Total Phenols, Antioxidant Potential and Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activity of Walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) Leaf, Husk and Seed

M. AKIN¹, G. ARABACI² and N. SAKI^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey

²Department of Chemistry, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey

*Corresponding author: Fax: +90 11 2623032003; Tel: +90 11 2623032064; E-mail: neslihanasaki@gmail.com

(Received: 22 March 2013;

Accepted: 25 September 2013)

AJC-14173

The present study reports the total phenolic contents, antioxidant potentials and tyrosinase inhibitory activity of the leaf, green husk and seed of walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) produced in Turkey. The total phenolic contents and antioxidant activity studies were carried out at two different extraction times (2 and 18 h) while the anti-tyrosinase activity was investigated at 2 h extraction time. For both extraction times, leaf extracts showed the highest amount of phenols [121.08 and 133.05 mg/g of gallic equivalent (GAE)] and DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) scavenging activity (86.66 and 93.33 %), respectively and the inhibitory efficiency of leaf extract (IC₅₀ 3.99 mg/mL) was determined to be much stronger than the seed and husk extracts. The inhibition type and K_i values were determined for the extracts. The results of this study suggested that walnut leaf, husk and seed can be used as an excellent, easily accessible source of natural antioxidant as well as the tyrosinase inhibitor.

Key Words: *Juglans regia* L., Total phenols, Antioxidant potential, Tyrosinase activity, Walnut.

INTRODUCTION

There has been growing interest over the discovery and utilization of antioxidants from natural sources such as fruits and vegetables, because several studies have documented the potential toxicity associated with the synthetic antioxidants. Most antioxidants are phenolic substances, in fact, it has been shown that there is a direct relationship between the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in fruits and vegetables. Also, the remarkable tyrosinase inhibitory activities of polyphenols have been reported by several studies^{1,2}.

Recent epidemiological studies have suggested that increased consumption of plant-derived, antioxidant-rich foods, decrease the risk of degenerative diseases by reduction of oxidative stress and inhibition of macromolecular oxidation^{3,4}. In addition, they have also been used in food and cosmetic industry by the inhibition of tyrosinase enzyme and there is a serious effort to search for natural tyrosinase inhibitors like plants because they are free of harmful side effects and can be obtained at a low cost⁵⁻⁷.

Among the dietary plants, walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) is a well-known member of Juglandaceae family. It has a remarkable nutritional composition with rich phenolic compounds. Different studies have proved that isolated polyphenols obtained from walnuts have strong antioxidant effects and they are commonly consumed in Turkey⁸⁻¹⁰.

The main purpose of this work was to examine the total phenolic contents, antioxidant potential and tyrosinase inhibitory activity of walnut's leaf, husk and seed. As far as could be determined, this is the first time that the effect of different extraction times on total phenolic content and radical scavenging activity of walnut seed, husk and leaf have been evaluated. In addition, anti-tyrosinase activity of all three parts of the walnut in a single paper are reported here.

EXPERIMENTAL

Walnut (*J. regia* L.) leaves, green husks and seeds were collected in November 2011 in Gebze-Kocaeli (Marmara region of Turkey). The materials were washed with tap water, dried in a dark room over a week, frozen and stored at -20 °C for further use.

Two gram of each plant material (walnut's leaves, husks and seeds) was extracted with 25 mL methanol at 2 and 18 h separately at room temperature for total phenols determination and antioxidant activity assays. The extracts were placed in a centrifuge for 5 min at 5000 rpm twice, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotavapor and then frozen, followed by lyophilization. In addition, the same plant materials were extracted at 2 h with 50 mL 50 % aqueous solution of methanol for tyrosinase enzyme inhibition assay. The same procedure was applied for each of these extracts¹⁰.

Total phenolic contents: Determination of total phenolic contents of walnut leaves, husks and seeds were determined by using the Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, according to the procedure previously described by Singleton and Rossi¹¹ with slight modifications. Briefly, 1 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent was added to 1 mL of plant extracts and mixed for 5 min. After the addition of 1 mL sodium carbonate solution, the mixture was adjusted to 10 mL with distilled water. After 2 h incubation the absorbance was read at 725 nm by an Optizen UV spectrophotometer. A calibration curve was prepared using a standard solution of gallic acid (0.01-0.4 mM). The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of lyophilized extract¹².

Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity: The capacity to scavenge the DPPH free radical was monitored according to a method reported¹³. An aliquot (1 mL) of appropriately diluted extracts of walnut was mixed with 4 mL of 6×10^{-5} M DPPH solution. After 1 h incubation the absorbance was measured at 517 nm for 2 and 18 h extracts separately. The percentage of absorbance inhibition (I %) at 517 nm was calculated using the equation¹⁰:

$$I \% = [(A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}) / A_{\text{blank}}] \times 100$$

Tyrosinase inhibitory assay: Tyrosinase inhibitory activities of the extracts were determined as described by Chan *et al.*¹⁴ using a modified dopachrome method with L-DOPA as the substrate. Briefly, the mixture of 40 U mushroom tyrosinase and 0.5 mM L-DOPA with or without the extracts of different concentrations (1.33, 6.66 and 13.33 mg/mL) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) were prepared. Without any incubation, the absorbance was measured at 475 nm for 1 min with the UV spectrophotometer. The inhibitory activities of the samples were expressed as the concentration at which 50 % of the enzyme activity was inhibited (IC₅₀). The inhibition types were assayed by the Lineweaver-Burk plot and the inhibition constants (K_i) were determined by the slope *versus* concentrations of the inhibitors¹⁵. Kojic acid in different concentrations (25×10^{-4} , 5×10^{-4} and 12.5×10^{-4} mM) dissolved in phosphate buffer was used as a positive control and a minimum of two measurements were taken for each concentration.

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 16.0) for Windows. Comparisons between two groups were performed by unpaired *t*-test. Significance was accepted at *p* lower than 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total phenolic contents: Walnut grown in many regions of Turkey has a fairly common uses with a high nutritional value and the phenolic compounds are the most important group of therapeutically active constituents of this fruit. In several researches, total phenolic content of different parts of the walnut has been determined by using the Folin-Ciocalteu method^{12,16}. Mahoney *et al.*¹⁷, Stampar *et al.*¹⁸ and Pereira *et al.*¹⁹ identified chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid (hydroxy cinnamic acids), ellagic acid and syringic acid (hydroxy benzoic acids) as well as syringaldehyde (hydroxy benzaldehyde) and juglone in walnut seed, green husk and leaf. In the present work total phenolic

contents in the methanolic extracts of walnut leaf, seed and husk were investigated at two different extraction times (2 and 18 h) and the results were presented in Table-1. For both the extraction times, leaf extracts showed the highest amount of phenolic compounds with 121.08 and 133.05 mg/g of GAE, followed by seeds with 44 and 50.35 mg/g of GAE and husks 26.2 and 29.5 mg/g GAE. While the extraction yields at 2 and 18 h were 29.27 and 30.64 % for leaf, 21.12 and 32.94 % for seed and 32.87 and 36.17 % for husk extracts, respectively.

TABLE-1
EXTRACTION YIELD AND PHENOLIC CONTENTS
OF METHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF WALNUT
LEAF, SEED AND HUSK

Samples	Extraction yield (%)	Phenolic content (mg GAE/g)
Extraction time (2 h)		
Leaf	29.27 ± 0.15 ^a	121.08 ± 1.07 ^a
Seed	21.12 ± 0.17 ^b	44.11 ± 1.38 ^b
Husk	32.87 ± 0.94 ^a	26.21 ± 1.67 ^c
Extraction time (8 h)		
Leaf	30.64 ± 0.65 ^a	133.05 ± 1.98 ^b
Seed	32.94 ± 0.28 ^b	50.35 ± 1.82 ^c
Husk	36.17 ± 0.79 ^b	29.50 ± 1.64 ^a
Means (n = 3) marked with different letters within each column are significantly different (<i>p</i> < 0.05).		

DPPH free radical scavenging activity: Antioxidants, in other words radical scavengers, are believed to intercept the free radical chain of oxidation and are able to donate hydrogen from the phenolic hydroxyl groups to DPPH. Free radical scavenging of phenolic compounds is an important property underlying their various biological activities²⁰.

