Thermodynamic Characterization on Surface of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Prepared by Co-precipitation: An Inverse Gas Chromatography Application

SEDA BEYAZ^{1,*} and FERDANE KARAMAN²

¹Balikesir University, Department of Chemistry, 10145 Cagis Campus, Balikesir, Turkey

²Yildiz Technical University, Department of Chemistry, 34220 Davutpasa Campus, Istanbul, Turkey

*Corresponding author: Fax: +90 266 6121215; Tel: +90 266 6121000; E-mail: sedacan@balikesir.edu.tr; polymer22@hotmail.com

Received: 4 November 2013;

Accepted: 14 March 2014;

Published online: 10 May 2014;

AJC-15180

Surface thermodynamics of magnetic particles are crucial for many applications. In this study, a series of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized by co-precipitation at room temperature varying iron salts and base concentrations. The retention times of several organic solvents on these iron oxide nanoparticles were obtained in the temperature range from 323 to 363 K by inverse gas chromatography at infinite dilution. The dispersive component of surface free energy, γ_s^D , thermodynamic parameters of adsorption (free energy, ΔG^{SP} , enthalpy ΔH^{SP} , entropy, ΔS^{SP}) and the acid K_A and base K_D constants were calculated for iron oxide samples. It was found that γ_s^D values fluctuated between 36 mJ/m² and 20 mJ/m² for all of the samples and temperatures. It was seen that the values of K_D/K_A were proportional with base concentration but inversely proportional with iron concentration. Hence it was arisen that the adsorption properties and acid-base contributions to the surface energy of iron oxide nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation method altered considerably depending on the synthesis conditions. It can be said that the more effective surface modifications can be carried out adjusting synthesis conditions of iron oxide nanoparticles.

Keywords: Iron oxide nanoparticles, Inverse gas chromatography, Surface characterization, Co-precipitation.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe_3O_4 or γFe_2O_3) can be used for numerous *in vivo* applications, such as MRI contrast enhancement, tissue repair, immunoassay, detoxification of biological fluids, hyperthermia, drug delivery and cell separation¹⁻⁶. These applications need peculiar surface coating of the magnetic nanoparticles, which has to be nontoxic and biocompatible and must also allow for a targetable delivery with particle localization in a specific area⁷. Thus the characterization of surface thermodynamics of the iron oxide nanoparticles is of vital importance in understanding their interface interactions with other substances for many applications^{8,9}.

The synthesis methods used to prepare the nanoparticles determine the surface properties of the particles. Though there are miscellaneous chemical synthesis methods (such as thermal decomposition¹⁰, sol-gel¹¹, microemulsion¹²), the most common method is still co-precipitation method¹³ because of simplicity, mass production and effortless surface modification for desired applications¹⁴. This method consists of the precipitation of ferric and ferrous hydroxides by addition of a base (*e.g.*, NH_4OH or $NaOH$) to a solution of $Fe(III)$ and $Fe(II)$ salts (eqn. 1).

Depending on the stoichiometric mixture of ferrous and ferric salts in the aqueous medium and on other experimental conditions [*e.g.*, ionic strength and pH of the medium, presence of oxygen, injection fluxes, nature of the salts (perchlorates, chlorides, sulfates, or nitrates), temperature, nature and concentration of the alkali medium, or nature of the surfactant], iron oxide particles with suitable diameter, magnetic responsiveness and surface properties can be obtained¹⁵.

In aqueous systems, these iron oxides act as Lewis acids and adsorb/coordinate water or hydroxyl groups. The hydroxyl group at the surface may be coordinated to more than one Fe atom, so that, there are singly, doubly and triply coordinated Fe atoms. Further, two OH groups can coordinate to one iron atom. The coordination of hydroxyl groups and number of surface sites depends on the crystal structure and morphology of the iron oxide. This surface hydroxyl groups are, indeed, the reactive parts which can react with acid or base. It can be replaced by other organic or inorganic anions, adsorb protons or cations, release water by heating *etc.*¹⁶. Potentiometric titration¹⁷, spectroscopic methods (UV-visible, IR, XPS)¹⁶, contact angle measurements^{18,19} are among the techniques used to characterize the surface of these oxides *e.g.* its acidity, surface reactions, bonding coordination and adsorption properties.

However, inverse gas chromatography (IGC) applications on characterization of magnetic iron oxide surfaces are highly new and have been carried out in recently²⁰. Inverse gas chromatography has many advantages-simplicity, speed of data collection, accuracy and low operating costs and offers significant advantages over contact angle methods when comparing the surface nature of particulate samples^{21,22}. Thus, it can be found many studies of inverse gas chromatography on the surface of inorganic particles^{23,24} such as kaolinite^{25,26} calcium carbonate^{27,28} silica^{29,30} and metallic oxides such as magnesia³¹, zinc oxide³² in the literature. For iron oxides, there are also some studies standing out which are investigated commercially available products such as hematite³³, goethite³⁴, magnetite³⁵. Besides, the surfaces of nanohematite and nanogoethite untreated and treated with poly(ethylene glycol) were examined by inverse gas chromatography in the study reported by Batko and Voelkel²⁰. However no study was encountered in the literature related to an inverse gas chromatography application on the surface of the iron oxide nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation method. Furthermore, though it has been assumed that the surface properties of iron oxide nanoparticles changes with the variables (*e.g.* pH, concentration, temperature) of co-precipitation method¹⁵, an experimental study or confirmation is absence. That such information is of fundamental importance will be demonstrated in this paper by looking at samples synthesized with different experimental conditions.

