Design, Synthesis and Molecular Docking Studies of Novel Pyrazole Benzimidazole Derivatives as Potent Antibacterial Agents

SRINIVASA RAO DASARI^{1,2,*}, SUBBAIAH TONDEPU³, LAKSHMANA RAO VADALI² and NARESHVARMA SEELAM¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur-522502, India

²Mylan Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad-500049, India

³Department of Chemical Engineering, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research, Vadlamudi, Guntur-522213, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dasari43.srinivas@gmail.com

Received: 16 April 2019;

Accepted: 16 June 2019;

Published online: 16 November 2019;

AJC-19612

A novel series of pyrazole benzimidazole derivatives were synthesized and the structure of the final targets **4a-h** were confirmed by IR, Mass, ¹³C NMR and ¹H NMR spectral analysis. The new pyrazole core with imidazole and benzimidazoles derivatives were evaluated for *in vitro* antibacterial, antifungal activity against six bacterial strains significantly. In dispersion, **4c**, **4f** and **4g** had the highest antibacterial activities on these microorganisms *Bacillus subtilis* B29, *Escherichia coli* E266, with zone of inhibition 21, 19 and 19 mm, respectively. Compounds **4a**, **4c**, **4h** shows good antifungal activity against *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* fungal strains. Further, molecular docking for protein ligands interactions was performed using the crystal structure of C(30) carotenoid dehydrosqualene synthase from *Staphylococcus aureus* complexed with bisphosphonate BPH-700. Among the final compounds **4e**, **4g** and **4h** show highest binding energy $\Delta G = -7.89$, -7.48 and -7.08 Kcal/mol, respectively and amino acid interactions Lys273, Asp27.

Keywords: Imidazole, Pyrazole, Antibacterial activity, Antifungal activity, Molecular modeling, 2ZCS.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most complex branches of organic chemistry is the chemistry of heterocyclic composites. It is equally compulsive for its “theoretical implications”, “diversified synthetic procedures” and for the “physiological and industrial importance” of heterocyclic compounds [1]. Heterocyclic compounds in particular, will be widely studied for cause of their intrinsic interest as well as many medicines, natural products, dyestuffs and drugs belong to their group [2]. Heterocycles are the cyclic organic compounds with at least one atom other than the carbon atom in their ring system. More than one third of the organic compounds are likely to be heterocycles. Majority of alkaloids, vitamins, synthetic medicines, antibiotics and substances like nucleic acids which are more closely associated with the human life evolution belongs to heterocyclic compounds [1,2].

Pyrazoles exhibit the typical aromatic system properties, which are in fact rather prominent in their structures having insecticide, herbicide properties and pharmaceutical interest

as anti-inflammatory and antipyretic. Even though the pyrazoles are very much familiar for 80 years, their chemistry exploration is on slow pace. Pyrazole compounds have the potential biological activities or have shown good pharmacological effects such as, anti-inflammatory [3], antiviral [4], antimicrobial [5], anticonvulsant [6], antitumor [7], fungicidal activities [8] and antihistaminic [9]. The pyrazole moiety has important role in some drugs structure, for example, some aryl pyrazole derivatives have anti-HIV-1 activity [10-12] and some pyrazole-3-carboxamide moiety has anti-CB1 cannabinoid ability [13]. Moreover, they are used as anti-inflammatory, antitumor, antipsychotic, antimicrobial and analgesic agents [14]. It is a fact that pyrazole derivatives are an important class of compounds for medicines, biological systems, agrochemicals and many fields of industrial products.

Benzimidazole derivatives are a blend of many varieties of pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic characteristics. Benzimidazoles belong to the most bioactive heterocyclic group that demonstrates a wide range of biological activities exclusively as a vitamin B₁₂ constituent [15]. The pharmacolo-

sized compounds structures were confirmed by FT-IR, ^1H NMR ^{13}C NMR, elemental and mass spectral analysis.

