Quantum Mechanical Calculations for Reaction Path of O-R Bond Breakage in Some of Cefpodoxime Prodrugs

R.M. KUBBA

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Jadiriya, Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding author: Tel: +964 7700298442; E-mail: rehab_mmr_kb@yahoo.com

Received: 13 January 2018;

Accepted: 18 April 2018;

Published online: 30 April 2018;

AJC-18882

This work is Unrestricted Hartree Fock (UHF) quantum mechanical calculations of proton transfer and reaction path of (O-R) bond rupture energies in nine cefpodoxime prodrug derivatives of different substituted organic groups, at their optimize geometries. The calculation included geometrical structure, some of physical properties such as standard heat of formation, dipole moment and total energies, using Gaussian-09 program. Comparison was done between the total energies of the reactants, activation energies, transition states and end products. All the calculations are reported in the vacuum phase. The results showed that some of the substituted organic groups can be used as carrier linkages for the acidic cefpodoxime drug.

Keywords: Cefpodoxime proxetil ester derivatives, O-R bond rupture.

INTRODUCTION

The term 'prodrug' was first introduced by Albert [1] to describe any compound that undergoes biotransformation prior to the exhibition of its pharmacological effect. It signifies a pharmacologically inactive chemical derivative that could be used to alter the physico-chemical properties of drugs in a temporary manner to increase their usefulness and/or to decrease associated toxicity. The term prodrug is also called as 'proagent', 'bioreversible derivative' or 'latent drug', but prodrug is the most commonly accepted term [2]. Prodrug can be also defined as pharmacologically inert chemical derivatives that can be enzymatically or non-enzymatically converted *in vivo* to the active drug molecule to exert a therapeutic effect. According to IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry): Prodrug is defined as any compound that undergoes biotransformation before exhibiting its pharmacological effects [3]. Depending upon the constitution, lipophilicity, method of deactivation and the catalyst involved in bio activation, prodrugs are classified into two categories *i.e.*, carrier linked prodrug and bio precursor [4]. In recent years numerous prodrugs have been designed and developed to overcome barriers to drug utilization such as low oral absorption properties, lack of site specificity, chemical instability, toxicity, bad taste, bad odor and pain at application site [5,6]. Cefpodoxime proxetil (CPDP) ($R = -CH(CH_3)OCOCH(CH_3)_2$) Fig. 1, is chemically [6R-[6 α ,7 β (z)]]-7-[[[(2-amino-4-thiazolyl)(methoxyimino)acetyl]-amino]-3-(methoxymethyl)-8-oxo-5-thia-1-azabicyclo[4.2.0]-

oct-2-ene-2-carboxylic acid 1-[[[(1-methyl ethoxy)carbonyl]-oxy]ethyl ester. It is an oral third generation semi-synthetic cephalosporin and a β -lactam antibiotic used to treat a variety of bacterial infections. It is marketed as the prodrug cefpodoxime proxetil by Pharmacia and Upjohn under the trade names Vantin, Vercef. It is active against most Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Cefpodoxime is ideal to be used as a therapy in respiratory tract infections, it is poor water solubility also contributes to its low bioavailability [1,7]. Theoretically, Frau *et al.* [8] have calculated the optimize geometry of some penicillin's prodrugs using semiempirical calculations of MINDO/3, MINDO and AM1 methods. Karaman [9] studied proton transfer reactions for prodrugs of aza nucleosides molecules. Hejaz *et al.* [10] had revealed, that activation energy for the proton transfer in processes prodrugs, using DFT method is quite dependent on the transition state and geometric variations in the ground state. Kubba *et al.* had studied theoretically, using quantum mechanical calculations of semiempirical PM3 and (UHF) methods, the O-R bond rupture in some prodrugs derivatives of ampicillin and cefuroxime, including different substituted organic groups in an attempt to show which of them could be chosen as a good carrier linkage for ampicillin or cefuroxime medicinal [11-13].