In the study, a series of iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized by co-precipitation method in the various concentrations of iron salts and base. By means of inverse gas chromatography technique, the surfaces of the particles were characterized with the quantities such as dispersive surface energy, adsorption enthalpy and entropy for alkanes, specific free enthalpy, enthalpy and entropy of adsorption for some polar solvents and finally acid-base constants of iron oxide nanoparticles. The results showed that desired surface properties can be gain in adjusting synthesis conditions of iron oxide nanoparticles for successful modifications.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ferric chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, purity; > 99 %), aqueous ammonia (NH_3 , 25 %, w/w), nitric acid (HNO_3 , 65 %, w/v) were obtained from Merck. Ferrous chloride tetrahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, purity; 99 %) were purchased from Fluka. The solvents used in the inverse gas chromatography experiments, pentane (pen), *n*-hexane (hex), *n*-heptane (hep), *n*-octane (oct), nonane (non) as nonpolar solutes and dichlorometan (dcm), chloroform (cm), acetone (ace), tetrahydrofuran (thf), diethyl ether (et) as polar ones were supplied from Merck as analytical

purity and used without further purification. The Chromosorb-W (AW-DMCS treated, 80/100 mesh) were also supplied from Merck. Silane treated glass wool used to plug the ends of the column was obtained from Alltech Associates, Inc.

Synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles: 50 mL iron salts solution which of $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ mol ratios is 2/3 was added into a NH_4OH solution under stirring with 1500 rpm and the reaction was carried out for 40 min. Thereafter, the precipitated iron oxide was deposited with a magnet placed under the vessel of the solution and supernatant liquid was removed by decantation. In order to remove unreacted chemicals and byproducts that might be formed during the process; the precipitate was washed with bidistilled water. The names of the synthesized iron oxide nanoparticles and their synthesis conditions were listed in Table-1.

Dynamic light scattering: The studies were conducted using 90 Plus Particle Size Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments) for hydrodynamic radius (RH) and the polydispersity index ($\text{PDI} = \mu^2/\sigma^2$) of iron oxide nanoparticles. Before measurements, the particles were suspended in water using HNO_3 . This sol was highly diluted up to become transparent and then was introduced into a thermostated scattering cell at 25 °C.

Inverse gas chromatography: A Hewlett-Packard 6890 Model series II gas chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector was used to measure the retention time of the solutes in this study. The column was stainless steel tubing with 3.2 mm in o.d. and 0.5 m in length. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 3.7 cm^3/min . The columns were conditioned at 150 °C for 24 h under helium before all measurements. Solute vapours were injected manually at least in triplicate by a Hamilton gas-tight syringe. To achieve extreme dilution of the solutes, the syringe was purged as many times as necessary. Hold-up volume of columns was determined by injecting air. The retention times for each solute on iron oxide samples were measured at 323, 333, 343, 353 and 363 K, except for sample 4K. This sample was studied at a wider temperature range between 313 and 383 K in order to see the transitions of iron oxide nanoparticles if any.

Preparation of sample columns: After the decantation of iron oxide nanoparticles under magnet, they were not dried and remained wet like slurry. Because the coating of dry nanoparticles (powder) on the surfaces of chromosorb homogeneously as single layer is highly difficult process due to agglomeration. The slurry of iron oxide was washed by bidistilled water until pH of the washing water is about 7. Approximately 0.5 g of the slurry was taken and mixed with 1.37 g Chromosorb rather slowly. The mixture was dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 24 h to remove water and packed into

TABLE-1
EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS* AND THE SIZE PROPERTIES OF THE SYNTHESIZED IRON OXIDE NANOPARTICLES

Sample name	Fe^{2+} (mmol)	Fe^{3+} (mmol)	NH_4OH (mmol)	RH ^a (nm)	PDI ^b
4K	40	60	288	74.7	0.159
3K	20	30	288	10.6	0.253
2K	10	15	288	21.6	0.243
1K	5	7.5	288	21.8	0.260
1B	10	15	144	23.3	0.167
3B	10	15	446	62.7	0.294

*Total volume is 150 mL, ^aRH: Hydrodynamic radius, ^bPDI: Polydispersity index

chromatography column. Meanwhile, the certain amount of the slurry was also dried to determine iron oxide amount in the slurry. Thus, the percentage of iron oxide/Chromosorb W was calculated around 8 %. Samples were freshly prepared and placed into the column to avoid oxidation³⁶

