ORIGINAL ARTICLE

STUDY OF ENDOMETRIAL PATHOLOGY IN ABNORMAL UTERINE BLEEDING IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE

Ramaraju H. E¹, Shiva Kumar H. C²

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Ramaraju H. E, Shiva Kumar H. C. "Study of Endometrial Pathology in Abnormal Uterine Bleeding in a Tertiary Care Centre". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 82, October 12; Page: 14371-14376, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/2044

ABSTRACT: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is the commonest presenting symptom in gynaecology out-patient department. Endometrial sampling could be effectively used as the first diagnostic step in AUB, although at times, its interpretation could be quite challenging to the practicing pathologists. This study was done to evaluate histopathology of endometrium for identifying the endometrial causes of AUB. We also tried to observe the incidence of various pathology in different age groups presenting with abnormal uterine bleeding. **MATERIAL AND METHODS:** This was a study done at Vijayanagara institute of medical sciences Bellary. 368 cases of isolated endometrial lesions diagnosed on histopathology were selected for the final analyses. A statistical analysis between age of presentation and specific endometrial causes was done using χ^2 test. **RESULTS:** The most common age group presenting with AUB was 41–50 years (32.88%). The commonest pattern in these patients was normal cycling endometrium (35.53%). The commonest pathology irrespective of the age group was disordered proliferative pattern (20.65%). Other causes identified were complications of pregnancy (22.82%), benign endometrial polyp (11.41%), endometrial hyperplasias (5.97%), carcinomas (4.34%) and chronic endometritis (4.07%). Endometrial causes of AUB and age pattern was statistically significant with P value <0.05. **CONCLUSION:** There is an age specific association of endometrial lesions. In perimenopausal women AUB is most commonly dysfunctional in origin and in reproductive age group, one should first rule out complications of pregnancy. The incidence of disordered proliferative pattern was significantly high in this study, suggesting an early presentation of these patients.

KEYWORDS: Abnormal uterine bleeding, Endometrium.

INTRODUCTION: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is a common reason for women of all ages to consult their gynaecologist. It includes both organic and non-organic causes of uterine bleeding. Endometrial biopsy or curettage could be a safe and effective diagnostic step in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding after ruling out medical causes. This study was done to evaluate the endometrial causes of AUB and to determine the specific pathology in different age groups.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: This was a prospective study done on patients presenting with AUB from June 2010 to June 2013 in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology of Vijayanagara institute of medical sciences, Bellary. Patients were selected based on clinical details. The study material included a total number of 558 specimens consisting of 368 endometrial samples (Endometrial curettage and biopsy) and 190 hysterectomy specimens.

Patients with isolated endometrial causes of abnormal uterine bleeding were included for the study and those with leiomyoma, cervical, vaginal pathology and hemostatic disorders were excluded. All specimens were transported in 10% formalin to the pathology laboratory.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The gross morphology was recorded with total submission of endometrial samples and representative bits were taken from the hysterectomy specimens. The tissue bits were processed in LIECA automatic tissue processor and paraffin blocks were prepared. Tissue sections (4–6 μ) were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain (H&E). Microscopic examination was done by two pathologists, individually to reduce observer bias. The data collected for the study was statistically analysed using χ^2 test.

RESULTS: Isolated endometrial pathology as a cause of AUB was observed in 368 patients (Table 1). The remaining 190 patients who had leiomyoma, adenomyosis and cervical pathology with or without endometrial lesions were excluded from our final analysis. The age of 368 patients studied, were categorised into seven groups (Table 2). Age of patients with AUB ranged from 16 to 79 years in our study. Abnormal uterine bleeding was commonly seen in the 41 to 50 years age group and the predominant pattern noted was normal cycling endometrium closely followed by disordered proliferative pattern (Except in the 71–80 years age group, a significant statistical association was seen between causes of AUB and age group with P value <0.001).

Total no. of cases	558
Isolated endometrial pathology	368
Leiomyoma $\pm$ endometrial pathology	166
Cervical pathology	24
Endometrial samples	368
Hysterectomy specimens	190
Table 1: Details of cases presenting with AUB from June 2010 to June 2013	

Age Groups	<20 years	21-30 years	31-40 years	41-50 years	51-60 years	61-70 years	71-80 years	Total
Normal cyclical patterns	2 1.9%	9 8.75%	37 35.65%	43 41.3%	10 9.6%	3 2.8%		104 100%
Disordered proliferative pattern		5 6.5%	25 33.2%	36 47.4%	9 11.6%	1 1.3%		76 100%
Hyperplasia			2 9.1%	15 68.2%	5 22.7%			22 100%
Atrophic pattern		1 11.1%		3 33.3%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%		9 100%
Benign endometrial polyp		5 11.9%	12 28.6%	16 38.1%	5 12%	4 9.4%		42 100%
Chronic endometritis		2 13.3%	4 26.6%	5 33.3%	3 20%	1 6.7%		15 100%