General procedure for the preparation of *E*-imidazole-propene-1-one intermediates (3a-h): A solution of 2-butyl-4-chloro-1*H*-imidazole-5-carbaldehyde (**1**) (5 g, 26 mmol), is added with corresponding 2-acetyl benzimidazole (4.3 g, 26 mmol), acetophenone (3.3 g, 27 mmol) in 20 % sodium hydroxide solution (10 mL) and methanol (5 mL) at ambient temperature and stirred for about 7-9 h. When the reaction completed as shown by TLC analysis by using EtOAc:hexane as mobile phase, the reaction mass was poured into ice water (50 mL) to get the product precipitated out as a solid. It was then filtered and dried to obtain the corresponding imidazole-propene-1-one intermediates **3a-h** with good yield.

(*E*)-1-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazol-2-yl)-3-(2-butyl-4-chloro-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (3a): Yield 84 %; Off-white solid, m.p. 178-179 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_4\text{OCl}$: C, 63.06 (63.90); H, 5.59 (6.23); Cl, 10.34 (10.01); N, 16.34 (15.44). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 824 (CCl *str.*), 1605, 1554 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1760 (CO *str.*), 2846, 2926 (CH *str.*), 3052 (=CH *str.*), 3420 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.08 (t, 3H, $J = 6.2$ Hz, CH_3), 1.28-1.36 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.67-1.68 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.68-2.69 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.8 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 7.37-7.39 (m, 2H, vinyl proton & ArH), 7.66-7.74 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.84-7.88 (d, 1H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, vinyl proton).

(*E*)-1-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazol-2-yl)-3-(2-butyl-4-chloro-1-ethyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (3b): Yield 85 %; Off-white solid, m.p. 184-187 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{OCl}$: C, 63.95 (64.75); H, 5.93 (6.83); Cl, 9.94 (9.54); N, 15.70 (14.82). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 832 (CCl *str.*), 1604, 1524 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1760 (CO *str.*), 2915, 2962 (CH *str.*), 3065 (=CH *str.*), 3305 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.15 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.29-1.35 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.62-1.68 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.06 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, NCH_2CH_3), 2.64-2.67 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 4.14 (q, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, NCH_2), 7.34-7.36 (m, 2H, vinyl proton & ArH), 7.61-7.69 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.89-7.93 (d, 1H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, vinyl proton).

(*E*)-1-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazol-2-yl)-3-(2-butyl-4-chloro-1-propyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (3c): Yield 83 %; Off-white solid, m.p. 184-187 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_4\text{OCl}$: C, 64.77 (65.69); H, 6.25 (6.95); Cl, 9.56 (9.06); N, 15.11 (14.05). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 845 (CCl *str.*), 1621, 1546 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1780 (CO *str.*), 2893, 2962 (CH *str.*), 3062 (=CH *str.*), 3325 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 0.88 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH_3), 1.26-1.34 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.57-1.63 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.58-2.69 (t, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH_2), 2.9 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 7.47-7.52 (m, 2H, vinyl proton & ArH), 7.72-7.76 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.94-7.98 (d, 1H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, vinyl proton), LC-MS: m/z : 407 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

(*E*)-1-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazol-2-yl)-3-(1-benzyl-2-butyl-4-chloro-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (3d): Yield 85 %; Pale yellow solid, m.p. 189-195 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_4\text{OCl}$: C, 68.81 (68.75); H, 5.53 (5.83); Cl, 8.46 (8.26); N, 13.37 (13.19). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 841 (CCl *str.*), 1622, 1578 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1795 (CO *str.*), 2890, 2955 (CH *str.*), 3054 (=CH *str.*), 3250 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400

MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 0.89 (t, 3H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, CH_3), 1.30-1.36 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.62-1.70 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.65-2.69 (t, 2H, CH_2), 7.4-7.5 (d, 1H, vinyl proton), 7.59-7.67 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.0 (m, 2H, vinyl proton & ArH), 12.84 (s, 1H, NH). LC-MS: m/z : 289 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

(*E*)-3-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (3e): Yield 85 %; Off-white solid, m.p. 189-195 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_2\text{OCl}$: C, 67.43 (67.25); H, 6.32 (6.91); Cl, 11.71 (11.67); N, 9.25 (9.02). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 825 (CCl *str.*), 1608, 1554 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1785 (CO *str.*), 2885, 2951 (CH *str.*), 3051 (=CH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.22 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH_3), 1.29-1.34 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.64-1.72 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.64-2.69 (t, 2H, CH_2), 7.34-7.35 (d, 1H, vinyl proton), 7.62-7.69 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.20-8.25 (m, 2H, vinyl proton & ArH); LC-MS: m/z : 289 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

