Synthesis, Thermal and Magnetic Behaviour of Monohydrated Cadmium Selenium(IV) Bromine(V) Oxide [$\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$]

FAIZ RABBANI^{1,2,*}, HUMAYUN AJAZ¹ and K.A. BHATTI³

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

²Department of Chemistry, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad 22060, Pakistan

³Department of Physics, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

*Corresponding author: E-mail: faizqau@yahoo.com

Received: 12 March 2013;

Accepted: 21 August 2013;

Published online: 30 January 2014;

AJC-14614

The monohydrated white crystals of nano-material, cadmium selenium(IV) bromine(V) oxide formulated as $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, were obtained at 450 °C. The morphology and elemental analysis of the material were investigated by scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-rays analysis. The new phase was confirmed through unique patterns of powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and characteristic melting point. The decomposition temperature and thermal behaviour of the product was studied using differential scanning calorimeter attached with thermal gravimetric analyzer. The bond vibrations and magnetic measurements were performed using fourier transform infra-red spectrophotometer and vibrating sample magnetometer respectively.

Keywords: Composite Materials, EDAX, DSC, FT/IR, $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

INTRODUCTION

For few years, a strategy for the synthesis of novel inorganic composites with low-dimensional structure (1D or 2D arrangements) of *d*-block metal cations has been developed due to variety of its unusual magnetic properties like for memory devices¹. A number of such new composites have recently been prepared by using chalcogen cations (*e.g.*, Te^{4+} , Se^{4+})²⁻⁸. Interesting properties of these materials like second harmonic generation (SHG)⁹⁻¹² and spin modulation¹³ have been observed.

As far as the Cd-X-Ch-O [where X = halogen (Cl, Br) cation, Ch = Te, Se] system is concerned, no cadmium system with selenium containing halogen cations has been yet reported and a few cadmium tellurite halides have been found in literature *i.e.*, $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{Te}_6\text{O}_{13})][\text{Cd}_2\text{Cl}_6]$ and $\text{Cd}_7\text{Cl}_8(\text{Te}_7\text{O}_{17})$ ¹⁴. The aim of this research is focused to study a system containing both cadmium and selenium ions with halogen cation. The present work reports on the synthesis of novel magnetic material by solid state method and its characterization by SEM, EDX, XRD, DSC, TGA, IR and VSM respectively. The title compound contains selenium with +4 and bromine having +5 oxidation states that distinguishes it from reported systems.

EXPERIMENTAL

The reactants as SeO_2 and CdBr_2 (BDH Chemicals) of analytical grade (purity > 99 %) were used for the synthesis.

The surface morphology and composition of the synthesized nano-material were performed employing scanning electron microscope {S 3700N, HITACHI, Japan} equipped with an energy dispersive analysis of X-rays spectrometer {HORIBA, Japan} using point analysis method. X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained by using powder X-ray diffractometer (D8-Discover, Bruker, Germany). Ni-filter and Cu-K_α radiations ($\lambda = 1.54060 \text{ \AA}$) were used with scan speed $2^\circ/\text{min}$ at room temperature. Thermal analyses of the obtained product were performed using Differential Scanning Colorimeter attached with Thermal Gravimetric Analyzer {SDT-Q600, TA Instrument, USA}. Fourier Transform Infra-Red spectrophotometer {FTIR-4100, JASCO-CE, PerkinElmer, UK} and Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (Lakeshore's 7407) were used to study the vibrational and magnetic measurements of the material.

Preparation of $[\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}]$: Hydrated white crystals of the title compound were prepared *via* solid state technique in a muffle furnace. The starting materials, 0.2 g (1 mmol) CdBr_2 and 0.074 g (0.67 mmol) SeO_2 were mixed in agate mortar with a non-stoichiometric ratio 1/5:1 and placed in a ceramic crucible which was further treated in an electronically controlled muffle furnace at 450 °C for 170 h. It was then cooled to room temperature at the rate of 5 °C/h. The obtained crystals was washed with acetone (Merck) and dried. Formula weight of the above composite was calculated using Sheffield Chem Puter¹⁵ to be 865.04 amu.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In SEM graph (Fig. 1), the material ($\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$) clearly indicates a crystalline in nature which found to be in the different shapes. *e.g.* rod, bulky, particle like *etc.* The particulate size is found in the range of nanometers to micrometers which is also supported by powder-XRD results.

