with the segregation of corresponding alleles. At a minimum, the parental strains, the F1 cross, and an additional strain from each end of the expression range need to be included in the experiment. Our data support that BXD RI strains are valuable in increasing the resolution of linkage mapping and correlating gene dosage with susceptibility or resistance to retinal injury.

In Vitro Testing

Cell culture systems can also provide evidence of modulation. Our results show that RNA interference in cultured cells is a practical way to decrease transcript levels. Short interfering oligonucleotides that target *Id2* and *Cd81* mRNAs efficiently decreased *Id2* and *Cd81* transcript levels in cultured astrocytes. The RNA interference culture system also facilitates the testing of specific hypotheses. Decreases in the levels of *Id2* and *Cd81* lead to a decrease in wound-healing rates of cultured astrocytes. We also determined that *Id2* silencing leads to a decrease in wound-healing rates by altering proliferation of cultured astrocytes. In contrast, the silencing of the cell membrane gene *Cd81* leads to a decrease of wound healing by altering the migration of cultured astrocytes [X. Wang and E. Geisert, personal observations]. Phenotypes from gene silencing experiments often resembled phenotypes from cells obtained from mice with the null-mutation. Astrocytes from the *Cd81*^{-/-} mice also display decreased wound-healing rates [X. Wang and E. Geisert, personal observations]. Likewise, our observation that lower *Id2* levels decrease proliferation rates is consistent with data from neuronal precursor cells from the *Id2*^{-/-} mice [Wang et al., 2001]. These observations support the reliability of RNA interference to define the function of a candidate gene in a specific cell type. The reductionist approach of RNA interference in cell cul-

ture is valuable in identifying downstream and upstream targets [Berns et al., 2004]. Since ID2 can regulate proliferation and differentiation by regulating transcription, future experiments will define the transcripts that are affected by *Id2* silencing. As with any gain- or loss-of-function experiments, the observations of cause and effect can be strengthened if the altered phenotype can be reversed either by genetic means or pharmacological means. In the case of RNA interference, the phenotype can be reversed by ectopic expression of the target gene. When testing of hypothesis in cell culture, one must keep in mind that while cell culture systems offer direct cellular accessibility and microenvironmental control, as well as efficient comparison between many experimental conditions, they are in artificial environments that differ from the normal, complex tissue environment. Nonetheless, in vitro systems are a reliable first line of testing that provides a feasible and cost-effective way to screen a list of candidate targets [Leveillard et al., 2004]. Moreover, cell culture systems are also amenable to manipulation to determine the mechanisms of action.

In Vivo Testing

Powerful approaches to test candidate genes in animal models include the deletion or over expression of candidate genes, as well as, the rescue or introduction of candidate mutations. Reverse genetic approaches provide a powerful way to assess gene function, but interpretations from transgenic models can be complicated by unintended complementation during development, genetic background, and epigenetic influences. One clear example is the *Rb* gene. In humans a mutation in this gene causes retinoblastoma. However in the mouse the *Rb*-null mutation fails to produce retinoblastoma due to compensation by the other members of the RB family (p107 or p130) [Dyer and Bremner, 2005]. Another concern with transgenic mice is the genetic background and flanking genes of the animals used for transgenics are important factors that can affect the interpretation of the results [Wolfer et al., 2002]. Geisert and colleagues [2002] documented that when the null mutation of *Cd81* is placed on different genetic backgrounds, there is a remarkable range in the penetrance of the null allele phenotype, demonstrating that the mutation can be affected by modifier loci. Reverse genetic approaches to determine the gene function dur-

ing wound healing also need to consider that strains differ in their response to CNS injury. For example, there are strains that display resistance to neurotoxic injury (C57BL/6, BALB/cJ, 129S1/SvImJ, C3H, ICR, SJL) and strains that are susceptible to neurotoxicity (DBA/2J, CD-1, FVB/N, 129T2 Sv/EMS, and C57BL/10) [Inman et al., 2002]. Furthermore, functional consequences of changes in gene activity are often asymmetric, meaning that the over expression and the deletion of a gene may not yield opposite phenotypes. For example, the transgenic mice overexpressing the antioxidant enzyme glutathione peroxidase 1 display increased tolerance to hyperoxia, whereas the mice lacking glutathione peroxidase 1 display no effects on animal survival under hyperoxia [Ho et al., 1998]. These studies suggest the complexity of gene expression regulation by genetic interactions and by mechanisms independent of nucleotide sequence (epigenetics).

Suggested Protocol

Based on our successes and failures we proposed the following protocol for determining and testing candidate genes: (1) confirm transcript differential due to genetic background and injury; (2) improve the power and resolution of genetic mapping by using new BXD RI strain set developed by Pierce and colleagues [2004]; (3) determine cellular co-localization of candidate gene using in situ hybridization or immunohistochemistry, (4) examine the effect of gene silencing and overexpression in the appropriate cell system and the appropriate functional assay; (5) determine the candidate gene effect on wound healing using transgenics such as mice with gene knock-in and null-mutations; and (6) if transgenics are not available or viable, the natural gene dosage of the BXD RI strains can be used to supplement (and complement) the testing of candidate genes. Of particular interest would be to compare perturbed transcriptional networks and wound-healing response in segregating populations with those in single-gene perturbation experiments. This method can address the weaknesses of forward and reverse genetic approaches [Mehrabian et al., 2005]. Specifically, the segregating population (for example, the BXD RI strain set) is used to define genetic networks associated with the complex regulation of wound healing. Single-gene

perturbation experiments (for example, the *Id2* or *Lpin1* null mice) are used to define the effects on specific transcriptional networks. The altered gene expression signature and wound-healing response in transgenics is mapped back into the segregating population and interpreted in the context where the complex trait had initially been observed. Additionally, cell culture systems can help determine the direction of flow and the gene product interactions within the network. Therefore, I propose that observations from different lines of experimental evidence are not mutually exclusive but together can add to our understanding of gene expression regulation and their relationship to the progression of wound healing.

Conclusion

The present series of studies defined global changes that occur after injury. They also defined a genetic network that modulates the retinal wound healing response. Our data supports that the chromosome 12 network intrinsically or extrinsically modulates early Muller cell activation. The upstream regulator for this network is located on a locus of chromosome 12. *Id2* and *Lpin1* are current candidate modulators for the network as higher levels of *Id2* and *Lpin1* correlated with higher levels of the survival genes, and with lower levels of reactive gliosis genes and apoptotic genes. In this body of work, I have moved beyond cataloging changes in the transcriptome to identifying candidate genes modulating the retinal response to injury. During this process I developed an integrated approach of gene expression profiling and higher-level bioinformatic analyses to define genetic networks. This work not only advances our understanding of the molecular networks controlling the CNS response to injury, but may also form the basis for interventions that can rescue injured neurons and re-establish lost CNS connections.

List of References

- Abiola O, Angel JM, Avner P, et al. The nature and identification of quantitative trait loci: a community's view. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2003;4:911-6.
- Acarin L, Gonzalez B, Castellano B. Glial activation in the immature rat brain: implication of inflammatory transcription factors and cytokine expression. *Prog Brain Res.* 2001:375-89.
- Ahmed F, Brown KM, Stephan DA, Morrison JC, Johnson EC, Tomarev SI. Microarray analysis of changes in mRNA levels in the rat retina after experimental elevation of intraocular pressure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004; 45:1247-58.
- Aitman TJ, Glazier AM, Wallace CA, et al. Identification of Cd36 (Fat) as an insulin-resistance gene causing defective fatty acid and glucose metabolism in hypertensive rats. *Nat Genet.* 1999;21:76-83.
- Alge CS, Priglinger SG, Neubauer AS, et al. Retinal pigment epithelium is protected against apoptosis by alphaB-crystallin. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2002;43:3575-82.
- Anderson DH, Ozaki S, Nealon M, et al. Local cellular sources of apolipoprotein E in the human retina and retinal pigmented epithelium: implications for the process of drusen formation. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2001;131:767-81.
- Aronica E, Vandeputte DA, van Vliet EA, Lopes da Silva FH, Troost D, Gorter JA. Expression of Id proteins increases in astrocytes in the hippocampus of epileptic rats. *Neuroreport.* 2001;12:2461-5.
- Atanasoski S, Scherer SS, Nave KA, Suter U. Proliferation of Schwann cells and regulation of cyclin D1 expression in an animal model of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A. *J Neurosci Res.* 2002;67:443-9.
- Behar-Cohen FF, Thillaye-Goldenberg B, de Bizemont T, et al. EIU in the rat promotes the potential of syngeneic retinal cells injected into the vitreous cavity to induce PVR. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41:3915-24.
- Berge KE, Tian H, Graf GA, et al. Accumulation of dietary cholesterol in sitosterolemia caused by mutations in adjacent ABC transporters. *Science.* 2000;290:1771-5.
- Berns K, Hijmans EM, Mullenders J, et al. A large-scale RNAi screen in human cells identifies new components of the p53 pathway. *Nature.* 2004;428:431-7.
- Besnard F, Brenner M, Nakatani Y, Chao R, Purohit HJ, Freese E. Multiple interacting sites regulate astrocyte-specific transcription of the human gene for glial fibrillary acidic protein. *J Biol Chem.* 1991;266:18877-83.
- Beyer A, Hollunder J, Nasheuer HP, Wilhelm T. Post-transcriptional expression regulation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on a genomic scale. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2004;3:1083-92.
- Bignami A, Dahl D. The radial glia of Müller in the rat retina and their response to injury. An immunofluorescence study with antibodies to the glial fibrillary acidic (GFA) protein. *Exp Eye Res.* 1979;28:63-9.
- Blackshaw S, Harpavat S, Trimarchi J, et al. Genomic analysis of mouse retinal development. *PLoS Biol.* 2004;2:E247.
- Boucheix C, Rubinstein E. Tetraspanins. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2001;58:1189-205.
- Bringmann A, Reichenbach A. Role of Müller cells in retinal degenerations. *Front Biosci.* 2001;6:E72-92.
- Broman KW. Mapping expression in randomized rodent genomes. *Nat Genet.* 2005; 37:209-10.
- Burke JM, Smith JM. Retinal proliferation in response to vitreous hemoglobin or iron. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1981;20:582-92.
- Butte A. The use and analysis of microarray data. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2002;1:951-60.
- Bystrykh L, Weersing E, Dontje B, Sutton S, Pletcher MT, Wiltshire T, Su AI, Vellenga E, Wang J, Manly KF, Lu L, Chesler EJ, Alberts R, Jansen RC, Williams RW, Cooke MP, de Haan G.

- Uncovering regulatory pathways that affect hematopoietic stem cell function using 'genetical genomics'. *Nat Genet.* 2005; 37:225-32.
- Campochiaro PA, Bryan JA 3rd, Conway BP, Jaccoma EH. Intravitreal chemotactic and mitogenic activity. Implication of blood-retinal barrier breakdown. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1986;104:1685-7.
- Cao W, Wen R, Li F, Cheng T, Steinberg RH. Induction of basic fibroblast growth factor mRNA by basic fibroblast growth factor in Muller cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1997;38:1358-66.
- Chaudhary J, Skinner MK. Basic helix-loop-helix proteins can act at the E-box within the serum response element of the c-fos promoter to influence hormone-induced promoter activation in Sertoli cells. *Mol Endocrinol.* 1999;13:774-86.
- Chaum E. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Int Ophthalmol Clin.* 1995;35:163-73.
- Chen H, Sharp BM. Content-rich biological network constructed by mining PubMed abstracts. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2004;5:147.
- Chen L, Dentchev T, Wong R, Hahn P, Wen R, Bennett J, Dunaief JL. Increased expression of ceruloplasmin in the retina following photic injury. *Mol Vis.* 2003;30;9:151-8.
- Chen L, Wu W, Dentchev T, et al. Light damage induced changes in mouse retinal gene expression. *Exp Eye Res.* 2004;79:239-47.
- Chesler EJ, Lu L, Wang J, Williams RW, Manly KF. WebQTL: rapid exploratory analysis of gene expression and genetic networks for brain and behavior. *Nat Neurosci.* 2004;7:485-6.
- Chesler EJ, Lu L, Shou S, et al. Complex trait analysis of gene expression uncovers polygenic and pleiotropic networks that modulate nervous system function. *Nat Genet.* 2005;37:233-42.
- Cheung VG, Conlin LK, Weber TM, et al. Natural variation in human gene expression assessed in lymphoblastoid cells. *Nat Genet.* 2003;33:422-5.
- Cho EY, Choi HL, Chan FL. Expression pattern of glycoconjugates in rat retina as analyzed by lectin histochemistry. *Histochemical J.* 2002;34:589-600.
- Chu Y, Humphrey MF, Alder VV, Constable IJ. Immunocytochemical localization of basic fibroblast growth factor and glial fibrillary acidic protein after laser photocoagulation in the Royal College of Surgeons rat. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol.* 1998;26:87-96.
- Clarke K, Geisert EE Jr. The target of the antiproliferative antibody (TAPA) in the normal and injured rat retina. *Mol Vision.* 1998;4:3.
- Darvasi A. Genomics: Gene expression meets genetics. *Nature.* 2003;422:269-70.
- Dash PK, Kobori N, Moore AN. A molecular description of brain trauma pathophysiology using microarray technology: an overview. *Neurochem Res.* 2004;29:1275-86.
- Deng Y, Yao L, Chau L, et al. N-Myc downstream-regulated gene 2 (NDRG2) inhibits glioblastoma cell proliferation. *Int J Cancer.* 2003;106:342-7.
- Di Giovanni S, Knobloch SM, Brandoli C, Aden SA, Hoffman EP, Faden AI. Gene profiling in spinal cord injury shows role of cell cycle in neuronal death. *Ann Neurol.* 2003;53:454-68.
- Diaz E, Ge Y, Yang YH, et al. Molecular analysis of gene expression in the developing pontocerebellar projection system. *Neuron.* 2002;36:417-34.
- Dijkstra S, Geisert EE Jr, Gispens WH, Bar PR, Joosten EA. Up-regulation of CD81 (target of the antiproliferative antibody; TAPA) by reactive microglia and astrocytes after spinal cord injury in the rat. *J Comp Neurol.* 2000;428:266-77.
- Dorrell MI, Aguilar E, Weber C, Friedlander M. Global gene expression analysis of the developing postnatal mouse retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004;45:1009-19.
- Duke DC, Moran LB, Turkheimer FE, Banati R, Graeber MB. Microglia in culture: what genes do they express? *Dev Neurosci.* 2004; 26:30-7.

