Chemical Constituents, Antimicrobial Activity, Antioxidant and Total Phenolic Content Within the leaves of *Thecocarpus meifolious* Boiss., Umbelliferae Herbs Growing Wild in Iran

MAHBOUBE TAHERKHANI* and ABDOLHOSSEIN RUSTAIYAN

Department of Chemistry, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Tel: +98 912 5039918; E-mail: mahtaherkhani@yahoo.com

(Received: 28 August 2012;

Accepted: 19 June 2013)

AJC-13666

Water-distilled essential oil from the leaves of *Thecocarpus meifolious* Boiss. which is endemic to Iran, collected from the province of Chaharmahal-Bakhtiari, west of Iran, was analyzed by GC and GC-MS. The leaf oil of *T. meifolious* investigated to analyze their antimicrobial activity, antioxidant and total phenolic content. Antioxidative properties of this oil was determined by 3 methods *i.e.*, by ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), radical-scavenging capacity of the oil or bleaching of 2,20-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and β -carotene-linoleic acid assay. Spathulenol (20.51 %), camphor (10.20 %) and caryophyllene oxide (10.35 %) were the major components in this oil. This oil indicated significant activity *Candida albicans* and moderate inhibitory activity against the gram-negative bacteria. The results suggest application of *T. meifolious* oil as a natural antioxidant agent.

Key Words: *Thecocarpus meifolious*, Essential oil, Spathulenol, Antimicrobial, Antioxidant, Total phenolic content.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Thecocarpus*, is represented in the flora of Iran by only one species, *T. meifolious* Boiss. This plant growing wild in Isfahan, Fars, Chaharmahal-Bakhtiari and Kohgiluyeh-Boyerahmad Provinces of Iran^{1,2}. No studies on the biological activities of the oil of *Thecocarpus* species have previously been reported. In previous investigation, 40 compounds were identified in the aerial part oil of *Thecocarpus meifolious* representing 90.2 % of the total oil with spathulenol (30.8 %) and caryophyllene oxide (11.1 %) as the major constituents³. The present study deals with the chemical composition, antibacterial, antioxidative and radical-scavenging properties of the leaf essential oil of *T. meifolious* obtained by steam-distillation.

EXPERIMENTAL

The aerial parts of *T. meifolious*, which is endemic to Iran, were collected from Shahrekord, Province of Chaharmahal-Bakhtiari, west of Iran, in July 2011. Voucher specimens have been deposited at the Herbarium of the Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands (TARI), Tehran, Iran.

Isolation of the essential oil: The leaves of *T. meifolious* were dried at room temperature for several days. Air-dried leaves of *T. meifolious* (80 g) was separately subjected to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus for 3 h.

After decanting and drying of the oil over anhydrous sodium sulphate, the oil was recovered. Results showed that essential oil yield was 0.6 % (w/w).

Analysis of the the essential oil: The composition of the essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation from the leaves of *T. meifolious* was analyzed by GC and GC/MS. Identification of the constituents of oil was achieved by comparison of their mass spectra and retention indices with those reported in the literature and those of authentic samples⁴.

Gas chromatography: GC analysis was performed on a Schimadzu 15A gas chromatography equipped with a split/splitless injector (250 °C) and a flame ionization detector (250 °C). Nitrogen was used as carrier gas (1 mL/min) and the capillary column used was DB-5 (50 m $\times$ 0.2 mm, film thickness 0.32 μ m). The column temperature was kept at 60 °C for 3 min and then heated to 220 °C with a 5 °C/min rate and kept constant at 220 °C for 5 min. Relative percentage amounts were calculated from peak area using a Schimadzu C-R4A chromatopac without the use of correction factors.

Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy: GC-MS analysis was performed using a Hewlett-Packard 5973 with a HP-5MS column (30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μ m). The column temperature was kept at 60 °C for 3 min and programmed to 220 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min and kept constant at 220 °C/min for 5 min. The flow rate of helium as carrier gas (1 mL/min). MS were taken at 70 eV. The retention indices

for all the components were determined according to the Van Den Dool method, using *n*-alkanes as standards. The compounds were identified by (RRI, DB5) with those reported in the literature and by comparison of their mass spectra with the Wiley library or with the published mass spectra⁴.

