Received 27.02.2017
Reviewed 30.03.2017
Accepted 05.04.2017

A – study design
B – data collection
C – statistical analysis
D – data interpretation
E – manuscript preparation
F – literature search

Using the modified scalar product approach for testing the direction of seepage through the earth-fill dam in Pieczyska

Stanisław LACH^{ABCDEF}✉, Leszek OPYRCHAŁ^{ABCDEF}

AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Mining Surveying and Environmental Engineering, Department of Environmental Management and Protection, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland; e-mail: slach@agh.edu.pl; opyrchal@agh.edu.pl

For citation: Lach S., Opyrchal L. 2017. Using the modified scalar product approach for testing the direction of seepage through the earth-fill dam in Pieczyska. Journal of Water and Land Development. No. 33 p. 89–98. DOI: 10.1515/jwld-2017-0023.

Abstract

Currently, the problems related to the development of seepage are emerging more and more frequently. For this reason, there is a constant need to improve the existing methods, and to develop new ones, for determining the direction and intensity of the development of seepage processes occurring in earth-fill dams and their foundations. The analysed earth-fill dam is located in Pieczyska in the Kujawy-Pomerania province at 49.115 km from the river mouth of the Brda River. The catchment area is 4.109 km². The article aims to verify the non-invasive, non-subjective method for examining the direction of seepage through earth-fill dams using the modified scalar product approach, which allows to determine the direction and intensity of the seepage process. A proper analysis of this process can greatly reduce the repair costs (injections or other methods of sealing), and significantly increase the safety of the existing earth-fill dams. In the case of the dam in Pieczyska, in 2010–2015, the two piezometers (located on the left abutment of the dam and denoted as P15A and P60) exhibited a direct hydraulic connection with the upstream water level (the scalar product approach). For the study dam, the “source” piezometer (to which all the piezometers in its surrounding exhibited similar changes in water levels) turned out to be the piezometer P15A. This fact was confirmed both by the number of connections between the individual piezometers and the resulting system of equipotential lines for the dam.

Key words: earth-fill dams, monitoring, piezometers, safety of hydraulic structures, scalar product

INTRODUCTION

Construction Law defines a structure as any building object which is not a building or an object of small architecture, such as earth-fill structures, or hydraulic structures [Ustawa... 1994]. Earth-fill dams, which belong to the group of hydraulic structures, are built with native soil, split stone, as well as rubble. Due to stability requirements, to build earth-fill dams, large quantities of material are required. Due to their size and weight, they are the largest and heaviest of engineering structures. Earth-fill dams are designed primarily for water impounding. It is assumed that

they impound water to the height of more than 15 m, thus creating large bodies of water with a volume in excess of $15 \cdot 10^6$ m³. The purpose of water impounding is, e.g., using the resulting reservoir as storage of water to supply towns, housing estates, industrial plants, for energy production, flood protection, navigation and recreation [DEPCZYŃSKI, SZAMOWSKI 1999].

All building structures used for storage, impounding and transportation of water, are vulnerable to damage and failures. One of the direct causes of failures of hydraulic structures may be the phenomenon of seepage, improper operation of drainage equip-

ment, or leaching of soil material from the dams or their foundations [DEPCZYŃSKI, SZAMOWSKI 1999; YUAN, ZHONG 2016]. The phenomenon of seepage is observed when the water is in a free state, fills all the pores in the soil, these pores have a size allowing water to move in them under the force of gravity or differential pressure [WILUN 2001].

In order to ensure the safety and reliability of hydraulic structures, constant monitoring is required [JIE *et al.* 2012; KLEDYŃSKI 2011a]. Continuous observation aims to assess the condition of a building structure as well as to record and predict any failures in its structure and foundation. The basic form of monitoring earth-fill dams are e.g. piezometric measurements, which allow for measuring water levels in the open standpipe piezometer or measuring water pressure in the closed standpipe piezometer. Thanks to these measurements, it is possible to control the intensity of seepage through an impounding structure and thus to assess the durability and the safety of the structure performance [KLEDYŃSKI 2011a, b]. Monitoring water levels in piezometers also allows for the identification of anomalies occurring in earth-fill dams. As far as safety of hydraulic structures is concerned, the most favorable situation is when water levels in the piezometers oscillate around a constant value. This phenomenon proves stable seepage processes occurring in the head and in the foundation of the dam. The increasing (or decreasing) trend may indicate the movement of small particles in the core or in the foundation, which eventually may lead to the local exceedance of permissible seepage gradients, and thus lead to a situation posing a threat to the safety of the dam [MOLSKI 2012]. Thanks to systematic measurements, it is possible to effectively prevent a potential disaster using warning systems or alarms, as well as to plan modernization of the structure in advance.

