Cryptanalysis of a Certificateless Ring Signcryption Scheme

Hongzhen Du¹ and Qiaoyan Wen²

1 School of Mathematics and Information Science, Baoji University of Arts and Sciences, Baoji 721007, China

*2 School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China
hongzhendu@163.com*

Abstract

Certificateless public key cryptography (CL-PKC) is an appealing paradigm with the advantages of both conventional public key cryptosystem and ID-based cryptosystem because it avoids using certificates and eliminates the key escrow problem. Ring signcryption is an attractive primitive which allows one user to send a message anonymously, confidentially and authentically. Recently, Qi et al. proposed a novel certificateless ring signcryption scheme with bilinear pairings, and claimed the scheme is provably-secure in the random oracle model. In this paper, we reveal that Qi et al.'s scheme is not secure against both a Type I adversary and a Type II adversary. By giving specific attacks, we found it is unable to meet the fundamental requirements of confidentiality and unforgeability.

Keywords: *certificateless public key cryptography, ring signcryption, confidentiality, unforgeability*

1. Introduction

Identity-based cryptography (IBC) was first put forward by Shamir [1] in 1984, which permits a user to utilize his identity information such as name, e-mail address, telephone number, etc. as his own public key. This public key is well-known and does not need a certificate to guarantee his authenticity. This greatly eliminates the certificate management problems in a conventional Public Key Cryptosystem (PKC). However, in an IBC, there innately exists a drawback named private key escrow because the cryptosystem needs a Private Key Generator (PKG), which is in charge of generating a user's private key on the basis of his identity. Consequently, the PKG can literally decipher any ciphertext and fake any user's signature on a message.

To solve the key escrow problem in IBC, Al-Riyami and Paterson [2] put forward Certificateless Public Key Cryptography (CL-PKC) in 2003. The CL-PKC is a medium between conventional PKC and IBC. In a CL-PKC, a user's private key is not produced by the Key Generation Center (KGC). Instead, it is composed of a partial private key produced by the KGC and a secret value picked by the user. Therefore, the KGC is unable to gain the user's private key. Thus, the key escrow problem in IBC can be solved. CL-PKC not only overcomes the key escrow problem in IBC, but also eliminates the usage of certificates in the conventional PKC. So, CL-PKC has attracted great attention, many certificateless cryptosystems have been designed, including many certificateless signature schemes and certificateless encryption schemes, e.g. [3-7].

The definition of signcryption was first proposed by Zheng [8]. Signcryption absorbed the functions of both public key encryption and signature synchronously, and outdoes the sign-then-encrypt method. Ring signcryption entitles a user to signcrypt one message along with identities of a group of potential senders, including the user himself, but does

not reveal which user in the group has produced the signcryption in fact. Ring signcryption is an effective method for leaking trustworthy secrets anonymously, authentically and confidentially. The first identity-based ring signcryption scheme was proposed by Huang *et al.* [9] in 2005. Subsequently, several identity-based ring signcryption schemes have been constructed, such as [10-12]. However, Sree Vivek S. *et al.* [13] showed that the scheme in [10] has the security weakness. Selvi *et al.* [14] pointed out that schemes in [11-12] are insecure against the chosen plaintext attacks. Zhu *et al.* [15] presented an efficient identity-based ring signcryption scheme from bilinear pairings. However, Deng *et al.* [16] show that the scheme is not secure and present an improved scheme.

Being a primitive in CL-PKC, certificateless ring signcryption (CLRSC) schemes are usually used in communication gaining anonymity, authentication and confidentiality. However, up to now, there are few research results on CLRSC. Recently, Qi *et al.* [17] constructed a CLRSC scheme based on bilinear pairings and claimed that the scheme is secure against both Type I and Type II adversaries, and satisfies the needs of confidentiality and unforgeability. Unfortunately, we found that Qi *et al.*'s CLRSC scheme cannot resist attacks from a Type I or a Type II adversary. In our attack, we show that a Type I or Type II adversary is able to decrypt any ring ciphertext generated for the receiver. Moreover, we point out that a Type I or Type II adversary can impersonate any sender to send a valid ring signcrypted message to a receiver.

