LONG TERM OUTCOME AFTER OPEN INGUINAL HERNIA REPAIR BY CONTINUOUS SUTURE VERSUS INTERRUPTED SUTURE TO FIX THE MESH

Kuldeep Raj Sarangal¹, R.K. Goel², Ranendar Choudhary³

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Kuldeep Raj Sarangal, R.K. Goel, Ranendar Choudhary. "Long Term Outcome After Open Inguinal Hernia Repair By Continuous Suture Versus Interrupted Suture To Fix The Mesh". Journal Of Evolution Of Medical And Dental Sciences 2013; Vol2, Issue 50, December 16; Page: 9691-9696.

ABSTRACT: BACK GROUND: Meshplasty is a must in adult patient. Prolene mesh is widely used and is fixed with prolene suture as well. The aim of this study was to compare the quality of life and pain felt in postoperative phase for 2 years after open inguinal hernia repair by interrupted sutures versus continuous sutures. **METHODS:** From Jan 2010 – Dec 2010 , 15 patients were operated using prolene mesh and this mesh was fixed with prolene 2'0' in continuous way starting at pubic tubercle and continues along inguinal ligament beyond deep inguinal ring and then lateral to deep inguinal ring and above it along conjoint tendon and ends at the pubic tubercle with only single knot , and were compared with 15 patients who underwent same procedure using prolene mesh fixed with prolene suture but in interrupted way and this creates a lot of knots (10-12 Average). Patients were examined and followed in OPD for 2 years. **RESULTS:** In the long run the patients treated with continuous suture were having comparatively better quality of life with less pain and less foreign body sensation of mesh and knots & knots were not felt percutaneously, where as in routine procedure patients were complaining of painful sensation due to pressing of knots in subcutaneous space. **CONCLUSION:** In this study the quality of life in open hernia repair with prolene suture using continuous suture technique versus interrupted suture technique is definitely better, less painful and feeling less foreign body sensation.

KEYWORDS:- Meshplasty, inguinal hernia, chronic groin pain.

BACKGROUND: Inguinal hernia repair is commonly performed surgery. Surgery is done due to discomfort and requirement for jobs and in sportspersons as well as aesthetic reasons. Unfortunately post operatively many patients complain of pain at surgical site due to placement of nonabsorbable prolene mesh which is fixed by prolene suture as interrupted sutures and average 10-12 knots are applied to fix the mesh. And these sutures lead to reduced mobility of abdominal wall above mesh which leads to chronic pain. Hence we decided to reduce the no. of knots by fixing the prolene mesh by continuous sutures and only knot was applied at pubic tubercle and this leads to reduced pain. The purpose of this study was to assess the quality of life and pain free life after surgery compared to interrupted sutures.

METHODS : 23 patients of inguinal hernia were operated with prolene Meshplasty using prolene interrupted sutures and 18 patients were operated with prolene Meshplasty and prolene continuous sutures between January 2010 – dec 2010 but unfortunately 15 patients of study group could be followed up to 2 years hence 15 patients of control group were involved. Only inguinal hernia patients were included in the study.

The surgical technique was standard incision, hernia sac resection and fascial plication if required and prolene mesh was placed behind spermatic cord and in between inguinal ligament and

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

conjoint tendon and then fixed by 2 '0' prolene suture starting from pubic tubercle and the inguinal ligament up to beyond deep ring in continuous way and then taking the same thread to the margins of mesh laterally & above deep inguinal ring to conjoint tendon and tying it back at pubic tubercle. The external oblique was closed by prolene in continuous way. The patients were asked to follow in OPD in 6 month, 1, 2 year postoperatively and the patients were assessed for quality of life after surgery in both techniques. The follow up was completed in 15 patients of study group hence 15 patients of control group was taken.

LIFE QUALITY : SF 36 multidimensional questionerrae was used which composed of 36 questions to determine quality of life and this consists of 1.Physical functions (10 items) 2.Role limitation due to physical functions role (4 items). 3. Body pain (2 items) 4 General health (5 items) 5.Vitality (4 items) 6.Social function (2 items) 7.Role limitation due to emotional function (3 items) 8.Mental health (5 items).The result measure from 0- 100.

S No		6 MONTHS		1YEAR		2 YEAR	
		TEST	CONTROL	TEST	CONTROL	TEST	CONTROL
1	General functioning	65.33	33.33	93.66	67.66	100	81
2	Role limitation to physical health	100	0	95	68.33	100	86.66
3	Role limitation to emotional health	100	0	100	53.33	100	48.8
4	Energy/fatigue	69.6	34.3	94.6	46.46	93.66	69
5	Emotional well being	70.13	33.96	94.13	53.33	92.8	71.2
6	Social functioning	63.3	24.1	90.83	49.16	97.5	75.83
7	Pain	72.3	26.3	89.66	44.5	96.33	47.33
8	General health	65.33	37.33	86.66	51	94	69.66

Comparison of means between test & control

FIGURE 1: SIX MONTHS AFTER SURGERY

FIGURE 2: 1 YEAR AFTER SURGERY

FIGURE 3: 2 YEAR AFTER SURGERY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: - calculated as means.

RESULTS: 15 patients in study (with mean age 46 years, Range 20-65 years) were operated with continuous suture fixation of prolene mesh from Jan 2010 – Dec 2010. 15 patients were operated in interrupted suture by prolene 2 '0' (with mean age of 44 years, Range 20-65 years).