The aim of this research is to study the reaction path curves for the O-R bond rupture in some of new cefpodoxime linkages, by using *ab initio* Unrestricted Hartree Fock (UHF) method [14]. The study included the assignment of the energy of the reactant, activation energy, transition state and product,

Bond description	-R			
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_3 \\ \\ -\text{CHOCOCH}(\text{CH}_3)_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_3 \\ \\ -\text{CHOCOOCH}(\text{CH}_3)_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_3 \\ \\ -\text{CCH}_3\text{OCOCH}(\text{CH}_3)_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_3 \\ \\ -\text{CCH}_3\text{OCOOCH}(\text{CH}_3)_2 \end{array}$
S1-C2	1.803	1.804	1.820	1.806
S1-C6	1.803	1.803	1.822	1.805
C2-C3	1.535	1.534	1.487	1.535
C3-C4	1.329	1.327	1.351	1.329
C3-C19	1.537	1.537	1.510	1.531
C4-N5	1.441	1.439	1.425	1.428
C4-C21	1.529	1.527	1.504	1.535
N5-C6	1.485	1.486	1.514	1.479
N5-C7	1.449	1.450	1.480	1.443
C6-C8	1.570	1.572	1.568	1.573
C8-N9	1.455	1.455	1.463	1.464
N9-H	1.022	1.022	1.000	1.034
N9-C10	1.427	1.427	1.432	1.437
C10=O	1.220	1.220	1.216	1.219
C10-C11	1.536	1.536	1.509	1.547
C11-N12	1.291	1.291	1.295	1.291
C11-C13	1.501	1.501	1.467	1.497
C13-C14	1.337	1.337	1.370	1.338
C13-N16	1.434	1.434	1.410	1.433
N16-C17	1.302	1.302	1.341	1.302
C17-N18	1.436	1.436	1.409	1.434
C19-O20	1.439	1.439	1.413	1.440
C21=O	1.218	1.218	1.212	1.219
C21-O22	1.393	1.391	1.362	1.409
O22-R	1.440	1.441	1.443	1.441

of increasing in bond length on going from Pro. D1(1°) to Pro. D3(1°) due to increasing the inductive effect of (–CH₂) groups. The same reason was found (–CH₃) for increasing in the O-R bond length of secondary Pro. D10(2°) than primary Pro. D9(1°). Generally the O-H bond length of the acidic cefpodoxime (0.990 Å) is shorter than all of the O-R bonds length of the prodrug derivatives, so it is expected to have the largest breaking energy for cracking purpose. Table-1 shows the calculation of the (bond lengths Å) for the cefpodoximic acid (R = -H) and cefpodoximate derivatives Pro. D (1-10) at the equilibrium geometry using UHF method.

Examination of geometrical optimization structures for Pro. D (1-10): Pro. D (1, 2, 3) possess the higher heat of formation, higher total energy, in the order of Pro. D (1 < 2 < 3), dipole moment in the order of Pro. D (3 > 2 > 1) because of the inductive effect of increasing CH₂ group. Pro D (4,5,6) possess the lower total energy in the order of Pro. D (6 < 5 < 4), higher dipole moment in the order of Pro. D (6 > 4 > 5). Pro D (7-10) possess the mid values of the heat of formation, total energy and dipole moment (Tables 2 and 3). For comparison calculated results of the primary (1°) and secondary derivatives (2°), the results of the two isomers derivative 1-fructosyl 4(1°) and 3-glycosyl 5(2°) show increasing in O-R bond length with decreasing in ΔH_f, (meaning 5(2°) is more stable than 4(1°)). Also there was decreasing in E_{LUMO} and ΔE_{HOMO-LUMO}, with increasing in dipole moment (μ). It can be seen that cefpodoxime derivatives including (-OCOO) group [8(1°) and 10(2°)] have a shorter O-R bond length, lower ΔH_f and larger dipole moment in comparison with the corresponding derivatives [7(1°) and

9(2°)] including (OCO) group, so they are expected to be more stable and more viable for using as a carrier-linkage for cefpodoxime drug than others. The physical properties for the minimize structures of cefpodoxime acid and Pro. D (1-10), such as dipole moment, standard heat of formation, E_{HOMO}, E_{LUMO} and ΔE_{HOMO-LUMO} at their equilibrium geometries as calculated by (UHF/STO-3G) method are illustrated in Table-2.

