Selectivity of Gold Catalysts for Selective Hydrogenation of Cinnamaldehyde

YINGXIN LIU^{1,*}, YIMING LUO¹ and ZUOJUN WEI²

¹College of Pharmaceutical Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310032, Zhejiang, P.R. China

²Institute of Pharmaceutical Engineering, Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Fax: +86 571 88320064; Tel: +86 571 88320064; E-mail: yxliu@zjut.edu.cn

(Received: 23 November 2012;

Accepted: 26 August 2013)

AJC-14010

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), SiO₂ and TiO₂ supported AuNPs prepared by colloid deposition method and Au/TiO₂ prepared by deposition-precipitation method (Au/TiO₂-DP) were used for the liquid-phase selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde. The catalysts were characterized by HRTEM, H₂-TPR and XPS. Results showed that AuNPs, AuNPs/SiO₂ and AuNPs/TiO₂ in dried form selectively hydrogenated the C=C bond, whereas AuNPs/TiO₂ treated in H₂ and Au/TiO₂-DP preferentially hydrogenated the conjugated C=O bond. From our data, high selectivity toward cinnamyl alcohol could be mainly attributed to an electron transfer from the reduced support to gold, which creates more electron-enriched gold particles, favouring C=O hydrogenation.

Key Words: Gold catalyst, Cinnamaldehyde, Selective hydrogenation, Cinnamyl alcohol.

INTRODUCTION

The selective hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes to the corresponding unsaturated alcohols, an important procedure in the synthesis of fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals, is a difficult task, since thermodynamics favours C=C hydrogenation over the conjugated C=O bond¹⁻³. Most of the classical heterogeneous hydrogenation catalysts (Pt, Pd, Ru, Ni, *etc.*) show no or low selectivity toward C=O hydrogenation³. In recent years, it has been found that supported gold catalysts show remarkable selectivity toward unsaturated alcohols in the hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated compounds⁴⁻²⁷. Many researchers have investigated the factors affecting on the selectivity of gold catalysts to unsaturated alcohols and given different opinions.

Bailie *et al.*⁴ proposed that active and selective sites for but-2-enal hydrogenation on Au/ZnO catalysts are associated with the presence of large gold particles. Claus *et al.*^{5,6} reported that the selectivity of Au/TiO₂ and Au/ZrO₂ to unsaturated alcohols is favoured by face gold atoms in the larger gold particles. Claus *et al.*⁷ identified that the edges of single crystalline gold particles are active sites for C=O hydrogenation through the decoration of gold particle faces by a second metal indium. This conclusion is in contradiction to the earlier predictions. Lenz *et al.*⁸ reported that the selectivity of iron oxides modified alumina supported gold catalysts to unsaturated alcohols is independent of the gold particle size and is related to morphological aspects of gold particles.

Chen *et al.*^{9,10} found a correlation between the gold states (Au³⁺/Au⁰) of Mg_xAlO hydrotalcite supported gold catalysts and the catalytic performance. The activity and selectivity to unsaturated alcohols increase with increasing the Au³⁺/Au⁰ ratio of the catalysts.

Some have proposed that the selectivity of gold catalysts towards unsaturated alcohols is strongly influenced by the nature of the support. Reducible oxides (TiO₂, ZrO₂, Fe₂O₃, *etc.*) supported gold catalysts selectively hydrogenate the C=O bond, while inert oxides (such as SiO₂ and Al₂O₃) supported gold catalysts show no or low selectivity toward C=O hydrogenation¹¹⁻¹⁶. For example, Bailie and Hutchings¹¹ found that the selectivity toward crotyl alcohol in crotonaldehyde hydrogenation is greater than 50 % over Au/ZrO₂ and Au/ZnO, whereas it is zero over Au/SiO₂. Milone *et al.*^{14,15} reported that the activity and selectivity of FeOOH, γ -Fe₂O₃ and α -Fe₂O₃ supported gold to unsaturated alcohols increase with increasing the reducibility of the support in the hydrogenation of benzalacetone and cinnamaldehyde. They argued that active and selective sites are formed by electron-enriched gold particles formed through an electron transfer from the reduced support to gold. Claus *et al.*¹² reported that the presence of electron-enriched gold particles favours C=O adsorption in the hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes.