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Endometrial carcinoma				3 18.75%	5 31.25%	6 37.5%	2 12.5%	16 100%
Complications of pregnancy	4 4.8%	57 68%	23 27.2%					84 100%
Total	6 1.6%	79 21.5%	103 28.%	121 32.9%	40 10.8%	17 4.6%	2 0.5%	368 100%

Table 2: Distribution of cases of AUB with isolated endometrial lesions according to age group

Type of Hyperplasia	No.of Cases
Hyperplasia without atypia	12
Hyperplasia with atypia	5
Cystoglandular Hyperplasia	5

Table 3: Distribution of cases of hyperplasia

Endometrial glands in irregular shapes with focal crowding of glands and presence of dense compact stroma (Hematoxylin and eosin $\times 20$). Histopathologic examination showed various pattern in AUB consisting of normal cyclical pattern showing proliferative, secretory and shedding phases in 104 patients of the total 368 cases Table 2. Chronic endometritis was seen in 15 patients, including one case of tuberculous endometritis (Table 2). Complications of pregnancy were seen in 84 cases (Table 2) with abortion being the predominant cause 72 cases. Other causes were ectopic gestation 8 cases, partial mole 3 cases and complete mole 1 cases. A total of 76/368 cases showed disordered proliferative pattern which were most commonly seen between 41 and 50 years of age.

DISCUSSION: Any bleeding not fulfilling the criteria of normal menstrual bleeding is called abnormal uterine bleeding. The causes of abnormal uterine bleeding include a wide spectrum of diseases of the

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

reproductive system and non-gynecologic causes as well. Organic cause of abnormal uterine bleeding maybe subdivided into reproductive tract disease, iatrogenic causes and systemic disease.

When an organic cause of AUB cannot be found, then by exclusion, a diagnosis of dysfunctional uterine bleeding (DUB) is assumed. In about 25% of the patients, the abnormal uterine bleeding is the result of a well-defined organic abnormality.¹

The routine non-invasive investigations for abnormal uterine bleeding include complete blood count, platelet count, prothrombin time (PT), Activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) and liver function test to rule out any coagulation and bleeding disorders. In women of reproductive age group, serum and urine human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) levels are evaluated to rule out pregnancy. To rule out an endocrine etiology, thyroid function test, follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), lutenizing hormone (LH), prolactin levels are assessed. On ruling out these causes, gynaecologists turn to imaging studies such as pelvic ultrasound (USG), and transvaginal USG and tissue sampling. Dilation and curettage can be a diagnostic as well as therapeutic procedure.² The sensitivity of endometrial biopsy for the detection of endometrial abnormalities has been reported to be as high as 96%.^{2,3}

The most likely etiology of AUB relates to the patient's age as to whether the patient is premenopausal, perimenopausal or postmenopausal.⁴ The youngest patient in our study was a 16 year old girl and the oldest was a 79 year old lady. Newborn girls may have spotting within first few days of life because of withdrawal from high levels of maternal estrogen, which had stimulated the endometrium in utero. Beyond the neonatal period, causes such as precocious puberty and functional ovarian tumor have to be considered. In this age group the attending pediatrician should also do a careful search for urinary or cervical cause of bleeding.⁵

The adolescent age group (<20 years) accounted for 1.6 % of cases and their endometrium showed normal cyclical pattern. This may not be representative of true incidence because invasive procedures are avoided in this age group. Although most cases of abnormal uterine bleeding do not cause acute medical complications, bleeding can be traumatic for young patients and their families. The prevalence of a primary coagulation disorder in adolescent requiring hospitalization ranges from 3 to 20%, hence all adolescents with menorrhagia should undergo evaluation for coagulopathy.

Complications of pregnancy was common in the age group 21–30 years. This can be explained by the fact that most women conceive at this age, hence pregnancy should be considered a complication of pregnancy until proven otherwise. Patient's presenting in this age group with abnormal uterine bleeding should be investigated and evaluated for pregnancy by doing a urine gravindex test.

Our study significantly revealed that the occurrence of menstrual disorders increases with advancing age. The commonest age group presenting with excessive bleeding in our study was 41–50 years. A similar incidence was reported by Avantika Gupta et al. and Muzaffar et al. in their study of endometrium. Our study like several others showed that proliferative lesions like disordered proliferative pattern, hyperplasia, and benign endometrial polyp occur more commonly in the age group 41–50 years. The reason for increased incidence of abnormal uterine bleeding in this age group 41–50 years may be due to the fact that these patients are in their climacteric period. As women approach menopause, cycles shorten and often become intermittently anovulatory due to a decline in the number of ovarian follicles and the estradiol level.

The incidence of AUB between 51 and 70 years was lower as compared to those between 41 and 50 years. The reason for this finding may be due to the fact that the patients were evaluated much earlier and treated appropriately thereby decreasing the incidence in later age group.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

We had only 2 patients with AUB in the age group of 71 to 80 years and both of them had endometrial carcinomas.