Oil dilution solvent: Bacterial strains were streaked on Mueller Hinton agar plates using sterile cotton swabs. Five microlitres of dimethyl sulphoxide, loaded on sterile blank disks, were placed on the agar plates and were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. There was no antibacterial activity on the plates and hence DMSO was selected as a safe diluting agent for the oil. Five microlitres from each oil dilution, followed by sterilization, using a 0.45 µm membrane filter, were added to sterile blank discs. The solvent also served as control.

Microbial strain and growth media: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC8830), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC25922), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC25923), *Candida albicans* (ATCC 5027) and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (ATCC 17978) were employed in the study. Nutrient agar was used. Bacterial suspensions were made in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth to a concentration of *ca.* 10⁸ cfu/mL. Subsequent dilutions were made from the above suspension, which were then used in the tests.

Oil sterility test: In order to ensure sterility of the oils, geometric dilutions, ranging from 0.036 to 72 mg/mL of the essential oil, were prepared in a 96-well microtitre plate, including one growth control (BHI + Tween 80) and one sterility control (BHI + Tween 80 + test oil). Plates were incubated under normal atmospheric conditions, at 37 °C for 24 h. The contaminating bacterial growth, if at all, was indicated by the presence of a white "pellet" on the well bottom.

Disc diffusion method: The agar disc diffusion method was employed for the determination of antimicrobial activities of the essential oils. Briefly, 0.1 mL from 10⁸ cfu/mL bacterial suspension was spread on the Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates. Filter paper discs (6 mm in diameter) were impregnated with 5 µL of the undiluted oil and were placed on the inoculated plates. These plates, after remaining at 4 °C for 2 h, were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The diameters of the inhibition zones were measured in millimeters. All tests were performed in triplicate.

Determination of minimum inhibitory (MIC) and bactericidal (MBC) concentrations: All tests were performed in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth supplemented with Tween 80 detergent (final concentration of 0.5 % (v/v)). Test strains were suspended in BHI broth to give a final density of 10⁷ cfu/mL and these were confirmed by viable counts. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) were assessed according to our modified procedure⁵. MIC was determined by a broth dilution method in test tubes as follows: 40 µL from each of various dilutions of the oils were added to 5 mL of brain heart infusion (BHI) both in tubes containing 10⁷ cfu/mL of live bacterial cells. The tubes were then incubated on an incubator shaker to evenly disperse the oil throughout the broth in tubes. The highest dilution (lowest concentration), showing no visible growth, was regarded as the MIC. Cell suspensions (0.1 mL) from the tubes showing no growth were subcultured on BHI agar plates in triplicate to determine if the inhibition was reversible or

permanent. MBC was determined as the highest dilution (lowest concentration) at which no growth occurred on the plates.

Bactericidal kinetics of the oil: Forty microlitres of each oil at the dilution determined by MBC, was added to each 5 mL of brain heart infusion (BHI) broth in tubes containing bacterial suspension of 10⁷ cfu/mL and were then incubated at 37 °C in an incubator shaker. Samples (0.1 mL) were taken after 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210 and 240 min. The samples were immediately washed with sterile phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 1 min, resuspended in the buffer and were then spread-cultured on BHI agar for 24 h at 37 °C. Phosphate buffer was used as diluent when needed. Bactericidal experiments were performed three times. Microbial colonies were counted from triplicates after the incubation period and the mean total number of viable cells per mL was calculated. The mean total number of viable bacteria from bactericidal kinetics experiments at each time interval was converted to log₁₀ viable cells using routine mathematical formulae. The trend of bacterial death was plotted graphically.

Ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay of the oil: The FRAP assay was carried out according to the procedure employed by Lim *et al.*⁶. One millilitre of the extract dilution was added to 2.5 mL of 0.2 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL 1 % potassium ferricyanide. The mixture was incubated for 20 min at 50 °C, after which 2.5 mL of 10 % trichloroacetic acid was added. The mixture was then separated into aliquots of 2.5 mL and mixed with 2.5 mL of deionised water. Then, 0.5 mL of 0.1 % (w/v) FeCl₃ were added to each tube and allowed to stand for 0.5 h. Absorbance for each tube was measured at 700 nm. The FRAP was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in mg/g of samples used.