Results for calculations of O-R bond breakage energy:

The activation energy in the cefpodoximic acid and Pro. D (1-10) were calculated by using reaction coordinate method [19]. Only O₁₇-R₁₉ bond length is constrained for the appropriate degree of freedom while all other bonds length are freely optimized. The activation energy values for the O-R rupture reactions were calculated from the difference in energies of the global optimize structures and the derived transition states (E_a[#] = E_{transition state} – E_{reactant}). Only one negative frequency was found in the transition state structures. The activation energies obtained from Unrestricted Hartree Fock (UHF/STO-3G) level of theory for cefpodoximic acid and Pro. D (1-10) were calculated without the inclusion of any solvent. In a former studies, it was shown that the UHF/STO-3G yields for the O-R bond rupture reaction path a sudden decline in the total molecular energy calculated after the transition state (t.s) [11-13]. It was important to inspect the shape of the reaction curve for the different prodrugs. The treatment should show the change in molecular energy of the prodrug along the reaction path and the structure of the transition states as well as the end products. The distance between C₁₇ and O₁₉ increases during the cracking purposes. The calculated reaction path of cracking processes

TABLE-2
UHF CALCULATIONS FOR SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CEFPODOXIMIC
DERIVATIVES AND CEFPODOXIMIC ACID AT THE OPTIMIZE GEOMETRY

Cefpo Pro. D No.	-R	ΔH_f^0 (kcal/mol)	E_{HOMO} (kcal/mol)	E_{LUMO} (kcal/mol)	$\Delta E_{\text{HOMO-LUMO}}$ (kcal/mol)	Dipole moment (Debye)
	-H	-91.661	-6.884	5.605	1.279	2.394
1(1 ⁰)	-CH ₃	-85.283	-6.857	5.660	1.197	3.213
2(1 ⁰)	-CH ₂ CH ₃	-90.254	-6.884	5.632	1.252	3.250
3(20)	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	-97.741	-6.884	5.632	1.252	5.337
4(1 ⁰)		-301.957	-6.884	5.768	1.115	6.694
5(2 ⁰)		-301.080	-6.911	5.524	1.386	5.260
6(1 ⁰)		-169.411	-6.803	5.660	1.142	8.924
7(1 ⁰)		-187.298	-6.884	5.605	1.278	2.429
8(1 ⁰)		-229.351	-6.911	5.605	1.306	2.873
9(2 ⁰)		-185.466	-6.857	5.632	1.224	2.369
10(2 ⁰)		-228.729	-6.721	6.095	0.625	2.765

TABLE-3
CALCULATIONS OF UHF FOR SOME KINETIC ENERGIES OF THE CEFPODOXIME ACID
AND PRO. D (1-10) DERIVATIVES AT THEIR MINIMIZE GEOMETRIES

Cefpo. D. no.	-R	E_{total} (reactant) kcal/mol	E_{total} (product) kcal/mol	ΔH_c (kcal/mol)	E_a^* (kcal/mol)
1(1 ⁰)	-CH ₃	-1322540.954	-1322542.144	1.190	167.265
2(1 ⁰)	-CH ₂ CH ₃	-1559741.275	-1346643.057	1.782	135.469
3(20)	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	-1370960.421	-1370959.198	1.223	164.276
4(1 ⁰)		-1674528.261	-1674434.753	93.508	135.311
5(2 ⁰)		-1674529.558	-1674524.361	5.197	118.502
6(1 ⁰)		-1687723.497	-1687669.079	54.418	137.606
7(1 ⁰)		-1535532.058	-1535454.007	78.051	93.734
8(1 ⁰)		-1581875.030	-1581790.295	84.735	123.895
9(2 ⁰)		-1559741.275	-1559686.375	54.900	102.279
10(2 ⁰)		-1606072.612	-1606020.703	51.909	96.363

for Pro. D (1-10) was shown in Fig. 2. This figure shows the energy curve for the O-R bond rupture of cefpodo-xime ester derivatives calculated by UHF/STO-3G method. There is obvious increase in the dipole moment (μ) and total energy