The following sections are organized as below. In the next section, we review bilinear pairings and introduce the definition and the security notions for CLRSC scheme. In Section 3, we review Qi *et al.*'s CLRSC scheme. In Section 4, we give concrete attacks on Qi *et al.*'s CLRSC scheme. Conclusions are drawn in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

In this subsection, we briefly introduce bilinear pairings.

2.1. Bilinear Pairings

Let G_1 be a cyclic additive group of prime order q , and G_2 be a cyclic multiplicative group of the same order q . A bilinear pairing is a map $e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ which meets the following properties:

- (1) Bilinearity: $e(aP, bQ) = e(P, Q)^{ab}$, where $P, Q \in G_1, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$.
- (2) Non-degeneracy: There exist $P, Q \in G_1$ such that $e(P, Q) \neq 1$.
- (3) Computability: There exists a computable algorithm to obtain $e(P, Q)$ for all $P, Q \in G_1$.

2.2. Formal Definition of Certificateless Ring Signcryption

A CLRSC scheme consists of the following five polynomial time algorithms.

- (i) **Setup**: This algorithm takes a security parameter k as input and outputs the system parameters $params$ and the master secret key s .
- (ii) **-Partial-Private-Key-Extract**: Taking $params$ and a user's identity ID_i as input, it outputs the user ID_i 's partial private key D_i .
- (iii) **-Key-Extract**: Taking as input $params$, a user's ID_i and his partial private key D_i , and a randomly chosen secret value t_i , it returns the user's public key PK_i and the user's (full) private key SK_i .
- (iv) **-Signcrypt**: To send a message m to the receiver with the identity ID_A and the public key PK_A . The sender with the identity ID_r and the public key PK_r chooses some other users to form a group $R = \{ID_1, ID_2, \dots, ID_r, \dots, ID_n\}$ (including himself) and runs the **Signcrypt** algorithm as below:

Taking as input $params$, m , R and the ring member's public key PK_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and the actual sender's private key SK_r , the receiver's identity ID_A and his public key PK_A , the algorithm outputs a ring signencrypted ciphertext σ .

(V) **-Unsigncrypt:** On providing $params$, σ , R , and PK_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), the receiver's identity ID_A and his private key SK_A , the algorithm outputs the plaintext m if σ is a valid signencryption from R to ID_A . Otherwise, it outputs an error symbol $\perp$.

2.3. Security Requirements of Certificateless Ring Signcryption

As mentioned in [2], there exist two types of adversaries who have different capabilities in CL-PKC. Type I adversary imitates a dishonest user that does not have the knowledge of the master secret key but is able to replace a user's public key arbitrarily, while Type II adversary models a malicious-but-passive KGC who knows the master secret key but is not capable of replace the public key of the target user.

The basic security requirements of a CLRSC scheme are "Message Confidentiality", "Message Unforgeability" and "Message Anonymity". Message Confidentiality means that only the receiver can retrieve the message from the signencrypted text. Message Unforgeability means only one of the ring members can generate a valid signencrypted text. Precise definitions of Message Confidentiality and Message Unforgeability are defined using security models. For the detail, please refer to [17].

3. Review of Qi *et al.*'s Certificateless Ring Signcryption Scheme

We review Qi *et al.*'s certificateless ring signcryption scheme [17], which is specified by the following five algorithms:

-Setup(k): Given a security parameter k , the KGC chooses two groups G_1 and G_2 of the same prime order q , a generator P in G_1 and a bilinear map $e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, and selects a random number $s \in Z_q^*$ as the master secret key and sets the public key $P_{pub} = sP$. Then, the KGC chooses four cryptographic hash functions $H_0: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow G_1$, $H_1: G_2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^l \times G_1$, $H_2: \{0, 1\}^l \times G_1 \times G_2 \times \{0, 1\}^* \times \{0, 1\}^* \times G_1 \rightarrow Z_q^*$, $H_3: \{0, 1\}^l \times Z_q^* \rightarrow Z_q^*$, and $M = \{0, 1\}^l$, where $l \in Z^+$ is an arbitrary fixed integer. The system parameters are $params = \langle q, G_1, G_2, e, P, P_{pub}, H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$.

