Case Report

***Corresponding author**
Shreyas Saligram, MD, MRCP
 Department of Gastroenterology
 Kansas University Medical Center
 3901 Rainbow blvd
 Kansas City, KS-66160, USA
 E-mail: ssaligram@kumc.edu

Volume 1 : Issue 3

Article Ref. #: 1000GOJ1112

Article History

Received: April 29th, 2015

Accepted: May 22nd, 2015

Published: May 26th, 2015

Citation

Saligram S, Madan R, Olyae M. Endoscopic ultrasound diagnosis of perianal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Gastro Open J.* 2015; 1(3): 71-73. doi: [10.17140/GOJ-1-112](http://dx.doi.org/10.17140/GOJ-1-112)

Copyright

©2015 Saligram S. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Endoscopic Ultrasound Diagnosis of Perianal Rhabdomyosarcoma

Shreyas Saligram*, Rashna Madan and Mojtaba Olyae

Department of Gastroenterology, Kansas University Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow blvd, Kansas City, KS-66160, USA

BACKGROUND

Adult primary perianal rhabdomyosarcomas are extremely rare. Therefore, diagnosis can become a challenge. Here we report our experience in diagnosing primary perianal rhabdomyosarcoma by Endoscopic Ultrasound Scan (EUS) and Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA).

KEYWORDS: Endoscopic ultrasound; Rhabdomyosarcomas; Perianal; Diagnosis.

ABBREVIATIONS: EUS: Endoscopic Ultrasound Scan; FNA: Fine Needle Aspiration; CT: Computed Tomography; FISH: Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; HPV: Human papillomavirus.

CASE REPORT

A 27-year-old male with no significant past medical history, presented to the emergency room with symptoms of perianal pain. Additionally, the patient complained of cramps in his legs and buttocks for approximately one month. The cramps were initially minor but progressively worsened and then became constant. His vitals and physical examination were normal except for his digital rectal examination, which was painful and tender. However, no obvious mass was felt in the rectum. His initial blood tests were unremarkable which included hemoglobin-14 g/dl (Nv-13.5-16.5), white cell count-8.9 (Nv-4.5-11), platelets-324 (Nv-150-400), blood urea nitrogen-12 (Nv-7-25), creatinine 1.2 (Nv-0.4-1.24), sodium-140 (Nv-137-145) and potassium of 3.7 (Nv-3.5-5.1). Pelvic Computed Tomography (CT) (Figure 1) showed a mass in the perianal region measuring 12 cm with metastasis to the left iliac and obturator lymph nodes. In view of the CT findings, other lab tests like Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)-0.5 (Nv-<3 ng/ml), CA 19-9-10 (Nv-<35 u/ml), Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Human papillomavirus (HPV) and Chlamydia were checked and were all within normal range. The patient then underwent lower EUS, which showed a 5 cm hypoechoic mass (Figure 2) just outside the anal canal. An FNA was obtained which showed neoplastic cells (Figure 3A). The cells were largely mononuclear, with a few binucleate and multinucleate cells. These cells had a high nuclear cytoplasmic ratio with a relatively round nucleus. Immunohistochemical stain was positive for muscle (desmin) and more precisely for striated muscle (myogenin, Figure 3B), supporting the diagnosis of Rhabdomyosarcoma. Subsequent Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) performed using DNA probe specific for the FOXO1 (FKHR) gene on 13q14 showed a signal pattern suggestive of rearrangement of FOXO1 gene. Rearrangement of FOXO1 is associated with a diagnosis of Alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma. Unfortunately, the patient was a poor candidate for curative treatment due to the presence of distal metastasis. However, he underwent adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy for treatment of his cancer.

DISCUSSION

Adult perianal Rhabdomyosarcomas are extremely rare and the true incidence is unknown.¹ It is estimated to account for less than 1% of all adult solid tumor malignancies.²

Figure 1: Mass in the perianal region.

Figure 2: Hypoechoic perianal mass as seen in lower EUS.

Figures 3: Malignant mononuclear cells with high nuclear cytoplasmic ratios and fairly round nuclei (100X; modified Romanowsky stain) and the tumor nuclei stain with myogenin (20X; myogenin immunohistochemical stain).

The prognosis is poor with a 5-year overall survival rate of only 27% in adults without metastasis after curative treatment. The prognosis can be even more dismal with the presence of distal metastasis.^{3,4} The most common origin of primary tumors is the head and neck region (35%), followed by the genitourinary and extremities.⁵ However, primary tumors can also rarely occur at other anatomic sites like the rectum. Differential diagnoses of perianal tumors are neuroendocrine tumors, hematopoietic/lymphoid malignancies, rectal carcinoma, melanoma, Ewing's sarcoma and desmoplastic small round cell tumor.⁶ Tissue diagnosis with immunohistochemical stains is required for a definitive diagnosis. Due to the rarity of the disease, there is no standard way of obtaining the tissue diagnosis. To date, there is only one other case report mentioning EUS as a tool for diagnosing perianal Rhabdomyosarcoma.⁷ Open biopsy has been done in the past for diagnosis of Rhabdomyosarcoma. However, EUS is minimally invasive, more accurate, less expensive and safe compared to open biopsy.⁸

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None of the authors have conflicts of interest.

CONSENT

No recognizable patient material is used and therefore no patient consent was obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No financial obligations or acknowledgements to make.

REFERENCES

1. Dumont SN, Araujo DM, Munsell MF, et al. Management and outcome of 239 adolescent and adult rhabdomyosarcoma patients. *Cancer Med.* 2013; 2(4): 553-563. doi: [10.1002/cam4.92](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.92)
2. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2006. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2006; 56(2): 106-130. doi: [10.3322/canjclin.56.2.106](https://doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.56.2.106)
3. Little DJ, Ballo MT, Zagars GK, et al. Adult rhabdomyosarcoma: outcome following multimodality treatment. *Cancer.* 2002; 95(2): 377-388. doi: [10.1002/cncr.10669](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.10669)
4. Gerber NK, Wexler L, Singer S, et al. Adult rhabdomyosarcoma survival improved with treatment on multimodality protocols. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013; 86(1): 58-63. doi: [10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.12.016)
5. Pappo AS, Shapiro DN, Crist WM, Maurer HM. Biology and therapy of pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 1995; 13(8): 2123-2139.

6. Egas-Bejar D, Huh WW. Rhabdomyosarcoma in adolescent and young adult patients: current perspectives. *Adolesc Health Med Ther*. 2014; 5: 115-125. doi: [10.2147/AHMT.S44582](https://doi.org/10.2147/AHMT.S44582)
7. Linea C, Sinagra E, Gioia F, Rimi C. Perianal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma diagnosed by endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration. *Endoscopy*. 2012; 44: E342-E343.
8. Saligram S, Fan F, Oropeza-Vail M, Gholami P, Olyae M. Accuracy of endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration in diagnosing solid pseudopapillary tumor. *North American journal of medical sciences*. 2013; 5(12): 716. doi: [10.4103/1947-2714.123270](https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.123270)