Preparation of Fullerene (C₆₀) Nanowhisker-ZnO Nanocomposites by Heat Treatment and Photocatalytic Degradation of Methylene Blue

BUM HWI CHO¹, KYU BONG LEE¹, KUN'ICHI MIYAZAWA² and WEON BAE KO^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Sahmyook University, Seoul 139-742, Republic of Korea

²Fullerene Engineering Group, Materials Processing Unit, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1, Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

*Corresponding author: Fax: +82 2 9795318; Tel: +82 2 33991700; E-mail: kowb@syu.ac.kr

(Received: 15 December 2012;

Accepted: 31 July 2013)

AJC-13865

The fullerene (C₆₀) nanowhisker (FNW) nanoparticles were synthesized by the liquid-liquid interfacial precipitation method using good solvent solutions such as pyridine, benzene and toluene saturated with C₆₀ and isopropyl alcohol as a polar solvent. The zinc oxide nanoparticles were synthesized sonochemically by applying ultrasonic irradiation to a mixed aqueous-alcoholic solution of zinc nitrate with sodium hydroxide at room temperature. The heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were synthesized in an electric furnace at 700 °C for 2 h. The heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. In addition, by using UV-visible spectrophotometer, the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were determinable as a catalyst in the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue. The photocatalytic effect of the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were confirmed as being compared with unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites in methylene blue under ultraviolet light at 254 nm.

Key Words: Fullerene (C₆₀) nanowhisker, ZnO nanoparticles, Methylene blue, Photocatalytic degradation.

INTRODUCTION

Lately, synthesis methods of fullerene (C₆₀) nanowhiskers have gathered much attention because of their potential application in diverse fields of materials chemistry. Fundamentally, the synthesis of fullerene nanowhiskers formed by individual fullerene molecules have attracted much attention in different fields including solar cells, batteries, fuel cells, sensors, catalysis *etc.*, because of their particular structure and morphology¹⁻⁴. The fullerene nanowhiskers (or carbon nanofiber; C₆₀ NWs) were synthesized by the liquid-liquid interfacial precipitation (LLIP) method as the derivatives of fullerene^{5,6,32-34}. The crystals of fullerene nanowhiskers showed needlelike crystals with a size distribution from sub-micrometers to several hundred micrometers^{5,7}.

The applications of zinc oxide nanoparticles were photocatalysis, composite materials, sensors and dye-sensitized solar cells owing to their outstanding optical, electrical, mechanical and chemical characters⁸⁻¹². The physical and chemical characters of ZnO nanoparticles can be controlled by handling their microstructures to perform the requirements of specific applications^{8,13-15}. Owing to the many applications of ZnO nanoparticles in chemistry, preparation of ZnO nanoparticles has been used to several methods including gas-phase

reactions, hydrothermal synthesis, wet chemical synthesis, sol-gel and evaporative decomposition of the solution¹⁶⁻²¹.

The hazardous wastes allied to industrial activities, containing toxic air and organic wastewater have caused serious worldwide environmental problems. Semiconductor photocatalysis has attracted rising attention over the last two decades as an effective technique to reduce the pollutants in air and wastewater²²⁻²⁵. Although many efforts have been made to put this technique into profitable application, many problems arise, such as the fast recombination rate of the photoexcited electron-hole pairs, which is the important factor in the procedure of semiconductor photocatalysis^{22,25}. Thus, so as to progress the photocatalytic efficiency of semiconductor photocatalysis, the most important thing is lowering of the recombination rate of electron-hole pairs^{22, 26-29}.

EXPERIMENTAL

Fullerene nanowhisker nanoparticles were supplied from National Institute for Materials Science, Japan. Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, NaOH, tetrahydrofuran and ethanol were obtained from Samchun Chemicals. Organic dye (methylene blue) was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich.

An electric furnace (Ajeon Heating Industry Co., Ltd.) was used to heat the sample. An UV lamp (8 W, 254 nm, 77202

Marne La Valee-cedex 1 France) was used as the ultraviolet light irradiation source.

The surfaces of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4700) at an accelerating voltage of 0.5–15 kV. The morphology and crystallite size of the samples were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL Ltd, JEM-2010) at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The structures of the nanomaterials were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 Advance, Germany) with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ target and secondary monochromator, $V = 40$ kV, $A = 40$ mA, Ni filter. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-VIS) spectroscopy of the samples was performed using an UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1601PC). All samples were treated under continuous conditions by ultrasonic irradiation using an ultrasonic generator UGI1200 (Hanil Ultrasonic Co., Ltd.) with a frequency 20 kHz and a normal power of 750 W. The ultrasonic generator was a horn type system with a horn tip diameter of 13 mm.

Synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles: In a typical experiment, 1 M of $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 10 M of NaOH were dissolved in 6 mL of distilled water. Subsequently, 15 mL of ethanol was added to each solution. After mixing two solutions in a beaker, the resulting solution was placed under ultrasonic irradiation for 45 min at room temperature. At the end of the reaction, the above solution was removed and the white precipitate at the bottom of the beaker was washed with distilled water and ethanol and dried at room temperature^{16,30,31}.

Synthesis of FNW-ZnO nanocomposites: In a typical experiment, the prepared FNW and prepared ZnO nanoparticles were mixed at a mass ratio of 1:1. The mixture was dissolved in 10 mL of THF (tetrahydrofuran) with constant stirring to produce the FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. The mixture was then dried at room temperature. After drying, the nanocomposites were heated in an electric furnace at 700 °C for 2 h.

Degradation of organic dye with nanocomposites: The photocatalytic activity of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were examined using methylene blue solution. 10 mg of each nanomaterial was dispersed in 10 mL of water containing 0.01 mM of methylene blue solution. All the mixture solutions were irradiated with ultraviolet light at 254 nm. The methylene blue solution was degraded by each nanomaterial under ultra-violet light was characterized by UV-visible spectrophotometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1(a-b) showed SEM images of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites, respectively. The ZnO nanoparticles in both unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were located above the FNW nanoparticles. Also, the particle size of the unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites was larger than that of the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites.

Fig. 2 showed TEM images of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. The FNW nanoparticles in the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were broken into smaller particles compared to the unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. Therefore, unheated FNW nanoparticles in unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed more

(a)

(b)

Fig. 1. SEM images of (a) unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and (b) heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites

crystallinity than heated FNW nanoparticles in heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. As a consequence, heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had higher surface area than unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. Also, the shape of ZnO nanoparticles in heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites was changed rod type to plate like type. As a result, heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed a more pronounced photocatalytic effect in the degradation of methylene blue than unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites.

Fig. 3 showed XRD patterns of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. Both unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed similar XRD patterns. The ZnO nanoparticles peaks of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were observed at *ca.* 31.71, 34.38, 36.23, 47.53, 56.60, 62.83, 66.38, 67.90, 69.01, 72.58 and 76.90° as a 2θ value. Also, the FNW nanoparticles peaks of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were observed at approximately 10.59, 17.57 and 20.57° as a 2θ value as shown in Fig. 3(a). Whereas the ZnO nanoparticles peaks of heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were observed at *ca.* 31.61, 34.34, 36.15, 47.39, 56.50, 62.76, 66.21, 67.86, 68.95, 72.64 and 76.80° as a 2θ value. In addition, the FNW nanoparticles peaks of heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were observed at approximately 10.55, 17.51 and

Fig. 2. TEM images of (a) unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and (b) heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites

Fig. 3. XRD patterns of (a) unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and (b) heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites

20.47° as a 2θ value as shown in Fig. 3(b). After heat treatment, the XRD patterns of heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed lower intensity than the XRD patterns of unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. As a consequence, unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had more crystallinity than heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites.

Fig. 4(a-b) showed UV-visible spectra of the degraded methylene blue solution with unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites under ultraviolet irradiation at 254 nm. Because of the heat treatment, the FNW nanoparticles in the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were broken smaller particle size. Also, the FNW nanoparticles in the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had a porous surface. So, the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had more wide surface area than the unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. The smaller particle size, porous surface and more wide surface area were important to improve photocatalytic degradation. As a result, heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed more effective degradation of methylene blue solution than unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites.

Fig. 4. UV-visible spectra of the degradation in methylene blue with (a) unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and (b) heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites

Conclusion

Unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were synthesized as a photocatalyst for the degradation of methylene blue solution under ultraviolet irradiation at 254 nm. Unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites and heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites showed similar

morphologies but the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had smaller particle size than the unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites. The ZnO nanoparticles in the heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were transformed from rod type to plate like type after heating at 700 °C for 2 h. The heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had larger surface area and porous surface due to the high temperature heat treatment. Therefore, heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites were more effective in degrading the methylene blue solution. Overall, heated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites had better photocatalytic effect in the degradation of methylene blue solution under ultraviolet irradiation at 254 nm than unheated FNW-ZnO nanocomposites.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Sahmyook University funding in Korea and from grants from the Ministry of Knowledge and Economy.

