Oxidative Coupling of Methane Over Mixed $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ Catalysts

ZHONGLAI WANG^{1,2}, LONG CHEN¹, XU LUO^{1,2}, GUOJUN ZOU¹, RUNXIONG GAO¹, LINGJUN CHOU^{1,*} and XIAOLAI WANG^{1,*}

¹State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10049, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Fax: +86 931 8277088; Tel: +86 931 4968308; E-mail: ljchou@licp.cas.cn; xlwang@licp.cas.cn; zlwang@licp.cas.cn

(Received: 9 January 2013;

Accepted: 20 September 2013)

AJC-14140

Oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) has been studied over a mixed catalyst constituted by $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ (W) and $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ (B). The effects of reaction temperature, gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) and CH_4/O_2 ratio on catalytic performance were systematic investigated. Compared with the single component counterpart, the results indicated that ethylene yield was significantly enhanced over the mixed catalysts. When the $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2/\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ (B/W) ratio was controlled at 1/5 (v/v), the ethylene yield reached 22.2 %, which was 7.1 %, 2.1 % higher than the single $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn-SiO}_2$, $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ catalyst, respectively. Besides, the ethylene yield was higher than 20 % over the mixed catalyst in wide reaction condition range.

Key Words: Oxidative coupling of methane, Mixed catalyst, Ethylene.

INTRODUCTION

Oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) to higher hydrocarbons has been extensively investigated in last decades, because it can directly and effectively convert methane to more active compounds for further chemical application. Up to date, a large number of catalysts have been tested for oxidative coupling of methane¹⁻¹⁶, such as $\text{Li/Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ ⁶, Li/MgO ⁸, Ba/MgO ¹¹, $\text{BaCO}_3/\text{LaOCl}$ ¹² and $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ ¹⁶, etc. Among those catalysts, the $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst has received much more attention due to its outstanding performance in OCM¹⁷⁻²⁵. Over the $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst, 80 % C_2 selectivity together with 33 % CH_4 conversion have been reported by Lambert *et al.*¹⁷. However, the present ethylene yield is still too low to meet the industrial demand. Therefore, in order to further improve ethylene yield, some strategies have been proposed for the application of $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst in OCM reaction²⁶⁻²⁹. A typical example is the utilization of monolithic foam-structured SiC as support to avoid significant hot spots in the catalyst bed²⁷. In parallel with catalyst studies, many publications have focused on developing various types of reactors²⁸⁻³⁴ for commercial applications. Ji *et al.*²⁸ establishes a dual-bed reactor of $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst and $\text{Ce-Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ /cordierite monolithic catalyst. They have achieved higher methane conversion and ethylene selectivity than either of them. Hu *et al.*²⁹ also establishes a similar dual catalyst bed system using $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ and Co-La/SiO_2

catalysts. Methane is converted to ethylene and syngas simultaneously. Besides, this process can also adjust the distribution of reaction products; therefore, the products can be used as feedstock for hydro-formylation of ethylene to produce propanal. Although all these researches have improved the utilization of methane to a certain extent, the process needs to be further optimized for commercialization.

Recently, we have demonstrated that the $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ catalysts show excellent performance in both OCM and oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane (ODE) reactions^{35,36}. The yield of ethylene in OCM is as high as 20.1 % with CH_4 conversion of 43.8 % and C_2 selectivity of 53.3 %. In the ODE reaction, the ethylene selectivity is as high as 92.9 %, which denotes the $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ catalyst can efficiently convert OCM product, ethane, to ethylene. On the other hand, the $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst shows both high and longtime stable activity¹⁷⁻²⁰. Therefore, the coupling of the $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst with the $\text{BaCl}_2\text{-TiO}_2\text{-SnO}_2$ catalyst is expected to further elevate the ethylene yield in OCM, which constitutes the objective of our present work.