General procedure for the preparation of imidazole containing pyrazole compounds 4a-h: A solution of **3a** (5 g, 17 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) was added with hydrazine hydrate (1 g, 20 mmol), acetic acid (0.2 mL) and the mixture was heated to reflux temperature, stirred for about 3 h till the completion of the starting materials. After the reaction got completed, it was cooled to room temperature and added into ice cold water (50 mL). The precipitated solid was filtered and washed twice with chilled water (2×20 mL) and dried to get 2-(5-(2-butyl-4-chloro-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole (**4a**). The remaining targets were prepared same as above procedure with different quantities for desired products.

2-(5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole (4a): Yield (4.1 g, 79 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 202-203 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_6\text{Cl}$: C, 60.58 (61.53); H, 5.93 (6.25); Cl, 9.93 (9.56); N, 23.55 (22.66). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 857 (CCl *str.*), 1392 (C-N *str.*), 1452 (C=N *str.*), 1608, 1505 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1739 (CO *str.*), 2926, 2952 (CH *str.*), 3092 (=CH *str.*), 3260 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.13 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.28-1.31 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.41-1.58 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.66 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.58 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 3.78 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 5.17 (t, 1H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 6.16 (s, 1H, benzimidazole-NH), 7.26-7.53 (m, 4H, ArH), 9.33 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 160.00, 138.27, 136.88, 131.15, 127.77, 125.76, 124.98, 121.67, 120.19, 115.00, 54.83, 53.63, 48.56, 35.27, 30.71, 23.07. LC-MS: m/z : 356 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

2-(5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-ethyl-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole (4b): Yield (85 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 197-198 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_6\text{Cl}$: C, 61.53 (61.53); H, 6.25 (6.25); Cl, 9.56 (9.56); N, 22.66 (22.66). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 836 (CCl *str.*), 1376 (C-N *str.*), 1458 (C=N *str.*), 1517, 1610 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1658 (CO *str.*), 2932, 2957 (CH *str.*), 3071 (=CH *str.*), 3277 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.02 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.23-1.28 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.42-1.60 (quint, 2H, CH_2), 2.60 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.23 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, NCH_2CH_3), 3.56 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 4.23 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, NCH_2), 5.21 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 6.28 (s, 1H, benzimidazole-NH), 7.29-7.55

(m, 4H, ArH), 9.38 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 155.16, 147.79, 138.19, 134.27, 132.31, 127.81, 124.71, 122.94, 121.80, 116.94, 55.72, 45.30, 44.24, 42.85, 34.91, 29.34, 24.83, 21.90. LC-MS: m/z : 370 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

2-(5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-propyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (4c): Yield (3.8 g, 74 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 194-195 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_6\text{Cl}$: C, 62.41 (62.35); H, 6.55 (6.50); Cl, 9.21 (9.17); N, 21.83 (21.80). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 853 (CCl *str.*), 1378 (C-N *str.*), 1449 (C=N *str.*), 1601 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 1786 (CO *str.*), 2931, 2955 (CH *str.*), 3076 (=CH *str.*), 3290 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 0.91 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.21-1.29 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.39-1.56 (m, 4H, CH_2), 2.68 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 2.78 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 3.36 (d, 1H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.59 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 5.19 (t, 1H, pyrrole proton), 6.17 (s, 1H, benzimidazole-NH), 7.32-7.54 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 9.42 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 155.22, 138.40, 135.49, 132.07, 128.28, 126.72, 125.21, 121.40, 118.14, 58.73, 52.67, 46.18, 42.84, 36.24, 30.18, 29.31, 28.27, 22.46. LC-MS: m/z : 384 ($\text{M}^+ + 1$).