Walnut being as a rich natural antioxidant source of fruit has been used as a medicinal treatment plant in many cultures since ancient times. A previous study showed that walnut had the highest antioxidant activity among the analyzed foods and drinks commonly consumed in Turkey⁸. Pereira *et al.*¹² investigated the chemical composition of aqueous extracts of six walnut cultivars by the scavenging effect on DPPH radicals and all walnut extracts exhibited antioxidant capacity.

On the other hand, the antioxidant activities of walnut green husk extracts were determined on five different cultivars and a concentration dependent antioxidative capacity was verified in reducing power and DPPH assays¹⁶. Fig. 1 shows the effect of different extraction times on the DPPH scavenging activity in walnut leaf, seed and husk methanolic extracts. For 2 and 18 h extraction times, DPPH free radical scavenging capacities are for leaf 86.66 and 93.33 %, for seed 84.615 and 92.30 % and for husk extracts 85.714 and 85.12 %, respectively. While a longer extraction time caused an increase on the scavenging effect of DPPH radicals for walnut leaf and seed extracts, it had no effect on walnut husks extracts.

Tyrosinase inhibition: As being the largest groups in tyrosinase inhibitors and flavanoids, polyphenols are widely distributed in the leaves, seeds, bark and flowers of plants²¹. Among these plants, walnut has been reported to be rich in flavonoids and phenolic acid and therefore, it is an excellent example to be developed as a tyrosinase inhibitor^{22,23}. Özer *et al.*¹ investigated the inhibitory effect of methanol extracts of Cortex Castanea (*Castanea sativa*) stem bark, Folium Eucalypti

Fig. 1. DPPH scavenging activity (% inhibition) of walnut leaf, seed and husk methanol extracts. Each value expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation ($n = 3$)

(*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) leaves and Folium Juglandis (*Juglans regia*) leaves on tyrosinase activity. The results showed that Folium Juglandis (*Juglans regia*) extract caused the highest inhibition of tyrosinase enzyme.

In the present work the tyrosinase inhibitory activity of walnut leaf, seed and husk extracts at 2 h extraction time were examined. Their inhibitory activity was tested against tyrosinase for the oxidation of L-DOPA and all extracts showed inhibitory activity. Even though, tyrosinase inhibitory activity of walnut leaf extracts has been shown as tyrosinase inhibitors earlier. To our best of knowledge, seed and husk extracts, inhibitory activities are reported for the first time with their IC_{50} values and inhibition types. Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the % inhibition effects of walnut leaf, husk and seed extracts on tyrosinase enzyme. IC_{50} values for leaf, seed and husk extracts showed inhibitory activity of 3.99, 8.837 and 10,154 mg/mL and the inhibition constants (K_i) were found as 3.78, 9.665 and 12.66, respectively. The results are summarized in Table-2. As shown in Fig. 3, inhibition types of the extracts were also determined by drawing Lineweaver-Burk pilots and identified as the competitive, mixed and noncompetitive types for leaf, seed and husk extracts, respectively. In the study, kojic acid was used as the positive control. IC_{50} value and the inhibition type determined for kojic acid was in parallel with the literature as 0.079 mg/mL and mixed type inhibition²⁴.

Fig. 2. Comparison of the walnut leaf, seed and husk water extracts % inhibition effects on tyrosinase enzyme

Conclusion

The effect of different extraction times on the antioxidant activity and the total phenol content in the walnut's leaf, husk

TABLE-2
TYROSINASE INHIBITORY ACTIVITIES OF WATER EXTRACTS OF WALNUT LEAF, SEED AND HUSK

	IC_{50} (mg/mL)	Inhibition type	Inhibition constant (mg/mL)
Leaf	3.990	Competitive	3.780
Seed	8.837	Mixed	7.615
Husk	10.134	Noncompetitive	9.665
Kojic acid	0.790	Mixed	0.540

Fig. 3. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the catalysis of L-DOPA by mushroom tyrosinase concentrations of each tested extracts for plots (A) Kojic acid 0, 0.0025, 0.05, 0.125×10 mg/mL; (B) Leaf 0, 1.33, 2.66 mg/mL; (C) Seed 0, 6.66, 13.33 mg/mL; (D) Husk 0, 6.66, 20 mg/mL

and seed for the first time. As a part of the study, antityrosinase activity has also been examined to determine the inhibition types, IC_{50} values and K_i constants of walnut seed, green husk and leaf. The activity of all three parts of the walnut in a single paper are reported first time. The results of this research suggest that walnut can be used as an inexpensive and easily accessible source of effective natural antioxidants as well as the tyrosinase inhibitor.