EXPERIMENTAL

Catalyst preparation: The $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\text{-Mn/SiO}_2$ catalyst was prepared by sequential wet impregnation method¹⁶. Commercial silica supports (30-50 mesh, Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Qingdao Defeng, China) were immersed in appropriate concentrations of manganese (II) acetate tetrahydrate (Aldrich,

purity > 99 %) and sodium tungstate dehydrate (Aldrich, purity > 99 %). The samples were dried in air at 120 °C for 10 h and then calcined at 830 °C for 5 h. The final composition of the catalyst was 5 wt % Na₂WO₄-2 wt % Mn/SiO₂.

The BaCl₂-TiO₂-SnO₂ catalyst was prepared according to previous study³⁵. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich (purity > 99 %). A typical preparation of BaCl₂-TiO₂-SnO₂ catalyst was as follows: the mixture of 1.50 g BaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.49 g TiO₂ and 0.92 g SnO₂ was moistened with trace amount of deionized water and ground in a mortar until it became a hard paste. The sample was then dried at 110 °C overnight, ground to powder and extruded to pellets at 400 kg cm⁻² pressure. The pellets were calcined in air at 800 °C for 5 h, crushed and sieved to 30-50 mesh before testing.

Catalyst characterization: The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the catalysts were performed on a Quantachrome autosorb iQ gas-sorption apparatus at -196 °C. The samples were degassed at 200 °C for 2 h before measurements. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer using CuK_α radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) analysis was performed on a VGESCALAB 210 spectrometer with MgK_α radiation (hν = 1253.6 eV). Surface compositions were calculated from peak areas using the sensitivity factors provided by the software of the instrument.

Reactivity study: The reaction was performed in a quartz fixed-bed reactor at atmospheric pressure. For each test, both of the catalysts were mixed in a proper proportion and then loaded in the reactor. The temperature in the middle of reaction bed was monitored with a coaxial thermocouple and it was protected by a small quartz thermocouple well. The flow rates of reactants, methane and oxygen (all the gases were purchased from Foshan Kodi Chemical with purities > 99.9 %), were regulated by mass flow controllers (Brooks, Model 5850E). The feed gases were mixed and preheated to 400 °C before introducing to the reactor. According to the former results of OCM reaction³⁵, the measurement of catalytic activity of the samples as a function of mixed proportion was performed under given conditions: 800 °C, GHSV = 6600 h⁻¹, CH₄/O₂ = 2. In view of the complete consumption of oxygen at 800 °C, the reaction temperature was kept at 780 °C when studying the catalytic performance as functions of gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) and CH₄/O₂ ratio.

The reaction products were analyzed on-line by two gas chromatographs (Perkin-Elmer Autosystem XL) equipped with TCD/FID detectors. A Porapak Q column was utilized to analyze CO₂. An Al₂O₃ capillary column was used for the analysis of CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆ and other higher hydrocarbons and a 5A molecular sieve column for the O₂ and CO. The conversion of

methane and the selectivity to products were calculated based on the balance of carbon, which were estimated within 100 ± 1 %. All the data were obtained after 0.5 h of operation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst characterization: Table-1 listed the surface composition determined by XPS and BET surface area, pore volume and pore size of the fresh and used mixed catalyst. The surface area, pore volume and pore size changed slightly for the samples before and after reaction, but the surface composition had changed obviously. The Ti, Ba and Cl depleted while O enriched on B catalyst surface. And the Mn and W content on W catalyst were decreased obviously after reaction.

XRD analysis was performed to find out the changing of crystalline phases on mixed catalyst. The XRD diffraction patterns were obtained as shown in Fig. 1. For B catalyst, the fresh catalyst exhibited strong signals of monoclinic BaCl₂·2H₂O [JCPDS 70-1113], tetragonal SnO₂ [JCPDS 88-0287] and tetragonal TiO₂ [JCPDS 04-0551]; although the used catalyst exhibited the same diffraction patterns as the fresh one, the diffractions of BaCl₂·2H₂O at 16.2, 18.0 and 19.8° became weaker (Fig. 1a,a'). This confirmed the measurement results of surface composition based on XPS. Comparing to B catalyst, the pattern of W catalyst exhibited the weak diffraction peaks for Na₂WO₄ and Mn₂O₃ and clear peak for α-cristobalite [JCPDS 39-1425] and α-tridymite [JCPDS 42-1401]. In Fig. 1b, the initially amorphous SiO₂ support (the XRD pattern for the precursor silica support was not shown here) underwent to complete crystallization with the formation of highly crystalline α-cristobalite during calcinations at 830 °C. This was consistent with the results of reports^{16,17}. However, as shown in Fig. 1b', it transformed completely into α-tridymite during the OCM reaction. Although the α-tridymite phase has been noted in the fresh W catalyst before¹⁶, it was seldom reported in the used W catalyst in OCM reaction. This proposed that the B catalyst had caused the transformation of crystal structure of SiO₂ and the possible changing of active oxygen species on catalyst surface.