-Partial-Private-Key-Extract: Given a user's identity $ID_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the KGC computes $Q_i = H_0(ID_i)$ and outputs the user ID_i 's partial private key $D_i = sQ_i$.

-Key-Extract: The user with the identity ID_i chooses a random value $t_i \in Z_q^*$ and sets his full private key as $SK_i = (t_i, S_i) = (t_i, t_i D_i)$ and sets his public key as $PK_i = t_i(P + Q_i)$.

-Signcrypt: To send a message m to the receiver with identity ID_A and public key PK_A , the sender with identity ID_r and public key PK_r uses his private key (t_r, S_r) to perform the following steps:

- (i) Choose n potential senders and form a group $R = \{ID_1, ID_2, \dots, ID_r, \dots, ID_n\}$.
- (ii) Randomly pick $r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $\alpha = H_3(m, r)$, $U = \alpha P$, $w = e(P_{pub}, PK_A)^\alpha$.
- (iii) For $i=1$ to n , $i \neq r$, randomly choose $x_i \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_i = x_i P$, $h_i = H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$.
- (iv) Randomly pick $x_r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_r = x_r Q_r - \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)$ and $h_r = H_2(m, R_r, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$.
- (v) Compute $Z = x_r D_r + h_r (t_r P_{pub} + S_r)$.
- (vi) Set $c = (m \| Z) \oplus H_1(w)$.
- (vii) Output the ciphertext $\sigma = (c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$ to the receiver ID_A .

-Ring-Unsigncrypt: Upon receiving a ciphertext $\sigma = (c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$, the receiver with identity ID_A and public key PK_A uses his private key $SK_A = (t_A, S_A)$ to decrypt the ciphertext as follows:

- (i) Compute $w = e(U, t_A P_{pub} + S_A)$ and recover $(m \| Z) = c \oplus H_1(w)$.
 - (ii) For $i=1$ to n , compute $h_i = H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$ and check whether the following equation holds: $e(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)) = e(P, Z)$.
 - (iii) Output m if the equation holds. Otherwise, output an error symbol $\perp$.
- About the correctness and the security analysis of the scheme, refer to [17].

4. Cryptanalysis of Qi et al.'s CLRSC Scheme

Qi *et al.* claimed that their scheme is both semantically secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks and existentially unforgeable against adaptive chosen message attacks. However, in this section, we describe our attacks on Qi et al.'s scheme [17] to show its security vulnerabilities. We show that Qi et al.'s scheme does not achieve the requirements of message confidentiality and unforgeability under a Type I/II adversary's attack.

4.1. Message Confidentiality Attack

In this subsection, we point out that Qi *et al.*'s scheme does not satisfy the property of message confidentiality.

4.1.1. The Type I Adversary A_1 's attack: A Type I adversary A_1 is able to unsigncrypt any ring ciphertext $\sigma = (c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$ generated under the receiver ID_A 's public key chosen by A_1 . The concrete attack is described in three stages.

Stage 1: A_1 picks a random value $t_A' \in Z_q^*$ and computes $PK_A' = t_A' P$, and replaces the receiver's public key PK_A with PK_A' .

Stage 2: Since no certificate is provided to bind a user and his public key, a sender with identity ID_r cannot detect the receiver's public key PK_A is replaced by A_1 . As a result, the sender will generate a ring signcrypted text with the public key PK_A' of the receiver as follows:

- (i) Choose n potential senders and form a group $R = \{ID_1, ID_2, \dots, ID_r, \dots, ID_n\}$.
- (ii) Randomly pick $r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $\alpha = H_3(m, r)$, $U = \alpha P$, $w = e(P_{pub}, PK_A')^\alpha$.
- (iii) For $i=1$ to n , $i \neq r$, randomly choose $x_i \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_i = x_i P$, $h_i = H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A')$.
- (iv) Randomly pick $x_r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_r = x_r P - \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)$ and $h_r = H_2(m, R_r, w, R, ID_A, PK_A')$.
- (v) Compute $Z = x_r D_r + h_r (t_r P_{pub} + S_r)$.
- (vi) Set $c = (m \| Z) \oplus H_1(w)$.
- (vii) Output the ciphertext $\sigma = (c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$ to the receiver ID_A .