The understanding of the main factors affecting on the selectivity of gold catalysts is necessary for development of tailor-made gold catalysts for the hydrogenation of α,β -

unsaturated compounds. The aim of the present work is to gain a deeper insight into the role of the support in the selectivity of gold catalysts in cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation, an important reaction in the hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes, by investigating the performances of Au nanoparticles (AuNPs), SiO₂ and TiO₂ supported AuNPs prepared by colloid deposition method and Au/TiO₂ catalyst prepared by deposition-precipitation method. Combined with the results of characterization and activity/selectivity, the origin of the selectivity of gold catalysts to cinnamyl alcohol was proposed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Catalyst preparation: Gold nanoparticles were prepared by the reduction of AuCl₄¹⁻ ions using KBH₄ (molar KBH₄/Au = 3:1) in water-isopropanol solutions containing a certain amount of PVP (molar PVP/Au = 20:1) at 30 °C under vigorous stirring. After 1 h, a brown solution was obtained, indicating the formation of gold colloid²⁸.

Supported AuNPs were prepared by colloid deposition method as follows: adding a desirable amount of SiO₂ (Qingdao Haiyang Chem, 400 m² g⁻¹) or TiO₂ (prepared as in Ref.²³, 60 m² g⁻¹) to the colloidal gold solution under stirring until total adsorption (2 wt. % Au) occurred, indicated by decoloration of the solution. The solids were separated, washed with deionized water and dried in air at 100 °C for 2 h. The as-prepared catalysts were named as AuNPs/SiO₂/dried and AuNPs/TiO₂/dried. A part of the two samples were then treated in hydrogen flow at 200 °C for 3 h and named as AuNPs/SiO₂/reduced and AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced.

Au/TiO₂-DP catalyst was prepared by deposition-precipitation method as in Ref.²⁹. The gold content was measured by an Elan DRC-e ICP-MS instrument of PE Inc. USA.

Catalyst characterization: High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) analysis was performed on a Tecnai G2 F30 S-Twin equipment. Hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) of the catalyst was carried out in a FINESORB-3010 chemical adsorption instrument, heating the sample (0.1 g) from room temperature to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under 20 H₂/Ar (vol %) at a flow rate of 40 mL min⁻¹. The effluent hydrogen concentration was detected with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were recorded on a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD instrument equipped with a monochromatic AlK_α X-ray excitation source (1486.6 eV).

Catalytic activity test: The liquid phase selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde was carried out in a 100 mL stainless

steel autoclave equipped with magnetic stirring at 100 °C and 2.0 MPa H₂. The following conditions were applied over all the supported gold catalysts: cinnamaldehyde 2.5 mL, isopropanol 50 mL, catalyst 0.5 g. Over AuNPs, the reaction was carried out in 50 mL isopropanol using 0.5 mL cinnamaldehyde and 0.125 mmol catalyst (molar cinnamaldehyde/Au = 30). The reaction products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph equipped with a HP-5 capillary column and a flame ionization detector.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation over different gold catalysts are listed in Table-1. The catalytic activity is expressed as the initial rate of cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation per gram of gold. It can be seen that the activity of the gold catalysts investigated was affected by the support and the preparation method, following the order: AuNPs < AuNPs/SiO₂/reduced < AuNPs/SiO₂/dried < AuNPs/TiO₂/dried < Au/TiO₂-DP < AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced.