Catalytic performance: The catalytic performances over the catalysts with various B/W ratios, as well as B and W single component catalysts were exhibited in Table-2. Obvious variation could be observed in the selectivity of samples, with ethylene selectivity ranging from 34.3 % for W to 47.4 % for B/W = 1/5 catalyst. When a small amount of B catalyst was added to the W catalyst (B/W = 1/8, v/v), the ethylene selectivity increased from 34.3-44.5 %. With the amount of the B catalyst increased in the mixed catalyst, both methane conversion and ethylene selectivity initially increased and then decreased. Over catalyst with B/W = 1/5 ratio, the highest ethylene selectivity,

TABLE-1
SPECIFIC BET SURFACE AREA AND SURFACE COMPOSITION OF MIXED CATALYST

Catalyst	Surface area (m ² /g)	Pore volume (cm ³ /g)	Pore diameter (nm)	Surface composition ^a (at. %)				
				Ba/Na	Sn/Mn	Ti/W	Cl/Si	O
B-fresh	9.5	0.05	3.7	12.4	13.7	6.3	15.5	52.2
B-used	7.8	0.05	3.2	9.2	13.8	2.3	8.5	66.3
W-fresh	2.5	0.01	8.6	8.9	1.2	1.9	23.9	64.1
W-used	2.2	0.01	8.3	7.9	0.6	0.7	25.0	66.0

^aCl was not included; B = BaCl₂-TiO₂-SnO₂; W = Na₂WO₄-Mn/SiO₂

Fig. 1. X-Ray diffraction patterns of the (a) fresh and (a') used B catalyst and (b) fresh and (b') used W catalyst

TABLE-2
PERFORMANCE OF MIXED CATALYST, B AND W
CATALYSTS FOR OCM REACTION (C₂ STANDS FOR
ETHANE, ETHYLENE AND ACETYLENE)

Catalyst	Conversion (%)		Selectivity (%)				Yield (%)
	CH ₄	O ₂	CO _x	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂	C ₂ H ₄	
W	43.9	98.8	50.4	34.3	47.1	15.1	
B/W = 1/8	42.7	97.4	41.4	44.5	54.3	19.0	
B/W = 1/5	46.9	97.6	42.1	47.4	54.3	22.2	
B/W = 1/2	45.0	93.2	42.4	45.9	53.7	20.7	
B/W = 1/1	44.3	90.2	43.4	46.4	53.2	20.6	
B	43.8	88.8	43.3	45.8	53.3	20.1	

CH₄ conversion and ethylene yield were obtained. In this case, 46.9 % CH₄ conversion and 47.4 % ethylene selectivity were observed and the ethylene yield increased by 7.1 and 2.1 % in comparison to B and W single component catalysts. Since methane conversion changed slightly from 42.7-46.9 % with the variation of B/W ratio, the enhancement in ethylene yield for the mixed catalyst was mainly due to the improvement in ethylene selectivity.

The effect of reaction temperature on catalytic performance was investigated under identical conditions and their catalytic behaviours were exhibited in Fig. 2. For the mixed catalyst, the sample with B/W = 1/5 ratio was selected as the representative for the following study. As shown in Fig. 2a, the conversion of methane suffered continuous increase with the reaction temperature elevated from 740-800 °C. In this case, the activities of the catalysts were in the order of mixed catalyst > B catalyst > W catalyst. As the reaction temperature increased above 800 °C, the activity of the three catalysts changed in a different way. Specifically, methane conversion continued to increase over B catalyst, remained constant over the W catalyst and decreased slightly over the mixed catalyst. It was also worth noting that the oxygen was almost completely consumed (Fig. 2b) over B and W catalysts at the temperature above 800 °C. Therefore, the shortage of oxygen in the reaction led to the steadiness or decline in methane conversion over above both catalysts when the temperature was higher than 800 °C.