- Dyer MA, Cepko CL. Control of Muller glial cell proliferation and activation following retinal injury. *Nat Neurosci.* 2000;3:873-80.
- Dyer MA, Bremner R. The search for the retinoblastoma cell of origin. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2005;5:91-101.
- Evans SJ, Datson NA, Kabbaj M, et al. Evaluation of Affymetrix Gene Chip sensitivity in rat hippocampal tissue using SAGE analysis. *Serial Analysis of Gene Expression. Eur J Neurosci.* 2002;16:409-13.
- Fischer AJ, Reh TA. Potential of Muller glia to become neurogenic retinal progenitor cells. *Glia.* 2003;43:70-6.
- Fisher SK, Stone J, Rex TS, Linberg KA, Lewis GP. Experimental retinal detachment: a paradigm for understanding the effects of induced photoreceptor degeneration. *Prog Brain Res.* 2001;131:679-98.
- Fisher SK, Lewis GP. Muller cell and neuronal remodeling in retinal detachment and reattachment and their potential consequences for visual recovery: a review and reconsideration of recent data. *Vision Res.* 2003;43:887-97.
- Fisher SK, Lewis GP, Linberg KA, Verardo MR. Cellular remodeling in mammalian retina: results from studies of experimental retinal detachment. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2005;24:395-431.
- Fraser AG, Marcotte EM. A probabilistic view of gene function. *Nat Genet.* 2004;36:559-64.
- Geisert EE Jr, Yang L, Irwin MH. Astrocyte growth, reactivity, and the target of the antiproliferative antibody, TAPA. *J Neurosci.* 1996;16:5478-87.
- Geller SF, Lewis GP, Fisher SK. FGFR1, signaling, and AP-1 expression after retinal detachment: reactive Muller and RPE cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2001;42:1363-9.
- Gerhardinger C, Costa MB, Coulombe MC, Toth I, Hoehn T, Grosu P. Expression of acute-phase response proteins in retinal Muller cells in diabetes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46:349-57.
- Gilbert RE, Cox AJ, Kelly DJ, et al. Localization of secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) expression in the rat eye. *Connect Tissue Res.* 1999;40:295-303.
- Gleichmann M, Buchheim G, El-Bizri H, et al. Identification of inhibitor-of-differentiation 2 (Id2) as a modulator of neuronal apoptosis. *J Neurochem.* 2002; 80:755-62.
- Gregor Z, Ryan SJ. Combined posterior contusion and penetrating injury in the pig eye. II. Histological features. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1982;66:799-804.
- Grierson I, Hiscott P, Hogg P, Robey H, Mazure A, Larkin G. Development, repair and regeneration of the retinal pigment epithelium. *Eye.* 1994;8:255-62.
- Grimm C, Wenzel A, Hafezi F, Reme CE. Gene expression in the mouse retina: the effect of damaging light. *Mol Vis.* 2000;6:252-60.
- Hack MA, Sugimori M, Lundberg C, Nakafuku M, Gotz M. Regionalization and fate specification in neurospheres: the role of Olig2 and Pax6. *Mol Cell Neurosci.* 2004;25:664-78.
- Hageman GS, Anderson DH, Johnson LV, et al. A common haplotype in the complement regulatory gene factor H (HF1/CFH) predisposes individuals to age-related macular degeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102:7227-32.
- Hemler ME. Specific tetraspanin functions. *J Cell Bio.* 2001;55:1103-7.
- Hernandez MR, Agapova OA, Yang P, Salvador-Silva M, Ricard CS, Aoi S. Differential gene expression in astrocytes from human normal and glaucomatous optic nerve head analyzed by cDNA microarray. *Glia.* 2002; 38:45-64.
- Heyer LJ, Kruglyak S, Yooseph S. Exploring expression data: identification and analysis of co-expressed genes. *Genome Res.* 1999;9:1106-15.

- Horvath CM. STAT proteins and transcriptional responses to extracellular signals. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2000;25:496-502.
- Horwitz J. Alpha-crystallin. *Exp Eye Res.* 2003;76:145-53.
- Huard JM, Forster CC, Carter ML, Sicinski P, Ross ME. Cerebellar histogenesis is disturbed in mice lacking cyclin D2. *Development.* 1999; 126:1927-35.
- Hubner N, Wallace CA, Zimdahl H, et al. Integrated transcriptional profiling and linkage analysis for identification of genes underlying disease. *Nat Genet.* 2005;37:243-53.
- Humphrey MF, Constable IJ, Chu Y, Wiffen S. A quantitative study of the lateral spread of Muller cell responses to retinal lesions in the rabbit. *J Comp Neurol.* 1993;334:545-58.
- Inman D, Guth L, Steward O. Genetic influences on secondary degeneration and wound healing following spinal cord injury in various strains of mice. *J Comp Neurol.* 2002;451:225-35.
- Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B, Speed TP. Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003;31:e15.
- Izumi Y, Shimamoto K, Benz AM, Hammerman SB, Olney JW, Zorumski CF. Glutamate transporters and retinal excitotoxicity. *Glia.* 2002;39:58-68.
- John SW. Mechanistic insights into glaucoma provided by experimental genetics the cogan lecture. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46:2649-61.
- Johnson JM, Castle J, Garrett-Engele P, et al. Genome-wide survey of human alternative pre-mRNA splicing with exon junction microarrays. *Science* 302;2003:2141–2144.
- Jones BW, Watt CB, Frederick JM, et al. Retinal remodeling triggered by photoreceptor degenerations. *J Comp Neurol.* 2003;464:1-16.
- Jones BW. Transcriptional control of glial cell development in *Drosophila*. *Dev Biol.* 2005;278:265-73.
- Karp CL, Grupe A, Schadt E, et al. Identification of complement factor 5 as a susceptibility locus for experimental allergic asthma. *Nat Immunol.* 2000;1:221-6.
- Kempermann G, Gage FH. Genetic influence on phenotypic differentiation in adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res.* 2002;134:1-12.
- Kim IK, Arroyo JG. Mechanisms in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Ophthalmol Clin North Am.* 2002;15:81-6.
- Kinouchi R, Takeda M, Yang L, et al. Robust neural integration from retinal transplants in mice deficient in GFAP and vimentin. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003;6:863-8.
- Klein JA, Ackerman SL. Oxidative stress, cell cycle, and neurodegeneration. *J Clin Invest.* 2003; 111:785-93.
- Knuppel R, Dietze P, Lehnberg W, Frech K, Wingender E. TRANSFAC retrieval program: a network model database of eukaryotic transcription regulating sequences and proteins. *J Comput Biol.* 1994;1:191-8.
- Kraft AD, Johnson DA, Johnson JA. Nuclear factor E2-related factor 2-dependent antioxidant response element activation by tert-butylhydroquinone and sulforaphane occurring preferentially in astrocytes conditions neurons against oxidative insult. *J Neurosci.* 2004;24:1101-12.
- LaVail MM, Unoki K, Yasumura D, Matthes MT, Yancopoulos GD, Steinberg RH. Multiple growth factors, cytokines, and neurotrophins rescue photoreceptors from the damaging effects of constant light. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1992;89:11249-53.
- Lee TI, Rinaldi NJ, Robert F, et al. Transcriptional regulatory networks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Science.* 2002;298:799-804.
- Leveillard T, Mohand-Said S, Lorentz O, et al. Identification and characterization of rod-derived cone viability factor. *Nat Genet.* 2004;36:755-9.

- Lewis GP, Fisher SK. Up-regulation of glial fibrillary acidic protein in response to retinal injury: its potential role in glial remodeling and a comparison to vimentin expression. *Int Rev Cytol.* 2003;230:263-90.
- Liang FQ, Godley BF. Oxidative stress-induced mitochondrial DNA damage in human retinal pigment epithelial cells: a possible mechanism for RPE aging and age-related macular degeneration. *Exp Eye Res.* 2003;76:397-403.
- Lin Z, Crockett DK, Jenson SD, Lim MS, Elenitoba-Johnson KS. Quantitative proteomic and transcriptional analysis of the response to the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase inhibitor SB203580 in transformed follicular lymphoma cells. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2004;3:820-33.
- Liou GI, Pakalnis VA, Matragoon S, et al. HGF regulation of RPE proliferation in an IL-1B/retinal hole-induced rabbit model of PVR. *Mol Vis.* 2002;8:494-501.
- Lipshutz RJ, Fodor SP, Gingeras TR, Lockhart DJ. High density synthetic oligonucleotide arrays. *Nat Genet.* 1999;21:20-4.
- Liu C, Peng M, Laties AM, Wen R. Preconditioning with bright light evokes a protective response against light damage in the rat retina. *J Neurosci.* 1998;18:1337-44.
- Liu KJ, Harland RM. Cloning and characterization of *Xenopus* Id4 reveals differing roles for Id genes. *Dev Biol.* 2003;264:339-51.
- Livesey FJ, Furukawa T, Steffen MA, Church GM, Cepko CL. Microarray analysis of the transcriptional network controlled by the photoreceptor homeobox gene *Crx*. *Curr Biol.* 2000;10:301-10.
- Lockhart DJ, Dong H, Byrne MC, et al. Expression monitoring by hybridization to high-density oligonucleotide arrays. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1996;14:1675-80.
- MacLaren RE. Development and role of retinal glia in regeneration of ganglion cells following injury. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1996;80:458-64.
- Manly KF, Wang J, Williams RW. Weighting by heritability for detection of quantitative trait loci with microarray estimates of gene expression. *Genome Biology.* 2005;6R27.
- Marc RE, Jones BW, Watt CB, Strettoi E. Neural remodeling in retinal degeneration. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2003;22:607-55.
- Marsich E, Vetere A, Di Piazza M, Tell G, Paoletti S. The PAX6 gene is activated by the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor NeuroD/BETA2. *Biochem J.* 2003;376:707-15.
- Mata J, Marguerat S, Bahler J. Post-transcriptional control of gene expression: a genome-wide perspective. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2005;30:506-14.
- Matzilevich DA, Rall JM, Moore AN, Grill RJ, Dash PK. High-density microarray analysis of hippocampal gene expression following experimental brain injury. *J Neurosci Res.* 2002;67:646-63.
- Mervin K, Valter K, Maslim J, Lewis G, Fisher S, Stone J. Limiting photoreceptor death and deconstruction during experimental retinal detachment: the value of oxygen supplementation. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1999;128:155-64.
- Mijalski T, Harder A, Halder T, et al. Identification of coexpressed gene clusters in a comparative analysis of transcriptome and proteome in mouse tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102:8621-6.
- Miller NM, Oberdorfer M. Neuronal and neuroglial responses following retinal lesions in the neonatal rats. *J Comp Neurol.* 1981;202:493-504.
- Mitchelmore C, Buchmann-Moller S, Rask L, West MJ, Troncoso JC, Jensen NA. NDRG2: a novel Alzheimer's disease associated protein. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2004;16:48-58.
- Moran LB, Duke DC, Turkheimer FE, Banati RB, Graeber MB. Towards a transcriptome definition of microglial cells. *Neurogenetics.* 2004; 5:95-108.

