Determination of Diffusion Coefficients of Mg^{2+} Ions from Molten LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 †

HYO YEOL RYU and SANG MUN JEONG*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Chungbuk National University, Heungduk-gu, Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Republic of Korea

*Corresponding author: Fax: +82 43 2692370; E-mail: smjeong@chungbuk.ac.kr

Published online: 23 June 2014;

AJC-15396

The diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions at molybdenum electrode in molten LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 at 670 °C were determined using the cyclic voltammetry, chronoamperometry and chronopotentiometry, which were calculated to be 1.47×10^{-6} , 1.23×10^{-6} and $1.67 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, respectively. The activation energy for the diffusion process of Mg^{2+} ions was found to be 106.3 kJ/mol. The underpotential deposition (UPD) of Li^+ ions on the pre-deposited Mg and the codeposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions led a formation of liquid Mg-Li solution at a more positive potential than a potential for Li deposition.

Keywords: Magnesium, Diffusion coefficient, Activation energy, LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 .

INTRODUCTION

Recently, molten salt electrolysis has been considered to be an important method for preparing light metals and alloys due to its simplicity and economic advantages^{1,2}. The basic data such as diffusion coefficient, activation energy, *etc.* are important in developing this technology more efficiently³. Several studies have reported on the electrode process of Mg^{2+} ions in molten chlorides⁴⁻⁷. However, due to the variations in the experimental conditions such as salt composition and temperature in the molten salt, the basic data reported in previous publications differ considerably⁸⁻¹⁰. Therefore, the diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions need to be further investigated.

In the present study, electrochemical techniques including cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronoamperometry (CA) and chronopotentiometry (CP) were used to determine the diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions at an inert molybdenum electrode in a molten LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 system at 670 °C. Also, the activation energy for the diffusion process of Mg^{2+} ions was calculated by Arrhenius equation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Anhydrous LiCl (98.2 % purity; Samchun Chemicals, Korea), KCl (99 % purity; Samchun Chemicals, Korea) and MgCl_2 (96 % purity; Samchun Chemicals, Korea) were used as electrolytes in this study. A molybdenum wire (0.5 mm in diameter), graphite rod (13 mm in diameter) and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the working electrode, counter electrode

and reference electrode, respectively. The reference electrode was fabricated as follows: AgCl (1 wt %) was added in a mixture of LiCl-KCl (60 : 40 in mole percent) and the salt was put in a mullite tube with a closed end. A silver wire (0.5 mm in diameter) was immersed to salt inside the tube. A LiCl-KCl mixture of 300 g that contained various MgCl_2 concentrations was placed in the MgO crucible and then heated and maintained at 670 °C. A potentiostat (PGSTAT302N, Metrohm Autolab, Netherlands) was used to conduct three electrochemical techniques. In the cyclic voltammetry experiments, the diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions is calculated at various temperatures between 670 and 710 °C. The chronoamperometry measurement was conducted through applying a potential in a range from -2.2 to -3.0 V to the cell. The chronopotentiometry measurement was also conducted through applying a current between 25 and 225 mA to the cell.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cyclic voltammetry: Fig. 1(a) shows the cyclic voltammograms of a molybdenum wire at various MgCl_2 concentrations in the LiCl-KCl molten salt. In the eutectic LiCl-KCl , the sharp increase in the cathodic/anodic current is caused from a redox couple of Li^+/Li . After the addition of 5 wt % MgCl_2 , a cathodic current peak at -1.7 V (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) appeared due to the reduction of Mg^{2+} ions to Mg metal (Mg^{2+}/Mg). It is clear that the electrode process of Mg^{2+} ions is controlled by the diffusion rate and mass transfer¹¹. The cathodic current at -2.1 V (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) probably corresponds to the formation of a

†Presented at 5th International Symposium on Application of Chemical and Analytical Technologies in Nuclear Industries (Nu-ACT 2013), Daejeon, Korea

liquid Mg-Li solution which is formed by underpotential deposition (UPD) of lithium on the liquid Mg already deposited on the molybdenum electrode¹¹ and codeposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions. The corresponding reaction can be understood as follows:

In the reverse scans, two re-oxidation peaks associated with the dissolution of Li and Mg metals were observed. When the MgCl_2 concentration was increased to 10 wt %, more reduction reactions of Mg^{2+} ions occurred due to the increase in the MgCl_2 concentration. Fig. 1(b) shows the cyclic voltammetric graphs at various scan rates (100–350 mV/s) in LiCl-KCl- MgCl_2 (5 wt %). An increase in the current with an increase in the scan rates was observed, which indicates that a fast electrode reaction occurred at higher scan rates through the thin diffusion layer. Fig. 1(c) shows a plot of the current density against the inverse square root of the scan rate for the cyclic voltammetric graphs at various temperatures (670–710 °C). The plot of i vs. $v^{-1/2}$ exhibited a linear relationship at a given temperature window over the scan rate ranges (100–350 mV/s). The diffusion coefficient was calculated using the Randles-Sevcik equation, as follows:

$$i_p = 0.61 \left(\frac{F^3}{RT} \right)^{1/2} n^{3/2} AD^{1/2} C_0 v^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

where i_p is the reduction peak current (A), A is the electrode surface area (cm²), C_0 is the Mg^{2+} concentration (mol/cm³), D is the diffusion coefficient (cm²/s), F is Faraday's constant (96,485 C/mol) and v is the scan rate. The diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions was 1.47×10^{-6} cm²/s at 670 °C. Table-1 summarized the diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions at various temperatures between 670 and 710 °C. As the temperature of the molten salt increased, the diffusion coefficient also increased due to the temperature dependence on the diffusion of electro-active species. Fig. 1(d) shows an Arrhenius plot of the diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions and the reciprocal of temperature. The plot of $\ln D$ vs. T^{-1} exhibited a linear relationship over the range of temperatures. The activation energy for the diffusion processes of Mg^{2+} ions was calculated using the Arrhenius equation. The values of the activation energy and pre-exponential factor were 106.3 kJ/mol and 1.134, respectively.

Chronoamperometry: Fig. 2(a) shows the chronoamperograms of a molybdenum wire with different applied potentials from -1.6 to -2.6 V in LiCl-KCl- MgCl_2 (5 wt %) at 760 °C. The current curves exhibited a typical tendency in the chronoamperometry experiments, which indicated that the reduction of Mg^{2+} ions was a diffusion-controlled reaction. At an applied potential of -1.8 V, the current increased sharply due to the reduction of Mg^{2+} ions. When the applied potential changed from -2.0 to -2.1 V, the current increased sharply again, which probably indicated that the reduction of Li^+ ions was accompanied

Fig. 1. (a) CV graphs of a Mo wire at 100 mV/s in LiCl-KCl- MgCl_2 (MgCl_2 = 0, 5, 8 and 10 wt %), (b) CV graphs of a Mo wire at various scan rates (100–350 mV/s), (c) plot of the current density against the inverse square root of time at between 670 and 710 °C and (d) plot of the diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions and the reciprocal of temperature

TABLE-1
DIFFUSION COEFFICIENTS OF Mg^{2+} IONS AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES

Melt temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	670	680	690	700	710
Diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions (cm^2/s)	1.47×10^{-6}	1.64×10^{-6}	1.96×10^{-6}	2.30×10^{-6}	2.47×10^{-6}

Fig. 2. (a) Chronoamperograms of a molybdenum wire at various applied potentials (-1.6 to -2.6 V) and (b) plot of the current density against the inverse square root of time

with that of Mg^{2+} ions. Accordingly, the co-deposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions occurred at negative values lower than -2.1 V. Fig. 2(b) shows the plot of the current density against the inverse square root of time for the chronoamperometry graphs. The plot of i vs. $t^{-1/2}$ exhibited a linear relationship for a period of time (0.3-2 s) over the range of applied potentials, where the diffusion coefficient can be calculated using the Cottrell equation, as follows:

$$i(t) = \frac{nFAD_O^{1/2}C_O}{\pi^{1/2}t^{1/2}} \quad (4)$$

where i is the reduction current (A), A is the electrode surface area (cm^2), C_O is the Mg^{2+} concentration (mol/cm^3), F is Faraday's constant (96,485 C/mol) and D is the diffusion coefficient (cm^2/s). The diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions at between -1.8 and -2.0 V was $1.23 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at 670 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. At an applied potential between -2.1 and -2.6 V where the co-deposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions occurs as shown in cyclic voltammetric experiment.