One of the methods to study the direction of seepage through the earth-fill dam is the scalar product approach [OPYRCHAŁ *et al.* 2007]. This article analyzes the modification of this approach and its use for studying the directions of seepage on the example of the dam in Pieczyska.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Koronowo reservoir is located in the Kujawy-Pomerania province. It was created by the earth-fill dam built on the Brda River at 49+115 km from river mouth, in Pieczyska. It covers an area of approximately 15.6 km², while its total capacity amounts to 80.6·10⁶ m³. Table 1 demonstrates the basic parameters of the Koronowo reservoir. The accumulation of water in the reservoir is used in the hydroelectric power station located in the town of Samociążek. The plant generates power equal to 26 MW and produces an average of 40.84 GWh of electricity annually. The Koronowo reservoir also plays a recreational function (data of the Koronowo Hydroelectric

Power Station). The earth-fill dam in Pieczyska closes the catchment area of 4.109 km². It impounds water to the elevation of 81.50 m a.s.l., and in emergency cases (e.g. failure of the power plant), to the elevation of 82.00 m a.s.l. The basic parameters of the Koronowo dam are presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Basic parameters of the Koronowo reservoir

Parameter	Unit	Value
Normal water level	m a.s.l.	81.50
Maximum water level	m a.s.l.	82.00
Minimum working water level	m a.s.l.	81.00
Retention capacity	m ³	8.4·10 ²
Active storage	m ³	21.6·10 ²
Reservoir capacity	m ³	80.6·10 ⁶
Reservoir length	km	35
Reservoir area	km ²	15.6

Source: own elaboration based on data of the Koronowo Hydroelectric Power Station.

Table 2. Basic parameters of the Koronowo dam

Parameter	Unit	Value
Dam		
Crest of dam	m a.s.l.	84.50
Width of dam	m	9.0
Upstream slope: – lower part – upstream part		1:4 1:3
Downstream slope: – from the crest of dam to datum of 80.30 – between datum of 80.30 and 76.00 – below datum of 76.00		1:4 1:5 1:10
Height of dam	m	23.5
Maximum body width in foundation of dam	m	60.0
Length of dam	m	340
Outlet works		
Outlet dam diameter – two wires with cross sections	m	3.0 × 3.0
Length (with stilling basin)	m	277.3
Outlet slope	%	0.35
Discharge of outlets	m ³ ·s ⁻¹	73.0

Source: own elaboration based on data of the Koronowo Hydroelectric Power Station.

The upstream slope of the head of the dam, at the section between 84.50 and 75.00 m a.s.l., was secured with concrete slabs, and the downstream slope – by turfing. The Koronowo barrage is not equipped with a spillway. In the case of reservoir overfilling, the water will overflow through the land depression in the area of the eastern end of the Lake Białe.

The subsoil of the dam is layered. It is built of medium sand (MSa), fine sand (FSa) and sandy gravel (grSa), and locally of poorly permeable silty sand (grsiSa, grclSa). The body of the dam in Pieczyska was made of fine-grained and middle-grained sand using the deposition method. The dam is equipped with a two-channel, reinforced concrete bottom outlet, used to transport water from the upstream to the lower level, as well as to periodically flush the old bottom of the Brda River in Koronowo. The outlet locks in the form of double steel flat gate valves with electric

drive are located in the gate control house. In the area of the outlet basin, behind the outlets from the channels of the bottom vent, chicanes were used for security reasons. Below the basin, the bottom and the slopes of the Brda River have been reinforced with concrete slabs with drainage holes filled with aggregate

gate at the length of 130 m (data of the Koronowo Hydroelectric Power Station). The dam has 91 piezometers for control measurements (82 of them are active). Figure 1 demonstrates the distribution of the piezometers at measurement sections of the earth-fill dam in Pieczyska.