Fig. 2. Effect of the reaction temperature on the catalytic performance over mixed catalyst, W and B catalysts

With the increase of the temperature, the ethylene selectivity only suffered a little decline over the mixed catalyst and the B catalyst. On the contrary, the ethylene selectivity over the W catalyst monotonously increased among the whole temperature range studied (Fig. 2c). Correspondingly, the CO_x selectivity increased gradually over the mixed catalyst and B catalyst and decreased over the W catalyst (Fig. 2d). As a result, in the range of 760–820 °C, the ethylene yield changed slightly, from 20.2–22.2 %, over the mixed catalyst, which would be favorable for industrial application.

The effect of the CH₄/O₂ ratio on catalytic performance was displayed in Fig. 3. It's found that with the increase of CH₄/O₂ ratio, methane conversion and CO_x selectivity decreased over each catalyst (Fig. 3a,c); while ethylene selectivity increased continuously (Fig. 3b). Although these three catalysts showed similar tendency in catalytic performance, the mixed catalyst and B catalyst showed higher activity and ethylene selectivity than those of W catalyst. The highest ethylene yield was observed over the mixed catalyst at the CH₄/O₂ ratio of 2. In a high CH₄/O₂ ratio condition, the low oxygen concentration would limit the activation of methane and deep oxidation of ethylene. In contrast, in a low CH₄/O₂ ratio condition, the excessive oxygen in reaction conditions not only activated methane but also deeply oxidized the products in the OCM reaction. Thus, with the decrease of CH₄/O₂ ratio, the methane conversion and CO_x selectivity increased while the ethylene selectivity decreased. The results were in good agreement with literatures^{19,29}.

Fig. 3. Effect of CH₄/O₂ ratio on the catalytic performance over mixed catalyst, W and B catalysts

The effect of GHSV on catalytic performance was shown in Fig. 4. As shown in the figure, with the increase of GHSV, the conversion of methane gradually decreased (Fig. 4a); at the same time, the selectivity to ethylene continued to increase (Fig. 4b). Since the contact time between the feed gases and active sites became shorter; therefore, the deep oxidation of ethylene was obviously suppressed (Fig. 4c). The ethylene yields over the catalysts under various GHSVs followed the same order: mixed catalyst > B catalyst > W catalyst (Fig. 4d). Moreover, it was also noticeable in Fig. 4d that the ethylene yield over mixed catalyst could still remained higher than 20.1 % even the GHSV up to 10000 h⁻¹.

To account for the catalytic performance on the mixed catalyst, the XPS spectra of O 1s was also considered in the

Fig. 4. Effect of GHSV on the catalytic performance over mixed catalyst, W and B catalysts

peak deconvolution. Fig. 5 compared the surface oxygen species on mixed catalyst before and after OCM reaction. The asymmetric peak was observed for all samples. The peak at 529.6-531.0 eV corresponded to lattice oxygen (O^{2-}) (denoted as $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A}$) and the peak at 531.6-532.5 eV was assigned to chemisorbed oxygen (denoted as $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ B}$). The peak at above 533.0 eV was associated with adsorb molecular water (denoted as $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ C}$)^{16,37}. The relative concentration ratio of $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A}/(\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A} + \text{O}_{1s} \text{ B})$ and O_{1s} binding energy were also listed in Table-3. The value of $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A}/(\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A} + \text{O}_{1s} \text{ B})$ of fresh B catalyst was much higher than that of fresh W catalyst. However, the values in used catalysts were identical. It was commonly accepted that surface chemisorbed oxygen was the most active oxygen and highly active in oxidation reactions, the lattice oxygen (O^{2-}) was responsible for the selective oxidation of C_2H_6 to C_2H_4 . So, the B catalyst would have higher selectivity and lower activity than that of W catalyst. This deduction was consistent well with the results of catalytic performance test (Table-2). When the mixed catalyst was tested for the OCM reaction, the changing of surface composition and the transformation of crystal structure on single component had made the value of $\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A}/(\text{O}_{1s} \text{ A} + \text{O}_{1s} \text{ B})$ getting identical. So the mixed catalyst exhibited higher activity and ethylene selectivity in OCM reaction.