2-(5-(1-Benzyl-2-butyl-4-chloro-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (4d): Yield (4.7 g, 89 %); Pale yellow solid, m.p. 174-175 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_6\text{Cl}$: C, 66.58 (66.42); H, 5.82 (5.71); Cl, 8.19 (8.20); N, 19.41 (19.24). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 836 (CCl *str.*), 1392 (C-N *str.*), 1456 (C=N *str.*), 1612 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 2856, 2942 (CH *str.*), 3052 (=CH *str.*), 3320 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.05 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.32-1.37 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.46-1.57 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.72-2.79 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.52 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.66 (d, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 4.98 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, NCH_2), 7.42-7.61 (m, 5H, ArH), 8.12-8.31 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.91 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH), 11.26 (s, 1H, imidazole proton); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 160.18, 137.44, 135.84, 132.77, 130.86, 129.79, 126.83, 124.22, 122.78, 120.82, 119.34, 115.99, 109.37, 53.64, 48.15, 34.28, 32.74, 29.38, 25.37, 22.31. LC-MS: m/z : 432 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole (4e): Yield (3.9 g, 74 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 192-193 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{Cl}$: C, 64.45 (64.24); H, 6.68 (6.72); Cl, 11.19 (11.42); N, 17.68 (17.91). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 848 (CCl *str.*), 1368 (C-N *str.*), 1460 (C=N *str.*), 1612, 1564 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 2945 (CH *str.*), 3050 (=CH *str.*), 3325 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.09 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.28-1.34 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.62-1.69 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.84 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.43 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.62 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 3.79 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 7.32-7.49 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.93-8.02 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 9.52 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 154.16, 138.40, 135.49, 132.07, 128.28, 126.72, 125.21, 121.70, 119.14, 59.78, 53.64, 48.15, 42.81, 32.52, 29.38, 25.37, 20.39. LC-MS: m/z : 316 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-ethyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole (4f): Yield (3.8 g, 74 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 164-165 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{Cl}$: C, 65.34 (65.99); H, 7.01 (7.62);

Cl, 10.72 (10.22); N, 16.93 (16.53). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 836 (CCl *str.*), 1368 (C-N *str.*), 1452 (C=N *str.*), 1612, 1536 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 2922, 2965 (CH *str.*), 3072 (=CH *str.*), 3310 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 0.96 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.29-1.31 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.52-1.59 (m, 4H, CH_2), 2.67 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 2.87 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 3.73 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.79 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 4.19 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, NCH_2), 7.53-7.66 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.02 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, Ar-H), 9.46 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 155.63, 138.38, 136.87, 131.00, 127.80, 125.77, 121.66, 120.16, 54.86, 48.56, 42.01, 30.24, 28.33, 20.71, 14.04. LC-MS: m/z : 384 ($\text{M}^+ + 1$).

5-(2-Butyl-4-chloro-1-propyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole (4g): Yield (3.8 g, 74 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 142-143 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_4\text{Cl}$: C, 66.17 (65.17); H, 7.31 (7.72); Cl, 10.28 (10.46); N, 16.25 (16.18). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 848 (CCl *str.*), 1345 (C-N *str.*), 1473 (C=N *str.*), 1584 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 2960 (CH *str.*), 3061 (=CH *str.*), 3312 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.05 (t, 6H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.25 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.89 (m, 4H, CH_2), 2.54 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.62 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.88 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 4.19 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, NCH_2), 7.28-7.37 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.92-7.99 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, Ar-H), 9.62 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 154.12, 138.28, 133.15, 131.20, 130.81, 128.60, 127.15, 126.48, 52.6, 36.12, 33.89, 28.3, 25.37, 21.2, 16.39. LC-MS: m/z : 345 ($\text{M}^+ + 1$).