REFERENCES

1. M. Sathish, K. Miyazawa and T. Sasaki, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, **12**, 835 (2008).
2. L. Wang, B. Liu, S. Yu, M. Yao, D. Liu, Y. Hou, T. Cui, G. Zou, B. Sundqvist, H. You, D. Zhang and D. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, **18**, 4190 (2006).
3. Y. Jin, R.J. Curry, J. Sloan, R.A. Hatton, L.C. Chong, N. Blanchard, V. Stolojan, H.W. Kroto and S.R.P. Silva, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **16**, 3715 (2006).
4. H. Liu, Y. Li, L. Jiang, H. Luo, S. Xiao, H. Fang, H. Li, D. Zhu, D. Yu, J. Xu and B. Xiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **124**, 13370 (2002).
5. S.H. Lee, K. Miyazawa and R. Maeda, *Carbon*, **43**, 855 (2005).
6. K. Miyazawa, Y. Kuwasaki, A. Obayashi and M. Kuwabara, *J. Mater. Res.*, **17**, 83 (2002).
7. W. Ehrfled, V. Hessel and H. Löwe, Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; pp. 143-256 (2000).
8. Y.L. Zhang, Y. Yang, J.H. Zhao, R.Q. Tan, R. Cui and W.J. Song, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, **51**, 198 (2009).
9. S. Polarz, F. Neues, M.W.E. van den Berg, W. Grünert and L. Khodeir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **127**, 12028 (2005).
10. Y. Narendar and G.L. Messing, *Catal. Today*, **35**, 247 (1997).
11. H.Y. Xu, X.L. Liu, D.L. Cui, M. Li and M.H. Jiang, *Sens. Actuators B*, **114**, 301 (2006).
12. X. Sheng, Y. Zhao, J. Zhai, L. Jiang and D. Zhu, *Appl. Phys. A*, **87**, 715 (2007).
13. Ü. Özgür, Y.I. Alivov, C. Liu, A. Teke, M.A. Reshchikov, S. Doğan, V. Avrutin, S.J. Cho and H. Morkoc, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **98**, 041301 (2005).
14. J.B. Liang, J.W. Liu, Q. Xie, S. Bai, W.C. Yu and Y.T. Qian, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **109**, 9463 (2005).
15. J. Zhang, L.D. Sun, J.L. Yin, H.L. Su, C.S. Liao and C.H. Yan, *Chem. Mater.*, **14**, 4172 (2002).
16. B.H. Cho, Y.J. Oh, S.M. Mun and W.B. Ko, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, **12**, 5907 (2012).
17. N.Y. Lee and M.S. Kim, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **26**, 1126 (1991).
18. S.M. Haile, D.W. Jonhagon and G.H. Wiserm, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **72**, 2004 (1989).
19. E. Iver-Tiffée and K. Seitz, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.*, **66**, 1384 (1987).
20. H.W. Lehman and R. Widmer, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **44**, 3868 (1973).
21. R.J. Lanf and W.D. Bond, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.*, **63**, 278 (1984).
22. H. Wang, S.H. Baek, J.H. Lee and S.W. Lim, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **146**, 355 (2009).
23. A. Hagfeldt and M. Graetzel, *Chem. Rev.*, **95**, 49 (1995).
24. M.R. Hoffmann, S.T. Martin, W. Choi and D.W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, **95**, 69 (1995).
25. A.L. Linsebigler, G. Lu and J.T. Yates, *Chem. Rev.*, **95**, 735 (1995).
26. S. Rengaraj, S. Venkataraj and J.W. Yeon, *Appl. Catal. Environ.*, **77**, 157 (2007).
27. D. Robert, *Catal. Today*, **122**, 20 (2007).
28. L. Jing, H. Fu, B. Wang, D. Wang, B. Xin, S. Li and J. Sun, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, **62**, 282 (2006).
29. L. Jing, D. Wang, B. Wang, S. Li, B. Xin, H. Fu and J. Sun, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, **244**, 193 (2006).
30. R.S. Yadav, P. Mishra and A.C. Pandey, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, **15**, 863 (2008).
31. S.K. Hong, J.H. Lee, J.M. Kim, M.H. Kwon and W.B. Ko, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, **11**, 593 (2011).
32. S.K. Hong, G.Y. Yu, C.S. Lim and W.B. Ko, *Elast. Compos.*, **45**, 206 (2010).
33. W.C. Oh, W.B. Ko and F.J. Zhang, *Elast. Compos.*, **45**, 80 (2010).
34. C.S. Lim, S.K. Hong and W.B. Ko, *Elast. Compos.*, **45**, 74 (2010).