The effect of unilateral carpal tunnel release on the non-operated contralateral hand

Andrzej Żyluk^{A,C-F}, Paweł Dec^{B-D}, Piotr Puchalski^{B,C}

Clinic of General and Hand Surgery, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland

A – research concept and design; B – collection and/or assembly of data; C – data analysis and interpretation; D – writing the article; E – critical revision of the article; F – final approval of the article

Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine, ISSN 1899–5276 (print), ISSN 2451–2680 (online)

Adv Clin Exp Med. 2020;29(8):979–982

Address for correspondence

Andrzej Żyluk
E-mail: azyluk@hotmail.com

Funding sources

None declared

Conflict of interest

None declared

Received on July 15, 2019

Reviewed on February 22, 2020

Accepted on May 7, 2020

Published online on August 27, 2020

Abstract

Background. Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is often (60–90%) a bilateral condition. It has been suggested that patients with bilateral disease may benefit in the non-operated hand after unilateral surgery.

Objectives. To investigate the effect of unilateral carpal tunnel release on the non-operated contralateral hand.

Material and methods. In 186 patients with bilateral CTS, a number of measurements were performed prior to surgery. The patients were scheduled for surgery on the other hand operation 3 months after the first. Upon admission, the same measurements were performed on the still unoperated hand.

Results. All subjective variables were significantly better in the hand scheduled for operation as compared to the second one. Pain intensity was lower by a mean of 0.8 on a numeric rating scale (NRS); Levine symptom and function scores were lower by a mean of 0.7 and 0.3, respectively. Digital sensibility and grip strength were also better, but the changes were without clinical or statistical significance. Asked directly about the status of the non-operated hand, 109 patients (64%) reported improvement, 40 (23%) noted no change and 21 (13%) deterioration.

Conclusions. Regardless of the reasons for improvement, this study demonstrates that 64% of patients feel partial relief in the non-operated hand after unilateral carpal tunnel release.

Key words: outcome measures, carpal tunnel syndrome etiology, bilateral manifestation, carpal tunnel surgery

Cite as

Żyluk A, Dec P, Puchalski P. The effect of unilateral carpal tunnel release on the non-operated contralateral hand. *Adv Clin Exp Med.* 2020;29(8):979–982. doi:10.17219/acem/122167

DOI

10.17219/acem/122167

Copyright

Copyright by Author(s)

This is an article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>)

Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common peripheral nerve neuropathy, affecting about 5–6% of women over 40 years of age.^{1–7} Carpal tunnel syndrome is often (60–90%) a bilateral condition.^{8–13} It has been suggested that patients with bilateral CTS may benefit in the non-operated hand after unilateral surgery.^{14–16} It is, however, difficult to determine if the effect experienced by some patients in the non-operated hand is caused by the operation on the contralateral hand, or if it may rather be attributed to spontaneous recovery in some patients.^{17,18} The postoperative clinical course of the non-operated contralateral hand in unilateral CTS is not well-documented. The prevalence of CTS in the general population makes the choices and timing for treatment a relevant issue. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of unilateral carpal tunnel release on the non-operated contralateral hand.

Material and methods

From 2016 to 2017, we recruited 186 patients, 155 women (83%) and 31 men (17%) with a mean age of 57 years, presenting to our department with bilateral CTS for carpal tunnel surgery. The study was approved by the medical

Fig. 1. Incision for mini-invasive carpal tunnel release

Fig. 2. View of the operation site after dissection of the flexor retinaculum. Note scissors inside the carpal tunnel

research and ethics committee of the Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland. The diagnosis of CTS was made on the basis of clinical findings and the results of electrodiagnostic tests, which were positive in both hands of all the patients. Patients presenting with comorbidities such as diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis or a history of hypothyroidism were not excluded. The preoperative measurements included subjective pain intensity on a numeric rating scale (NRS), digital sensibility using Semmes–Weinstein filaments, grip strength with a Jamar dynamometer, and the Levine questionnaire. The objective measurements (digital sensibility and grip strength) were performed in both hands at the time of the 1st operation and 3 months later, before the 2nd operation. The patients underwent mini-invasive carpal tunnel release in one hand, under local anesthesia, with the use of a tourniquet (Fig. 1,2). Upon discharge, each patient's next hand operation was scheduled for a date 2–4 months after the first one (mean: 3 months). Of the 186 patients who underwent operation on one hand, 170 (91%) were admitted to the hospital for surgery to the other hand. The same measurements were performed as prior to the first operation. The results of these measurements were compared. The statistical significance of differences in outcomes was examined using the Mann–Whitney U test for non-normal distribution of variables.