Calculations and equations: The surface properties of a material under investigation are determined from net retention volume (V_N) which is directly related to the net retention time of solute and flow rate of the carrier gas³⁷. Retention volume (V_N) can lead to the determination of the free enthalpy of adsorption, ΔG_A as given by the following expression³⁸

$$\Delta G_A = RT \ln(V_N) + C \quad (2)$$

Here, R is the ideal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature of the column and C is a constant, depending on the reference state of adsorption. The ΔG_A is related to thermodynamic interaction of the solute with the solid surface and can be divided into two components

$$\Delta G_A = \Delta G^D + \Delta G^{SP} \quad (3)$$

Here, ΔG^D refers to dispersive interactions and ΔG^{SP} to specific interactions. In case of alkanes, ΔG_A is equal to ΔG^D corresponding to dispersive interactions. This relationship is used to calculate the dispersive surface energy of the solid (γ_s^D), using molecular areas (α) by Schultz *et al.*³⁹.

$$RT \ln(V_N) = 2N\alpha \sqrt{\gamma_L^D \gamma_s^D} + C \quad (4)$$

where N is Avogadro's number, α is the area of interaction of the solute and γ_L^D is the dispersive component of the surface energy of the solute. Thus for a series of n -alkane solutes, a plot of $RT \ln(V_N)$ against gives a linear line which slope is $2N\alpha(\gamma_s^D)^{1/2}$ and γ_s^D is determined.

γ_s^D can also be determined by Dorris and Gray's method⁴⁰ using retention times of n -alkanes and is given by eqn. 5.

$$\gamma_s^D = \frac{(-\Delta G_{CH_2})^2}{4N^2 \cdot a_{CH_2}^2 \cdot \gamma_{CH_2}} \quad (5)$$

where ΔG_{CH_2} is the slope of the straight line referred to as the "alkane line" and represents the free energy of a single CH_2 group adsorption, α_{CH_2} is the area occupied by a CH_2 group (0.06 nm^2 , according to Gray) and γ_{CH_2} is the surface energy of a solid consisting of only methylene groups (*e.g.* polyethylene).

If a polar solute is injected into the column, both dispersive (ΔG^D) and specific interactions (ΔG^{SP}) take place between the solute and the material in the column. The difference between the values of adsorption energy of polar solutes and the energy of n -alkane adsorption is a measure of the specific adsorption energy (ΔG^{SP}). An equation may be written for this procedure:

$$-\Delta G^{SP} = RT \ln\left(\frac{V_{N,n}}{V_{N,ref}}\right) \quad (6)$$

where $V_{N,n}$ and $V_{N,ref}$ are the retention volume for the polar solute and the retention volume for the n -alkanes' reference line, respectively

By plotting ΔG^{SP} of polar solutes as a function of temperature, we can calculate the specific enthalpy (ΔH^{SP}) and the specific entropy (ΔS^{SP}) of adsorption from the following expression:

$$\Delta G^{SP} = \Delta H^{SP} - T\Delta S^{SP} \quad (7)$$

Interactions between the solutes and the solid in the column can be considered as acid-base interactions⁴¹. Therefore, based on eqn. 8, the acceptor and donor interactions are estimated:

$$-\Delta H^{SP} = K_A DN + K_D AN \quad (8)$$

Here, DN and AN are Gutmann's donor and acceptor numbers of the solutes and parameters K_A and K_D characterize the degree of acidity of electron acceptors and the degree of basicity of electron donors of the solid surfaces, respectively. When plotting $\Delta H^{SP}/AN$ versus DN/AN , generally, a straight line is achieved. The slope of the line gives K_A and the intercept gives K_D . However K_D is also determined from the slope of the graph of $\Delta H^{SP}/DN$ versus AN/DN since the slope of a plot is less affected by experimental error than the value of intercept.

In this study, the reference line of n -alkanes was obtained from their topology indices at the calculations of ΔG^{SP} , ΔH^{SP} and ΔS^{SP} . Additionally, it also was obtained from their surface areas and vapor pressures at the calculations of K_A and K_D . The solute probe characteristics (DN , AN , γ_L , α , χ_t)^{34,42} used in the calculations of the study were presented in Table-2.