Table-1 showed that the gold catalysts investigated showed remarkably different selectivity. Unsupported and inert SiO₂ supported AuNPs in dried form as well as in reduced form showed high selectivity toward hydrocinnamaldehyde (HCAL), the product of C=C hydrogenation in cinnamaldehyde, with lower than 7 % selectivity toward cinnamyl alcohol (COL), the product of C=O hydrogenation. This result is in agreement with that reported by Xu *et al.*³⁰ in which SiO₂ supported gold nanoparticles prepared by colloid deposition method show high selectivity to C=C hydrogenation in cinnamaldehyde. It is worth noting that TiO₂ supported AuNPs showed a significant difference in selectivity before and after being treated in H₂ at 200 °C for 3 h. AuNPs/TiO₂/dried showed higher preference for C=C hydrogenation over C=O, similar to the unsupported and SiO₂ supported AuNPs. However, AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced preferentially hydrogenated the C=O bond, similar to Au/TiO₂-DP. These results suggest that TiO₂ by itself is not sufficient to enhance C=O hydrogenation with respect to the C=C bond in cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation and the catalytic behaviour arises from a synergetic effect between gold and TiO₂.

Fig. 1 shows the TEM and STEM images of the catalysts. The average particle sizes of gold are listed in Table-2. Different particle sizes were obtained on the samples. For AuNPs [Fig. 1(a)], gold particles with an average size of 10.6 nm were observed. After being supported on SiO₂, the average size grew to ca. 16 nm [Fig. 1(b)], significantly larger than the as-prepared

TABLE-1
CINNAMALDEHYDE HYDROGENATION OVER DIFFERENT GOLD CATALYSTS^a

Catalyst	Au (wt %)	Activity ^c (mmol g _{gold} ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		
				HCAL	HCOL	COL
AuNPs ^b	100	0.526	98.8	63.8	0.4	1.6
AuNPs/SiO ₂ /dried	2.0	0.960	14.5	42.2	12.4	6.4
AuNPs/SiO ₂ /reduced	2.0	0.715	10.8	53.8	0	6.2
AuNPs/TiO ₂ /dried	2.0	3.455	52.2	43.3	6.9	24.9
AuNPs/TiO ₂ /reduced	2.0	4.077	61.6	21.4	6.7	49.3
Au/TiO ₂ -DP	1.9	3.741	53.7	23.0	13.3	48.7

HCAL, hydrocinnamaldehyde; HCOL, hydrocinnamyl alcohol; COL, cinnamyl alcohol. ^aUnless otherwise specified, the reaction was carried out in 50 mL isopropanol using 2.5 mL cinnamaldehyde and 0.5 g catalyst under 2.0 MPa H₂ and 100 °C for 5 h. ^bThe reaction was carried out in 50 mL isopropanol using 0.5 mL cinnamaldehyde and 0.125 mmol catalyst (molar cinnamaldehyde/Au = 30) under 2.0 MPa H₂ and 100 °C for 5 h. ^cThe average rate for 5 h of reaction time.

AuNPs in solution, indicating an agglomeration of gold particles during immobilization. Whereas TiO₂ supported AuNPs catalyst [Fig. 1(c)] showed smaller gold particles than unsupported AuNPs. The smallest value of gold particles was found for Au/TiO₂-DP [Fig. 1(d)], with an average particle size of 3.9 nm. Combined with the results of cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation (Table-1), it can be seen that small gold particle size favours the activity of the gold catalysts for cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation, whereas the selectivity of the gold catalysts toward cinnamyl alcohol is not mainly dependent on the gold particle size.

Fig. 1. TEM and STEM images of gold catalysts: (a) AuNPs; (b) AuNPs/SiO₂/dried; (c) AuNPs/TiO₂/dried; (d) Au/TiO₂-DP

TABLE-2
TEM AND XPS RESULTS OF THE GOLD CATALYSTS

Catalyst	d (nm) TEM	BE (eV) Au 4f _{7/2}	BE (eV) Au 4f _{5/2}
AuNPs	10.6	83.8 (69 %) 85.1 (31 %)	87.4 (69 %) 88.6 (31 %)
AuNPs/SiO ₂ /dried	16.1	—	—
AuNPs/TiO ₂ /dried	8.0	83.2 (100 %)	86.8 (100 %)
AuNPs/TiO ₂ /reduced	—	83.0 (100 %)	86.6 (100 %)
Au/TiO ₂ -DP	3.9	82.8 (100 %)	86.2 (100 %)