(E_{total}) of the molecule with increasing the bond distance of (O...R) towards transition state (t.s.) [20]. By studying energy curves, it was shown that the O-R bond ruptures reactions of cefpodoxime ester prodrugs of Pro. D (1–3) are reversible, of

Fig. 2. Calculated reaction path of O-R bond breakages reaction for some cefpodoxime prodrugs of different (R) according to *ab initio* UHF method

high energy barrier, high activation energy (E_a^*), very low heat of cracking ($\Delta H_c = E_{(product)} - E_{(reactant)}$), beyond the transition state produces σ radical structure and giving free radicals end products. Meaning, sense, these prodrugs do not given cefpodoxime drug as a result of O-R bond breakage as shown in Fig. 3, Table-3. These results correspond to the experimental results in the literature [21].

The end product of the O-R bond ruptures reactions in Pro. D (4, 5) given cefpodoxime acid with unstable anion and [2,6-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-3,4,8-triol] respectively, GMin (4, 5). So Pro. D (4, 5) do not expected be good prodrugs due

to high ΔH_c (93.508 kcal/mol), high activation energy E_a^* (135.311 kcal/mol) of Pro. D (4) and for very low heat of cracking ΔH_c (5.197 kcal/mol), of Pro. D (5), Table-3, Fig. 4.

The O-R bond ruptures reaction for Pro. D (6) [$R =$ 1-ethyl-3-methylene-pyrrolidine-2,5-dione] was irreversible, possessing high energy of activation E_a^* (137.606 kcal/mol), wasn't showing proton transfer at the transition state and wasn't given cefpodoxime medicine as a final product. Instead, the end product was [(*E*)-(3-cyclohexylidene-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl-7-[2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(methoxyimino)-acetamido]-3-(methoxymethyl)-8-oxo-5-thia-1-azabicyclo-

Fig. 3. Products of the calculated O-R bond breakage reaction in cefpodoxime ester prodrugs Pro. D (1-3)

Fig. 4. Products of the calculated O-R bond breakage reaction in cephalosporin prodrugs (Pro. D4 and Pro. D5)

[4.2.0]oct-2-ene-2-carboxylate] (Fig. 5). So Pro. D (6) is excluded as a good cephalosporin prodrug and its (R) carrier group is not supported to be good carrier linkage.

The reactions process for O-R bond ruptures of Pro. D (7,8,9,10) were all given cephalosporin acid with alkenes as a result of O-R bond rupture as shown in Fig. 6, including cephalosporin proxital (Pro. D8). The calculated values for cephalosporin proxital were taken as a criterion. In these reactions, the breakage of O—R bond was at length of about 1.6 Å, leading to form cation and anion fragment. The transition state was at the O—R length of (2.2-2.3) Å. Beyond the transition state the (O₂₂) anion and the H proton unite leading to form the end products of cephalosporin acid and alkenes as a result of the final geometrical minimization, GMin (7-10) [12-14]. The activation energy of the proton transfer is largely depended on the property of the linker carriers [22-25]. The transfer of the proton from the ethyl ester group into the neighboring carboxylic oxygen at the transition state was illustrated in Figs. 7a and 7b. Alkenes in acidic media are supposed to turn into acid and alcohol (Fig. 7c).

Conclusion

In this research, Unrestricted Hartree Fock (UHF) quantum mechanical method was used to confirm the possibility of using theoretical calculations as an initial estimation for different

organic groups to be used as carriers for the pharmaceutical compound (cephalosporin proxital) by calculating the reaction path for the rupture of O-R bond in the pharmacological part. The reaction path, energy of the reactant, transition state, nature and stability of the bond breakage products were studied.

For choosing the organic derivative as a good carrier linkage, it is important to take in account, it is of low dipole moment, the O-R bond rupture reaction is irreversible, endothermic (the prodrugs are more stable than their cracking products and not decomposed until reach their side of action). For activation energy it must not too high nor too low for the same reason, the larger values of $E_a^\ddagger$ for prodrugs cracking means possessing unfavourable carrier group (in spite of the stability of the products), the reverse are for the prodrugs possessing favourable carrier group.