Radical-scavenging capacity of the oil: The hydrogen atom or electron donation abilities of the corresponding extracts and some pure compounds were measured from the bleaching of the purple-coloured methanol solution of 2,2-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH). This spectrophotometric assay uses the stable radical DPPH as a reagent^{7,8}. Fifty microlitres of 1:5 concentrations of the essential oils in methanol were added to 5 mL of a 0.004 % methanol solution of DPPH. Trolox (1 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich), a stable antioxidant, was used as a synthetic reference. The essential oil from *Thymus X-porlock* was used as a natural reference. After a 0.5 h incubation period at room temperature, the absorbance was read against a blank at 517 nm. Inhibition of free radical by DPPH (I %) was calculated in following way:

$$I \% = \left(\frac{A_{\text{Blank}} - A_{\text{Sample}}}{A_{\text{Blank}}} \right) \times 100$$

where A_{Blank} is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all reagents except the test compound) and A_{Sample} is the absorbance of the test compound. Tests were carried out in triplicate.

β-Carotene-linoleic acid assay: Antioxidant activity of essential oils was determined using the β-carotene bleaching test⁹. *Ca.* 10 mg of β-carotene (type I synthetic, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform. The carotene-chloro-

form solution, 0.2 mL, was pipetted into a boiling flask containing 20 mg linoleic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and 200 mg Tween 40 (Sigma-Aldrich). Chloroform was removed using a rotary evaporator at 40 °C for 5 min and, to the residue, 50 mL of distilled water were added, slowly with vigorous agitation, to form an emulsion. Five millilitres of the emulsion were added to a tube containing 0.2 mL of essential oil solution, prepared¹⁰ and the absorbance was immediately measured at 470 nm against a blank, consisting of an emulsion without β -carotene. The tubes were placed in a water bath at 50 °C and the oxidation of the emulsion was monitored spectrophotometrically by measuring absorbance at 470 nm over a 1 h period. Control samples contained 10 μ L of water instead of essential oils. Butylated hydroxy anisole (BHA; Sigma-Aldrich), a stable antioxidant, was used as a synthetic reference. The antioxidant activity was expressed as inhibition percentage with reference to the control after 1 h of incubation, using the following equation:

$$AA = \frac{100(DR_C - DRS_S)}{DR_C}$$

where AA = antioxidant activity, DR_C = degradation rate of the control = $[\ln(a/b)/60]$, DRS = degradation rate in presence of the sample = $[\ln(a/b)/60]$, a = absorbance at time 0, b = absorbance at 1 h.

Total phenolic content assay: Total phenol content was estimated as gallic acid equivalents (GAE; mg gallic acid/g extract). In brief, a 100 μ L aliquot of dissolved extract was transferred to a volumetric flask, containing 46 mL distilled H₂O, to which was subsequently added 1 mL Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 3 min, 3 mL of 2 % Na₂CO₃ was added. After 2 h of incubation at 25 °C, the absorbance was measured at 760 nm. Gallic acid (Sigma Co., 0.2-1.0 mg/mL gallic acid) was used as the standard for the calibration curve and the total phenolic contents were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram of tested extracts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of the essential oil: The composition of the essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation from the leaves of *T. meifolious*, from Iran, was analyzed by GC and GC/MS (Table-1). The percentage and retention indices of components are given. In the leaf oil of *T. meifolious*, 30 components, representing 97.53 % of the total composition were identified. Spathulenol (20.51 %), camphor (10.20 %) and caryophyllene oxide (10.35 %) were the major components in this oil. The other main components of leaf oil of *T. meifolious* were germacrene D (8.68 %), β -caryophyllene (5.75 %) and β -elemene (5.17 %). The leaf oil of *T. meifolious* consists of 2 monoterpene hydrocarbons (2.07 %), 3 oxygenated monoterpene (14.82 %), 13 sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (31.9 %), 9 oxygenated sesquiterpenes (46.35 %) and 3 non terpenoid compounds (2.39 %). As can be seen from the above information, the leaf oil of *T. meifolious* are rich in sesquiterpenes (78.25 %). As shown in Table-1, the largest part of the leaf oil of *T. meifolious* essential oil was formed by oxygenated sesquiterpenes (46.35 %). In previous study, 40 compounds were identified in the aerial part oil of *T. meifolious*