- Morley M, Molony CM, Weber TM, et al. Genetic analysis of genome-wide variation in human gene expression. *Nature*. 2004;430:743-7.
- Natale JE, Ahmed F, Cernak I, Stoica B, Faden AI. Gene expression profile changes are commonly modulated across models and species after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2003;20:907-27.
- Neumann PE, Collins RL. Genetic dissection of susceptibility to audiogenic seizures in inbred mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991;88:5408-12.
- Nichols NR. Ndr2, a novel gene regulated by adrenal steroids and antidepressants, is highly expressed in astrocytes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2003; 1007:349-56.
- Novatchkova M, Eisenhaber F. Can molecular mechanisms of biological processes be extracted from expression profiles? Case study: endothelial contribution to tumor-induced angiogenesis. *Bioessays*. 2001 Dec;23:1159-75.
- Otani A, Dorrell MI, Kinder K, et al. Rescue of retinal degeneration by intravitreally injected adult bone marrow-derived lineage-negative hematopoietic stem cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2004;114:765-74.
- Pagliuca A, Gallo P, De Luca P, Lania L. Class A helix-loop-helix proteins are positive regulators of several cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors' promoter activity and negatively affect cell growth. *Cancer Res*. 2000;60:1376-82.
- Pastor JC, de la Rua ER, Martin F. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy: risk factors and pathobiology. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 2002;21:127-44.
- Peterson LE. CLUSFAVOR 5.0 hierarchical cluster and principal component analysis of microarray-based transcriptional profiles. *Genome Bio*. 2002;3:SOFTWARE0002.
- Piatigorsky J. Multifunctional lens crystallins and corneal enzymes: more than meets the eye. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1998;842:7-15.
- Postel EA, Mieler WF. Posterior segment manifestations of blunt trauma. In: Guyer DR (ed): *Retina-Vitreous-Macula Vol 1*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1999:831-43.
- Quackenbush J. Computational analysis of microarray data. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2001;2:418-27.
- Radner W, Sadda SR, Humayun MS, et al. Light-driven retinal ganglion cell responses in blind rd mice after neural retinal transplantation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2001;42:1057-65.
- Rattner A, Nathans J. The genomic response to retinal disease and injury: evidence for endothelin signaling from photoreceptors to glia. *J Neurosci*. 2005 25:4540-9.
- Reed SI. Ratchets and clocks: the cell cycle, ubiquitylation and protein turnover. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2003;4:855-64.
- Reiner A, Yekutieli D, Benjamini Y. Identifying differentially expressed genes using false discovery rate controlling procedures. *Bioinformatics*. 2003;19:368-75.
- Ridet JL, Malhotra SK, Privat A, Gage FH. Reactive astrocytes: cellular and molecular cues to biological function. *Trends Neurosci*. 1997;20:570-7.
- Rogojina AT, Orr WE, Song BK, Geisert EE Jr. Comparing the use Affymetrix to spotted oligonucleotide microarrays using two retinal pigment epithelium cell lines. *Mol Vis*. 2003;9:482-96.
- Ross SE, Greenberg ME, Stiles CD. Basic helix-loop-helix factors in cortical development. *Neuron*. 2003;39:13-25.
- Ryan SJ, Stout JT, Dugel PV. Posterior penetrating ocular trauma. In: Ryan SJ (ed): *Retina Vol 3*. St Louis, CV Mosby Co.; 1994:2235-50.
- Sahel JA, Albert DM, Lessell S, Adler H, McGee TL, Konrad-Rastegar. Mitogenic effects of excitatory amino acids in the adult rat retina. *J Exp Eye Res*. 1991;53:657-64.

- Sakaguchi H, Miyagi M, Darrow RM, et al. Intense light exposure changes the crystallin content in retina. *Exp Eye Res.* 2003;76:131-3.
- Sarthy V and Ripps H. Role in retinal pathophysiology. In: *The Retinal Muller Cell: Structure and Function*. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2001. p. 181-215
- Schadt EE, Monks SA, Drake TA, et al. Genetics of gene expression surveyed in maize, mouse and man. *Nature.* 2003;422:297-302.
- Schauwecker PE, Steward O. Genetic determinants of susceptibility to excitotoxic cell death: implications for gene targeting approaches. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997;94:4103-8.
- Schena M, Shalon D, Davis RW, Brown PO. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. *Science.* 1995;270:467-70.
- Scott RE, White-Grindley E, Ruley HE, Chesler EJ, Williams RW. P2P-R expression is genetically coregulated with components of the translation machinery and with PUM2, a translational repressor that associates with the P2P-R mRNA. *J Cell Physiol.* 2005;204:99-105.
- Segal E, Friedman N, Kaminski N, Regev A, Koller D. From signatures to models: understanding cancer using microarrays. *Nat Genet.* 2005; 37 Suppl:S38-45.
- Sherry DM, Townes-Anderson E. Rapid glutamatergic alterations in the neural retina induced by retinal detachment. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41:2779-90.
- Song BK, Geisert GR, Vazquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr. Temporal regulation of CD81 following retinal injury in the rat. *Neurosci Lett.* 2003;338:29-32.
- Spellman PT, Sherlock G, Zhang MQ, et al. Comprehensive identification of cell cycle-regulated genes of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by microarray hybridization. *Mol Biol Cell.* 1998;9:3273-97.
- Sullivan CD, Geisert EE Jr. Expression of rat target of the antiproliferative antibody (TAPA) in the developing brain. *J Comp Neurol.* 1998;396:366-80.
- Stroeva OG, Mitashov VI. Retinal pigment epithelium: proliferation and differentiation during development and regeneration. *Int Rev Cytol.* 1983;83:221-93.
- Strunnikova N, Baffi J, Gonzalez A, Silk W, Cousins SW, Csaky KG. Regulated heat shock protein 27 expression in human retinal pigment epithelium. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2001;42:2130-8.
- Szabo ME, Droy-Lefaix MT, Doly M, Carre C, Braquet P. Ischemia and reperfusion-induced histologic changes in the rat retina. Demonstration of a free radical-mediated mechanism. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1991;32:1471-78.
- Szollasi J, Horejsi V, Bene L, Angelisova P, Damjanovich S. Supramolecular complexes of MHC class I, MHC class II, CD20, and tetraspan molecules (CD53, CD81, and CD82) at the surface of a B cell line JY. *J Immunol.* 1996;157:2939-46.
- Takeda M, Takamiya A, Yoshida A, Kiyama H. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation predominantly in Muller cells of retina with endotoxin-induced uveitis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2002;43:907-11.
- Tanaka S, Suzuki K, Watanabe M, Matsuda A, Tone S, Koike T. Upregulation of a new microglial gene, mrf-1, in response to programmed neuronal cell death and degeneration. *J Neurosci.* 1998;18:6358-69.
- Tange Y, Hirata A, Niwa O. An evolutionarily conserved fission yeast protein, Ned1, implicated in normal nuclear morphology and chromosome stability, interacts with Dis3, Pim1/RCC1 and an essential nucleoporin. *J Cell Sci.* 2002;115:4375-85.
- Taylor BA, Wnek C, Kotlus BS, Roemer N, MacTaggart T, Phillips SJ. Genotyping new BXD recombinant inbred mouse strains and comparison of BXD and consensus maps. *Mamm Genome.* 1999;10:335-48.

- Toma JG, El-Bizri H, Barnabe-Heider F, Aloyz R, Miller FD. Evidence that helix-loop-helix proteins collaborate with retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein to regulate cortical neurogenesis. *J Neurosci*. 2000; 20:7648-56.
- Trencia A, Perfetti A, Cassese A, et al. Protein kinase B/Akt binds and phosphorylates PED/PEA-15, stabilizing its antiapoptotic action. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2003;23:4511-21.
- Tzeng SF, Kahn M, Liva S, De Vellis J. Tumor necrosis factor- α regulation of the Id gene family in astrocytes and microglia during CNS inflammatory injury. *Glia*. 1999;26:139-52.
- Tzeng SF, Bresnahan JC, Beattie MS, de Vellis J. Upregulation of the HLH Id gene family in neural progenitors and glial cells of the rat spinal cord following contusion injury. *J Neurosci Res*. 2001;66:1161-72.
- Tzeng SF. Inhibitors of DNA binding in neural cell proliferation and differentiation. *Neurochem Res*. 2003;28:45-52.
- Vazquez-Chona F, Song BK, Geisert EE. Temporal changes in gene expression after injury in the rat retina. *Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;45:2737-46.
- Vazquez-Chona FR, Khan AN, Chan CK, et al. Genetic networks controlling retinal injury. *Mol Vis*. 2005;11:958-70.
- Vidaaurri-Leal J, Hohman R, Glaser BM. Effect of vitreous on morphologic characteristics of retinal pigment epithelial cells. A new approach to the study of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984;102:1220-3.
- Vihinen T, Maatta A, Jaakkola P, Auvinen P, Jalkanen M. Functional characterization of mouse syndecan-1 promoter. *J Biol Chem*. 1996;271:12532-41.
- Vinorez SA, Derevjaniuk NL, Vinorez MA, Okamoto N, Campochiaro PA. Sensitivity of different vascular beds in the eye to neovascularization and blood-retinal barrier breakdown in VEGF transgenic mice. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2000;476:129-38.
- Wang J, Williams RW, and Manly KF. WebQTL: Web-based complex trait analysis. *Neuroinformatics* 2003; 1:299-308.
- Wang S, Sdrulla A, Johnson JE, Yokota Y, Barres BA. A role for the helix-loop-helix protein Id2 in the control of oligodendrocyte development. *Neuron*. 2001;29:603-14.
- Wang Y, Smith SB, Ogilvie JM, McCool DJ, Sarthy V. Ciliary neurotrophic factor induces glial fibrillary acidic protein in retinal Muller cells through the JAK/STAT signal transduction pathway. *Curr Eye Res*. 2002;24:305-12.
- Wax MB, Tezel G. Neurobiology of glaucomatous optic neuropathy: diverse cellular events in neurodegeneration and neuroprotection. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2002;26:45-55.
- Williams RW, Strom RC, Zhou G, Yan Z. Genetic dissection of retinal development. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 1998;9:249-55.
- Willott JF, Erway LC. Genetics of age-related hearing loss in mice. IV. Cochlear pathology and hearing loss in 25 BXD recombinant inbred mouse strains. *Hear Res*. 1998;119:27-36.
- Wilson AS, Hobbs BG, Shen WY, Speed TP, Schmidt U, Begley CG, Rakoczy PE. Argon laser photocoagulation-induced modification of gene expression in the retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2003; 44:1426-34.
- Wolfer DP, Crusio WE, Lipp HP. Knockout mice: simple solutions to the problems of genetic background and flanking genes. *Trends Neurosci*. 2002;25:336-40.
- Wong P, Ulyanova T, Organisciak DT, et al. Expression of multiple forms of clusterin during light-induced retinal degeneration. *Curr Eye Res*. 2001;23:157-65.
- Wu T, Chen Y, Chiang SK, Tso MO. NF- κ B activation in light-induced retinal degeneration in a mouse model. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2002;43:2834-40.

- Xi J, Farjo R, Yoshida S, Kern TS, Swaroop A, Andley UP. A comprehensive analysis of the expression of crystallins in mouse retina. *Mol Vis*. 2003;9:410-9.
- Yang E, Lerner L, Besser D, Darnell JE Jr. Independent and cooperative activation of chromosomal c-fos promoter by STAT3. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278:15794-9.
- Yang P, Agapova O, Parker A, Shannon W, Pecan P, Duncan J, Salvador-Silva M, Hernandez MR. DNA microarray analysis of gene expression in human optic nerve head astrocytes in response to hydrostatic pressure. *Physiol Genomics*. 2004; 17:157-69.
- Yokota Y, Mori S. Role of Id family proteins in growth control. *J Cell Physiol*. 2002; 190:21-8.
- Yoshimura N, Kikuchi T, Kuroiwa S, Gaun S. Differential temporal and spatial expression of immediate early genes in retinal neurons after ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2003;44:2211-20.
- Zhao S, Rizzolo LJ, Barnstable CJ. Differentiation and transdifferentiation of the retinal pigment epithelium. *Int Rev Cytol*. 1997;171:225-66.
- Zhou X, Li F, Kong L, Tomita H, Li C, Cao W. Involvement of inflammation, degradation, and apoptosis in a mouse model of glaucoma. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280:31240-8.