TABLE-2
CHARACTERISTICS OF SOLUTE USED AT INVERSE GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY (IGC) EXPERIMENTS [34,42]

Solute	α ($\times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2$)	γ_L^D (mJ m^{-2})	$DN^{\#}$	$AN^{\#}$	χ_t
<i>n</i> -pentane	45.0	16.1	0	0	5.00
<i>n</i> -hexane	51.0	18.4	0	0	6.00
<i>n</i> -heptane	57.0	20.3	0	0	7.00
<i>n</i> -octane	62.8	21.3	0	0	8.00
<i>n</i> -nonane	69.0	22.7	0	0	9.00
Dichloromethane	31.5	27.6	3.0	13.5	2.58
Chloroform	44.0	25.9	0	18.7	3.21
Acetone	42.5	16.5	42.5	8.7	3.61
Tetrahydrofuran	45.0	22.5	50.0	1.9	4.79
Diethyl ether	47.0	15.0	48.0	4.9	4.77
[#] Unit free					

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Particle size and size distributions (PDI): The hydrodynamic radius and PDI values of the synthesized iron oxide nanoparticles were measured by DLS and represented in Table-1. As the amount of iron salts decreased from 100 mmol to 50 mmol, average hydrodynamic radius of particles reduced from 75 nm to 10 nm but a further decrease of iron ions caused a slight increase of the radius (20 nm). It was reported that the particle size of the iron oxide crystals diminishes with decrease of the iron ion concentration, which is determined by X-ray diffraction, electron microscopy and vibration sample magnetometer⁴³. However it could not be observed similar regular decrement at hydrodynamic radius, possibly due to DLS method which is affected by solution behaviour of particles. When the base concentration was raised from 1.4 to 2.8 M, the particle size fluctuated in the range of 24.3-21.6 nm. However, a further increase of the base concentration to 4.4 M (3B) brought about a jump in the particle size (62.7 nm). Besides, it was observed that the color of the precipitate transformed from black to brown. It can be said that other forms of the iron oxides (such as hematite and goethite) come into existence at high pH⁴⁴.

Dispersive component of the surface free energy (γ_s^D)

The dispersive surface energy (γ_s^D) is a very important parameter that describes ability of the material surface to establish non-polar interactions with other substances. The surface characteristics significantly influence its adhesive properties, wettability, coating ability, permeability, corrosive properties and biocompatibility.

In the first method (Schultz); a linear line was plotted for n -alkane solutes according to eqn. 4 as seen in Fig. 1 and γ_s^D value was calculated from the slope. In the second method (Dorris and Gray); $RT \ln V_N$ against carbon numbers in n -alkane series was plotted as a linear line that slopes ΔG_{CH_2} at all studied temperatures as shown in Fig. 2. Thereafter, γ_s^D value was calculated using eqn. 5. Similar plots were also obtained for all temperatures and samples, but for the sake of brevity, these diagrams are not presented in this paper. Finally, all calculated γ_s^D values were summarized at Table-3. It was seen that γ_s^D values for iron oxide nanoparticles fluctuated between 36 and 20 mJ/m² for all of the samples and temperatures. Information on the dispersive surface properties, especially the surface energy of magnetic iron oxides, is rather scarce in the literature. For iron oxides prepared by sol-gel method, γ_s^D values were determined between 48 and 50 mJ/m² by the measurements of contact angle^{18,19}. Batko and Voelkel²⁰ reported higher values such as 67.5 and 65.4 mJ/m² for commercial nanohematite and nanogoethite particles by using inverse gas chromatography. In all cases, sufficient information to compare the methods and the values is lacking. However it seems that relatively low γ_s^D values were obtained for iron oxide nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation method which leads to be relatively poor crystallinity⁴⁵.

With the increase of temperature, γ_s^D values firstly increased and then decreased in the series of iron salts (1K-4K samples) as seen in Table-3. This relation is valid for the values found from both methods. The decrease of γ_s^D with temperature is expected behaviour since the adsorption is an

Fig. 1. Variation of $RT \ln V_N$ for all solutes as a function of $\alpha(\gamma_s^D)^{0.5}$ on sample 4K at 313 K

Fig. 2. Dependence of $-RT \ln V_N$ on carbon numbers of alkanes at the studied temperatures for the sample 3K

exothermic phenomenon. However, the reason of the increase of γ_s^D at initial temperatures (from 313 to 333K) may be desorption of water adsorbed on the surface of iron oxide nanoparticles which makes it smoother and nearly homogeneous since smoother surface has lower dispersive energy²³. It was not observed a meaningful change with temperature in the γ_s^D values of the sample 3B which was synthesized in the highest base concentration. The results in Table-3 suggest that the

TABLE-3
DISPERSIVE SURFACE ENERGIES CALCULATED USING SCHULTZ (METHOD I) AND DORRIS-GRAY (METHOD II) AND STUDIED TEMPERATURES FOR AS-PREPARED IRON OXIDE SAMPLES