Fig. 1. SEM of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Energy dispersive X-ray analysis: The EDAX spectrum of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Fig. 2) is the plot of data between the relative intensity (I_{rel}) as ordinate and X-ray energy (KeV) as abscissa. This spectrum insures the presence of all the elements (Cd, Br, Se, O) in the $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$. A comparison of atomic % between calculated (using the Sheffield ChemPuter¹⁵) and found results was given as

Fig. 2. EDAX analysis of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ showing the presence of the constituting elements (Cd, Se, Br and O)

Atomic %: element-calculated (found) Cd-15(15.48) / Se-10(10.34) / Br-10(9.88) / O- 65(64.30). The values showed that formula unit of the product is $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

Powder X-ray diffraction analysis: For the X-ray powder diffraction analysis, product is grinded and placed on the sample holder of the powder X-ray diffractometer (D8-Discover, Bruker, Germany) and measured with $2\theta^\circ = 15$ to 90 for almost 30 min.

The powder pattern of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Fig. 3) reveals that the peaks at $2\theta^\circ = 20.285, 21.722, 23.143, 25.152, 31.101,$

$32.416, 34.200, 36.937, 38.790, 44.415, 44.752, 47.315, 48.200, 50.606, 52.102, 58.056, 63.408, 67.641$ and 77.875 are distinct and belong to the title compound where as peaks at $2\theta^\circ = 29.981$ and 33.594 correlate with the $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_4\text{O}_{11}$ (confirmed by search match procedure). The data obtained from PXRD pattern of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Fig. 3) is given in Table-1. The graphical representation of various PXRD parameters Vs $2\theta^\circ$ is given in Fig. 4. The grain size, dislocation line density and strain of the heated samples were calculated by using the following formulas,

$$\text{Grain size (D)} = 0.9 \lambda / \beta \cos \theta^{16} \quad (1)$$

where λ = wavelength of the X-rays used ($1.5406 \text{ \AA}$), β = value of $1/2 \text{ FWHM}$ and θ = Bragg's angle.

$$\text{Dislocation line density } (\delta) = 1/D^2,^{17} \quad (2)$$

where D = grain size

$$\text{Strain (S)} = 0.9 \lambda / 4D^{18} \quad (3)$$

where D = grain size, λ = wavelength of the X-rays used ($1.5406 \text{ \AA}$)

Fig. 3. PXRD diffractogram of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in comparison to that of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_4\text{O}_{11}$ (red lines)

Fig. 4 indicates that the range of grain size lies between 42.96 nm (at $2\theta^\circ = 25.14$) to 502.53 nm (at $2\theta^\circ = 66.89^\circ$) as shown in Table-1. As the particulate sizes lies in nanometer range, also supported by SEM results which shows the growth of nano particles employing solid state method.

Fig. 4. Graphical representation of material parameters (intensity and grain size) versus $2\theta^\circ$ (°) (obtained from the PXRD analysis of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$)

Fig. 4 also shows that there are decreasing and increasing trends in the grain size which may be due to the re-arrangement of the particles¹⁹. At elevated temperature (450 °C), during the growth of nano material, these variations may arise due to nucleation of smaller particles and thermal stresses which are also correlated with SEM graph (Fig. 1). The size of product may also depend upon the hardness of the material and the recovery processes²⁰. Intensity changes follow a trend of exponential decay as shown in Fig. 4, which is due to change in orientation of particles as well as variation in scattering of crystals after long heat treatment of titled compound²¹. The larger variations in intensities of the diffracted X-rays may be due to crystal imperfections, non uniform strain, diffraction, thermal stresses, lattice vibrations of atoms¹⁵ and texture effects²². After a long time heating (450 °C for 170 h), the small variations in d-spacing, dislocation density and strains are observed (Table-1) due to the polygonization at this high temperature²³.

TABLE-1
MATERIAL PARAMETERS (GRAIN SIZE, DISLOCATION DENSITY AND STRAIN) CALCULATED ON THE BASIS OF INTENSITY AND 2θ (°) (OBTAINED BY THE X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION ANALYSIS)