These properties were been found in Pro. D (7, 8, 9 and 10) derivatives, so their carriers- linked had the priority to be chosen. The results of the calculation confirmed that there is a good possibility of applying a quantum mechanics account to determine which organic groups can be substituted in drug derivatives as carrier groups of drug related carrier type and applied as treatment drugs.

The theoretical study gave the possibility of specifying the safest and less toxicity groups might be chosen and applied.

Fig. 5. Products of the calculated O-R bond breakage reaction in Pro. D (6) [R = 1-ethyl-3-methylene-pyrrolidine-2,5-dione]; as a result of O-R bond rupture

Fig. 6. End products of O-R bond breakage reaction in cefpodoxime ester prodrugs (giving cefpodoxime acid and alkenes)

Fig. 7a. Geometrical structure for cefpodoxime proximal at: a) Equilibrium geometry (O-R = 1.441 Å), b) O-R breakage bond (O-R = 1.541 Å), c) Transition state (the proton transfer (O-R = 2.341 Å), d) The end product for O-R rupture (O-R = 2.441 Å)

Fig 7b. Mechanism of proton transfer in O-R bond breakage processes in cefpodoxime proxital [R = -CH(CH₃)OCOCH(CH₃)₂]

Fig. 7c. Reaction processes of O-R bond breakage in cefpodoxime proxital

REFERENCES

1. J.O. Neil, Maryadele, The Merck Index, An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, Merck Research Laboratories, Division of Merck and Co., Inc. Whitehouse station; New Jersey, edn 14, p. 319 (2006).
2. S.C. Sweetman, Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference, Pharmaceutical Press: London, edn 37, vol. 100(1), pp. 75-76 (2012).
3. C.G. Wermuth, C.R. Ganellin, P. Lindberg and L.A. Mitscher, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **70**, 1129 (1998); <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac199870051129>.
4. K.D. Tripathi, Essentials of Medical Pharmacology, edn 4, p. 25 (2013).
5. D.L. Mayer, L.N. Jungheim, K.L. Law, S.D. Mikolajczyk and T.A. Shapherd, *Cancer Res.*, **53**, 3956 (1993).
6. K.B. Sloan, Prodrug Topical and Ocular Drug Delivery, Dekker: New York, pp 17-22 (1992).
7. S.C. Sweetman, Martindale, The Complete Drug Reference. London: Pharmaceutical Press, edn 35, p. 207 (2007).
8. J. Frau, M. Coll, J. Donoso, F. Munoz and F.G. Blanco, *J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM*, **231**, 109 (1991); [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280\(91\)85209-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280(91)85209-P).
9. R. Karaman, *J. Comput. Mol. Des.*, **24**, 961 (2010); <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-010-9389-6>.
10. H. Hejaz, R. Karaman and M. Khamis, *J. Mol. Model.*, **18**, 103 (2012); <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-011-1040-5>.
18. J.N. Murrell and K.J. Laidler, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **64**, 371 (1968); <https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9686400371>.
19. K. Muller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **19**, 1 (1980); <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.198000013>.
20. M. Shanshal and M.M. Muala, *Jordan J. Chem.*, **8**, 113 (2013); <https://doi.org/10.12816/0001522>.
21. C.O. Wilson, O. Gisvold and R.F. Doerge, *Textbook of Organic Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry*, Lippincott N.Y. Reven, edn 10, p. 258 (1998).
22. S.E. Barber, K.E.S. Dean and A.J. Kirby, *Can. J. Chem.*, **77**, 792 (1999); <https://doi.org/10.1139/v99-080>.
23. A.J. Kirby and N.H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II*, 643 (1994); <https://doi.org/10.1039/p29940000643>.
24. A.J. Kirby and N.H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1643 (1991); <https://doi.org/10.1039/c39910001643>.
25. E. Hartwell, D.R.W. Hodgson and A.J.J. Kirby, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **122**, 9326 (2000); <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja002335m>.