REFERENCES

- O. Ozer, B. Mutlu and B. Kivcak, *Pharm. Biol.*, **45**, 519 (2007).
- N. Niciforovic, V. Mihailovic, P. Maskovic, S. Solujic, A. Stojkovic and D.P. Muratspahic, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **48**, 3125 (2010).
- B. Golding, B. Glasson, S.G. Wylie and D. Leach, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **49**, 2283 (2001).
- D.L. McKay, C.-Y.O. Chen, K. Yeum, N.R. Matthan, A.H. Lichtenstein and J.B. Blumberg, *Nutr. J.*, **9**, 1 (2010).
- Y. Chu, J. Sun, X. Wu and R.H. Liu, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **50**, 6910 (2002).
- U. Gawlik-Dziki, U. Zlotek and M. Swieca, *Food Chem.*, **107**, 129 (2008).
- M.E. Chiari, M.B. Joray, G. Ruiz, S.M. Palacios and M.C. Carpinella, *Food Chem.*, **120**, 10 (2010).
- D. Shahwar, M. Naz, M.A. Raza, G. Ara, A. Yasmeen, A. Saeed, S. Bokhari, M. Ajaib and N. Ahmad, *Asian J. Chem.*, **24**, 3151 (2012).
- E. Altuntas and M. Erkol, *Int. J. Food Eng.*, **5**, 1 (2009).
- M. Carvalho, P.J. Ferreira, V.S. Mendes, R. Silva, J.A. Pereira, C. Jeronimo and B.M. Silva, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **48**, 441 (2010).
- V.L. Singleton and J.A. Jr. Rossi, *Am. J. Enol. Viticult.*, **16**, 144 (1965).
- J.A. Pereira, I. Oliveira, A. Sousa, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, A. Bento and L. Estevinho, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **46**, 2103 (2008).
- T. Hatano, H. Kagawa, T. Yasuhara and T. Okuda, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **36**, 2090 (1988).

14. E.W.C. Chan, Y.Y. Lim, L.F. Wong, F.S. Lianto, S.K. Wong, K.K. Lim, C.E. Joe and T.Y. Lim, *Food Chem.*, **109**, 477 (2008).
15. L. Qiu, J.X. Zhuang, X. Zhong, J.J. Zhou, Y.L. Guo and Q.X. Chen, *Food Chem.*, **112**, 609 (2009).
16. I. Oliveira, A. Sousa, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, A. Bento, L. Estevinho and J.A. Pereira, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **46**, 2326 (2008).
17. N. Mahoney, R.J. Molyneux and B.C. Campbell, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **48**, 4418 (2000).
18. F. Stampar, A. Solar, M. Hudina, R. Veberic and M. Colaric, *Food Chem.*, **95**, 627 (2006).
19. J.A. Pereira, I. Oliveira, A. Sousa, P. Valentao, P.B. Andrade, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, F. Ferreres, A. Bento, R. Seabra and L. Estevinho, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **45**, 2287 (2007).
20. L.A. Marghitas, O.G. Stanciu, D.S. Dezmirean, O. Bobis, O. Popescu, S. Bogdanov and M.G. Campos, *Food Chem.*, **115**, 878 (2009).
21. A. Rescigno, F. Bruyneel, A. Padiglia, F. Sollai, A. Salis, J. Marchand-Brynaert and E. Sanjust, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1810**, 799 (2011).
22. K. Maeda and M.J. Fukuda, *Soc. Cosmetic Chem.*, **42**, 361 (1991).
23. J.K. Hwang, T.W. Kong, N.I. Baek and Y.R. Pyun, *Planta Med.*, **66**, 273 (2000).
24. T.S. Chang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **10**, 2440 (2009).