Results

All the subjective variables were significantly better in the hand which was scheduled for operation than the second one (Table 1). Pain intensity was lower by a mean of 0.8 on the NRS; the Levine symptom and function scores were lower by means of 0.7 and 0.3, respectively. The patients were also asked if they could feel any improvement in the second hand scheduled for operation. One hundred and nine patients (64%) reported improvement, 40 (23%) noted no change and 21 (13%) deterioration. Of the 16 patients who did not present for the 2nd operation, 11 were located and responded to the survey by telephone. Among them, 7 patients reported improvement in the non-operated hand and declined further surgery; 4 others postponed surgery for non-medical reasons.

A minimal clinically important difference (MCID) is the smallest change in an outcome measure that is clinically meaningful (relevant to the patient), and not simply statistically significant. Based on our systematic analysis of the literature, an improvement of (roughly) 1.0 point on both the symptom severity score (SSS) and functional system score (FSS) is considered a minimal clinically important difference.¹⁹ Based on the mean values of the SSS scores in our study, the reductions of symptoms in the non-operated hand, although statistically significant, did not reach clinical relevance. However, 109 patients (64%) experienced and declared improvement in the non-operated hand at the time of admission for 2nd operation. Answering direct question, these 64% of the patients confirmed that the reduction in their symptoms was clinically relevant for them. However, none of them opted for splint or steroid injection, mostly because they considered this improvement transient.

Discussion

The results of this study show spontaneous clinical improvement in the non-operated hand in 64% of patients suffering from bilateral CTS, after contralateral carpal tunnel release. This effect was detected at the time of the patients' admission to the hospital for surgery on the other hand,

at a mean of 3 months after the 1st operation. It was not clearly determined when after the operation the symptoms started to withdraw, but in 1 study this beneficial effect was observed as early as 2 days postoperatively.¹

Literature about this phenomenon is very scarce. Yoon et al. reported that in 15 of 20 patients with bilateral CTS, symptoms in the non-operated hand subsided within 1 year following surgery; this, however, did not translate into improvement in electrodiagnostic studies.¹⁴ Unno et al. reported significant reduction of pain, nocturnal symptoms and paresthesia on the non-operated side 6 months after the operation in 58 of 69 patients (84%). At 12 months, 51 (74%) of these patients reported minimal or no remaining symptoms in the non-operated hand. Only 13 of them (19%) had carpal tunnel surgery on the other hand during the observation period. Electrophysiological tests on the non-operated hand were not repeated.¹⁵ Conversely, the authors of another study found no improvement in the non-operated hand 6 months after unilateral surgery.¹⁶

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this phenomenon.^{20–28} The results of studies on animal models have suggested that chronic neuropathic pain might use different transmission patterns than acute, somatic pain. Nociceptive stimulation may be conducted diffusely, involving the somatosensory cortex bilaterally, and this may translate as perception of pain in the contralateral extremity.²⁹ The same mechanism may be responsible for an experience of pain cessation in both extremities after intervention performed on only one. “Immune activation” of peripheral nerves may also be involved in the development of pathological “mirror allodynia” in the contralateral extremity after experimental inflammatory neuritis was induced in an ipsilateral one. These animal models suggest that complex neuro-humoral and immune responses might regulate induction (and cessation) of nociceptive signals in a way that is still poorly understood.^{16,29}

Conclusions

Regardless of the reasons for improvement, this study demonstrates that 64% of patients feel partial relief in the non-operated hand. A weak point in this study

Table 1. Comparison of variables prior to operations on the first compared to the second hand

Parameter	First hand n = 186		Second hand n = 170		p-value
	mean	SD	mean	SD	
Pain intensity (NRS, range: 0–10)	6.4	3.0	5.6	3.0	0.01
Sensory Index (range: 1–5)	3.4	0.8	3.6	1.0	0.03
Total grip strength [kg]	17.7	10.4	18.5	10.0	0.34
Levine symptom score	3.2	0.6	2.5	0.8	0.001
Levine function score	3.2	0.7	2.9	0.8	0.007

SD – standard deviation; NRS – numeric rating scale.

is that the results of our measurements might be partially attributed to the patients' preference for having surgery on the more symptomatic hand first. Another possible explanation may include a reduction in activity and repetitive work done by the non-operated hand as an effect of functional improvement in the operated hand. In this study design, we cannot exclude influence of these factors.