Appendix A

Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) Report

Array design description

Array used: Affymetrix rat genome U34A (see details of this array at www.affymetrix.com)

Experimental design

- 1) *Authors and contact information:* Félix Vázquez-Chona, Bong K. Song, and Eldon E. Geisert, Jr.

Eldon E. Geisert, Jr.
Department of Ophthalmology
University of Tennessee Health Science Center
855 Monroe Avenue
Memphis, Tennessee 38163, USA
Phone (901) 448-7740
Fax (901) 448-5028
Email: egeisert@utmem.edu

- 2) *Type of experiment:*
 - a. Expression of gene in normal retina vs. injured retina
 - b. Time course expression
- 3) *Experimental factors:*
 - a. Effect of injury on gene expression
 - b. Effect of survival time on gene expression
- 4) *Number of hybridizations:* 18
- 5) *Quality controls:*
 - a. The expression profiles ($n = 18$ arrays) met a strict set of quality-control parameters. Housekeeping genes displayed consistent values and 5' to 3' ratios of less than 3. The average percentage of "Affymetrix present" calls across all arrays was $40.0 \pm 1.1\%$. The intensity profiles ($\log_2$ scale) for all the arrays showed a normal distribution with a mean at 6.59 ± 0.04 and an average standard deviation (σ^2) of 2.32 ± 0.04 . Comparison of expression profiles among arrays from independent replicates of the same experimental group ($n = 3$ arrays/group) showed a within-group average correlation of 0.92 ± 0.01 .
 - b. All arrays were done in triplicate with biological replicates (i.e., RNA from a separate pool of animals).
 - c. Real-time PCR reactions validated results for the following genes: *Fos*, *Fos11* (*Fra-1*), *Il1b*, *Irf1*, *Cryab*, *Crygd*, *Cd81*, and *Gfap*.
- 6) *Description of experiments:* We examined gene expression levels in the normal rat retina, and injured rat retinas with 4 hours and 1, 3, 7, and 30 day survival time.

Sample description

- 1) *Bio-source properties:*
 - a. For gene expression studies, we used 36 adult male Sprague Dawley rats.
 - b. We anesthetized 36 experimental animals by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (13 mg/kg) and ketamine (87 mg/kg), then induced a retinal tear in both eyes of each rat. For this purpose, we used a 27-gauge needle to penetrate the pars plana, then scraped the superior temporal retina medially to laterally. Care was taken to prevent lens and sclera damage.

- c. Twelve control animals received no injury.
 - d. All animals were sacrificed by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (26 mg/kg) and ketamine (174 mg/kg).
- 2) *Biomaterial manipulations:*
- a. We anesthetized 36 experimental animals by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (13 mg/kg) and ketamine (87 mg/kg), then induced a retinal tear in both eyes of each rat. For this purpose, we used a 27-gauge needle to penetrate the pars plana, then scraped the superior temporal retina medially to laterally. Care was taken to prevent lens and sclera damage.
 - b. Experimental animals were split in groups of 6 and had survival times of 4 hours and 1, 3, 7, and 30 days.
 - c. Twelve control animals received no injury.
 - d. Control and injured animals were sacrificed by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of xylazine (26 mg/kg) and ketamine (174 mg/kg).
 - e. Retinas used for expression studies were dissected and examined under a stereomicroscope, SXZ12 (Olympus, Japan), and immediately processed.
- 3) *Extract preparation:* For total RNA extraction, we used the TRIzol method (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and confirmed the integrity of RNA with the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA).
- 4) *cDNA synthesis, labeling, and hybridization:*
- a. Using Genome Explorations (Memphis, TN), we synthesized, labeled, and hybridized cRNA onto arrays according to standard Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA) methods previously described by Rogojina et al. in *Mol Vis.* (2003) 9:482-96.
 - b. For additional information on hybridization procedures and reagents please visit www.affymetrix.com.

Data analysis

- 1) *Measurements:* We scanned arrays on the Agilent Gene Array scanner (Affymetrix).
- 2) *Image analysis:* We measured gene expression levels with Microarray Suite 5.0 (MAS 5.0; Affymetrix) using a target signal of 250. For details on the MAS 5.0 algorithms please see www.affymetrix.com. We also obtained Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) values using RMAexpress (<http://stat-www.berkeley.edu/~bolstad/RMAExpress/RMAExpress.html>). For details on RMA algorithms please see Irizarry RA, et al. (2003) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31:e15.
- 3) *Transformation and Normalization:* The most widely used alternative transformation of the signals and ratios is the logarithm base 2, which has the advantage of producing a continuous and broad spectrum of values and treating up- and downregulated genes in a similar fashion [Quackenbush J, (2001) *Nat Rev Genet.* 2:418]. For temporal analysis of the data and comparison between data extraction methods, the mean intensity for each microarray was normalized to 8 ($\log_2$ scale). Total intensity normalization is a well-established method to normalize microarrays [Quackenbush J, (2001) *Nat Rev Genet.* 2:418; Di Giovanni S et al. (2003) *Ann Neurol.* 53:454]. These transformations yielded MAS 5.0 signal intensities ranging from 1 to 18 relative units of fluorescence.
- 4) *Data filtering:* To determine a “present” signal threshold in our system, we plotted the coefficient of variation versus the averaged signal value and determined the threshold signal yielding stable coefficients of variation. From this analysis, the “present” signal threshold was set at 8.64. Below this threshold, signals displayed coefficients of variation greater than 10%, while their variance increased exponentially (Supplemental Fig. 2.1). Using this

criterion, 4,480 (50.9%) of the genes met the “present” criterion in at least one condition and were considered for further analysis.

- 5) *Normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA comparison*: We compared the “present” and normalized MAS 5.0 signals to RMA values and found an average correlation of 0.92 ± 0.01 (Table B.3). This result is consistent with studies comparing the standard deviation of RMA and MAS 5.0 to absolute expression [Fig. 1 in Irizarry RA, et al. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003;31:e15]. We also compared the MAS 5.0, RMA, and RT-PCR fold changes for *Fos*, *Fos11* (*Fra-1*), *Il1b*, *Irf1*, *Cryab*, *Crygd*, *Cd81*, and *Gfap* at 4 hours, 3 days and 30 days after injury (Table 3 within paper). For these genes, fold changes measured with normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA values were highly similar ($r = 0.89$). Both MAS 5.0 and RMA fold changes were similar in magnitude and direction to fold changes obtained with RT-PCR. However, RT-PCR fold changes had higher correlations with normalized MAS 5.0 ($r = 0.76$) than with RMA ($r = 0.58$). Together, the high correlation between normalized MAS 5.0 values and RMA values, and the similarity between microarray fold changes and RT-PCR fold changes, confirm the reliability of our “present” signals, which eliminate genes with low expression signals. Thus, we have used the normalized MAS 5.0 values for our subsequent analysis.
- 6) *Differentially expressed genes*: We used several statistical tools and stringent criteria to identify differentially expressed genes. First, we eliminated genes with low expression values from our analysis. Previous studies comparing MAS 5.0 results to serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) results have shown that MAS 5.0 and SAGE reliably detect medium- to high-abundance transcripts in complex tissues like the brain [Evans SJ, et al. (2002) *Eur J Neurosci.* 16:409]. Our criterion for selecting reliable (i.e., present) signals for further analysis is described in the Results section under the heading Data extraction and normalization. Second, we considered a gene as differentially expressed if the fold change was > 1.7 and if the change was significant at the $P < 0.02$ level using Student’s *t*-test (Table 2). Third, we determined the expected proportion of significant changes by using the false discovery rate (FDR) of Benjamini and Hochberg (Table 2). The average FDR for our injured vs. control comparisons was $21 \pm 5.1\%$. This FDR level is consistent with studies in our lab comparing the reliability of MAS 5.0 signals to detect true biological changes [Rogojina A, et al. (2003) *Mol Vis.* 9:482]. Fourth, to further eliminate false positives we present only genes whose expression pattern have well-defined pattern across 5 survival times (4 hours, and 1, 3, 7, and 30 days; Fig. 2.2; Tables B.4 and B.5). Thus, looking at genes that are clustered (i.e., coexpressed) decreases further the potential for false positives [Heyer LJ, et al. 1999 *Genome Res.* 9:1106].

Availability of microarray data

The raw MAS 5.0 data set is publicly available at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), a gene expression and hybridization array data repository. A list of experimental conditions, samples and GEO accession numbers is provided in Table B.1.

Appendix B

Supplemental Material For Chapter 2

Table B.1. Experimental conditions, samples, and GEO accession numbers.

Experimental condition	Sample and array code	Accession No.
Control	EEG034	GSM15760
	EEG036	GSM15761
	EEG094	GSM15762
4h injury	EEG078	GSM15763
	EEG081	GSM15764
	EEG082	GSM15765
1d injury	EEG076	GSM15766
	EEG079	GSM15768
	EEG080	GSM15769
3d injury	EEG069	GSM15770
	EEG070	GSM15772
	EEG075	GSM15773
7d injury	EEG011	GSM15779
	EEG012	GSM15780
	EEG077	GSM15781
30d injury	EEG018	GSM15782
	EEG019	GSM15783
	EEG093	GSM15784

The raw MAS 5.0 data set is publicly available at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under the GEO accession numbers listed here and as the group series GSE1001. Each sample represents a biological replicate with eyes pooled from 2 animals.

Table B.2. Oligonucleotides used for real-time RT-PCR.

Gene	Accession No.	Sequence (5' 3')	Product (bp)
<i>Fos</i>	XM_234422	AGAATCCGAAGGGAAAGGAA GGTCATTGAGAAGAGGCAGG	319
<i>Fosl1</i>	NM_012953	GGATGGTGCAGCCTCATTT CATCCTCCAACCTTGTCGGTC	273
<i>Il1b</i>	NM_031512	ACCCAAGCACCTTCTTTTCC AGACAGCACGAGGCATTTTT	149
<i>Irf1</i>	NM_012591	CAGGGCTGAGCTGGATCAAT CGGAACAGACAGGCATCCTT	103
<i>Cryab</i>	NM_012935	TCCTTTCCACTCCCCAAG TGTCCTTCTCCATACGCATC	176
<i>Crygd</i>	NM_033095	AGCAGTGGATGGGTTTCAG GTGGAATCGGTCCTGGAG	152
<i>Cd81</i>	XM_346575	CTGTTTGCTGTGAGGTAGC TCAGTGTGGTCAGCGTATTG	196
<i>Gfap</i>	NM_017009	AGGGACAATCTCACACAGGAC CTCCAGCGACTCAACCTTC	162
<i>Rps18</i>	XM_215328	CTCGCTCCTCTCCTACTTGG ACCGGGTTGGTTTTGATCT	119

Figure B.1. Effect of expression level on coefficient of variation. To determine a “present” signal threshold in our system, we plotted the coefficient of variation versus the averaged signal value and determined the threshold signal yielding stable coefficients of variation. From this analysis, the “present” signal threshold was set at 8.64. Below this threshold, signals displayed coefficients of variation greater than 10%, while their variance increased exponentially. Using this criterion, 4,480 (50.9%) of the genes met the “present” criterion in at least one condition and were considered for further analysis.

Table B.3. Correlation between normalized MAS 5.0 and RMA signals.

Experiment	Correlation
Ctrl	0.91
4h	0.91
1d	0.92
3d	0.93
7d	0.91
30d	0.93
Average	0.92 ± 0.01

Table B.4. Gene clusters in the injured retina.