5-(1-Benzyl-2-butyl-4-chloro-1H-imidazol-5-yl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole (4h): Yield (4.7 g, 89 %); Off-white solid, m.p. 147-148 °C; Elemental analysis calcd. (found) % of $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_4\text{Cl}$: C, 70.31 (71.15); H, 6.41 (6.28); Cl, 9.02 (9.19); N, 14.26 (14.18). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm^{-1}): 860 (CCl *str.*), 1398 (C-N *str.*), 1462 (C=N *str.*), 1605 (Ar-C=C *str.*), 2860, 2945 (CH *str.*), 3058 (=CH *str.*), 3321 (NH *str.*); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3/TMS): δ_{ppm} 1.12 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3), 1.38-1.42 (sex, 2H, CH_2), 1.56-1.63 (quin, 2H, CH_2), 2.65 (t, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_2), 3.52 (t, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazole proton), 3.86 (d, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, pyrazol proton), 4.92 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, NCH_2), 7.42-7.58 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.91-7.99 (d, 2H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, ArH), 8.18-8.29 (m, 4H, ArH), 9.15 (s, 1H, pyrazole-NH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} 161.02, 147.61, 138.22, 133.80, 132.71, 131.15, 129.34, 128.46, 127.71, 126.37, 124.91, 121.94, 120.43, 116.43, 48.42, 36.45, 32.16, 29.37, 24.82, 20.91, 18.62. LC-MS: m/z : 393 ($\text{M}+1$) $^+$.

Biological evaluation: By using streptomycin (100 μg /mL) as a reference antibacterial agent, all the final compounds were tested for *in vitro* antibacterial activity against three Gram-positive strains and three Gram-negative bacteria by adopting cup plate agar diffusion method [25]. The sterilized mediums [1 mg/mL] (autoclaved at 110 °C for 45 min) was inoculated with suspensions of the microorganisms (10^5 cfu mL^{-1}) as harmonized to McFarland barium sulphate solution and filled into petri-dishes to get a depth of 3-4 mm. For about 18 to 24 h, the mediums were solidified, inverted and incubated at 30-37 °C till the adequate growth was noticed. After incubation, each plate was scrutinized and measured for complete zones of inhibition. The zone of inhibition was measured using

sliding calipers to the nearest whole millimeter (mm). All the target compounds were screened for their antifungal activity against two pathogenic fungi, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Aspergillus niger* by the poison plate technique [26].

Docking studies: The binding modes of synthesized compounds with a protein have been explained by molecular docking. All the final targets were docked individually by using Autodock 4.2 software [27,28]. After receiving the modeled three dimensional structure of nitrate reductase protein to Autodock 4.2, it was structurally optimized by adding hydrogen to protein designated with kollaman charges. The model was then saved in PDBQT format after adding hydrogen. Later the ligands were prepared by adjusting the torsion angles and saved them in PDBQT format. Potential binding sites for all the proteins was selected by using PDBSUM [29]. Around binding site of protein, a grid was generated to identify the xyz coordinates. Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) was selected for docking, freezing and default parameters used in autodock4.2. The structural intercommunications between PDB with two inhibitors were docked separately. The binding modes of protein and their binding energies of ligands were commonly estimated by performing Docking studies. X,Y,Z coordinates of PDB were selected by using SPDBV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antibacterial activity: By considering streptomycin as a standard drug, the *in vitro* antibacterial studies of newly synthesized compounds were assessed against two Gram-positive strains and two Gram-negative bacteria (Table-1). The antibacterial activity of the final compounds varied from moderate to excellent over the bacterial strains used. But some of the target compounds did not show activity.

From the above results, it can be understood that the compound **4c** was found to exhibit excellent activity against *Bacillus subtilis* B29 and *Staphylococcus aureus* S276 and also shows good activity against *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 15442, *Escherichia coli* E266. A capable activity against all the bacterial strains has been exhibited by Imidazole-pyrazoles containing electron donor properties. An increased zone of inhibition 21, 20 and 16 mm has been exhibited by compounds **4c**, **4f** and **4g**, respectively when compared to the standard drug streptomycin (18 mm) against *Bacillus subtilis* B29 strain. Compounds **4f** (19 mm) and **4g** (19 mm) showed a good activity against the Gram-negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli* E266. The antifungal screening results of all the compounds were compared with standard antifungal drug, the fluconazole and observed that all the compounds have showed moderate activity. The activity of compounds **4a**, **4c** against *Fusarium oxysporum* was slightly higher with zone of inhibition 13, 12 mm, respectively. Target compounds **4h**, **4a** shows very good antifungal activity against *A. niger* strain with a zone of inhibition 15 mm.