Stage 3: Upon receiving the ciphertext $\sigma = (c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$, the Type I adversary A_1 decrypts the ciphertext as follows:

- (i) Compute $w' = e(U, t_A' P_{pub}) = e(\alpha P, t_A' P_{pub}) = e(\alpha P_{pub}, t_A' P) = e(P_{pub}, PK_A')^\alpha = w$, and recover $(m \| Z) = c \oplus H_1(w)$.

(ii) For $i=1$ to n , compute $h_i=H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A')$ and check whether the following equation holds: $e(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)) = e(P, Z)$.

Thus, A_1 successfully obtains the message m .

4.1.2. The Type II Adversary A_2 's Attack: We also point out that Qi et al.'s scheme is unable to resist attacks from a Type II adversary A_2 who knows the master secret key s is able to unsigncrypt any ciphertext $\sigma=(c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and get the corresponding plaintext m . The concrete attack is described in two stages.

Stage 1: A_2 computes $K_A=s \cdot PK_A$, where $PK_A=t_A(P+Q_A)$ is the receiver's public key.

Stage 2: Given a ciphertext $\sigma=(c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$, A_2 uses K_A to decrypt the ciphertext as follows:

(i) Compute $w=e(U, K_A)=e(U, s(t_A(P+Q_A)))=e(U, t_A P_{pub} + S_A)$.

(ii) Recover $(m\|Z)=c \oplus H_1(w)$.

As a result, A_2 gets the message m .

4.2. Unforgeability Attack

We show that Qi et al.'s scheme is universally forgeable by a Type I adversary A_1 or a Type II adversary A_2 . That is, Both A_1 and A_2 can arbitrarily forge a valid CLRSC ciphertext on any message with his choice on behalf of any sender.

4.2.1. The Type I Adversary A_1 's Attack: We indicate that a Type I adversary A_1 can successfully forge a valid ring signcryption ciphertext to cheat the receiver ID_A by replacing the sender ID_r 's public key. A_1 performs the following three stages:

Stage 1. A_1 randomly picks $l_r \in Z_q^*$ and replaces the sender ID_r 's public key PK_r with $PK_r=PK_r'=l_r P$.

Stage 2. A_1 impersonate the sender ID_r to generate a valid ciphertext as follows:

(i) Pick n potential senders and form a group $R=\{ID_1, ID_2, \dots, ID_r, \dots, ID_n\}$.

(ii) Randomly pick $r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $\alpha=H_3(m, r)$, $U=\alpha P$, $w=e(P_{pub}, PK_A)^\alpha$.

(iii) For $i=1$ to n , $i \neq r$, randomly choose $x_i \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_i=x_i P$, $h_i=H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$.

(iv) Randomly pick $x_r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R_r=x_r P - \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)$ and $h_r=H_2(m, R_r, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$.

(v) Compute $Z=x_r P_{pub} + h_r l_r P_{pub}$.

(vi) Set $c=(m\|Z) \oplus H_1(w)$.

(vii) Output the ciphertext $\sigma=(c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$.

Stage 3. Given a ciphertext $\sigma=(c, U, R, R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and all senders' public keys $PK_1, PK_2, \dots, PK_r, \dots, PK_n$, the receiver ID_A cannot detect that the sender ID_r 's public key is replaced by A_1 , he decrypts the ciphertext as follows:

(i) Compute $w=e(U, t_A P_{pub} + S_A)$ and recover $(m\|Z)=c \oplus H_1(w)$.

(ii) For $i=1$ to n , compute $h_i=H_2(m, R_i, w, R, ID_A, PK_A)$ and check whether the equation $e(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)) = e(P, Z)$ holds:

(iii) Output m if the equation holds. Otherwise, output an error symbol $\perp$.