Fig. 1. Distribution of piezometers at measurement sections of the Koronowo dam;
source: materials of the Koronowo Hydroelectric Power Station

The measurements of changes in the water table covering the period of six years (from 2010 to 2015) in 82 open standpipe piezometers were analyzed for the Koronowo dam. Research studies regarding the directions of seepage in earth-fill dams are carried out basing on the measurements of water levels in piezometers. Each earth-fill dam is equipped with such devices. The hydraulic contact between piezometers is confirmed on the basis of similarity in changes in water levels. Some piezometers react to the changes in the upstream or downstream water level, others react to precipitation. If the reaction to changes in the upstream water is high, it means lack of water tightness of the anti-seepage security devices, and repair of the dam is implemented [KLEDYŃSKI 2006]. This inference, however, is subjective. The research method presented in this article is largely devoid of subjectivity, as it is based on the method of quantitative analysis, which uses the scalar product approach and the selected methods of mathematical statistics. The scalar product approach is based on the following reasoning: if there is hydraulic contact between the seepage region of a specific piezometer and the upstream water level (WG), then the water level in the piezometer will depend on the upstream water level. Analyzing the similarity in the course of the changes of water levels in the piezometer and changes in the upstream

water level, conclusions can be drawn about the degree of hydraulic contact between the piezometer region and the upstream water level. In the scalar product approach, in order to determine the degree of similarity of changes in the water levels in the piezometer and in the upstream water level, algebraic operators and tools of mathematical analysis are used. The diagram illustrating the time series of the water levels in the analyzed piezometers is prepared in a two-dimensional continuous space, which is the Cartesian product of two closed subsets R_+ , one of which demonstrates the possible water levels, while the second one represents the observation period:

$$\text{diagram space} = \text{range of water levels} \cdot \text{observation period}$$

Time series of changes in water levels in piezometers and the changes in the upstream water levels are generally smooth curves in the diagram space, and therefore, for each point of the water level curve, a tangent vector can be calculated (Fig. 2).

Let $m_{P_i}(t_k)$ denote a vector tangent to the diagram of the course of changes in the water level in the piezometer P_i , calculated at the time t_k , and $m_{WG}(t_k)$ a vector tangent to the diagram of the course of changes in the upstream water level, calculated at the time t_k . This vector has the following components:

$$m_{P_i}(t_k) = (t_s(t_k), wP_i(t_k)), m_{WG}(t_k) = (t_s(t_k), wWG(t_k))$$

where: t_s = time component of the tangent vector at the time t_k , measured in units of time; $wP_i(t_k)$ = component of the water level in the piezometer P_i of the tangent vector at the time t_k , measured in water impounding units; $wWG(t_k)$ = component of the upstream water level WG of the tangential vector at the time t_k , measured in water impounding units.

Fig. 2. Vectors tangent to the water level curve;
source: own study

Let $S_k(m_{P_i}(t_k))$ denote the scalar product of the vectors m_{P_i} and m_{WG} , calculated as follows:

$$S_k = \sum_{r,s=1}^2 a_{rs} \cdot m_{P_i}^r(t_k) \cdot m_{WG}^s(t_k) \quad (1)$$

where: $m_{P_i}^r$ = r -th Cartesian coordinate of the vector $m_{P_i}(t_k)$; m_{WG}^s = s -th Cartesian coordinate of the vector m_{WG} ; a_{rs} = components of the metric tensor.

The components of the metric tensor are calculated as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (t_{\max} - t_{\min})^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Such a definition of the components of the metric tensor serves to unify the constituent units of the tangent vector. With the remarks made until this moment the value:

$$C(P_i, WG) = \frac{\frac{1}{t_{\max} - t_{\min}} \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{P_i}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k)) dt}{\frac{1}{t_{\max} - t_{\min}} \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{WG}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k)) dt} \quad (3)$$

can be considered as a measure of similarity between the curves of the changes in water levels in the analyzed piezometer and the upstream water levels WG . In empirical practice, such curves are not continuous, but broken curves, and therefore the individual components of the tangent vectors are calculated basing on the analysis of increments between successive measurements, and the integration is replaced by summation, which results in the following formula:

$$C(P_i, WG) = \frac{\sum_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{P_i}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k))}{\sum_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{WG}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k))} \quad (4)$$

The closer the value of $C(P_i, WG)$ to 1, the better the process of the changes in the water level in the piezometer P_i will reflect the upstream water level. From the definition we obtain $C(WG, WG) = 1$.

Before performing the analysis of the piezometric data, it is necessary to remove the outliers which may significantly affect the results and cause false assessment or interpretation of the phenomenon which is subjected to this analysis [LACH 2016a; OPYRCHAL, LACH 2014]. In the case of the Koronowo dam in the period of 2010–2015, for 82 open standpipe piezometers, statistical tests demonstrated the existence of 56 outliers, which accounted for 0.20% of all the results [LACH 2016b].