γ_s^D (mJ/m ²)				γ_s^D (mJ/m ²)			
Sample	Temperature (K)	Method I	Method II	Sample	Temperature (K)	Method I	Method II
4K	313	33.63	33.80	1K	323	22.56	23.02
	323	35.91	36.74		333	26.38	27.42
	333	35.51	36.73		343	29.90	31.64
	343	32.71	34.62		353	27.87	23.57
	353	30.54	32.88		363	26.51	21.57
	363	29.63	32.40		-	-	-
	373	29.28	32.63		-	-	-
3K	393	26.44	30.54	1B	323	25.58	26.14
	323	24.92	25.49		333	31.45	32.70
	333	29.81	31.03		343	26.64	28.08
	343	28.45	29.00		353	25.75	27.74
	353	27.11	23.36		363	25.15	27.60
2K	363	26.54	21.60	3B	323	22.10	22.57
	323	23.51	24.02		333	19.88	20.64
	333	26.22	27.25		343	21.11	22.31
	343	26.09	27.07		353	20.90	22.46
	353	25.19	23.00		363	20.50	22.37
	363	24.17	22.36				

dispersive surface energy of iron oxide nanoparticles increase with the iron salts concentration from 1K (the lowest one) to 4K (the highest one) at constant base concentration. On the other side, dispersive surface energy of iron oxide nanoparticles decreased with base concentration from 1B (the lowest one) to 2K and then to 3B (the highest one) at constant concentration of iron salts.

For a better comparison, the values of γ_s^D were extrapolated to the room temperature (293K) for each sample and shown in Fig. 3. It was seen obviously from the figure that dispersive surface energy increased with the concentration of iron salts but decreased with the concentration of base. In fact, the decrease of iron ion means that the amount of OH^- ions increase because of reaction stoichiometry (eqn. 1). In this case, the decrease of iron salts and increase of base concentration should give the same effect. The results in the study are consistent with this comment. Consequently, it seems that the value of γ_s^D depends on pH of the solution. However, it was reported that the poor crystallization was observed at low iron concentrations by Karaagac *et al.*⁴³ which can be indicated as another reason for low dispersive surface energy. At highest base concentration (sample 3B), dispersive surface energy has the lowest value. Iron oxides are characterized by extensive polymorphism which often occurs depending on their formation pH values. Navrotsky⁴⁶ has pointed out that there is a relation between surface energy and polymorphism in energetic of nanoparticle oxides. That is to say, the surface energy of magnetite with cubic symmetry can be changes at high pH value due to in the fact that its crystal structure can be transformed to goethite (orthorhombic) or hematite (rhombohedral).

Fig. 3. Evaluation of γ_s^D of the samples studied at 293 K as a function of iron salts and base concentration (according to Schultz method)

In further investigation, we have also determined the change of enthalpy (ΔH_a) and entropy (ΔS_a) of adsorption of alkanes on the iron oxides based on eqn. 2 and 7 and summarized in Table-4. The values of enthalpy increased with chain length of alkane as expected. This rise is more pronounced for the sample 4K. As the iron ion concentration was decreased (from 4K to 1K), ΔH_a became more negative, consequently, the interactions between alkanes and iron oxide samples became favorable significantly. This result may be explainable by the increase of amorphous site of iron oxide samples at lower iron ion amount⁴³. Amorphous surface being larger than crystalline ones generates more favorable interaction energy. Navrotsky⁴⁶ also showed that amorphous structures have higher enthalpy than the stable well-crystallized forms.

The increase of base concentration (1B, 2K, 3B) slightly influenced the adsorption enthalpy of the iron oxide nanoparticles. A noteworthy result in the base series is that like dispersive surface energies, the adsorption enthalpy of the sample 3B is smaller than those of 1B and 2K. These results indicate that different surface properties appear at high pH⁴⁴.

Considering the entropy values of alkanes at Table-4, there is a regular rise as the amount of iron ion decrease from 100 mmol to 12.5 mmol. It is probably due to the increase of degree of freedom at expanded surface as a result of rich amorphous segment on the surfaces of iron ion poor samples like 1K.

Free enthalpy, ΔG^{SP} , enthalpy; ΔH^{SP} and entropy; ΔS^{SP} of specific adsorption: The free enthalpy of specific adsorption was estimated according to eqn. 6 using topology indices (χ_t) of alkanes and polar solutes at Table-2. The χ_t is an extension of Wiener's index, for molecules containing heteroatoms, considering bond lengths, number of electrons of atoms^{34,47}. The variation of the free enthalpy of adsorption with respect to χ_t for the sample 3 at 323K is given as an example in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Variation of ΔG_a as a function χ_t for all solutes on the sample 3K at 323 K

TABLE-4
ENTHALPIES AND ENTROPIES OF THE ADSORPTION OF ALKANES ON IRON OXIDE SAMPLES

Sample	$\Delta H_a (\text{kJmol}^{-1})$					$\Delta S_a (\text{Jmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1})$				
	Pen	Hex	Hep	Oct	Non	Pen	Hex	Hep	Oct	Non
4K	-26.7	-35.5	-36.6	-42.2	-45.5	86.4	92.0	103.8	113.1	122.9
3K	-31.5	-43.3	-47.3	-48.1	-48.7	91.7	121.2	124.7	125.8	126.9
2K	-43.3	-46.3	-47.3	-48.8	-52.7	128.1	128.6	129.6	136.3	141.6
1K	-44.6	-50.6	-51.1	-52.8	-53.9	132.0	137.1	140.6	142.4	147.9
1B	-42.6	-46.4	-48.3	-49.4	-50.5	126.0	128.6	131.6	132.5	133.9
3B	-39.9	-40.3	-41.7	-47.8	-48.0	114.2	116.0	118.4	120.2	124.1