The verification equation always holds. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e\left(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i)\right) \\
 &= e\left(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R_i + h_i PK_i) + R_r + h_r PK_r\right) \\
 &= e\left(P_{pub}, x_r P + h_r PK_r\right) \\
 &= e\left(P, x_r P_{pub} + h_r I_r P_{pub}\right) \\
 &= e(P, Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

It declares the forged ciphertext σ is valid. Therefore, we point out Qi et al.'s scheme is subject to universal forgery attack of a Type I adversary.

4.2.2 The Type II Adversary A_2 's Attack: We indicate that a Type II adversary A_2 can successfully forge a valid ring signcrypt message to cheat the receiver ID_A . A_2 performs as follows:

- **Signcrypt:** To signcrypt a message m' for the receiver with the identity ID_A and the public key PK_A on behalf of the sender with the identity ID_r and the public key PK_r , A_2 computes $D_r = s \cdot Q_r$ and $K_r = s \cdot PK_r = s \cdot t_r (P + Q_r) = t_r P_{pub} + S_r$.

A_2 performs the following steps:

(1) Choose n potential senders and form a group $R = \{ID_1, ID_2, \dots, ID_r, \dots, ID_n\}$.
(2) Randomly pick $r' \in Z_q^*$ and compute $\alpha' = H_3(m', r')$,
 $U' = \alpha' P$, $w' = e(P_{pub}, PK_A)^{\alpha'}$.

(3) For $i=1$ to n , $i \neq r$, randomly choose $x'_i \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R'_i = x'_i P$ and $h'_i = H_2(m', R'_i, w', R, ID_A, PK_A)$.

(4) Randomly pick $x'_r \in Z_q^*$ and compute $R'_r = x'_r Q_r - \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R'_i + h'_i PK_i)$ and $h'_r = H_2(m', R'_r, w', R, ID_A, PK_A)$.

(5) Compute $Z' = x'_r D_r + h'_r K_r$.

(6) Set $c' = (m' \| Z') \oplus H_1(w')$.

(7) Output the forged ciphertext $\sigma' = (c', U', R', R'_1, \dots, R'_n)$.

- **Unsigncrypt:** Upon receiving the ciphertext $\sigma' = (c', U', R', R'_1, \dots, R'_n)$, the receiver ID_A invokes the Unsigncrypt algorithm as follows:

(1) Compute $w' = e(U', t_A P_{pub} + S_A)$ and recover $(m' \| Z') = c' \oplus H_1(w')$.

(2) For $i=1$ to n , compute $h'_i = H_2(m', R'_i, w', R, ID_A, PK_A)$ and check the validity of the ciphertext by verifying $e\left(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R'_i + h'_i PK_i)\right) = e(P, Z')$.

Since we have

$$w' = e(U', t_A P_{pub} + S_A) = e(\alpha' P, s \cdot t_A (P + Q_A)) = e\left(P_{pub}, t_A (P + Q_A)\right)^{\alpha'} = e(P_{pub}, PK_A)^{\alpha'},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and } e\left(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1}^n (R'_i + h'_i PK_i)\right) &= e\left(P_{pub}, \sum_{i=1, i \neq r}^n (R'_i + h'_i PK_i) + R'_r + h'_r PK_r\right) \\
 &= e\left(P_{pub}, x'_r Q_r + h'_r PK_r\right) = e\left(P, x'_r D_r + h'_r K_r\right) = e(P, Z').
 \end{aligned}$$

The verification equation always holds. It means the forged ciphertext $\sigma' = (c', U', R', R'_1, \dots, R'_n)$ is valid. So, we point out Qi et al.'s scheme is universally forgeable by a Type II adversary.

5. Conclusions

Ring signcryptions can be used to protect privacy and authenticity of a collection of users who are connected through an ad-hoc network. In this paper, we analyze the security of a certificateless ring signcrypton scheme proposed by Qi *et al.* and show that their scheme fails to satisfy the basic requirements of confidentiality and unforgeability for a secure certificateless ring signcrypton scheme. Since no secure certificateless ring signcrypton scheme is available in the literature, constructing an efficient and secure CLRSC scheme is our future work.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61402015) and Scientific Research Program of Baoji University of Arts and Sciences (ZK12042).