2θ (°)	d-spac-ing (Å)	Grainsize (nm) $D = 0.95/\text{Bcos } \theta$	Dislocation Density = $1/2D$ (lines/cm ²)	Strain = $.90/4D$ (lines ⁻² cm ⁻⁴)	Intensity (Counts)
20.285	0.05	29.73358	0.02591	0.01166	91
21.722	0.2	7.456504	0.1033	0.04648	81
23.143	0.03	49.776786	0.01547	6.9638	90
25.152	0.2	7.502722	0.1026	0.0462	152
29.981	0.2	7.579752	0.1016	0.04573	420
31.101	0.1	24.387698	0.03158	0.01421	430
32.416	0.08	18.764508	0.04105	0.01847	210
33.594	0.1	15.25194	0.0505	0.02272	290
34.2	0.07	21.845708	0.03526	0.01586	120
36.937	0.05	31.135526	0.02474	0.01113	110
38.79	0.12	13.310784	0.05787	0.02604	128
44.415	0.02	77.03	0.01	0.0045	150
44.752	0.15	10.522298	0.07321	0.03294	130
47.315	0.16	10.029306	0.0768	0.03237	205
48.2	0.08	20.043206	0.03843	0.01729	130
50.606	0.1	16.268736	0.04735	0.02131	120
52.102	0.12	13.55728	0.05682	0.02557	203
58.056	0.18	9.32063	0.08264	0.03719	153
63.408	0.07	24.387698	0.03158	0.01421	130
67.641	0.05	36.58925	0.02105	9.4736	112
77.875	0.04	48.790802	0.01579	7.1045	114

Differential scanning calorimetric analysis: The DSC analysis shows that material melts at 533 °C. This characteristic melting point confirms the formation of new phase.

Thermal gravimetric analysis: The synthesized product is stable at room temperature. The theoretical weight loss 2.04 % is in good agreement with observed 2.05 % that confirms the removal of one water molecule at 100 °C. The thermal decomposition of material may be described in two steps. In the first step, one molecule of SeO_2 remove at 533 °C followed the second molecule of SeO_2 at 643 °C in second step. The theoretical weight loss for two SeO_2 molecules is 26 % but observed weight loss is 33 % which shows that half molecule of unreacted SeO_2 may also be removed.

Fourier transform infrared analysis: FTIR spectrum was obtained in the range of 4000-350 cm^{-1} which shows no absorption has been occurred in the region of 4000-850 cm^{-1} but absorption peaks are observed in the range 850-400 cm^{-1} (Fig. 5). IR absorption band 828 cm^{-1} assigned to the $\nu(\text{Se-O})$ vibrations as reported for $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}(\text{SeO}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ ²⁴ and $\text{SrCu}_2(\text{SeO}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ ²⁵. The absorption band 468 cm^{-1} is assigned to the $\delta(\text{Br-O})$ vibrations as reported²⁶ which confirms the presence of bonds (Br-O and Se-O) in the product.

Fig. 5. Infrared spectrum of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12} \cdot \text{xH}_2\text{O}$

Magnetic analysis: The magnetic analysis of the product was measured by using vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The magnetic spectrum is a plot between magnetic moment (emu) versus magnetic field (G) for clean material at room temperature (Fig. 6). The magnetic coercivity of compound is strongly dependent upon its microstructure²⁷. The presence of magnetic domains is due to the nanoparticles as in case of nanosize, magnetization can randomly flip direction under the effect of temperature²⁸. The magnetic retentivity (M_r) and intrinsic coercivity (H_{ci}) of the sample were calculated through hysteresis which are to be $605.68 \times 10^{-6} \text{ emu/cm}^3$ and 54.157 G respectively. Similarly, magnetization saturation ($10.473 \times 10^{-3} \text{ emu/cm}^3$), curve squareness (57.832×10^{-3}) and total area related to energy loss (8.0018 ergs) were also calculated through this analysis. The above analysis confirms that the obtained material is soft ferromagnetic in nature.

Fig. 8. Magnetic measurement of $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Graphic representation of the change in magnetic moment with the change in the applied magnetic field)

Scope and limitations: The transition metals selenites containing halogens sometimes having pores in their structures. If so then it may be used for gas absorption measurements and photo catalytic properties where water molecules split into hydrogen and oxygen. As the reactants are health hazardous so one should be careful during their use.

Conclusion

The monohydrated stable new material represented as $\text{Cd}_3\text{Se}_2\text{Br}_2\text{O}_{12}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, is crystalline in nature and exists in the range of nm to μm particulate size that has been started to decompose at 533 °C. The presence of all the elements (Cd, Se, Br and O), their stoichiometric ratio and bonding between them have been assured. The decomposition behavior of soft ferromagnetic product has also been studied. Due to small size of crystals, it can be structurally characterized using transmission electron microscopy. This work further leads to the syntheses of a number of novel compounds having same composition containing other transition metals especially Co, Fe and Zn.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledged the support from Higher Education Pakistan (HEC) of Pakistan for Indigenous PhD scholarship program with its financial support. Faiz Rabbani also thank the PCSIR, Lahore and Prof. Dr. Shahzad Naseem (Punjab University) for the characterization of material.