Fig. 2 shows the TPR profile of Au/TiO₂-DP catalyst before reduced in H₂. The profile showed two overlapping reduction peaks at higher temperature (500–600 °C), which was assigned to the partial reduction of TiO₂ to TiO_{2-x}³¹. Generally, TiO₂ is difficult to be reduced. It has been reported that the reduction temperature of TiO₂ in H₂ is at 1300 °C³². Our result indicates that the presence of gold enhances the reducibility of Ti⁴⁺ species, which is in accordance with the literature³¹.

Fig. 3 shows the XPS spectra of Au 4f in AuNPs, AuNPs/TiO₂/dried, AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced and Au/TiO₂-DP. The corresponding XPS data are summarized in Table-2. XPS data for AuNPs revealed the presence of two different gold species,

Fig. 2. TPR profile of Au/TiO₂-DP catalyst before reduction

Fig. 3. XPS spectra of Au 4f in AuNPs, AuNPs/TiO₂/dried, AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced and Au/TiO₂-DP

Au⁰ (83.8 and 87.4 eV) and Au^{δ+} (85.1 and 88.6 eV)³³. Whereas for AuNPs/TiO₂/dried, AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced and Au/TiO₂-DP, only the Au 4f peaks assigned to metallic Au were detected. Compared with the Au 4f binding energies (BEs) for AuNPs, the three TiO₂ supported gold catalysts showed lower BEs corresponding to Au⁰. It should be noted that the treatment of AuNPs/TiO₂/dried sample in H₂ (*i.e.* AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced) led to a significantly negative BEs shift of the Au 4f peaks. Au/TiO₂-DP showed the most negative shift compared with AuNPs (1.0 eV for Au 4f_{7/2} and 1.2 eV for Au 4f_{5/2}). The negative shift of Au 4f is due to an electron transfer from the reduced support to gold, which lead to the increase in the electron density on gold and can create more electron-enriched gold particles⁵. Combined with the catalytic test results as shown in Table-1, it can be proposed that the high selectivity of AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced and Au/TiO₂-DP toward cinnamyl alcohol is mainly attributed to the electron transfer from the reduced support to gold. This is in agreement with the results reported by Milone *et al.*^{14,15} in studying the hydrogenation of benzalacetone and cinnamaldehyde over iron oxides supported gold catalysts.

Conclusion

Our data showed that the selectivity of gold catalysts is little dependent on the gold particle size, but is strongly affected by the nature of the support in the liquid phase selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde. Unsupported and inert SiO₂ supported AuNPs as well as AuNPs/TiO₂/dried selectively hydrogenated the C=C bond. Whereas AuNPs/TiO₂/reduced and Au/TiO₂-DP exhibited good selectivity toward C=O hydrogenation. Characterization results indicated an electron transfer from the reduced TiO₂ support to gold, which may be the major factor for the enhanced selectivity toward cinnamyl alcohol.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledged the financial support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21106134 and 21276230) and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. Y4100671 and Y4090304).