In general, the theoretical distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$ for a given piezometer is not known. For this reason, in order to determine the limit value of the measure of similarity between the water levels in the analyzed piezometers and the upstream water level above which it can be stated that there is a hydraulic contact between them, it is proposed that the values of $C(P_i, WG)$ are treated as implementations of a certain random variable, and then it is suggested to use the empirical distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$ and to calculate a 95%-quantile for this distribution. The quantile of order p (where $0 \leq p \leq 1$) of the random variable x is such a value of x_p in the ascending order, which separates p of the data with the values smaller than x_p [SOBCZYK 2004]. In order to determine a quantile of any order, empirical cumulative distribution function is used, which is expressed by the following formula [NOWAK 2002]:

$$\hat{F}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } x < x_{(1)}, \\ \frac{i}{n} & \text{when } x_{(i)} \leq x < x_{(i+1)}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ 1 & \text{when } x \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\{x_{(i)}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ denotes a simple sample (a set of observations) of the variable x .

In the case of the measure of similarity between the water levels in the analyzed piezometers and the upstream water level, a 95%-quantile calculated from the set of values $C(P_i, WG)$ allows to conclude that, statistically, 95% of the observations of the values $C(P_i, WG)$ adopted the value smaller than, or equal to $x_{0.95}$. Therefore, basing on the sample, it may be expected that if the similarity measure exceeds the level of $x_{0.95}$, then with the probability of at least 95% there is a statistically significant hydraulic contact between a given piezometer and the upstream water level.

In this article, using the statistical tests of Doornik–Hansen, Shapiro–Wilk, Lilliefors and Jarque–Bera, also the hypothesis was verified that the obtained similarity measures $C(P_i, WG)$ have a normal distribution. For the confirmation of the normal distribution of the similarity measures, the alternative definition was given of the limit value of the similarity measure, based on the use of quantiles of normal distribution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 demonstrates collective results obtained for all the open standpipe piezometers of the Koronowo dam in the period of 2010–2015.

The first stage of the analysis of the results of similarity between the water levels in 82 open standpipe piezometers and the upstream water level (WG) involved the determination of the empirical distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$, basing on which the fre-

Table 3. Summary of the calculations of the measure of similarity between the upstream water level and the analyzed piezometers of the Koronowo dam in control sections in the years 2010–2015

Piezometer name	Average water level m a.s.l.	Standard deviation m a.s.l.	Number of observations analysed	Number of outlier observations	$\sum_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{P_i}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k))$	$\sum_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S_k(m_{WG}(t_k), m_{WG}(t_k))$	Similarity measures $C(P_i, WG)$
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Control section I–I							
P1	69.14	0.18	313	0	0.0061	0.0515	0.1178
P16A	69.44	0.24	313	2	0.0068	0.0326	0.2081
P16B	78.30	0.24	313	3	0.0070	0.0393	0.1781
Control section II–II							
P11B	76.89	0.27	313	0	0.0045	0.0322	0.1385
P13Az	69.51	0.29	313	1	0.0051	0.0255	0.1986
P13B	77.54	0.20	313	0	0.0097	0.0471	0.2059
P15A	68.66	0.23	313	0	0.0071	0.0241	0.2946
P43	76.58	0.22	313	0	0.0052	0.0399	0.1303
P60	76.31	0.53	313	2	0.0043	0.0177	0.2429
Control section IIa–IIa							
P61	77.30	0.23	313	0	0.0057	0.0410	0.1390
P62	77.38	0.24	313	0	0.0054	0.0393	0.1374
P63	77.42	0.27	313	1	0.0047	0.0407	0.1155
P64	78.97	0.13	313	4	0.0046	0.0962	0.0478
Control section III–III							
P10A	70.08	0.37	313	0	0.0049	0.0234	0.2094
P10B	76.21	0.16	313	0	0.0075	0.0534	0.1404
P40	69.72	0.32	313	0	0.0048	0.0257	0.1868
P114	68.17	0.21	313	1	0.0074	0.0356	0.2079
P119	67.92	0.20	313	1	0.0031	0.0379	0.0818
P128	67.00	0.33	313	0	0.0023	0.0143	0.1608
Control section IV–IV							
P36	69.35	0.31	313	1	0.0033	0.0263	0.1255
P38	68.20	0.23	313	2	0.0057	0.0289	0.1972
P39	67.45	0.25	313	0	0.0045	0.0280	0.1607
P129	66.90	0.16	313	1	0.0052	0.0426	0.1221
P138A	65.46	0.15	313	2	0.0025	0.0362	0.0691
P138B	65.39	0.14	313	1	0.0072	0.0487	0.1478
P138C	65.90	0.13	313	0	0.0061	0.0528	0.1155
PII	67.11	0.16	313	1	0.0064	0.0447	0.1432
PIV	67.85	0.20	313	0	0.0044	0.0359	0.1226
Control section V–V							
A4B	69.26	0.31	313	1	0.0039	0.0270	0.1444
A4D	76.53	0.10	313	0	0.0081	0.0880	0.0920
P6A	69.71	0.32	313	4	0.0054	0.0265	0.2038
P6B	70.00	0.36	313	1	0.0043	0.0237	0.1814
P6C	69.84	0.36	313	0	0.0035	0.0239	0.1464
P7A	69.37	0.30	313	0	0.0058	0.0277	0.2094
P7B	69.34	0.32	313	0	0.0047	0.0259	0.1815
P8A	68.63	0.30	313	0	-0.0024	0.0260	-0.0923
P8B	68.49	0.27	313	0	0.0038	0.0233	0.1631
P8C	68.35	0.26	313	0	0.0050	0.0305	0.1639
P9A	68.18	0.24	313	0	0.0050	0.0331	0.1511
P9B	68.08	0.24	313	0	0.0040	0.0331	0.1208
P9C	67.65	0.23	313	0	0.0054	0.0324	0.1667
P125	66.24	0.16	313	0	0.0076	0.0471	0.1614
P130	66.12	0.15	313	0	0.0052	0.0498	0.1044
P145	62.83	0.12	313	2	0.0018	0.0827	0.0218
P150	63.09	0.23	313	0	0.0030	0.0273	0.1099
X6	70.03	0.31	313	0	0.0037	0.0271	0.1365