Docking: Docking studies of all the synthesized compounds into the binding site of the proteins was performed to determine the binding accord of the complex. It is a significant part of the structure based drug design process “crystal structure of the C(30) carotenoid dehydrosqualene synthase from *Staphylococcus aureus* complexed with bisphosphonate BPH-700” (2ZCS). Molecular docking studies was most extensively used method for the calculation of protein-ligand interactions and all the synthesized compounds are summarized in Table-2. In this study, some of the new ligands (**6**) have identified as potent antibacterial inhibitors from binding free energy assessment to assign the best binding conformation by AutoDock4.2 version and it is an efficient method to predict the potential

TABLE-1
ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF SYNTHESIZED COMPOUNDS **4a-h**

Compound No.	Zone of inhibition in mm at 25 µg/mL					
	Gram-positive bacteria		Gram-negative bacteria		Fungal strains	
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> B29	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> S276	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 15442	<i>Escherichia coli</i> E266	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>
4a	14	16	12	13	13	15
4b	12	13	15	10	—	12
4c	21	20	18	15	12	14
4d	13	—	12	08	10	08
4e	09	08	11	—	06	13
4f	20	17	18	19	10	09
4g	16	18	14	19	07	10
4h	—	15	17	14	12	15
Streptomycin	18	15	18	20	—	—
Flucanazole	—	—	—	—	15	18

TABLE-2
MOLECULAR DOCKING INTERACTIONS OF SOME OF THE DERIVATIVES WITH THEIR ANTIBACTERIAL PROTEIN

Compd. No.	PDB	Interacting amino acids	Grid X-Y-Z coordinates	Binding energy ΔG (Kcal/mol)	Dissociation constant (KI) (µM)
4a	2ZCS	Asp27, Lys273	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-6.34	22.68
4c	2ZCS	Asp49, Arg265	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-6.24	26.47
4e	2ZCS	Lys273, Asp27	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-7.08	6.47
4f	2ZCS	Asp27, Lys273	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-5.77	58.77
4g	2ZCS	Lys273	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-7.89	1.66
4h	2ZCS	Lys273	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-7.48	3.27
Streptomycin	2ZCS	Ser21	56.026, 5.999, 60.238	-5.85	51.74

Fig. 1. Docking conformations complexed with bisphosphonate BPH-700 (2ZCS)

ligand interactions. Experimental activities and predicted values by Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm and the derivatives selected for molecular docking have some collective structural features.

The compound **4g** shows highest binding energy with amino acid interactions LYS273 with binding energy $\Delta G = -7.89$ and dissociation constant in $1.66 \mu\text{M}$. Fig. 1 illustrates some of the synthesized compounds **4h** exhibited binding energy 7.48 and $3.27 \mu\text{M}$ values and interactions with LYS273. Furthermore, the targets **4a**, **4c**, **4e**, **4f** effective interactions with two amino acids Asp27, Lys273, Asp49, Arg265, with binding energies -6.34 , -6.24 , 7.08 , 5.77 Kcal/Mol values, respectively. The docking score and H-bond interactions, van der Waal forces were done for all the synthesized compounds used in this study (green colour lines). Binding energies were measured, it consists of van der Waal forces, hydrogen bondings, π - π interactions, cation- π interactions, *etc.* and the hydrogen bonding distance of all the molecules with proteins is less than $2.0 \text{ \AA}$.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. R.H. Wiley, eds.: L.C. Behr, R. Fusco and C.H. Jarboe, *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Chemistry: Pyrazoles, Pyrazolines, Pyrazolidines, Indazoles and Condensed Rings*, Interscience Publishers: John Wiley & Sons, pp 3-20 (1967).
2. G.M. Badger, *The Chemistry of the Heterocyclic Compounds*. Academic Press: New York and London, pp. 5-6 (1961).
3. A.K. Tewari and A. Mishra, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **9**, 715 (2001); [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0896\(00\)00285-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0896(00)00285-6).
4. J.S. Larsen, M.A. Zahran, E.B. Pedersen and C. Nielsen, *Monatsh. Chem.*, **130**, 1167 (1999); <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00010295>.
5. E.V. Pimenova and É.V. Voronina, *Pharm. Chem. J.*, **35**, 602 (2001); <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015141710100>.
6. I. Bouabdallah, L.A. M'Barek, A. Zyad, A. Ramdani, I. Zidane and A. Melhaoui, *Nat. Prod. Res.*, **20**, 1024 (2006); <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786410600921441>.
7. H.J. Park, K. Lee, S.J. Park, B. Ahn, J.C. Lee, H.Y. Cho and K.I. Lee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **15**, 3307 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.03.082>.
8. C.K. Chu and S.J. Cutler, *Heterocycl. Chem.*, **23**, 289 (1986); <https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570230201>.
9. V. Michon, C.H. du Penhoat, F. Tombret, J.M. Gillardin, F. Lepage and L. Berthon, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **30**, 147 (1995); [https://doi.org/10.1016/0223-5234\(96\)88220-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0223-5234(96)88220-1).
10. M.J. Genin, C. Biles, B.J. Keiser, S.M. Poppe, S.M. Swaney, W.G. Tarpley, Y. Yagi and D.L. Romero, *J. Med. Chem.*, **43**, 1034 (2000); <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm990383f>.