REFERENCES

1. P. Gallezot and D. Richard, *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.*, **40**, 81 (1998).
2. P. Claus, *Top. Catal.*, **5**, 51 (1998).
3. P. Maki-Arvela, J. Hajek, T. Salmi and D.Y. Murzin, *Appl. Catal. A*, **292**, 1 (2005).
4. J.E. Bailie, H.A. Abdullah, J.A. Anderson, C.H. Rochester, N.V. Richardson, N. Hodge, J. G. Zhang, A. Burrows, C.J. Kiely and G.J. Hutchings, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **3**, 4113 (2001).
5. S. Schimpf, M. Lucas, C. Mohr, U. Rodemerck, A. Brückner, J. Radnik, H. Hofmeister and P. Claus, *Catal. Today*, **72**, 63 (2002).
6. C. Mohr, H. Hofmeister and P. Claus, *J. Catal.*, **213**, 86 (2003).
7. C. Mohr, H. Hofmeister, J. Radnik and P. Claus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **125**, 1905 (2003).
8. J. Lenz, B.C. Campo, M. Alvarez and M.A. Volpe, *J. Catal.*, **267**, 50 (2009).
9. K.J. You, C.T. Chang, B.J. Liaw, C.T. Huang and Y.Z. Chen, *Appl. Catal. A*, **361**, 65 (2009).
10. H.Y. Chen, C.T. Chang, S.J. Chiang, B.J. Liaw and Y.Z. Chen, *Appl. Catal. A*, **381**, 209 (2010).
11. J.E. Bailie and G.J. Hutchings, *Chem. Commun.*, 2151 (1999).
12. N. Abudukelimu, H.J. Xi, S.J. Qing, Y.B. Ma, Z.X. Gao and W.J. Eli, *Asian J. Chem.*, **24**, 5341 (2012).
13. C. Milone, R. Ingoglia, A. Pistone, G. Neri, F. Frusteri and S. Galvagno, *J. Catal.*, **222**, 348 (2004).
14. C. Milone, R. Ingoglia, L. Schipilliti, C. Crisafulli, G. Neri and S. Galvagno, *J. Catal.*, **236**, 80 (2005).
15. C. Milone, C. Crisafulli, R. Ingoglia, L. Schipilliti and S. Galvagno, *Catal. Today*, **122**, 341 (2007).
16. B.C. Campo, S. Ivanova, C. Gigola, C. Petit and M.A. Volpe, *Catal. Today*, **133-135**, 661 (2008).
17. P. Claus, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, **291**, 222 (2005).
18. E. Bus, R. Prins and J.A. van Bokhoven, *Catal. Commun.*, **8**, 1397 (2007).
19. C. Milone, M.C. Trapani and S. Galvagno, *Appl. Catal. A*, **337**, 163 (2008).
20. B. Campo, G. Santori, C. Petit and M. Volpe, *Appl. Catal. A*, **359**, 79 (2009).
21. Q.Y. Yang, Y. Zhu, L. Tian, S.H. Xie, Y. Pei, H. Li, H.X. Li, M.H. Qiao and K.N. Fan, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, **369**, 67 (2009).
22. M.M. Wang, L. He, Y.M. Liu, Y. Cao, H.Y. He and K.N. Fan, *Green. Chem.*, **13**, 602 (2011).
23. Y.X. Liu, L.F. Meng, Z.J. Wei and H.T. Shi, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **32**, 1269 (2011).
24. Y. Zhu, L. Tian, Z. Jiang, Y. Pei, S.H. Xie, M.H. Qiao and K.N. Fan, *J. Catal.*, **281**, 106 (2011).
25. L.N. Protasova, E.V. Rebrov, H.E. Skelton, A.E.H. Wheatley and J.C. Schouten, *Appl. Catal. A*, **399**, 12 (2011).
26. E. Castillejos, E. Gallegos-Suarez, B. Bachiller-Baeza, R. Bacsá, P. Serp, A. Guerrero-Ruiz and I. Rodriguez-Ramos, *Catal. Commun.*, **22**, 79 (2012).
27. M.S. Ide, B. Hao, M. Neurock and R.J. Davis, *ACS Catal.*, **2**, 671 (2012).
28. M. Brust, D. Walker, D. Bethell, D.J. Schiffrin and R. Whyman, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 801 (1994).
29. Y.X. Liu, T.F. Xing, Z.J. Wei, X.N. Li and W. Yan, *Catal. Commun.*, **10**, 2023 (2009).
30. H. Shi, N. Xu, D. Zhao and B.Q. Xu, *Catal. Commun.*, **9**, 1949 (2008).
31. K.M. Parida, N. Sahu, P. Mohapatra and M.S. Scurrell, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, **319**, 92 (2010).
32. M.A.R. Dewan, G.Q. Zhang and O. Ostrovski, *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, **40**, 62 (2009).
33. E.D. Park and J.S. Lee, *J. Catal.*, **186**, 1 (1999).