contin. Tab. 3

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Control section VI–VI							
P2A	69.55	0.32	313	1	0.0041	0.0239	0.1715
P2B	69.56	0.31	313	0	0.0051	0.0268	0.1903
P3A	69.17	0.29	313	0	0.0056	0.0284	0.1972
P3B	69.09	0.29	313	0	0.0042	0.0287	0.1463
P4A	68.43	0.25	313	1	0.0028	0.0284	0.0986
P4B	68.21	0.25	313	0	0.0046	0.0320	0.1438
P4C	68.20	0.24	313	0	0.0043	0.0313	0.1374
P5A	68.04	0.23	313	1	0.0044	0.0333	0.1321
P5B	68.02	0.23	313	0	0.0051	0.0333	0.1532
P5C	67.40	0.25	313	1	0.0027	0.0237	0.1139
P110	68.76	0.26	313	0	0.0055	0.0309	0.1780
P116	67.92	0.22	313	2	0.0047	0.0343	0.1370
P124A	65.79	0.32	313	0	0.0049	0.0364	0.1346
P124B	66.31	0.17	313	1	0.0055	0.0389	0.1414
P124C	66.21	0.16	313	0	0.0062	0.0456	0.1360
P126	65.58	0.14	313	0	0.0100	0.0528	0.1894
P140B	64.25	0.10	313	1	0.0044	0.0602	0.0731
P140C	64.31	0.09	313	0	0.0077	0.0702	0.1097
P146	63.76	0.11	313	2	0.0073	0.0509	0.1434
Control section VII–VII							
B4A	68.78	0.27	313	1	0.0046	0.0307	0.1498
B5A	68.33	0.25	313	0	0.0038	0.0324	0.1173
P104	69.09	0.29	313	2	0.0028	0.0294	0.0952
P111	68.30	0.25	313	3	0.0059	0.0298	0.1980
P120	66.54	0.18	313	1	0.0026	0.0309	0.0841
P131	65.65	0.14	313	2	0.0077	0.0498	0.1546
P141	65.07	0.12	313	1	0.0080	0.0628	0.1274
Control section VIII–VIII							
P1A	68.57	0.28	313	1	0.0048	0.0260	0.1846
P121	66.68	0.18	313	1	0.0022	0.0407	0.0541
P132	65.81	0.15	313	1	0.0033	0.0492	0.0671
RgP7	68.04	0.24	313	0	0.0043	0.0267	0.1610
Control section IX–IX							
P117	67.38	0.21	313	0	0.0049	0.0386	0.1269
P122	66.89	0.19	313	1	0.0033	0.0410	0.0805
Control section X–X							
P113	67.85	0.24	313	0	0.0045	0.0359	0.1253
P123	66.81	0.18	313	1	0.0071	0.0438	0.1621
Piezometers beyond control sections							
A5A	68.80	0.28	313	0	0.0029	0.0289	0.1003
S-5	67.25	0.24	313	0	0.0060	0.0345	0.1739

Source: own study.