Predominant number of cases in this study showed normal physiologic phases such as proliferative, secretory and atrophic menstrual pattern. The bleeding in the proliferative phase may be due to anovulatory cycles and bleeding in the secretory phase is due to ovulatory dysfunctional uterine bleeding.

A significant number of cases showed disordered proliferative pattern in this study. Disordered proliferative pattern lies at one end of the spectrum of proliferative lesions of the endometrium that includes carcinoma at the other end with intervening stages of hyperplasias. The term "Disordered proliferative endometrium" has been used in a number of ways and is somewhat difficult to define. It denotes an endometrial appearance that is hyperplastic but without an increase in endometrial volume. It also refers to a proliferative phase endometrium that does not seem appropriate for any one time in the menstrual cycle, but is not abnormal enough to be considered hyperplastic. Disordered proliferative pattern resembles a simple hyperplasia, but the process is focal rather than diffuse. A higher incidence of disordered proliferative pattern was found in our study as compared to saraswathi et al. An earlier stage of presentation due to increase health awareness could explain the high incidence in our study.

Diagnosing the patients at the earliest stage of this spectrum will be of definitive help to the practicing gynaecologists to prevent the disease progression. But pathologists should have clear cut criteria for diagnosing disordered proliferative pattern and this should become a waste paper basket diagnosis.

Atrophic endometrium was seen predominantly in the 51–60 years age group. The incidence is slightly lower when compared with results shown by Gredmark et al. The exact cause of bleeding from the atrophic endometrium is not known. It is postulated to be due to anatomic vascular variations or local abnormal hemostatic mechanisms. Thin walled veins, superficial to the expanding cystic glands make the vessel vulnerable to injury.

The incidence of endometrial hyperplasias in this study was less as compared to others. The possible explanation could be that most of patients here belong to lower socioeconomic status and the occurrence of risk factors like obesity, diabetes, increased intake of animal fat and sedentary life style is low. Another reason could be that most of these patients are being identified at a much earlier stage that is in the disordered proliferative phase. Identification of endometrial hyperplasia is important because they are thought to be precursors of endometrial carcinoma.

The incidence of benign endometrial polyps in this study was high in 41–50 years age group. Lower incidence of the endometrial polyps in the younger age group may be attributed to a possible spontaneous regression mechanism, which is characteristic of the cycling endometrium in reproductive age group. There is significant difference between the endometrial polyp and normal endometrium in receptor expression, cell proliferation and apoptosis regulation. These differences combined with non-random chromosomal aberrations and monoclonality suggests that polyp may provide a suitable microenvironment for the development of malignancy.

In the present study incidence of carcinoma endometrium was more common in the 51–60 years age group. The result of this study was almost similar to data mentioned by Avanthika gupta et al. and Escoffery et al. in their study. A study done by Dangal et al. in Nepal documented a lower incidence of endometrial cancer in Nepalese woman attributing it to the practice of early childbearing and multiparity. Possibly, the same factors contributed to a lower incidence of carcinoma in our patients.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CONCLUSION: Endometrial cause of AUB is age related pathology. Histopathological examination of endometrial biopsy is a major diagnostic tool in evaluation of AUB and a specific diagnosis could help the physician to plan therapy for successful management of AUB.

REFERENCES:

1. Brenner PF. Differential diagnosis of AUB. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1996; 175:766–769.
Doi: 10.1016/S0002-9378(96)80082-2.
2. Albers JR, Hull SK, Wesley RM. Abnormal uterine bleeding. Am Fam Phys. 2004; 69:1915–1926.
3. Pal L, Lapensee L. Comparison of office hysteroscopy, transvaginal ultrasonography and endometrial biopsy in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. JSLs. 1997 Apr-Jun; 1(2):125-30.
4. Nadia Adnan Ghani, Aiad Abdullah Abdulrazak, Ehsan Mahmood Abdullah. Abnormal Uterine Bleeding: a Histopathological Study. Diyala Journal of Medicine., Vol. 4, Issue 1, April 2013.
5. Emans SJ, Woods ER, Flagg NT, et al. Genital findings in sexually abused, symptomatic and asymptomatic girls. Paediatrics. 1987; 79:778–785.

AUTHORS:

1. Ramaraju H. E.
2. Shiva Kumar H. C.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Osmania VIMS, Bellary.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Osmania VIMS, Bellary.

FINANCIAL OR OTHER

COMPETING INTERESTS: None

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Ramaraju H. E,
No. 17, New B Type Quarters,
VIMS Campus,
Cantonment,
Bellary-583104.
E-mail: dramaraju678@gmail.com

Date of Submission: 22/09/2015.
Date of Peer Review: 23/09/2015.
Date of Acceptance: 03/10/2015.
Date of Publishing: 12/10/2015.