The free enthalpies of specific adsorption of polar solutes on the iron oxide samples are presented in Fig. 5 at 333K. The highest ΔG^{SP} values were observed with Lewis acids such as dichloromethane and chloroform however the lowest ΔG^{SP} values were observed with Lewis bases such as tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether. This suggests that the adsorption sites on the surface of iron oxide are basic for all of the samples. Besides, the values of ΔG^{SP} of acetone with amphoteric nature were found very close to acidic chloroform but higher than those of basic solutes. It seems that acetone behaves like an acid towards to basic iron oxide surface.

Fig. 5. Free enthalpies of specific adsorption of polar solutes on the iron oxide samples at 333K

In comparison of the samples, 4K and 1B have the higher ΔG^{SP} values for dichloromethane according to Fig. 5. This effect may be due to iron ions adsorbed on the surface of nanoparticles because both of samples includes higher iron/hydroxide mol rate. For a better investigation, the free enthalpies of specific adsorption of dichloromethane were scaled to initial mol ratio of $\text{Fe}_{(\text{tot})}/\text{OH}$ in Fig. 6. Indeed, the figure exhibited that there was a linear correlation between ΔG^{SP} and the iron/hydroxide mol rate at 323K. However this correlation distorted with temperature since the free enthalpy of 4K decreased more than others. It also was found a similar relationship for chloroform solute. But the reduction in ΔG^{SP} of chloroform solute with temperature was not as much as that of dichloromethane. (see Fig. 7). Consequently, it can be assumed that there is a specific interaction between Cl atoms in both of dichloromethane and chloroform molecules and Fe atoms on particle surface that could not be removed by the washing at high iron concentrations. It seems that higher ΔG^{SP} values belonging to dichloromethane with nanoparticles may indicate adsorption of metallic entities on a solid surface.

Fig. 6. Variation of ΔG^{SP} values arising from the specific interactions of dichloromethane with the nanoparticle samples against initial $\text{Fe}_{(\text{tot})}/\text{OH}$ mol ratio in the synthesis at the studied temperatures

Fig. 7. Evaluation of specific enthalpies and entropies of adsorption according to eqn. 7 by plotting $\Delta G^{\text{SP}}/T$ versus $1/T$ for the sample 4K

Plotting ΔG^{SP} of the polar solutes as a function of temperature in Fig. 7, the specific enthalpy, ΔH^{SP} and the specific entropy, ΔS^{SP} were determined by using eqn. 7 and summarized in Table-5.

TABLE-5
SPECIFIC ADSORPTION ENTHALPY AND ENTROPY OF INTERACTIONS
BETWEEN THE IRON OXIDE SAMPLES AND POLAR SOLUTE

Solute	$-\Delta H^{\text{SP}}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)						ΔS^{SP} (kJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)					
	4K	3K	2K	1K	1B	3B	4K	3K	2K	1K	1B	3B
Dcm	37.6	15.9	15.1	15.5	10.1	13.0	2.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.4
Cm	16.0	19.1	15.7	17.0	9.8	9.6	1.9	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.6	1.5
Ace	21.2	8.6	8.2	8.4	6.4	7.4	2.2	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.6	1.4
Thf	15.6	10.5	8.7	8.4	8.1	5.7	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.1
Et	12.9	7.5	7.2	3.6	5.3	3.8	2.4	2.0	2.1	1.4	1.9	1.7

Comparison of the values obtained for $-\Delta H^{\text{SP}}$ in Table-5 depicts that the values of $-\Delta H^{\text{SP}}$ of 1K sample which has highest iron concentration considerably are higher than those of others. This means that the favorable interaction between solutes and the sample becomes high as iron amount in the synthesis solution increases. The specific adsorption enthalpies of tetrahydrofuran and ether with basic nature reduced linearly with a decrease of amount of iron salts however those of acidic ones did not show a similar correlation. Furthermore $-\Delta H^{\text{SP}}$ of dichloromethane was found considerably higher than that of chloroform although its acceptor number is smaller as shown in Table-2. This can be explained that dichloromethane molecules which have higher orientation polarization than that of chloroform can settle down more densely on particle surface due to Fe ions which have vacillating valence electrons, which results in longer retention time and higher exothermic adsorption energy.

Table-5 also demonstrates that the values of specific entropy of the samples were not changed dramatically as a function of the concentration of the iron salts and the base.