11. Y.R. Huang and J.A. Katzenellenbogen, *Org. Lett.*, **2**, 2833 (2000); <https://doi.org/10.1021/ol0062650>.
12. S.R. Stauffer, C.J. Coletta, R. Tedesco, G. Nishiguchi, K. Carlson, J. Sun, B.S. Katzenellenbogen and J.A. Katzenellenbogen, *J. Med. Chem.*, **43**, 4934 (2000); <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm000170m>.
13. S. Ruiiu, G.A. Pinna, G. Marchese, J.M. Mussinu, P. Saba, S. Tambaro, P. Casti, R. Vargiu and L. Pani, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **306**, 363 (2003); <https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.103.049924>.
14. R. Olivera, R. Sanmartin and E. Dominguez, *J. Org. Chem.*, **65**, 7010 (2000); <https://doi.org/10.1021/jo000609i>.
15. The Merck Index, Merck & Co Inc., edn 13, p. 1785 (2001).
16. M. Amari, M. Fodili, B. Nedjar-kolli, A.É.P. Hoffmann and J. PÉriÉ, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **39**, 811 (2002); <https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570390429>.
17. P. Kohler, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, **31**, 336 (2001); [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(01\)00131-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00131-X).
18. A.T.S. Mavrova, K.K. Anichina, D.I. Vuchev, J.A. Tsenov, M.S. Kondeva and M.K. Micheva, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **13**, 5550 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2005.06.046>.
19. H. Goker, C. Kus, D.W. Boykin, S. Yildiz and N. Altanlar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **10**, 2589 (2002); [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0896\(02\)00103-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0896(02)00103-7).
20. H. Göker, S. Özden, S. Yildiz and D.W. Boykin, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **40**, 1062 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2005.05.002>.
21. M. Andrzejewska and M.L. Yopez, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **37**, 973 (2002); [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0223-5234\(02\)01421-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0223-5234(02)01421-6).
22. S. Özden, D. Atabey, S. Yildiz and H. Göker, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **13**, 1587 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2004.12.025>.
23. M.M. Ramla, M.A. Omar, A.-M.M. El-Khamry and H.I. El-Diwani, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **14**, 7324 (2006); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.06.033>.
24. M. Boiani and M. Gonzalez, *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.*, **5**, 409 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.2174/1389557053544047>.
25. C. Valgas, S.M. Souza, E.F.A. Smânia and A. Smânia Jr, *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, **38**, 369 (2007); <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822007000200034>.
26. L. Rivillas-Acevedo and M. Soriano-García, *J. Mex. Chem. Soc.*, **51**, 136 (2007).
27. D.S. Goodsell and A.J. Olson, *Proteins*, **8**, 195 (1990); <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.340080302>.
28. G.M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M.F. Sanner, R.K. Belew, D.S. Goodsell and A.J. Olson, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **30**, 2785 (2009); <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21256>.
29. R.A. Laskowski, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **37**, 355 (2009); <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn860>.