Ctrl	4h	1d	3d	7d	30d	Symbol	Response	Fold Change			ProbsetID		
								Early	Delayed	Late			
						1	Pak3	Early	6.0	3.9	2.9	rc_AA997367_s_at	
							2	Pde4b	Early	1.8	1.8	1.7	rc_AA799729_g_at
							3	Plat	Early	7.8	2.5	1.9	M23697_at
							4	Cebpd	Early	2.5	2.2	3.0	M65149_at
							5	RT-BM1	Early	2.1	2.0	2.1	AF029240_g_at
							6	Krt1-14*	Early	2.4	2.0	2.5	rc_AI639415_at
							7	Akap12	Early	2.3	1.1	1.6	U41453_at
							8	Nfkb1	Early	3.8	1.7	2.0	L26267_at
							9	Cxcl10	Early	5.5	1.0	2.9	U17035_s_at
							10	Btg2	Early	2.6	1.2	1.6	M60921_g_at
							11	Zfp361l	Early	2.5	1.5	1.7	rc_AI136891_at
							12	Ssecks322	Early	3.6	2.0	1.6	U75404UTR#1_s_at
							13	Il6r	Early	2.1	1.3	1.4	M58587_at
							14	Kcnj1	Early	1.9	1.2	1.1	S78154_at
							15	EST	Early	1.8	1.3	(1.2)	rc_H33084_at
							16	Cbfb	Early	2.0	1.5	1.2	AF087437UTR#1_s_at
							17	Igfals	Early	2.0	1.5	1.0	S46785_at
							18	Nr4a1	Early	2.4	(1.6)	(1.6)	U17254_g_at
							19	Mat2a	Early	3.0	1.5	(1.0)	J05571_s_at
							20	Arhgap7	Early	2.4	1.3	1.2	D31962cds_at
							21	PDP1	Early	1.9	1.5	1.0	AF062740_at
							22	Egr1	Early	3.4	(2.9)	(3.4)	rc_AI176662_s_at
							23	Fos	Early	2.6	(1.1)	(1.1)	X06769cds_g_at
							24	Ugt1a7	Early	1.9	1.7	1.2	AF039212mRNA_s_at
							25	Ndufa6*	Early	1.7	1.3	1.1	rc_AI229924_at
							26	EST	Early	1.7	1.7	1.2	U21721mRNA_at
							27	Cd36l2	Early	1.7	1.4	1.2	D10587_at
							28	Qpct	Early	2.5	1.5	(1.0)	AF039308_at
							29	Mak	Early	2.0	1.8	1.3	M35862_at
							30	Egr1	Early	6.6	(1.3)	(1.7)	U75397UTR#1_s_at
							31	Slc2a3	Early	2.6	1.4	1.2	D13962_g_at
							32	Insig1	Early	2.5	1.4	1.2	L13619_g_at
							33	EST	Early	2.6	(1.9)	(1.8)	X03347cds_g_at
							34	Cst4	Early	2.5	1.8	1.3	M75281_at
							35	Crem	Early	2.9	(1.2)	(1.4)	U04835_at
							36	Nup153	Early	2.1	1.8	(1.2)	rc_AI177052_at
							37	Insig1	Early	2.0	1.2	1.1	L13619_at
							38	Jag1	Early	2.9	1.4	1.0	L38483_at
							39	Stc1	Early	5.0	1.6	1.1	U62667_at
							40	AF-6	Early	2.0	1.2	1.3	rc_AA859702_at
							41	Gnaq	Early	2.1	1.3	1.3	Y17164UTR#1_at
							42	Drd5	Early	1.8	1.4	1.1	M69118cds_at
							43	Gucy2e	Early	2.6	1.7	1.5	L36029_at
							44	p65	Early	2.7	1.7	1.3	X52772cds_at
							45	ESTs	Early	2.2	1.8	1.5	rc_H31078_at
							46	PLA2IR	Early	2.3	1.4	1.2	D30781_at
							47	Fosl1	Early	41.9	1.6	(1.0)	M19651_at
							48	Zfp361l	Early	2.3	1.1	1.4	rc_AI112516_at
							49	EST	Early	2.0	1.3	1.6	rc_AI176491_at
							50	Pex11a	Early	2.1	1.8	1.6	AJ224120_at
							51	Atf3	Early	3.3	1.3	1.7	M63282_at
							52	Pde4b	Early	2.2	1.8	1.5	M25350_s_at
							53	Igf1	Early	2.1	1.6	1.4	M15481_g_at
							54	Mt1a	Early	2.0	(1.3)	1.1	rc_AI102562_at
							55	Cish3	Early	5.7	3.0	1.9	AF075383_at
							56	Ctsl	Early	2.4	2.1	1.4	S85184_g_at
							57	ESTs	Early	1.8	1.6	1.3	rc_AA875633_at
							58	Bax	Early	1.9	1.4	1.7	S78284_s_at
							59	Rnf4	Early	1.8	2.0	1.2	AF022081_at
							60	Tpm3	Early	3.8	2.2	1.7	L24776_at
							61	Camk2d	Early	2.4	2.5	1.2	rc_AI009268_at
							62	Prkacb	Early	3.1	2.7	1.6	D10770_s_at

Table B.4. (continued). Gene clusters in the injured retina.

Ctrl	4h	1d	3d	7d	30d	Fold Change						
						Symbol	Response	Early	Delayed	Late	ProbesetID	
						63	Ttgn1	Delayed	1.7	2.2	1.3	X53565_at
						64	Vcam1	Delayed†	5.1	14.0	7.6	M84488_at
						65	EST	Delayed	2.0	2.6	1.1	rc_AI236484_at
						66	Il1b	Delayed†	3.9	2.4	1.7	M98820_at
						67	P2ry4	Delayed†	6.6	4.4	4.2	Y11433_s_at
						68	Tubb1	Delayed	1.2	2.3	1.5	AB011679_at
						69	EST	Delayed	1.5	2.0	1.6	rc_AA893743_g_at
						70	Agtpbp1*	Delayed	1.3	3.7	2.2	rc_AA891864_at
						71	Stat3	Delayed†	5.2	3.7	2.1	X91810_at
						72	Dnajb9	Delayed	1.7	2.5	1.2	rc_AI011998_at
						73	Prosl	Delayed	1.3	2.9	1.5	U06230_s_at
						74	Irf1	Delayed	3.8	3.8	3.0	M34253_g_at
						75	Ywhae	Delayed	2.2	2.5	1.1	rc_AA965154_at
						76	Lcn2	Delayed	9.8	35.5	28.0	rc_AA946503_at
						77	Ptn	Delayed	1.6	2.5	2.2	rc_AI102795_at
						78	A2m	Delayed	27.9	54.7	43.0	M22670cds_at
						79	Itgb1	Delayed	2.1	3.9	2.4	rc_AI177366_at
						80	D123	Delayed	1.8	2.1	1.5	U34843_g_at
						81	Dlg1	Delayed	1.6	4.3	1.9	U14950_at
						82	Arl5	Delayed	2.1	2.4	1.5	rc_AA875135_at
						83	Crot	Delayed	1.6	2.9	1.4	U26033_at
						84	Cox7a	Delayed	2.8	2.6	1.6	U75927UTR#1_at
						85	Ywhaq	Delayed	2.8	2.8	1.5	rc_AI229407_at
						86	Ctsc	Delayed	1.5	4.1	2.2	D90404_g_at
						87	Akap11	Delayed	1.9	2.8	1.4	U48288_at
						88	unr	Delayed	2.7	3.0	1.6	rc_AA957961_at
						89	Cntn1	Delayed	2.2	3.3	1.6	D38492_at
						90	Eif5	Delayed	2.6	3.0	1.4	K01677_at
						91	EST	Delayed	1.8	2.4	1.6	rc_AI639367_at
						92	Lman1	Delayed	2.0	3.1	1.5	U44129_at
						93	Ania4	Delayed	8.4	7.8	2.7	AF030089UTR#1_at
						94	Lamp2	Delayed	1.8	3.3	1.9	D90211_s_at
						95	Litaf	Delayed	3.7	5.5	4.1	U53184_at
						96	Pik3r3	Delayed	3.1	3.4	2.5	D64047_at
						97	Eef1a2	Delayed	3.4	4.9	1.9	rc_AI008852_at
						98	EST	Delayed	2.6	4.5	2.0	rc_AA892522_at
						99	Casp3	Delayed	1.8	4.6	1.9	U49930_at
						100	Gludins	Delayed	3.1	4.6	1.8	D11439_s_at
						101	Casp3	Delayed	3.2	6.9	3.0	U84410_s_at
						102	IMPG2	Delayed	3.5	6.0	2.1	U76717_at
						103	Gp38	Delayed	2.4	3.7	2.2	U92081mRNA_s_at
						104	EST	Delayed	1.3	1.8	1.5	rc_AA800016_at
						105	Vhl	Delayed	1.2	1.8	1.5	U14746_at
						106	Arl1	Delayed	1.2	2.0	1.4	rc_AA875253_at
						107	Sv2b	Delayed	1.3	1.7	1.4	rc_AI639484_at
						108	EST	Delayed	1.3	1.8	1.6	rc_AA866369_at
						109	EST	Delayed	1.2	2.0	1.3	rc_AA891978_at
						110	Impa1	Delayed	1.3	2.4	1.6	rc_AA801286_at
						111	Plrg1	Delayed	1.4	2.2	1.5	rc_AI639353_at
						112	Inpp4	Delayed	1.3	1.8	1.1	U96921_at
						113	Gif	Delayed	1.9	4.1	2.0	D45199cds_s_at
						114	Fuca	Delayed	1.4	2.3	1.9	X16145_at
						115	Nap111	Delayed	1.4	2.6	1.8	rc_AA859920_at
						116	Ap3m1	Delayed	1.5	2.2	1.3	L07073_at
						117	EST	Delayed	1.3	2.1	1.6	rc_AA800034_at
						118	EST	Delayed	(1.2)	1.9	1.5	rc_AA859933_at
						119	Abi2	Delayed	1.2	1.8	1.8	U94904_s_at
						120	EST	Delayed	1.8	2.1	1.4	rc_AA891220_at
						121	EST	Delayed	(1.0)	1.7	1.5	rc_AA892270_g_at
						122	Cd82	Delayed†	1.0	1.8	1.4	rc_AI231213_at
						123	P4hb	Delayed†	1.1	1.7	1.5	X02918_at
						124	Ppp2rb	Delayed	1.2	2.2	1.5	rc_AI103238_at
						125	Lim2	Delayed†	1.1	2.5	2.0	S55224_s_at

Table B.4. (continued). Gene clusters in the injured retina.