Acid-base properties: Acceptor and donor interaction parameters or acid and base constants (K_A and K_D) of the iron oxide nanoparticles were obtained for a more complete evaluation of iron oxide acid-base properties. These parameters are determined according to eqn. 8 based on the relation between enthalpy of specific adsorption and both donor and acceptor numbers of applied polar solutes. In Fig. 8, examples of graphics used to determine K_A and K_D were presented and the values obtained for each sample were summarized at Table-6. We have also given the acid and base constants of the samples determined by using two other methods based on the surface area^{26,39,48,49} and the vapor pressure^{30,50} of the solutes at Table-6.

Although the found values of K_D and K_A of the samples are somewhat different for three methods, the values of K_D/K_A are in the same scale and consistent with each other. It is very well known that iron oxides have basic nature. However we have found that their basic character (K_D/K_A) changes depending on the synthesis parameters of co-precipitation method. Table-6 indicates that basic character of the iron oxide nanoparticles increases with base concentration and decreases with iron salt concentration. As an exception, 4K has showed highly basic character although its base concentration is not highest among the samples. As stated earlier, this may be a result of an extra interaction between Cl and Fe atoms accompanied to common Lewis acid-base interactions, thus K_D is obtained higher than expected.

Conclusion

The surface modification of magnetic iron oxides is very important, because it may improve both the colloidal and physical stability of the particles, increase their water-dispersibility and provide functionalization for further conjugation with bioactive molecules or targeting ligands. Frequently, such modifications are carried out in the solution medium shortly after the synthesis of the iron oxide nanoparticles by co-precipitation. This study showed that more effective surface modifications can be done adjusting the synthesis variables of the co-precipitation. Besides, the usefulness of inverse gas chromatography for identifying differences in the adsorption properties of iron oxides was also demonstrated. The method appears quite sensitive to the changes in surface energy that results from different synthesis conditions.

The values of dispersive surface energy, γ_s^D , reduced with the base concentration but increased with the iron salts

Fig. 8. Correlations between specific enthalpies ΔH^{SP} of adsorption of the chosen solutes and the DN and AN values of these solutes according to eqn. 8

TABLE-6
ACID-BASE CONSTANTS (K_A AND K_D) CALCULATED ACCORDING TO TOPOLOGY INDICES, VAPOR PRESSURE AND SURFACE AREA OF THE POLAR SOLUTES FOR THE IRON OXIDE NANOPARTICLES

Property	Topology indices			Vapor pressure			Surface area		
	K_A	K_D	K_D/K_A	K_A	K_D	K_D/K_A	K_A	K_D	K_D/K_A
4K	0.24	2.78	11.43	0.15	1.77	12.21	0.16	2.09	12.86
3K	0.17	1.17	6.78	0.09	0.44	4.82	0.10	0.62	6.22
2K	0.14	1.11	7.98	0.12	0.82	6.68	0.13	0.96	7.43
1K	0.13	1.15	8.82	0.08	0.59	7.15	0.09	0.81	8.55
1B	0.14	0.74	5.32	0.04	0.14	3.19	0.04	0.14	3.18
3B	0.08	0.96	11.35	0.04	0.44	10.87	0.05	0.63	12.63

concentrations. It was found that the interactions between alkanes and iron oxide samples can become favourable with the increase of amorphous site of iron oxide samples at lower iron ion amount. This result can open a new research field on determination of crystallinity of inorganic particles by inverse gas chromatography, like polymers^{51,52}. As expected, the iron oxide nanoparticles are of basic character by increasing with base concentration but decreasing with iron salt concentration. Additionally, the sample with highest iron concentration did not comply with this trend because of the extra interactions between Fe and Cl atoms which leads to bigger K_D .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by TUBITAK Post-doctorate Fellowship Programme.