Fig. 3. Diagram of the frequency distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$ obtained for the dam in Pieczyska in the years 2010–2015; source: own study

quency distribution was plotted (Fig. 3). Statistical analysis was performed in the free software Gretl (version 1.9.90).

Measures of similarity between the water levels in the analyzed open standpipe piezometers and the upstream water level have been divided into nine intervals, for which the number and frequency of their occurrence were calculated (Tab. 4). The table clearly illustrates that the vast majority of the calculated measures of similarity (approximately 41.5%) belongs to the sixth interval (the total of 34 results). Only one measure of similarity was below the value of $C(P_i, WG) = -0.068119$. On the other hand, three piezometers of the dam in Pieczyska had the levels of similarity measures above the value of $C(P_i, WG) = -0.22206$.

Table 4. Frequency distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$ obtained for the dam in Pieczyska in the years 2010–2015

Number	Interval	Sample size	Frequency, %
1	< -0.068119	1	1.22
2	$-0.068119 - (-0.019756)$	0	0.00
3	$-0.019756 - 0.028606$	1	1.22
4	$0.028606 - 0.076969$	5	6.10
5	$0.076969 - 0.12533$	19	23.17
6	$0.12533 - 0.17369$	34	41.46
7	$0.17369 - 0.22206$	19	23.17
8	$0.22206 - 0.27042$	2	2.44
9	≥ 0.27042	1	1.22
Total		82	100.00

Source: own study.

Table 5 presents the results of statistical tests studying the normality of the distribution of similarity measures $C(P_i, WG)$ for the analyzed dam. As the table shows, all four tests definitely rejected the H_0 hypothesis regarding the normality of the distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$ for the dam in Pieczyska.

Table 5. Results of statistical tests examining the normality of the distribution of similarity measures $C(P_i, WG)$ for the dam in Pieczyska in the years 2010–2015

Number	Statistical test	Value of the test statistics	p -value
1	Doornik–Hansen	22.82	$1.10 \cdot 10^{-5}$
2	Shapiro–Wilk	0.94	0.0014
3	Lilliefors	0.14	0.000
4	Jarque–Bera	58.22	$2.28 \cdot 10^{-13}$

Source: own study.

Then, calculations were made of the 95%-quantile for the empirical distribution of the levels $C(P_i, WG)$, which for the dam in Pieczyska in the period of 2010–2015 reached a value of 0.2108. This result led to the conclusion that, statistically, 95% of the observations of the value $C(P_i, WG)$ adopted the value smaller than, or equal to 0.2108. Therefore, on the basis of the sample, it could be stated that if the similarity measure exceeded the level of 0.2108, then with the probability of at least 95% there was a statistically significant hydraulic contact between a given piezometer and the upstream water level. A direct hydraulic contact was identified for only two of the piezometers: P15A (the difference of 0.08376 relative to the 95%-quantile) and P60 (the difference reaching 0.0321 relative to the calculated 95%-quantile).

The relationship between fluctuations in the water levels in the analyzed piezometers of the studied dam was assessed based on the calculation of the correlation coefficients for all the piezometers, thus creating an appropriate correlation matrix [FELLER 2006; ŁOMNICKI 2014]. In order to determine whether a given correlation coefficient is statistically significant (statistically different from zero), appropriate test were carried out, assuming the test significance level equal to $\alpha = 0.05$. The test statistics in the two-tailed significance test of the Pearson r correlation coefficient has a Student's t -distribution with $N - 2$ degrees

of freedom (where N is the number of observations). After determining the number of the degrees of freedom, in order to decide whether the correlation coefficient is statistically significant, the critical value of the test was read for the predetermined significance level α and the correct number of the degrees of freedom. If the absolute value of the correlation coefficient is greater than the critical value, this means that for a predetermined significance level, the calculated Pearson r correlation coefficient is statistically significant [CZAJA, PREWEDA 2000].

All the calculations were carried out in the demo version of Matlab R2012a. A complement to the test of the statistical significance was the assumption that if the resulting correlation coefficient r exceeds 0.70, the relationship between the variables x and y can be regarded as strong (and due to the positive correlation it is possible to talk about the similarity of the dynamics of x and y), however, in the case when $r \leq 0.3$, such a relationship should not be taken into account. If $0.3 < r < 0.7$, the relationship between the variables x and y is treated as weak, and also neglected, as in [BYCZKOWSKI 1999]. Such a criterion allowed to measure the strength of the relationship between the analyzed properties. Then, for each piezometer, the number of the cases for which $r > 0.7$ was calculated, enabling to identify the “source”, or the piezometer to which all the piezometers in its surrounding exhibited similar changes in water levels. The maximum correlation coefficient $r = 0.9922$ occurred for a couple of piezometers P8B–P8C, while the minimum correlation coefficient, which reached the value of $r = -0.4233$ was identified for a couple of piezometers P63–P150. The vast majority of the correlation coefficients turned out to be statistically significant. Statistical significance, however, does not allow to assess the degree of similarity between the analyzed values. For this reason, Table 6 demonstrates a number of relationships (i.e. the number of correlation coefficients between a given piezometer and the remaining ones exceeding the value of 0.7) for each of the analyzed piezometer of the earth-fill dam in Pieczyska in the years 2010–2015.