ORCID iDs

Andrzej Żyluk <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8299-4525>

Paweł Dec <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8514-3259>

Piotr Puchalski <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0865-1647>

References

- Mitake T, Iwatsuki K, Hirata H. Differences in characteristics of carpal tunnel syndrome between male and female patients. *J Orthop Sci.* 2019;S0949-2658(19)30339-2. doi:10.1016/j.jos.2019.10.017
- Zyluk-Gadowska P, Zyluk A. Factors affecting the outcomes of carpal tunnel surgery: A review. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir.* 2016;48:260–265.
- Zyluk A, Zyluk-Gadowska P, Kolodziej L, Szlosser Z. An analysis of patient's and disease related factors predictive of the outcomes of surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir.* 2020;52:11–17.
- Alimohammadi E, Bagheri SR, Hadidi H, Rizevandi P, Abdi A. Carpal tunnel surgery: Predictors of clinical outcomes and patients' satisfaction. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2020;21:51.
- Jenkins PJ, Watts AC, Duckworth AD, McEachan JE. Socioeconomic deprivation and the epidemiology of carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2012;37(2):123–129.
- Zyluk A, Walaszek I, Szlosser Z. No correlation between sonographic and electrophysiological parameters in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2014;39(2):161–166.
- Zyluk A, Walaszek I. The effect of the involvement of the dominant or non-dominant hand on grip/pinch strengths and the Levine score in patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2012;37(5):427–431.
- Bagatur AE, Zorer G. The carpal tunnel syndrome is a bilateral disorder. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2001;83(5):655–658.
- Dec P, Zyluk A. Bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome: A review. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* 2018;52(1):79–83.
- Moser VL, Krimmer H, Lanz U. Bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome with familial accumulation. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir.* 2005;37:176–178.
- Alford JW, Weiss AP, Akelman E. The familial incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in patients with unilateral and bilateral disease. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ).* 2004;33(8):397–400.
- Padua L, Padua R, Nazzaro M, Tonali P. Incidence of bilateral symptoms in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Br.* 1998;23(5):603–606.
- Unglaub F, Wolf MB, Dragu A, Horch RE. Bilateral atypical muscles causing acute bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome in recreational climber. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2010;130(1):37–40.
- Zhang D, Janssen SJ, Blazar P, Earp BE. Predictors of future contralateral carpal tunnel release at the time of unilateral surgery. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2019;44(9):e800–e809.
- Yoon ES, Kwon HK, Lee HJ, Ahn DS. The outcome for the non-operated contralateral hand in carpal tunnel syndrome. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2001;47(1):20–24.
- Unno F, Lucchina S, Bosson D, Fusetti C. Immediate and durable clinical improvement in the non-operated hand after contralateral surgery for patients with bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome. *Hand (N Y).* 2015;10(3):381–387.
- Afshar A, Yekta Z, Mirzatoeuei F. Clinical course of the non-operated hand in patients with bilateral idiopathic carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2007;32(8):1166–1170.
- Puchalski P, Zyluk A, Zyluk-Gadowska P. An analysis of the course of carpal tunnel syndrome before operation. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2017;83(1):22–29.
- Rodrigues JN, Mabvuure NT, Nikkhah D, Shariff Z, Davis TR. Minimal important changes and differences in elective hand surgery. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2015;40(9):900–912.
- Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, de la Llave-Rincón AI, Fernández-Carnero J, Cuadrado ML, Arendt-Nielsen L, Pareja JA. Bilateral widespread mechanical pain sensitivity in carpal tunnel syndrome: Evidence of central processing in unilateral neuropathy. *Brain.* 2009;132(Pt 6):1472–1479.
- Zambelis T, Tsigoulis G, Karandreas N. Carpal tunnel syndrome: Associations between risk factors and laterality. *Eur Neurol.* 2010;63(1):43–47.
- Mellet E, Mazoyer B, Leroux G, Joliot M, Tzourio-Mazoyer N. Cortical asymmetries during hand laterality task vary with hand laterality: A f-MRI study in 295 participants. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2016;10:628.
- Fornander L, Nyman T, Hansson T, Brismar T, Engström M. Inter-hemispheric plasticity in patients with median nerve injury. *Neurosci Lett.* 2016;628:59–66.
- Maeda Y, Kettner N, Kim J, et al. Primary somatosensory/motor cortical thickness distinguishes paresthesia-dominant from pain-dominant carpal tunnel syndrome. *Pain.* 2016;157(5):1085–1093.
- Tecchio F, Padua L, Aprile I, Rossini PM. Carpal tunnel syndrome modifies sensory hand cortical somatotopy: A MEG study. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2002;17(1):28–36.
- Druschky K, Kaltenhäuser M, Hummel C, et al. Alteration of the somatosensory cortical map in peripheral mononeuropathy due to carpal tunnel syndrome. *Neuroreport.* 2000;11(17):3925–3930.
- Dhond RP, Ruzich E, Witzel T, et al. Spatio-temporal mapping cortical neuroplasticity in carpal tunnel syndrome. *Brain.* 2012;135(10):3062–3073.
- Maeda Y, Kettner N, Holden J, et al. Functional deficits in carpal tunnel syndrome reflect reorganization of primary somatosensory cortex. *Brain.* 2014;137(6):1741–1752.
- Chacur M, Milligan ED, Gazda LS, et al. A new model of sciatic inflammatory neuritis (SIN): Induction of unilateral and bilateral mechanical allodynia following acute unilateral peri-sciatic immune activation in rats. *Pain.* 2001;94(3):231–244.