Ctrl	4h	1d	3d	7d	30d	Fold Change						
						Symbol	Response	Early	Delayed	Late	ProbsetID	
						126	RT1Aw2	Late [†]	(1.0)	2.0	1.9	M24026_f_at
						127	Lhx2	Late [†]	(1.7)	2.3	1.7	L06804_at
						128	Lip1	Late [†]	(1.1)	3.4	2.0	S81497_s_at
						129	Lip1	Late	(1.5)	2.4	1.9	S81497_i_at
						130	Tpm3	Late	1.5	2.0	1.9	S82383_s_at
						131	Hsd17b4	Late	1.1	2.0	1.9	rc_AI013834_s_at
						132	Cp	Late [†]	2.1	13.3	5.9	rc_AA817854_s_at
						133	Gtpi*	Late [†]	2.1	11.4	5.3	rc_AA891944_at
						134	Mx3	Late [†]	2.7	4.8	8.7	X52713_at
						135	Fcgr3	Late [†]	1.7	11.5	6.0	M32062_g_at
						136	Anxa5	Late [†]	1.4	2.7	2.3	D42137exon_s_at
						137	Cd82	Late [†]	1.3	3.1	2.2	rc_AI231213_g_at
						138	Spin2c	Late	2.8	32.9	8.7	D00753_at
						139	EST	Late	1.1	1.5	1.7	rc_AA800199_at
						140	Cntf	Late	1.5	3.0	2.6	rc_AA892559_at
						141	Snn	Late	(1.1)	1.7	2.0	M81639_at
						142	Aprt	Late	1.7	3.2	3.1	rc_AI177096_at
						143	Gsta1	Late	1.5	2.3	2.5	S72505_f_at
						144	Prkr	Late	1.3	3.7	2.6	L29281_at
						145	Cd81	Late	1.1	1.8	1.8	rc_AI103957_g_at
						146	Sparc	Late	2.0	5.5	5.8	rc_AA891204_s_at
						147	Sparc	Late	1.6	3.3	3.3	Y13714_at
						148	Mgp	Late	1.3	3.0	5.5	rc_AI012030_at
						149	Slc1a4	Late	1.1	2.8	3.1	S59158_at
						150	Lyz	Late	4.8	37.4	31.3	rc_AA892775_at
						151	Idh2	Late	1.0	2.0	1.9	rc_AA891785_g_at
						152	Arpc1b	Late	1.4	4.1	3.2	AF083269_g_at
						153	Slc25a20	Late	(1.3)	2.0	1.8	rc_AA800120_at
						154	Ctsh	Late	(1.0)	2.5	2.4	M38135_at
						155	Fcgr3	Late	1.9	18.9	13.6	M32062_at
						156	Cp	Late	2.0	10.2	9.4	L33869_at
						157	Apoe	Late	1.1	1.8	2.7	X04979_at
						158	RT1.Da u	Late	3.2	14.0	13.9	M15562_g_at
						159	Pmp22	Late	(1.1)	1.8	2.0	S55427_s_at
						160	Pap2b	Late	(1.3)	4.9	3.5	rc_AA924925_at
						161	C1s	Late	(9.1)	3.8	3.9	D88250_at
						162	Apobec1	Late	(1.7)	11.8	5.7	L07114_at
						163	Cd9	Late	1.1	2.7	2.5	X76489cds_g_at
						164	RT1.Da u	Late	1.3	6.1	4.7	M15562_at
						165	Ctss	Late	(1.1)	2.6	5.8	L03201_at
						166	Cryba1	Late	1.1	6.0	6.2	AF013248_at
						167	Cd48	Late	(1.3)	5.3	3.8	X13016_at
						168	Snea	Late	1.5	2.4	2.6	S73007_g_at
						169	Cryab	Late	1.6	6.3	7.4	X60351cds_s_at
						170	C1r	Late	(1.1)	4.2	5.0	rc_AA799803_at
						171	RT1.Mb	Late	(1.3)	6.4	5.7	rc_AI171966_at
						172	Crygd	Late	(1.8)	5.0	15.1	X57169_f_at
						173	Cryge	Late	(1.4)	3.6	9.0	M19357cds_f_at
						174	A2m	Late	2.0	5.4	6.1	M23566exon_s_at
						175	Ppicap	Late	(1.1)	2.5	2.8	AF065438_at
						176	A2m	Late	1.4	5.7	5.9	rc_AA900582_at
						177	Crygd	Late	(1.4)	7.9	29.6	X57169_i_at
						178	Ptgfr	Late	1.5	4.8	6.0	rc_AI170268_at
						179	Gfap	Late	1.4	7.8	16.8	AF028784cds#1_s_at
						180	Crybb2	Late	1.3	7.4	14.7	X83671cds_i_at
						181	Bfsp1	Late	(1.1)	20.1	27.7	AB003104_at
						182	Cryaa	Late	1.0	6.5	8.3	U47921_s_at
						183	Grifin	Late	1.1	11.1	13.9	AF082160_at
						184	C1qb	Late	1.1	29.1	72.6	X71127_g_at
						185	RT1.C/E	Late	1.2	3.5	5.1	rc_AI235890_s_at
						186	Gfap	Late	1.3	10.4	19.1	AF028784mRNA#1_s_at
						187	Cd74	Late	(1.0)	18.5	37.2	X14254cds_g_at
						188	mrf-1*	Late	(1.3)	13.3	33.7	U10894_s_at
						189	C3	Late	(5.8)	8.2	33.6	M29866_s_at

Supplementary Table B.4. (*continued*). Upregulated genes and their clusters

Ctrl	4h	1d	3d	7d	30d	Fold Change						
						Symbol	Response	Early	Delayed	Late	ProbsetID	
						190	C3	Late	(4.9)	5.4	17.0	X52477_at
						191	Crybb2	Late	(1.2)	6.7	13.3	X16072_at
						192	Clu	Late	1.4	3.0	3.9	M64733mRNA_s_at
						193	Scyb13*	Late	2.7	3.7	17.3	rc_AA892854_at
						194	Dpysl4	Late	1.5	2.0	3.1	U52103_at

Upregulated genes (fold change > 1.7 and $P < 0.02$) were clustered with CLUSFAVOR 6.0 [Peterson, 2002] using principal component analysis. Using the first 3 components (at least 98% of the variability) and correlations $\geq \pm 0.6$, we identified 3 major responses: the early, delayed, and late, sustained response. The early fold change represents the expression change at 4 hours. The delayed fold change corresponds to the average change at 1 and 3 days. The late change is the averaged fold change at 7 and 30 days. Values in parentheses represent negative fold changes.

* Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) whose identity was defined by gene homology.

† Clustered genes whose correlations were high on adjacent clusters.

Shades of color represent standardized levels of expression as follows:

-1.6	-1.4	-1.2	-1.00	-0.8	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	-0	+0	0.20	0.40	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6
------	------	------	-------	------	------	------	------	----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table B.5. Gene functional clusters in injured retina

Symbol	Name	GenBank	Cluster [†]	Fold Change		
				Early	Delayed	Late
<i>1 Transcription</i>						
Atf3	Activating transcription factor 3	M63282	E	3.3	1.3	1.7
Cbfb	Core binding protein beta	AF087437	E	2.0	1.5	1.2
Cebpd	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein delta	M65149	E	2.5	2.2	3.0
Crem	CAMP responsive element modulator	U04835	E	2.9	(1.2)	(1.4)
Egr1	Early growth response 1 (Krox-24)	AI176662	E	3.4	(2.9)	(3.4)
Fos	c-fos	X06769	E	2.6	(1.1)	(1.1)
Fosl1	Fos-like antigen 1 (Fra-1)	M19651	E	41.9	1.6	(1.0)
Irf1	Interferon regulatory factor 1	M34253	D	3.8	3.8	3.0
Isl1	ISL1 transcription factor	S69329	E	1.7	1.7	1.7
Lhx2	LIM homeobox protein 2	L06804	D, L	(1.7)	2.3	1.7
Nfkb1	Nuclear factor kappa B p105	L26267	E	3.8	1.7	2.0
Nr4a1	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4a1	U17254	E	2.4	(1.6)	(1.6)
Plrg1	Pleiotropic regulator 1	AI639353	D	1.4	2.1	1.5
Rnf4	Ring finger protein 4	AF022081	E	1.8	2.0	1.2
Stat3	Stat3	X91810	D	5.2	3.7	2.1
Zfp361l	Zinc finger protein 36, C3H type-like 1	AI136891	E	2.5	1.5	1.7
<i>2 Cell cycle and growth</i>						
Abi2	abl-interactor 2	U94904	D	1.2	1.8	1.8
Akap11	A kinase anchor protein 11	U48288	D	1.9	2.7	1.4
Akap12	A kinase anchor protein 12	U41453	E	2.3	1.1	1.6
Arhgap7	Rho GTPase activating protein 7	D31962	E	2.4	1.3	1.2
Btg2	B-cell translocation gene 2	M60921	E	2.6	1.2	1.6
Cd82	Cd82 (Kai1)	AI231213	D, L	1.3	3.1	2.2
D123	Cell cycle related D123	U34843	D	1.8	2.1	1.5
Dlg1	Discs-large homolog 1	U14950	D	1.6	4.3	1.9
Igf1	Insulin-like growth factor 1	M15481	E	2.1	1.6	1.4
Igfals	IGF binding protein complex acid-labile	S46785	E	2.0	1.5	1.0
Insig1	Growth response protein CL-6	L13619	E	2.5	1.4	1.2
Itgb1	Integrin, beta 1	AI177366	D	2.1	3.8	2.4
Lcn2	Lipocalin 2	AA946503	D	9.8	35.5	28.0
Nap111	Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1	AA859920	D	1.4	2.6	1.8
Pak3	p21 CDKN1A activated kinase 3	AA997367	E	6.0	3.9	2.9
Ppap2b	Phosphatidic acid phosphatase type 2B	AA924925	L	(1.3)	4.9	3.5
Prkr	interferon-inducible Eif2ak2	L29281	L	1.3	3.7	2.6
Ptn	Pleiotrophin (Hbnf)	AI102795	D	1.6	2.5	2.2
Ssecks322	Src- and ras-suppressed gene, 322	U75404	E	3.6	2.0	1.5
Vhl	Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome	U14746	D	1.2	1.8	1.5
Ywhae	14-3-3E	AA965154	D	2.2	2.5	1.1
Ywhaq	14-3-3Q	AI229407	D	2.8	2.8	1.5
<i>3 Apoptosis and cell death</i>						
Apobec1	Apolipoprotein B editing protein	L07114	L	(1.7)	11.8	5.7
Bax	Bcl2-associated X protein	S78284	E	1.9	1.4	1.7
Casp3	Caspase 3	U49930	D	1.8	4.6	1.8
Ctsl	Cathepsin L	S85184	E	2.4	2.1	1.4
Eef1a2	Euk. translation elongation factor 1a2	AI008852	D	3.4	4.9	1.9
Litaf	LPS-induced TNF-alpha factor	U53184	D	3.7	5.5	4.1
Mx3	Myxovirus resistance 3	X52713	D, L	2.7	4.8	8.7
Tnfrsf21*	TNF receptor 21 *	AA891842	D	1.2	1.6	2.0
Vdac1	Voltage-dependent anion channel 1	AF048828	E, L	1.7	1.2	1.8

Table B.5. (continued). Gene functional clusters in injured retina

Symbol	Name	GenBank	Cluster [†]	Fold Change		
				Early	Delayed	Late
4 Immune/inflammation/Stress						
C1qb	Complement component 1qb	X71127	L	1.1	29.1	72.6
C1r	Complement component 1r*	AA799803	L	(1.1)	4.2	5.0
C1s	Complement component 1s	D88250	L	(9.1)	3.8	3.9
C3	Complement component 3	X52477	L	(4.9)	5.4	17.0
Cd48	Cd48	X13016	L	(1.3)	5.3	3.8
Cd74	Cd74	X14254	L	(1.0)	18.5	37.2
Cish3	Cytokine inducible SH2-containing 3	AF075383	E	5.7	3.0	1.8
Cst4	Cystatin S	M75281	E	2.5	1.8	1.2
Ctsc	Cathepsin C	D90404	D	1.5	4.1	2.2
Cxcl10	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10	U17035	E	5.5	1.0	2.9
Dnajb9	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily b9	AI011998	D	1.7	2.5	1.2
Edn2	Endothelin-2	U59510	L	2.1	2.9	5.1
Fcgr3	Fc receptor IgG, low affinity 3	M32062	D, L	1.9	18.9	13.6
Fcgr3	Fc receptor IgG, low affinity 3	M32062	D, L	1.7	11.5	6.0
Gsta1	Glutathione-S-transferase, alpha 1	S72505	L	1.5	2.3	2.5
Gtpi*	Interferon-g induced GTPase*	AA891944	D, L	2.1	11.4	5.3
Hspa1a	Heat shock 70kD 1A	AA818604	L	1.6	1.7	2.1
Ifitm3l	Interferon induced transmembrane 3l	X61381	D, L	2.4	4.3	3.9
Il1b	Interleukin 1, beta	M98820	D	3.9	2.4	1.7
Il2rb	Interleukin 2 receptor beta (CD122)	M55050	E	5.5	1.3	3.8
Il6r	Interleukin 6 receptor	M58587	E	2.1	1.2	1.4
Lman1	Lectin mannose-binding 1	U44129	D	2.0	3.1	1.5
Mt1a	Metallothionein	AI102562	E	2.0	(1.3)	1.1
Pros1	Protein S	U06230	D,	1.3	2.9	1.5
Ptgs2	Prostaglandin synthase 2 (COX-2)	S67722	E	2.1	(2.6)	(3.2)
RT1.C/E	MHC class Ib (RT1.C/E)	AI235890	L	1.2	3.5	5.1
RT1.Da u	MHC class IIa RT1.u-D-alpha	M15562	L	3.2	14.0	13.9
RT1.Mb	MHC class II DM beta	AI171966	L	(1.3)	6.4	5.7
RT1Aw2	MHC class Ib alpha	M24026	D, L	(1.0)	2.0	1.9
RT-BM1	MHC class Ib BM1k antigen	AF029240	E	2.1	2.0	2.1
Scyb13 *	Small inducible cytokine B13*	AA892854	L	2.7	3.7	17.3
Snn	Stannin	M81639	L	(1.1)	1.7	2.0
Sod2	Superoxide dimutase 2 mitochondrial	Y00497	E	2.6	2.0	1.8
5 Neural development/degeneration & Gliosis						
A2m	Alpha-2-macroglobulin	AA900582	D, L	1.4	5.7	5.8
Ania4	Activity & neurotransmitter-induced 4	AF030089	D	8.4	7.8	2.7
Anxa5	Annexin V	D42137	L	1.4	2.7	2.3
Apoe	Apolipoprotein E	X04979	L	1.1	1.7	2.7
Cd81	Cd81 (TAPA)	AI103957	L	1.1	1.8	1.8
Clu	Clusterin	M64733	L	1.4	3.0	3.9
Cntf	Cntf	AA892559	L	1.5	3.0	2.6
Cntn1	Contactin 1	D38492	D	2.2	3.3	1.6
Cp	Ceruloplasmin (ferroxidase)	L33869	L	2.0	10.2	9.4
Cryab	Crystallin alpha B	M55534	L	1.9	6.0	4.7
Cstb	Cystatin beta	AI008888	E	1.5	1.7	1.3
Dpysl4	Dihydropyrimidinase-like 4 (Crmp3)	U52103	L	1.5	2.0	3.1
Drd5	Dopamine receptor D5	M69118	E	1.8	1.4	1.1
Gfap	Gfap	AF028784	L	1.4	7.8	16.8
Jag1	Jagged 1	L38483	E	2.9	1.4	1.0
mrf-1*	Microglia response factor (Aif1)*	U10894	L	(1.3)	13.3	33.7