Chronopotentiometry: Fig. 3(a) shows the chronopotentiograms of a Mo wire at various applied currents (25-225 mA) in LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 (5 wt %) at 760 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. At a current lower than 100 mA, the current curves exhibited two potential plateaus

Fig. 3. (a) Chronopotentiograms of a molybdenum wire at various applied currents (25-225 mA) and (b) plot of the current against the inverse square root of transition time (T)

that are associated with the reduction of Mg^{2+} ions (Plateaus 1) and Li^+ ions (Plateaus 2). The flux of Mg^{2+} ions to the electrode surface was not sufficiently large and thus the potential is shifted to a more negative value where the co-deposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions takes place. This result suggests that the electrode process of Mg^{2+} ions was a diffusion-controlled reaction^{12,13}. Fig. 3(b) shows the plot of the current against the inverse square root of transition time (τ) from the chronoamperometry graphs. The plot of i vs. $\tau^{-1/2}$ exhibited a linear relationship over the range of applied currents. The diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions was calculated using the Sand's equation, as follows:

$$i\tau^{1/2} = \frac{nFAC_O D^{1/2} \pi^{1/2}}{2} \quad (5)$$

where i is the reduction current (A), F is Faraday's constant (96,485 C/mol), τ is the transition time (s), A is the surface area (cm^2), C_O is the Mg^{2+} concentrations (mol/cm^3) and D is the diffusion coefficient (cm^2/s). The diffusion coefficient of Mg^{2+} ions on a molybdenum wire was $1.67 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at 670 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The values of diffusion coefficients exhibit a similar level regardless of the analytical techniques.

Conclusion

The cyclic voltammetry, chronoamperometry and chronopotentiometry were conducted to determine the diffusion coefficients of Mg^{2+} ions at a molybdenum electrode in molten LiCl-KCl-MgCl_2 at 670 °C, which was found to be 1.47×10^{-6} , 1.23×10^{-6} and $1.67 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, respectively. The temperature dependence on diffusion coefficients was investigated and the activation energy for the diffusion process of Mg^{2+} ions was calculated to be 106.3 kJ/mol. The electrode process of Mg^{2+} ions was a diffusion-controlled reaction. Also, the underpotential deposition (UPD) of Li^+ ions on the pre-deposited Mg and the codeposition of Mg^{2+} and Li^+ ions led a formation of liquid Mg-Li solution at a more positive potential than a potential for Li deposition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Technology Innovation Program (2011T00200211) funded by the Ministry of Knowledge Economy (MKE, Korea).

REFERENCES

1. S.M. Jeong, H.S. Shin, S.S. Hong, J.M. Hur, J.B. Do and H.S. Lee, *Electrochim. Acta*, **55**, 1749 (2010).
2. H.Y. Ryu, S.M. Jeong, Y.C. Kang and J.G. Kim, *Asian J. Chem.*, **25**, 7019 (2013).
3. F. Gao, C. Wang, L. Liu, J. Guo, S. Chang, L. Chang, R. Li and Y. Ouyang, *J. Rare Earths*, **27**, 986 (2009).
4. Y.D. Yan, M.L. Zhang, Y. Xue, W. Han, D.X. Cao, X.Y. Jing, L.Y. He and Y. Yuan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 6148 (2009).
5. K. Ye, M.L. Zhang, Y. Chen, W. Han, Y.D. Yan, S.Q. Wei and L.J. Chen, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, **40**, 1387 (2010).
6. Y.D. Yan, M.L. Zhang, Y. Xue, W. Han, D.X. Cao and S.Q. Wei, *Electrochim. Acta*, **54**, 3387 (2009).
7. M.L. Zhang, Y.D. Yan, W. Han, Y. Xue, X.Y. Jing, X.L. Liu, S.S. Wang and X.M. Zhang, *Electrochem.*, **77**, 699 (2009).
8. Y.D. Yan, M.L. Zhang, Y. Xue, W. Han, D.X. Cao and L.Y. He, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, **39**, 455 (2009).
9. A.M. Martinez, B. Borresen, G.M. Haarberg, Y. Castrillejo and R. Tunold, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **151**, C508 (2004).
10. Y. Castrillejo, A.M. Martinez, R. Pardo and G.M. Haarberg, *Electrochim. Acta*, **42**, 1869 (1997).
11. Y. Yan, M. Zhang, W. Han, Y. Xue, D. Cao and Y. Yuan, *Chem. Lett.*, **37**, 212 (2008).
12. A.M. Martinez, B. Borresen, G.M. Haarberg, Y. Castrillejo and R. Tunold, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, **34**, 1271 (2004).
13. T. Store, G.M. Haarberg and R. Tunold, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, **30**, 1351 (2000).