	Study Group	control Group
O T time	49+/-8	60+/-7
Hospital stay	3+/-0.75	4.6+/-1

Data is presented as mean +/- standard deviation

The physical health & emotional status of study group get improved within 6 months after surgery whereas control group took more than 2 years. General functioning of study group comes to normal in 1-2 years whereas control group took more than 2 years. The study group patients were feeling energetic & less fatigue within 1 year whereas control group took longer than 2 years. Emotionally study group was strong at around 1 year but control group took more than 2 years.

The biggest factor in study group was feeling less pain in almost 96% patients whereas in control group even after 2 years only 47% patients were pain free & rest were suffering of pain at surgical site.

Overall general health of study group was good in 86% patients at 1 year whereas in control group it was 51% only. After 2 years 94% patients of study group were in good general health in comparison to 69% patients of control group.

LONG TERM FOLLOW UP: The follow up was done completely in 30 patients up to 2 years. The 2 patients of study group present about pain at incision site with feeling of foreign body and sensation while movement and walking at (2 and 4 months) whereas of control group 11 patients presented as postoperative pain , foreign body sensation and feeling under the skin due to mesh as well as knots of prolene suture especially in low BMI patients , 3 patients develop stiff abdomen at 8 months . USG of these patients were done to see any collection, abscess.

DISCUSSION: The prolene mesh and prolene suture material is widely used in hernia repair. This material elicits desmoplastic reaction in tissue, with initial serous exudation and formation of a sheet of scar in which mesh and suture is used as a scaffold for its formation. This process of mesh integration process and extent of scar tissue are regulated by amount and size of incorporation due to high amount of foreign material can increase up to 90%.

The use of prolene mesh with interrupted sutures leads to impairment of abdominal wall and majority patients develop reduced flexibility of abdominal wall and chronic pain impact most of daily activities and quality of life . The aim of this study was to assess whether reducing the no. of knots has an impact on chronic pain and quality of life in long term.

The study has some limitations, (first)- group is small and due to long term follow-up dropout rate was high. (Second) - 2 year follow up is very short period as patients symptoms do not change significantly within 2 years postoperatively.

CONCLUSION: It Is advisable to start using continuous way of fixing the prolene mesh instead of interrupted sutures to reduce the no. of knots for pain free life after surgery.

REFERENCES:

1. Mirowsky, J., & Ross, C. E. (1992). Age and depression. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 33, 187-205.
2. Stewart, A. L., Hays, R. D., & Ware, J. E. (1992a). Health perceptions, energy/fatigue, and health distress measures. In A. L. Stewart & J. E. Ware (eds.), *Measuring functioning and well-being: The Medical Outcomes Study approach* (pp. 143-172), Durham
3. Tarlov, A. R., Ware, J. E., Greenfield, S., Nelson, E., Perrin, E., & Zubkoff, M. (1989). The Medical Outcomes Study: An application of methods for monitoring the results of Medical Care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 262, 925-930.
4. Gundre NP, Iyer SP, Subramanian P, Prospective randomized controlled study using polyethylene mesh for inguinal hernia meshplasty as a safe and cost-effective alternative to polypropylene mesh. *Updates Surg.* 2012 Mar;64(1):37-42. doi: 10.1007/s13304-011-0103-6. Epub 2011 Aug 18.
5. Sadowski B, Rodriguez J, Symmonds R, Roberts J, Song J, Rajab MH, Cummings C, Hodges B; Scott and White Comparison of polypropylene versus polyester mesh in the Lichtenstein hernia repair with respect to chronic pain and discomfort. *-Hernia.* 2011 Dec;15(6):643-54. doi: 10.1007/s10029-011-0841-x. Epub 2011 Jul 14
6. Shahid Majeed, **Khalid Mehmood: Shahid Majeed, REPAIR OF INGUINAL HERNIAS WITH LICHTENSTEIN TECHNIQUE, *Pakistan armed forced medical journal* Issue Year : 2005, Issue Number : 2, Issue Month : June.
7. Lichtenstein It, Shulman AG, Amid PK, et al. The tension free hernioplasty. *Am J Surg* 1989; 157: 188-93.
8. Amid PK, Shulman AG, and Lichtenstein IL, open "Tension-Free" repair of inguinal hernias: The Lichtenstein technique *Eur J Surg* 1996; 162: 447-03.
9. Kurzer M, Belsham PA, Kark AE. The Lichtenstein repair, *Surg Clin North Am* 1998; 78: 1025-46.
10. Ferzli GS, Edwards ED, Khoury GE: Chronic pain after inguinal herniorrhaphy. *J Am Coll Surg* 2007, 205:333-341.
11. Bringman S, Wollert S, Osterberg J, Smedberg S, Granlund H, Heikkinen TJ: Three-year results of a randomized clinical trial of lightweight or standard polypropylene mesh in Lichtenstein repair of primary inguinal hernia. *Br J Surg* 2005, 93:1056-1059.
12. Bay-Nielsen M, Perkins FM, Kehlet H. Danish Hernia Database. Pain and functional impairment 1 year after inguinal herniorrhaphy: A nationwide questionnaire study. *Ann Surg.* 2001;233:1-7.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

AUTHORS:

1. Kuldeep Raj Sarangal
2. R.K. Goel
3. RanendarChoudhary

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.G.T. Medical College, Budhera, Gurgaon, Haryana, India.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.G.T. Medical College, Budhera, Gurgaon, Haryana, India.
3. Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.G.T. Medical College, Budhera, Gurgaon, Haryana, India.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Kuldeep Raj Sarangal,
47, Second Floor, Harsh Vihar,
Pitampura, New Delhi.
Email – drkuldeepraj@yahoo.com

Date of Submission: 26/11/2013.
Date of Peer Review: 27/11/2013.
Date of Acceptance: 03/12/2013.
Date of Publishing: 10/11/2013