The largest number of relationships occurred for the piezometer P15A, and the lack of relationships was demonstrated for the piezometers P124 and P64. The piezometer P15A proved to be the “source”, to which the other 72 piezometers (87.8%) in its surrounding exhibited similar changes in water levels (understood as a situation in which the Pearson r correlation coefficient exceeds 0.7). Both piezometers are located on the left abutment of the earth-fill dam in Pieczyska and they confirmed the earlier results obtained by the method of the scalar product.

The results were compared with a graphical method for studying the direction of seepage in earth-fill dams, which involved drawing equipotential lines – the lines that connect points of equal hydraulic head in the aquifer. The system of equipotential lines is a map of the underground water table, thanks to which

eigenvectors. This task seems to be an interesting scientific problem, the solution of which can contribute to a better identification and a deeper understanding of the phenomena of seepage which occur in earth-fill dams.

Dofinansowano ze środków
Wojewódzkiego Funduszu
Ochrony Środowiska
i Gospodarki Wodnej w Lublinie
Cofinanced by Voivodeship Fund
for Environmental Protection
and Water Management in Lublin

REFERENCES

- BYCZKOWSKI A. 1999. Hydrologia [Hydrology]. T. 1. 2nd ed. Warszawa. Wydaw. SGGW. ISBN 83-7244-068-9 pp. 416.
- CZAJA J., PREWEDA E. 2000. Analiza statystyczna zmiennej losowej wielowymiarowej w aspekcie korelacji i predykcji [Statistical analysis of multi-dimensional random variable in terms of correlation and prediction]. Geodezja. No. 2 p. 129–145.
- DEPCZYŃSKI W., SZAMOWSKI A. 1999. Budowle i zbiorniki wodne [Structures and water reservoirs]. 2nd ed. Warszawa. Ofic. Wydaw. PW. ISBN 83-87012-66-1 pp. 229.
- FELLER W. 2006. Wstęp do rachunku prawdopodobieństwa [Introduction to probability]. T. 1. 6th ed. Warszawa. Wydaw. Nauk. PWN. ISBN 83-01-14684-2 pp. 456.
- JIE Y., WEN Y., DENG G., CHEN R., ZE-PING X. 2012. Impact of soil deformation on phreatic line in earth-fill dams. Computers & Geosciences. No 46 p. 44–50.
- KLEDYŃSKI Z. 2006. Remonty budowli wodnych [Repairs of water structures]. 1st ed. Warszawa. Ofic. Wydaw. PW. ISBN 83-7207-642-1 pp. 212.
- KLEDYŃSKI Z. 2011a. Monitoring i diagnostyka budowli hydrotechnicznych [Monitoring and diagnostics of hydraulic structures]. Nowoczesne Budownictwo Inżynieryjne. Nr 2 p. 54–61.
- KLEDYŃSKI Z. 2011b. Monitoring i diagnostyka budowli hydrotechnicznych [Monitoring and diagnostics of hydraulic structures]. Nowoczesne Budownictwo Inżynieryjne. Nr 3 p. 36–38.
- LACH S. 2016a. Wykrywanie oraz eliminacja obserwacji odstających w hydrotechnice. W: Badania i rozwój młodych naukowców w Polsce: woda i ścieki [Detection and elimination of outliers in hydrotechnics. In: Research and development of young scientists in Poland: water and waste water]. Ed. J. Leśny, J. Nyckowiak. Poznań. Wydaw. Młodzi Naukowcy p. 38–46.
- LACH S. 2016b. Wykrywanie oraz eliminacja błędów grubych w pomiarach piezometrycznych dla zapory Koronowo w latach 2010–2015. W: Badania i rozwój młodych naukowców w Polsce: woda i ścieki [Detection and elimination of outliers in the measurement of piezometers located in Koronowo dam in the period 2010–2015. In: Research and development of young scientists in Poland: water and waste water]. Ed. J. Leśny, J. Nyckowiak. Poznań. Wydaw. Młodzi Naukowcy (in print).
- ŁOMNICKI A. 2014. Wprowadzenie do statystyki dla przyrodników [Introduction to statistics for naturalists]. 5th ed. Warszawa. Wydaw. Nauk. PWN. ISBN 978-83-01-17948-9 pp. 280.
- MOLSKI T. 2012. Ziemne budowle hydrotechniczne i ich podłoże w warunkach filtracji naporowej [Earth-fill hydraulic structures and their foundation in pressured filtration conditions]. Infrastruktura i Ekologia Terenów Wiejskich. No 3/III p. 54–61.
- NOWAK R. 2002. Statystyka dla fizyków [Statistics for physicists]. 1st ed. Warszawa. Wydaw. Nauk. PWN. ISBN 83-01-13899-8 pp. 127.
- OPYRCHAŁ L., LACH S. 2014. Badanie kierunku filtracji w zaporach ziemnych z wykorzystaniem metody iloczynu skalarnego. W: Zagrożenia jakości wód powierzchniowych i metody działań ochronnych [Testing the direction of seepage through the earth-fill dams using the scalar product method. In: Threats to the quality of surface water and methods of conservation practices]. Ed. G. Mazurkiewicz-Boroń, B. Marczevska. Lublin. Wydaw. KUL p. 289–300.
- OPYRCHAŁ L., SELERSKI S., STACHURSKI K. 2007. Nowe metody badania kierunku filtracji [New methods for testing the direction of seepage]. XII Międzynarodowa Konferencja Technicznej Kontroli Zapór. Ed. A. Wita. Warszawa. IMGW p. 224–231.
- SOBCZYK M. 2004. Statystyka [Statistics]. 4th ed. Warszawa. Wydaw. Nauk. PWN. ISBN 83-01-14134-4 pp. 376.
- Ustawa z dnia 7 lipca 1994 Prawo budowlane [Construction Law of 7 July 1994]. Dz.U. 1994. Nr 89, item 414, as amended.
- WIŁUN Z. 2001. Zarys geotechniki [Geotechnical outline]. 5th ed. Warszawa. WKiŁ. ISBN 83-206-1354-X pp. 723.
- YUAN S., ZHONG H. 2016. Three dimensional analysis of unconfined seepage in earth dams by the weak form quadrature element method. Journal of Hydrology. No 533 p. 403–411.