Table B.5. (*continued*). Gene functional clusters in injured retina

Symbol	Name	GenBank	Cluster [†]	Fold Change		
				Early	Delayed	Late
Ninj1	Ninjurin	U72660	D	1.3	1.5	1.4
Pea15	Phosphoprotein in astrocyte 15	AA894345	L	1.0	1.4	1.8
Pmp22	Peripheral myelin protein 22 (Gas-3)	S55427	L	(1.1)	1.8	2.0
S100a1	S100 A1	U26356	L	1.3	1.3	1.7
Snca	Synuclein 1	S73007	L	1.5	2.4	2.5
Sparc	Sparc (osteonectin)	AA891204	L	2.0	5.5	5.8
Spin2c	Serine protease inhibitor	D00753	L	2.8	32.9	8.7
Vcam1	Vcam 1	M84488	D	5.1	14.0	7.6
<i>6 Structure & Tissue remodeling</i>						
Arpc1b	Actin-related protein complex 1b	AF083269	L	1.4	4.1	3.2
Bfsp1	Filensin	AB003104	L	(1.1)	20.1	27.7
Ctsh	Cathepsin H	M38135	L	(1.0)	2.5	2.4
Ctss	Cathepsin S	L03201	L	(1.1)	2.6	5.8
Fmod	Fibromodulin	X82152	L	1.2	3.9	5.4
Fuca	Fucosidase alpha-L-1 tissue	X16145	D, L	1.4	2.3	1.9
Grifin	Galectin-related inter-fiber	AF082160	L	1.1	11.1	13.9
IMPG2	Proteoglycan 10.2	U76717	D	3.5	6.0	2.1
Krt1-14*	Keratin complex-1, acidic, gene 14*	AI639415	E	2.4	1.9	2.5
Lim2	Lens intrinsic membrane protein 2	S55224	D	1.1	2.5	2.0
Lip1	Lysosomal acid lipase	S81497	D, L	(1.5)	2.4	1.8
Lyz	Lysozyme	AA892775	L	4.8	37.4	31.3
Mgp	Matrix Gla protein	AI012030	L	1.3	3.0	5.5
Myo5b	Myosin Vb	U60416	L	2.2	2.7	2.2
Nedd4a	Ubiquitin ligase	U50842	L	1.5	1.9	1.9
Plat	Plasminogen activator tissue	M23697	E	7.8	2.5	1.9
Tpm3	Tropomyosin 3 gamma	L24776	E	3.8	2.2	1.7
Tpm3	Tropomyosin isoform 3	S82383	L	1.5	2.0	1.9
Tubb1	Tubulin, beta 5	AB011679	D	1.2	2.3	1.5
<i>7 Crystallins</i>						
Cryaa	Crystallin alpha A	U47921	L	1.0	6.5	8.3
Cryab	Crystallin alpha B	M55534	L	1.9	6.0	4.7
Cryba1	Crystallin beta A1/A3	AF013248	L	1.1	6.0	6.2
Cryba4	Crystallin beta A4 [§]	AF013247		(1.1)	5.9	8.8
Crybb1	Crystallin beta B1 [§]	X06377		(1.2)	6.5	7.2
Crybb2	Crystallin beta B2	X83671	L	1.3	7.4	14.7
Crybb3	Crystallin beta B3 [§]	M15901		1.3	4.9	7.4
Crygc	Crystallin gamma C [§]	J00717		(1.6)	5.6	14.3
Crygd	Crystallin gamma D	X57169	L	(1.8)	5.0	15.1
Cryge	Crystallin gamma E	M19357	L	(1.4)	3.6	9.0

Differentially expressed genes that met the clustering criteria (see Figure 3.2 and Table B.4) were classified into 11 functional categories. The list here illustrates the major changes in gene expression, and excludes genes in the following functional categories: signal transduction, metabolism and transport/transmission, and unknown. The early fold change represents the expression change at 4 hours. The delayed fold change corresponds to the average change at 1 and 3 days. The late change is the averaged fold change at 7 and 30 days.

* Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) whose identity was defined by gene homology.

[†] Clusters E, D, and L represent the early, delayed, and late response, respectively.

[§] Differentially expressed genes that are at the margin of filtering threshold and discussed in the Results and Discussion sections.

Appendix C

Supplemental Material for Chapter 4

Table C.1. Wound-healing genes modulated by chromosome 12 locus.

U34 Probe Set ID	Symbol	Fold Change			Cellular Expression			U74 Probe Set ID
		Early	Delayed	Chronic	Muller	Astrocyte	Neuron	
rc_AI639153_at	<i>Acrv1</i>	(1.2)	(1.6)	(2.2)	+	-	+	92897_at
L14680_g_at	<i>bcl2</i>	(1.4)	(1.4)	(1.2)	+	+	+	98868_at
U49930_at	<i>casp3</i>	1.8	4.6	1.8	-	++	++	98436_s_at
U22414_at	<i>Ccl3 (Scya3)</i>	3.4	(1.7)	(1.8)	++	+	++	102424_at
rc_AI103957_g_at	<i>Cd81</i>	1.0	1.8	1.8	+++	++	+++	101495_at
U75405UTR#1_f_at	<i>Col1a1</i>	1.5	12.5	37.8	+	+++	+++	103709_at
L33869_at	<i>Cp</i>	2.0	10.1	9.4	+++	+	++	92851_at
S66024_g_at	<i>Crem</i>	2.7	1.7	1.5	++	+	+	160526_s_at
U02553cds_s_at	<i>Dusp1 (Ptpn16)</i>	4.2	(1.7)	(2.1)	++	+++	++	104598_at
rc_AI176662_s_at	<i>Egr1</i>	3.4	(2.9)	(3.4)	++	+	++	161802_i_at
L20681_at	<i>Ets1</i>	(1.8)	(1.7)	(2.2)	+	+	+	94720_at
X06769cds_g_at	<i>Fos</i>	2.6	(1.1)	(1.1)	+++	+++	+++	160901_at
AF028784mRNA#1_s_at	<i>Gfap</i>	1.3	10.4	19.1	+++	++	+	94143_at
M57672mRNA#2_s_at	<i>Ggt1</i>	(1.2)	(1.5)	(2.1)	+	+	+	100085_at
D13418_at	<i>Hes3</i>	(1.5)	(2.0)	(2.2)	+	+	+	97156_at
D26393exon_s_at	<i>Hk2</i>	(1.4)	(3.3)	(1.5)	++	+	-	161313_at
rc_AI230256_at	<i>Id2</i>	6.3	3.2	2.2	++	+++	+++	93013_at
rc_AI177366_at	<i>Itgb1</i>	2.1	3.9	2.4	++	+++	+++	100123_f_at
X54686cds_at	<i>Junb</i>	1.5	(2.3)	(1.2)	+++	+	+	102362_i_at
X94551_at	<i>Lamc1</i>	(1.2)	(1.4)	(1.7)	+	+	-	102404_at
D90211_s_at	<i>Lamp2</i>	1.8	3.3	1.9	++	++	+++	101590_at
rc_AA892775_at	<i>Lyz</i>	4.8	37.5	31.3	+	-	+	100611_at
rc_AA963674_at	<i>Map2k2</i>	(1.1)	(1.5)	(1.3)	+++	++	++	92543_at
D82074_g_at	<i>Neurod1</i>	1.7	2.4	1.3	+	++	+	92717_at
rc_AI176488_at	<i>Nfib</i>	(2.1)	(1.2)	(1.2)	++	+++	+++	99440_at
U17254_at	<i>Nr4a1</i>	2.7	(1.4)	(1.3)	++	++	++	102371_at
S74393_s_at	<i>Pax6</i>	1.7	2.4	1.4	+	++	++	92271_at
U17013_at	<i>Pou2f1</i>	(1.3)	(2.1)	(1.0)	+	+	+	102893_at
U04740_at	<i>Ptafr</i>	1.2	(1.3)	(1.6)	+	++	+	94158_f_at
rc_AI170268_at	<i>Ptgfr</i>	1.5	4.8	5.9	+++	+	+	161713_f_at
M83680_at	<i>Rab14</i>	(2.4)	(2.6)	(1.5)	+	+++	+++	97301_at
L19698_at	<i>Rala</i>	(1.7)	(2.2)	(1.5)	+	+++	++	94998_at
rc_AA799724_at	<i>Rpo1</i>	(2.1)	(1.9)	(2.2)	-	++	++	161347_r_at
S61865_s_at	<i>Sdc1</i>	(56.5)	(5.0)	(7.2)	+	++	+	161370_f_at
S59893_f_at	<i>Ssb</i>	1.1	1.0	(1.6)	+	++	++	92579_at
X91810_at	<i>Stat3</i>	5.2	3.7	2.1	+	++	++	99099_at
U09228_at	<i>Tcf4</i>	(1.6)	(1.5)	(1.2)	++	+++	+++	160483_at
rc_H31287_g_at	<i>Trib3</i>	(1.2)	(2.7)	1.1	+	+	+	161067_at
AF048828_g_at	<i>Vdac1</i>	1.6	(1.1)	1.7	++	++	++	98139_at
rc_AI136891_at	<i>Zfp361l</i>	2.5	1.5	1.7	+++	++	+++	93324_at

Retinal Injury

Activation	De-differentiation	Remodeling
Acute phase	Sub-acute phase	Chronic phase
Fos, Egr1, Nr4a1, Junb, Dusp1, Stat3, Zfp361l, Id2	Id2, Pax6, Neurod1, Crem, Lamp2, Casp3, Itgb1	Ptgfr, Gfap, Cp, Colla1, Cd81, Lyz
	Nfib, Rala, Rab14, Bcl2, Hk2, Tcf4, Pou2f1, Hes3, Ssb, Sox11	

Retinal Development

Proliferation	Differentiation	Differentiation	Maturation
Differentiation			
P0	P4	P8 P10 P12	P14 P21 Adult
Zfp361l, Id2, Pax6, Tcf4, Ssb1, Nfib, Casp3, Sox11	Rala, Rab14, Ssb1, Itgb1, Casp3, Lyzs	Lamp2, Dusp, Crem, Lpin1, Cd81, Mapk2k2, Nr4a1, Egr1, Neurod1	Stat3, Gfap, Junb, Fos, Ets1, Egr1, Pou2f1, Bcl2, Hes3
		Trib3, Acrv1, Lamc1, Bcl2, Rpo1-1, Fos, Rab14, Casp3	

Figure C.1. Expression patterns for chromosome 12 network genes during retinal development and retinal injury. A survey of temporal expression during retinal development [Dorell et al., 2004] and wound healing [Vazquez-Chona et al., 2004] is helpful in establishing flows of action within the network. For example the genes involved in regulating proliferation and migration in the postnatal retina (postnatal days zero through four) include *Id2*, *Zfp361l*, *Pax6*, *Tcf4*, *Ssb1*, *Nfib*, *Casp3*, and *Rala*; whereas genes involved in Muller glia differentiation (post-natal days eight through fourteen) include the glial genes *Stat3*, *Gfap*, *Ets1*, and *Hes3*. The pattern of expression in the injured retina reveal that most of the chromosome 12 network genes are differentially expressed during the early acute and delayed subacute phases of wound healing. Network genes underlying the acute phase include the transcription regulators *Id2*, *Zfp361l*, *Fos*, *Egr1*, *Nr4a1*, *Junb*, and *Stat3*. Network genes underlying the subacute response include xxxx. A select number of network genes are also differentially expressed during the chronic response. The chronic response is characterized by tissue remodeling including retinal re-wiring and the formation of ectopic glial scar tissue. Chronic genes in the network include the glial markers *Gfap*, *Cp*, and *Cd81*. Expression patterns of the network genes during development and wound healing support the hypothesis that the chromosome 12 locus regulates early wound-healing events such as the activation of pathways that lead to apoptosis, proliferation, and de-differentiation of cells.