Fig. 5. O 1s XPS spectra of mixed catalysts

TABLE-3
O 1s BINDING ENERGY ON MIXED CATALYST
AND RELATIVE CONCENTRATION
BASED ON XPS MEASUREMENT

Catalyst	O1s (eV)			O1sA/(O1s A + O1s B)
	A	B	C	
B-fresh	530.2	531.7	533.4	71.1
B-used	529.6	531.6	533.6	41.7
W-fresh	530.6	532.4	533.8	35.3
W-used	531.0	532.5	533.9	40.4

For OCM reaction, ethylene was a secondary product. It competed with methane in the formation of CO_x. The conversion of C₂H₄ to CO_x was largely a result of a heterogeneous reaction, due to the inhibiting effect of CH₄ on the gas phase oxidation reaction³⁸. Over the mixed catalyst, the selectivity to CO_x decreased appreciably and the ethylene yield increased. This indicated that the heterogeneous reaction, the deep oxidation process, was suppressed, while the ethane oxidative dehydrogenation process was enhanced. Considering the inhibitory effect of Cl⁻ on deep oxidation of ethylene for B catalyst^{35,36} and high catalytic activity for W catalyst^{17,18,21,22}, the observed enhancement in ethylene yield over mixed catalyst was possibly due to two reasons: one was the Cl⁻ in the B catalyst suppressing the deep oxidation of ethylene, the other was the W catalyst having high reaction activity.

Conclusion

The performance of the mixed Na₂WO₄-Mn/SiO₂ and BaCl₂-TiO₂-SnO₂ catalysts has been investigated for OCM reaction. Overall, the mixed catalysts showed higher activity and ethylene selectivity than their single component counterparts. The proportion of the two single component catalysts (B/W ratio) was found to greatly influence the performance of the mixed catalyst. A 22.3 % ethylene yield was achieved over the mixed catalyst under the optimized conditions. Except for the high ethylene yield, the mixed catalyst can be operated among a large temperature range *i.e.*, from 760-820 °C. Although the ethylene yield decreased slightly when the GHSV exceeded 7000 h⁻¹, it was higher than 20 % in the conditions investigated. The mixed catalyst of Na₂WO₄-Mn/SiO₂ and BaCl₂-TiO₂-SnO₂ catalyst for OCM reaction has provided a way for commercial utilization of methane.

REFERENCES

1. J.S. Lee and S.T. Oyama, *Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng.*, **30**, 249 (1988).
2. K. Otsuka, K. Jinno and A. Morikawa, *J. Catal.*, **100**, 353 (1986).
3. K.D. Campbell, H. Zhang and J.H. Lunsford, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **92**, 750 (1988).