REFERENCES

1. B.A. El-Sayed, A.A.A. Emara, F.S.M. Abd El-Hameed and S.M. Shaaban, *Mater. Lett.*, **27**, 247 (1996).
2. M. Johnsson, K.W. Törnroos, P. Lemmens and P. Millet, *Chem. Mater.*, **15**, 68 (2003).
3. R. Becker and M. Johnsson, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **180**, 1750 (2007).
4. R. Becker, M. Johnsson, R.K. Kremer and P. Lemmens, *Solid State Sci.*, **5**, 1411 (2003).
5. R. Becker, M. Johnsson, R. Kremer and P. Lemmens, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **178**, 2024 (2005).
6. R. Becker, H. Berger, M. Johnsson, M. Prester, Z. Marohnic, M. Miljak and M. Herak, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **179**, 836 (2006).
7. R. Takagi, M. Johnsson, V. Gnezdilov, R.K. Kremer, W. Brenig and P. Lemmens, *Phys. Rev.*, **B74** 014413, (2006).
8. Z. Mayerova, M. Johnsson and S. Lidin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **45**, 5602 (2006).
9. Y.L. Shen, J.G. Mao and H.L. Jiang, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **178**, 2942 (2005).
10. R.T. Hart, K.-M. Ok, P.S. Halasyamani and J.W. Zwanziger, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **85**, 938 (2004).
11. M.G. Johnston and W.T.A. Harrison, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **177**, 4680 (2004).
12. M.A. Cooper and F.C. Hawthorne, *Can. Mineral.*, **39**, 797 (2001).
13. O. Zaharko, M. Pregelj, D. Arcon, P.J. Brown, D. Chernyshov, U. Stühr and H. Berger, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, **211**, 012002 (2010).
14. H. L. Jiang and J.G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, **45**, 717 (2006).
15. [http://winter.group.shef.ac.uk/chemputer/percent.html\(04/05/2011, 08:35am\)](http://winter.group.shef.ac.uk/chemputer/percent.html(04/05/2011, 08:35am))
16. E. Mirica, G. Kowach, P. Evans and H. Du, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **4**, 147 (2004).
17. M.S. Rafique, M. Khaleeq-ur-Rahman, S. Saif-ur-Rehman, M.S. Anjum, K.A. Anwar, S. Bhatti, Saeed and M.S. Awan, *Vacuum*, **82**, 1233 (2008).
18. A. Latif, Ph.D. Thesis, The Diagnostic and Analysis of Optical, Thermal and Electrical Properties of Laser Ablated Materials, Department of Physics, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan (2011).
19. N. Matsunami, O. Fukuoka, M. Tazawa and M. Sataka, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, **196**, 50 (2005).
20. W.F. Smith, Principles of Material Science and Engineering, McGraw Hill, Tokyo, edn 2 (1990).
21. M. Khaleeq-ur-Rahman, K.A. Bhatti, M.S. Rafique, A. Latif, P. Lee and S. Mahmood, *Laser Phys.*, **17**, 1382 (2007).
22. H. Ajaz, H.-J. Deiseroth, M. Schlosser and F. Rabbani, *Synth. React. Inorg. Metal-Org. Nano-Metal Chem.*, **39**, 209 (2009).
23. A. Latif, M. Khaleeq-Ur-Rahman, M.S. Rafique, K.A. Bhatti and M. Imran, *Radiat. Effects Defects Solids*, **164**, 68 (2009).
24. P.S. Berdonosov, A.V. Olenov and V.A. Dolgikh, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **182**, 2368 (2009).
25. P.S. Berdonosov, A.V. Olenov, A.N. Kuznetsov and V.A. Dolgikh, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **182**, 77 (2009).
26. A. Abbasi and M.Y. Skripkin, *J. Sci. Univ. Tehran*, **33**, 1 (2007).
27. C. Jin, R. Aggarwal, W. Wei, S. Nori, D. Kumar, D. Ponarin, A.I. Smirnov, J. Narayan and R.J. Narayan, *Metallurg. Mater. Trans. A*, **42A**, 3250 (2011).
28. S. Riaz, S. Naseem and Y.B. Xu, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, **59**, 584 (2011).