References

- [1] A. Shamir, "Identity-based cryptosystems and signature schemes", Proceedings of CRYPTO'84 on Advances in Cryptology: Santa Barbara, CA, (1984), pp. 47–53.
- [2] S. S. AL-Riyami and K. G. Paterson, "Certificateless public key cryptography", Proceedings of the 9th International conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security. LNCS 2894, Springer-Verlag, (2003), pp. 452-473.
- [3] B. C. Hu, D. S. Wong, Z. Zhang and X. T. Deng, "Certificateless signature: a new security model and an improved generic construction", Des Codes Crypt, vol. 42, (2007), pp. 109-126.
- [4] K. Y. Choi, J. H. Park and D. H. Lee, "A new provably secure certificateless short signature scheme", Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 61, no. 7, (2011), pp. 1760-1768.
- [5] R. Tso, X. Huang and W. Susilo, "Strongly secure certificateless short signatures", Journal of Systems and Software, vol. 85, no. 6, (2012), pp. 1409– 1417.
- [6] S. Q. Miao, F. T. Zhang and S. J. Li, "On Security of a Certificateless Signcrypton Scheme", Information sciences, vol. 232, (2013), pp. 475-481.
- [7] H. Z. Du and Q. Y. Wen, "Certificateless proxy multi-signature", Information Sciences, vol. 276, (2014), pp. 21-30.
- [8] Y. L. Zheng, "Digital signcrypton or how to achieve cost (signature & encryption) << cost (signature) + cost (encryption)", Proceedings of Crypto'97. Springer-Verlag, (1997), pp. 165-179.
- [9] X. Huang, W. Susilo and Y. Mu, F. Zhang, "Identity-based ring signcrypton schemes: Cryptographic primitives for preserving privacy and authenticity in the ubiquitous world", Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, (2005), pp. 649–654.
- [10] F. Li, H. Xiong and Y. Yu, "An efficient ID-based ring signcrypton scheme", Proceedings of International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems, ICCAS (2008), pp. 483–487.
- [11] Y. Yu, F. Li, C. Xu and Y. Sun, "An efficient identity-based anonymous signcrypton scheme", Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences, vol. 13, no. 6, (2008), pp. 670–674.
- [12] L. Zhun and F. Zhang, "Efficient identity based ring signature and ring signcrypton schemes", Proceedings of International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security, CIS vol. 2, (2008), pp. 303–307.
- [13] Sree Vivek, S., Sharmila Deva Selvi, S. and P. Rangan, "On the security of two ring signcrypton schemes", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2009/052.
- [14] S. Sharmila Deva Selvi, S. Sree Vivek and C. P. Rangan, "On the security of identity based ring signcrypton schemes", Proceedings of ISC2009, LNCS 5735, (2009), pp. 310-325.
- [15] Z. C. Zhu, Y. Q. Zhang and F. J. Wang, "An efficient and provable secure identity-based ring signcrypton scheme", Computer Standards & Interfaces, vol. 31, no. 6, (2009), pp. 1092-1097.
- [16] L. Z. Deng, C. L. Liu, and X. B. Wang, "An improved identity-based ring signcrypton scheme", Information Security Journal: A Global Perspective, vol. 22, (2013), pp. 46–54.
- [17] Z. H. Qi, G. Yang and X. Y. REN, "Provably secure certificateless ring signcrypton scheme", China Communications, vol. 8, no. 3, (2011), pp. 99-106.

Authors

Hongzhen Du, she received the Ph.D. degree from Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications in 2009. From 2011, she is an associate professor at Baoji University of Arts and Sciences. Her research interests include cryptography, digital signature.

E-mail:hongzhendu@163.com.

Qiaoyan Wen, she received the Ph.D. degree in cryptography from Xidian University in 1999. From 2003, she is a professor in school of science at Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications. Her research interests include network security, cryptographic protocol and electronic commerce.

E-mail:wqy@bupt.edu.cn