Appendix D

Supplemental Material for Chapter 5

Figure D.1. ID2 antibody control using retina from *Id2*-null mice.

Appendix E

Glossary

A2m	Alpha-2-macroglobulin
Abi2	abl-interactor 2
Agtpbp1*	ATP/GTP binding protein 1*
Aif1*	Alograft inflammatory factor 1*
Akap11	A kinase anchor protein 11
Akap12	A kinase anchor protein 12
Ania4	Activity & neurotransmitter-induced 4
Anxa5	Annexin V
Ap3m1	Adaptor-related protein complex 3, mu 1
Apobec1	Apolipoprotein B editing protein
Apoe	Apolipoprotein E
Aprt	Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase
Arhgap7	Rho GTPase activating protein 7
Arl1	ADP-ribosylation factor-like 1
Arl5	ADP-ribosylationfactor-like5
Arpc1b	Actin-related protein complex 1b
Atf3	Activating transcription factor 3
Bax	Bcl2-associated X protein
Bcl2	B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 2
Bfsp1	Filensin
Btg2	B-cell translocation gene 2
C1qb	Complement component 1qb
C1r	Complement component 1r*
C1s	Complement component 1s
C3	Complement component 3
Camk2d	Ca calmodulin-dependent protein kinase 2d
Casp3	Caspase 3
Cbfb	Core binding protein beta
Cd36l2	Cd36-like 2
Cd48	Cd48
Cd74	Cd74
Cd81	Cd81 (TAPA)
CD82	Cd82 (Kai1)
Cd9	Cd9
Cebpd	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein delta
Cish3	Cytokine inducible SH2-containing 3
Clu	Clusterin
Cntf	Cntf
Cntn1	Contactin 1
Cox7a	Cytochrome oxidase subunit VIIa
Cp	Ceruloplasmin (ferroxidase)
Crem	CAMP responsive element modulator
Crot	Carnitine O-octanoyltransferase
Cryaa	Crystallin alpha A
Cryab	Crystallin alpha B
Cryba1	Crystallin beta A1/A3
Crybb2	Crystallin beta B2

Crygd	Crystallin gamma D
Cryge	Crystallin gamma-E
Cts4	Cystatin S
Ctsc	Cathepsin C
Ctsh	Cathepsin H
Ctsl	Cathepsin L
Ctss	Cathepsin S
D123	Cell cycle related D123
Dlg1	Discs-large homolog 1
Dnajb9	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily b9
Dpysl4	Dihydropyrimidinase-like 4
Drd5	Dopamine receptor D5
Eef1a2	Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1a2
Egr1	Early growth response 1 (Krox-24)
Eif5	Eukaryotic initiation factor 5
Fcgr3	Fc receptor IgG, low affinity 3
Fos	c-fos
Fosl1	Fos-like antigen 1 (Fra-1)
Fuca	Fucosidase alpha-L-1 tissue
Gfap	Gfap
Gif	Intrinsic factor
Gludins	Glucose-dependent insulinotropic
Gp38	Glycoprotein 38
Gq-alpha	Gq-alpha subunit
Grifin	Galectin-related inter-fiber
Gsta1	Glutathione-S-transferase, alpha 1
Gtpi*	Interferon-g induced GTPase*
Gucy2e	Guanylyl cyclase 2e
Hes3	hairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila)
Hsd17b4	Hydroxysteroid 17-b dehydrogenase 4
Id2	inhibitor of DNA binding 2
Idh2	Isocitrate dehydrogenase 2
Igf1	Insulin-like growth factor 1
Igfals	IGF binding protein complex acid-labile
Il1b	Interleukin 1, beta
Il6r	Interleukin 6 receptor
Impa1	Inositol Monophosphatase
IMPG2	Proteoglycan 10.2
Inpp4	Inositol polyphosphate 4-phosphatase IIa
Insig1	Growth response protein CL-6
Irf1	Interferon regulatory factor 1
Itgb1	Integrin, beta 1
Jag1	Jagged 1
Kcnj	Potassium inwardly-rectifying channel
Krt1-14*	Keratin complex-1, acidic, gene 14*
Lamp2	Lysosomal membrane glycoprotein 2
Lcn2	Lipocalin 2

Lhx2	LIM homeobox protein 2
Lim2	Lens intrinsic membrane protein 2 (19 kDa)
Lip1	Lysosomal acid lipase
Litaf *	LPS-induced TNF-alpha factor*
Lman1	Lectin mannose-binding 1
Lyz	Lysozyme
Lyzs	lysozyme
Mak	Male germ cell-associated kinase
Mat2a	Methionine adenosyltransferase IIa
Mgp	Matrix Gla protein
Mlt4	Afadin
Mt1a	Metallothionein
Mx3	Myxovirus resistance 3
Nap1l1	Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1
Ndufa6*	NADH dehydrogenase 1a6 *
Neurod1	neurogenic differentiation 1
Neurod2	neurogenic differentiation 2
Nfib	nuclear factor I/B
Nfkb1	Nuclear factor kappa B p105
Nr4a1	nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1
Nr4a1	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4a1
Nup153	Nucleoporin 153kD
P2ry4	Pyrimidinergic receptor P2Y 4
P4hb	Prolyl 4-hydroxylase beta
p65	p65 protein
Pak3	p21 CDKN1A activated kinase 3
Pax6	paired box gene 6
PDE4	cAMP phosphodiesterase (PDE4)
Pde4b	Phosphodiesterase 4B cAMP-specific
PDP1	Pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase 1
Pex11a	Peroxisomal biogenesis factor 11A
Pik3r3	Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase p55
PLA2IR	Phospholipase A2 receptor
Plat	Plasminogen activator tissue
Plrg1	Pleiotropic regulator 1
Pmp22	Peripheral myelin protein 22
Pou2f1	POU domain, class 2, transcription factor 1
Ppap2b	Phosphatidic acid phosphatase type 2B
Ppicap	Peptidylprolyl isomerase C-associated
Ppp2rb	Phosphatase 2, regulatory subunit B
Prkacb	cAMP-dependent protein kinase, beta
Prkr	EIF2alpha kinase, interferon-inducible
Pros1	Protein S
Ptgfr	Prostaglandin F receptor
Ptn	Pleiotrophin
Qpct	Glutaminyl cyclase
Rnf4	Ring finger protein 4

Robo1	roundabout homolog 1 (Drosophila)
Rpo1-1	RNA polymerase 1-1
RT1.Da u	MHC class IIa RT1.u-D-alpha
RT1Aw2	MHC class Ib alpha (RT1Aw2)
RT1-Mb	MHC class II DM beta
RT1-S3	MHC class Ib (RT1-S3)
RT-BM1	MHC class Ib BM1k antigen
Rtn4	reticulon 4
Scyb10	Small inducible cytokine B10
Scyb13 *	Small inducible cytokine B13*
Slc1a4	Solute carrier family 1, member 4
Slc25a20	Solute carrier family 25, member 20
Slc2a3	Solute carrier family 2, member 3
Snca	Synuclein 1
Snn	Stannin
Sparc	Sparc
Spin2c	Serine protease inhibitor
Ssb	Sjogren syndrome antigen B
Stat3	Stat3
Stc1	Stanniocalcin 1
Sv2b	Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2b
Symbol	Name
Tcf4	transcription factor 4
Tpm3	Tropomyosin 3 gamma
Trib3	tribbles homolog 3 (Drosophila)
Ttgn1	Trans-golgi network protein 1
Tubb1	Tubulin, beta 5
UGT1A7	UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A7
unr	Unr protein
Vcam1	Vcam 1
Vhl	Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome
Ywhae	Tyrosine 3/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase E
Ywhaq	Tyrosine 3/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase theta
Zfp361l	Zinc finger protein 36, C3H type-like 1

Vita

EDUCATION:

Doctor of Philosophy, Expected graduation date, May 2006

University of Tennessee, Memphis, Tennessee

Departments: Anatomy & Neurobiology, and Ophthalmology

Passed Defense: December 1, 2005

Thesis: Genetic networks controlling retinal injury

Bachelor of Science, Biology 1998, Christian Brothers University, Memphis, Tennessee

Bachelor of Arts, Economics 1996, Rhodes College, Memphis, Tennessee

Honors:

- Cum Laude Graduate
- Alpha Chi (Scholarship)
- First Year Calculus Award, May 1993

AWARDS:

Travel Award, University of Tennessee, 2005

Fight For Sight Summer Student Fellowship. Summer 2004

Tennessee Academy of Sciences Collegiate Division, Research presentation, 3rd place, 1998

RESEARCH BACKGROUND:

Glia cell proliferation and reactivity

Microarray analysis of retinal and CNS wound healing

RNA interference as a tool to modulate reactive gliosis in culture

POST-DOCTORAL RESEARCH INTERESTS:

Role of glia cells during retinal development and disease

Transcriptional regulation of reactive and developmental retinal genes

Molecular and genetic approaches to treat retinal conditions: RNA interference, gene therapy, and cell transplantation.

PUBLICATIONS:

Vázquez-Chona FR, Wang XD, Wang XF, Geisert EE Jr. Genetic influences on gene expression and retinal wound healing. Manuscript in preparation.

Vázquez-Chona FR, Khan AN, Chan CK, Moore AN, Dash, PK, Hernandez MR, Lu L, Chesler EJ, Manly KF, Williams RW, Geisert EE Jr. Genetic networks controlling retinal injury. *Mol Vis.* 11:958-70.

Vázquez-Chona F, Song BK, Geisert EE Jr. Temporal Changes in Gene Expression After Injury in the Rat Retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2004;45:2737-46.

Song BK, Geisert GR, Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr. Temporal Regulation of CD81 following retinal injury in the rat. *Neuro Lett.* 2003;20:29-32.

Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr. N-cadherin at the glial scar in the rat. *Brain Res.* 1999;838:45-50.

TEACHING EXPERIENCE:

Dental Histology Lab Assistant. University of Tennessee, Memphis, Tennessee, Fall 2002
Biology, Anatomy & Physiology, and Physics Instructor. Memphis Catholic High School, Memphis, Tennessee, Fall 1998 – Spring 2000

ABSTRACTS:

Vázquez-Chona FR, Geisert EE Jr. Genetic networks controlling retinal injury. Ocular cell and Molecular Biology meeting, 2005
Vázquez-Chona FR, Geisert EE Jr. Genetic networks controlling retinal injury. Neuroscience Meeting, 2005
Vázquez-Chona F, Khan AN, Geisert EE Jr. Transcription regulator ID2 controls glia cell reactivity. Neuroscience Meeting, 271.1, 2004
Geisert EE, Khan AN, Lu L, Chesler EJ, Manly KF, Williams RW, Vázquez-Chona F. Master Regulators of Glia Cell Reactivity. Neuroscience Meeting, 271.2, 2004
Vázquez-Chona F, Lu L, Chesler EJ, Williams RW, Geisert EE Jr. Common gene expression and regulation following injury in the retina, brain, and spinal cord. ARVO, 2004
Geisert EE Jr, Vázquez-Chona F, Lu L, Chesler EJ, Williams RW. The control of gene expression following injury in the rat retina: QTL and PCA. ARVO, 2003.
Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr, Geisert G. Changes in gene expression following injury in the retina. Neuroscience Meeting, 2002
Geisert EE Jr, Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert G. Tetraspanins in rat retina injury. ARVO, 2002
Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr, Geisert G. Changes in gene expression following injury in the retina. Spring Brain Conference, 2002
Vázquez-Chona F, Geisert EE Jr. Differential gene expression in the developing and injured mouse visual cortex. Spring Brain Conference, 2001

SCHOLARLY PRESENTATIONS:

N-cadherin at the glial scar in the rat. Presented at the Alpha Chi regional meeting in Montgomery, Alabama, April 1998
N-cadherin at the glial scar in the rat. Presented at the Tennessee Academy of Sciences Collegiate Division Research, Jackson, Tennessee, March 1998

LAB TECHNIQUE EXPERIENCE:

Molecular. RNA interference, cDNA & Affymetrix microarrays, qRT-PCR
Histology & protein methods. Immunohistochemistry, western blotting, ELISA, and flow cytometry
Cell culture. Harvesting and maintenance of retinal explants and cortical astrocytes
Basic mouse husbandry.
Surgery. Retinal tears, vitreal injections, optic nerve crush, rat cortical stabs

PERSONAL INFORMATION:

Place and Date of Birth. Mexico City, 1974
Marital Status: Married—December 2004—to Sara Vazquez, Pharmacy Resident, June '05 – June '06
Immigration Status: Applied for U.S. permanent residency January 2005.