4. K. Huang, S.B. Ni and K.Q. Lu, *Asian J. Chem.*, **24**, 1069 (2012).
5. L. Oliviser, S. Haag, C. Mirodatos and A.C. Veen, *Catal. Today*, **142**, 34 (2009).
6. K. Otsuka, Y. Shimizu and T. Komatsu, *Chem. Lett.*, **16**, 1835 (1987).
7. K. Suzuki and J.B. Moffat, *Catal. Lett.*, **16**, 389 (1992).
8. J.H. Lunsford, P.G. Hinson, M.P. Rosynek, C.L. Shi, M.T. Xu and X.M. Yang, *J. Catal.*, **147**, 301 (1994).
9. K.M. Dooley, S.Y. Chen and J.R.H. Ross, *J. Catal.*, **145**, 402 (1994).
10. N. Dayyani, S. Piri, M. Yousefi and F. Piri, *Asian J. Chem.*, **22**, 6936 (1994).
11. D. Dissanayake, J.H. Lunsford and M.P. Rosynek, *J. Catal.*, **143**, 286 (1993).
12. C.T. Au, H. He, S.Y. Lai and C.F. Ng, *Appl. Catal. A*, **159**, 133 (1997).
13. X. Wang and Y.C. Xie, *Catal. Lett.*, **75**, 73 (2001).
14. Z.C. Wang, X.N. Wu, Y.X. Zhao, J.B. Ma, X.L. Ding and S.G. He, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **489**, 25 (2010).
15. J.B. Branco, G. Lopes and A.C. Ferreira, *Catal. Commun.*, **12**, 1425 (2011).
16. Z.C. Jiang, C.J. Yu, X.P. Fang, S.B. Li and H.L. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **97**, 12870 (1993).
17. A. Palermo, J.P.H. Vazquez, A.F. Lee, M.S. Tikhov and R.M. Lambert, *J. Catal.*, **177**, 259 (1998).
18. D. Wang, M.P. Rosynek and J.H. Lunsford, *J. Catal.*, **151**, 155 (1995).
19. H.L. Zhang, J.J. Wu, B. Xu and C.W. Hu, *Catal. Lett.*, **106**, 161 (2006).
20. A. Malekzadeh, M. Abedini, A.A. Khodadadi, M. Amini, H.K. Mishra and A.K. Dalai, *Catal. Lett.*, **84**, 45 (2002).
21. S.F. Ji, T.C. Xiao, S.B. Li, C.Z. Xu, R.L. Hou, K.S. Coleman and M.L. H. Green, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, **225**, 271 (2002).
22. L.J. Chou, Y.C. Cai, B. Zhang, J.Z. Niu, S.F. Ji and S.B. Li, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, **238**, 185 (2003).
23. A. Malekzadeh, A. Khodadadi, M. Abedini, M. Amini, A. Bahramian and A.K. Dalai, *Catal. Commun.*, **2**, 241 (2001).
24. Y.T. Chua, A.R. Mohamed and S. Bhatia, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, **343**, 142 (2008).
25. S.M.K. Shahri and A.N. Pour, *J. Nat. Gas Chem.*, **19**, 47 (2010).
26. A. Talebizadeh, Y. Mortazavi and A.A. Khodadadi, *Fuel Process Technol.*, **90**, 1319 (2009).
27. H.T. Liu, D.X. Yang, R.X. Gao, L. Chen, S.B. Zhang and X.L. Wang, *Catal. Commun.*, **9**, 1302 (2008).
28. D. Pan, S.F. Ji, W.H. Wang and C.Y. Li, *J. Nat. Gas Chem.*, **19**, 600 (2010).
29. S.T. Ren, S. Qin, J.Q. Zhu, X.X. Peng and C.W. Hu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **49**, 2078 (2010).
30. W. Kiatkittipong, T. Tagawa, S. Goto, S. Assabumrungrat, K. Silpasup and P. Praserttham, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **115**, 63 (2005).
31. N.A.S. Amin and S.E. Pheng, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **116**, 187 (2006).
32. W. Cho, Y. Baek, S.K. Moon and Y.C. Kim, *Catal. Today*, **74**, 207 (2002).
33. M. Makri and C.G. Vayenas, *Appl. Catal. A*, **244**, 301 (2003).
34. P.K. Kundu, Y. Zhang and A.K. Ray, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **64**, 5143 (2009).
35. Z.L. Wang, G.J. Zou, X. Luo, H. Liu, R.X. Gao, L.J. Chou and X.L. Wang, *J. Nat. Gas Chem.*, **21**, 49 (2012).
36. Z.L. Wang, L. Chen, G.J. Zou, X. Luo, R.X. Gao, L.J. Chou and X.L. Wang, *Catal. Commun.*, **25**, 45 (2012).
37. M. Kang, E.D. Park, J.M. Kim and J.E. Yie, *Appl. Catal. A*, **327**, 261 (2007).
38. S. Pak, P. Qiu and J.H. Lunsford, *J. Catal.*, **179**, 222 (1998).