Stanisław LACH, Leszek OPYRCHAŁ

Wykorzystanie zmodyfikowanej metody iloczynu skalarnego do badania kierunku filtracji w zaporze ziemnej w Pieczyskach

STRESZCZENIE

Obecnie w wielu istniejących zaporach coraz wyraźniej uwidaczniają się problemy związane z rozwojem zjawisk filtracji. Z tego powodu występuje stała konieczność doskonalenia istniejących oraz rozwijania nowych metod określania kierunków i intensywności rozwoju procesów filtracji występującej w zaporach ziemnych i ich

podłożach. Analizowana zaporą znajduje się w miejscowości Pieczyska w woj. kujawsko-pomorskim w 49,115 km biegu rzeki Brdy. Zamyka ona zlewnię o powierzchni 4109 km². Celem artykułu jest weryfikacja nieinwazyjnej oraz pozbawionej subiektywizmu metody badania kierunku filtracji w zaporach ziemnych z wykorzystaniem zmodyfikowanej metody iloczynu skalarnego, pozwalającej na określenie kierunku i intensywności procesu filtracji. Prawidłowe rozpoznanie tego procesu umożliwia znaczne obniżenie kosztów remontu zapory (zastrzyków lub innych sposobów uszczelniania) oraz istotnie zwiększa bezpieczeństwo funkcjonowania istniejących zapór ziemnych. W przypadku zapory w Pieczyskach w latach 2010–2015 w dwóch piezometrach (zlokalizowane na lewym przyczółku zapory i oznaczone symbolami P15A oraz P60) stwierdzono bezpośrednie połączenie hydrauliczne z wodą górną (metoda iloczynu skalarnego). Dla badanej zapory piezometrem „źródłem” (do którego wszystkie piezometry w jego otoczeniu wykazywały podobieństwo zmian stanów wody) okazał się piezometr P15A. Fakt ten potwierdziła zarówno liczba powiązań między poszczególnymi piezometrami, jak i uzyskany układ hydroizohips w zaporze.

Słowa kluczowe: *bezpieczeństwo obiektów hydrotechnicznych, iloczyn skalarny, monitoring, piezometry, zapory ziemne*