REFERENCES

1. S. Laurent, D. Forge, M. Port, A. Roch, C. Robic, L. Vander Elst and R.N. Muller, *Chem. Rev.*, **108**, 2064 (2008).
2. A.S. Teja and P. Koh, *Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater.*, **55**, 22 (2009).
3. L.H. Reddy, J.L. Arias, J. Nicolas and P. Couvreur, *Chem. Rev.*, **112**, 5818 (2012).
4. C.G.C.M. Netto, H.E. Toma and L.H. Andrade, *J. Mol. Catal. B*, **85-86**, 71 (2013).
5. F.M. Kievit and M. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **44**, 853 (2011).
6. A.K. Gupta and M. Gupta, *Biomaterials*, **26**, 3995 (2005).
7. N.T.K. Thanh and L.A.W. Green, *Nano Today*, **5**, 213 (2010).
8. D.K. Kim, M. Mikhaylova, Y. Zhang and M. Muhammed, *Chem. Mater.*, **15**, 1617 (2003).
9. A.S. Lubbe, C. Alexiou and C. Bergemann, *J. Surg. Res.*, **95**, 200 (2001).
10. B. Grzeta, M. Ristic, I. Nowik and S. Music, *J. Alloys Comp.*, **334**, 304 (2002).
11. H. Itoh and T. Sugimoto, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **265**, 283 (2003).
12. Y. Lee, J. Lee, C.J. Bae, J.G. Park, H.J. Noh, J.H. Park and T. Hyeon, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **15**, 503 (2005).
13. R. Massart, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, **17**, 1247 (1981).
14. P. Xu, G.M. Zeng, D.L. Huang, C.L. Feng, S. Hu, M.H. Zhao, C. Lai, Z. Wei, C. Huang, G.X. Xie and Z.F. Liu, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **424**, 1 (2012).
15. S. Laurent, D. Forge, M. Port, A. Roch, C. Robic, L. Vander Elst and R.N. Muller, *Chem. Rev.*, **108**, 2064 (2008).
16. P. Roonasi, Licentiate thesis, Adsorption and Surface Reaction Properties of Synthesized Magnetite Nano-Particles, Luleå University of Technology, Sweden (2007).
17. Z.X. Sun, F.W. Su, W. Forsling and P.O. Samskog, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **197**, 151 (1998).
18. J.L. Arias, V. Gallardo, F. Linares-Molinero and A.V. Delgado, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **299**, 599 (2006).
19. J.L. Arias, V. Gallardo, S.A. Gómez-Lopera, R.C. Plaza and A.V. Delgado, *J. Control. Rel.*, **77**, 309 (2001).
20. K. Batko and A. Voelkel, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **315**, 768 (2007).
21. G.C.C. Costa, S.V. Ushakov, R.H.R. Castro, A. Navrotsky and R. Muccillo, *Chem. Mater.*, **22**, 2937 (2010).
22. R.J. Good, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*, **6**, 1269 (1992).
23. C. Sun and J.C. Berg, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **969**, 59 (2002).
24. T. Hamieh, M.-B. Fadlallah and J. Schultz, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **969**, 37 (2002).
25. S. Kubilay, R. Gurkan, A. Savran and Z. Yalcinkaya, *Colloid J.*, **68**, 274 (2006).
26. Y.C. Yang and P.R. Yoon, *J. Korean Chem. Eng.*, **24**, 451 (2007).
27. D. Steven Keller and P. Luner, *Colloids Surf. A*, **161**, 401 (2000).
28. P. Schmitt, E. Koerper, J. Schultz and E. Papirer, *Chromatographia*, **25**, 786 (1988).
29. J.-B. Donnet, H. Balard, N. Nedjari, B. Hamdi, H. Barthel and T. Gottschalk-Gaudig, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **328**, 15 (2008).
30. A. Voelkel and T. Grzes'kowiak, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **11**, 1288 (2001).
31. T. Hamieh, M. Nardin, M. Rageul-Lescouët, H. Haïdara and J. Schultz, *Colloids Surf. A*, **125**, 155 (1997).
32. M. Przybyszewska, A. Krzywania, M. Zaborski and M.I. Szyrkowska, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **1216**, 5284 (2009).
33. E. Brendlé, J. Dentzer and E. Papirer, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **199**, 63 (1998).
34. E. Brendlé and E. Papirer, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **194**, 207 (1997).
35. S.K. Milonjić, *Mater. Manuf. Process.*, **24**, 1086 (2009).
36. J. Tang, M. Myers, K.A. Bosnick and L.E. Brus, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **107**, 7501 (2003).
37. J.M.R.C.A. Santos and J.T. Guthrie, *Mater. Sci. Eng. Rep.*, **50**, 79 (2005).
38. F.M. Fowkes, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*, **1**, 7 (1987).
39. J. Schultz, L. Lavielle and C. Martin, *J. Adhes.*, **23**, 45 (1987).
40. G.M. Dorris and D.G. Gray, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **77**, 353 (1980).
41. R.J. Drago, G.C. Vogel and T.E. Needham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 6014 (1971).
42. E. Brendlé and E. Papirer, *Powders and Fibres-Interfacial Science and Applications, Surfactant Science Series*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, vol. 137, p. 50 (2007).
43. O. Karaagac, H. Kockar, S. Beyaz and T. Tanrisever, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, **46**, 3978 (2010).
44. U. Schwertmann and E. Murad, *Clays Clay Miner.*, **31**, 277 (1983).
45. T. Iwasaki, K. Kosaka, N. Mizutani, S. Watano, T. Yanagida, H. Tanaka and T. Kawai, *Mater. Lett.*, **62**, 4155 (2008).
46. A. Navrotsky, *Geochem. Trans.*, **4**, 34 (2003).
47. E. Brendlé and E. Papirer, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **194**, 217 (1997).
48. N.E. Moustafa and D.S. El-Desouki, *Chem. Paper*, **63**, 371 (2009).
49. D. Topaloglu Yazici, A. Askin and V. Bütün, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, **38**, 561 (2006).
50. C. Saint Flour and E. Papirer, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **91**, 69 (1983).
51. C.T. Chen and Z.Y. Al-Saigh, *Macromolecules*, **22**, 2974 (1989).
52. O. Yazici, F. Cakar, O. Cankurtaran and F. Karaman, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **113**, 901 (2009).