TABLE-1
COMPOSITION OF THE LEAF OIL OF *T. meifolious* BOISS

Compound	RI*	Percentage (%)
Heptanal	900	0.49
α -Pinene	939	1.45
Octanal	1001	1.20
Limonene	1031	0.62
Linalool	1098	3.8
Camphor	1143	10.20
1-Methyl naphthalene	1291	0.7
δ -Elemene	1339	0.87
Pinocarvone	1162	0.82
α -Copaene	1376	0.9
β -Bourbonene	1384	0.8
β -Elemene	1391	5.17
β -Caryophyllene	1418	5.75
β -Gurjunene	1432	0.51
α -Humulene	1454	1.25
(E)- β -Farnesene	1458	0.86
γ -Muurolene	1477	1.90
Germacrene D	1480	8.68
β -Selinene	1458	0.52
Bicyclogermacrene	1494	2.89
δ -Cadinene	1524	1.8
Spathulenol	1576	20.51
Caryophyllene oxide	1581	10.35
β -Copaen-4- β -ol	1584	1.57
Humulene epoxide II	1606	1.89
<i>trans</i> -Iso longifolanone	1618	1.6
Isospathulenol	1636	3.45
Cedr-8-(15)-en-9- α -ol	1644	2.78
α -Muurolol	1645	2.43
14-Hydroxy- α -muurolene	1775	1.77
Monoterpene hydrocarbons		2.07
Oxygenated monoterpenes		14.82
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons		31.9
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes		46.35
Others		2.39
Total		97.53

*RI, Retention indices were as determined on a DB-5 column using the homologous series of *n*-alkanes.

representing 90.2 % of the total oil with spathulenol (30.8 %) and caryophyllene oxide (11.1 %) as the major constituents. In other words, the oil of *T. meifolious* consists of two monoterpene hydrocarbons (0.7 %), one oxygenated monoterpene (0.7 %), 13 sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (24.8 %), 15 oxygenated sesquiterpenes (58.9 %) and 9 non terpenoid compounds (11.1 %). Spathulenol (30.8 %) and caryophyllene oxide (11.1 %) were the major compounds in the oil, followed by germacrene D (7.0 %) and octanal (5.5 %)³. As can be seen from the above information, the essential oil from the leaves and total aerial parts of *T. meifolious* are rich in sesquiterpenes (78.25 and 83.7 %), respectively.

Antibacterial activity: The antibacterial activities of *T. meifolious* oil was assayed against and results presented in Table-2. The antibacterial activities of the essential oils were evaluated by disc diffusion method using Muller-Hinton Agar for bacteria with determination of inhibition zones (IZ), minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and decimal reduction time (D-value).

TABLE-2
ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF THE
LEAF OIL OF *Thecocarpus meifolious*

Microorganisms	<i>T. meifolious</i>			D Value (min)
	IZ (mm)	MIC (mg/mL)	MBC (mg/mL)	
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ATCC8830	14	5	10	19.14
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC25922	35	1	2.5	7.87
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC25923	21	2.5	5	16.52
<i>Candida albicans</i> ATCC 5027	58	0.5	1	5.64
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> TCC 17978	20	2.5	5	16.55

IZ: Inhibition zone (mm); MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration (mg/mL); MBC: minimum bactericidal concentration (mg/mL); D: Value-decimal reduction time (minutes).

The present study revealed the leaf essential oil of *T. meifolious* indicated significant activity against *Candida albicans* and moderate inhibitory activity against the gram-negative bacteria especially *Escherichia coli*.

Bactericidal kinetics of the oils: Table-2 show reduction times of *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii*, respectively, after exposure to the MBC levels of the oils. It can be concluded that *C. albicans* is the most vulnerable to the oil under study. These values suggest the duration of time required for complete bactericidal effects of the oils.

Total phenol contents: As shown in Table-3, the total phenol contents (TPC) of the leaf oil of *T. meifolious* was determined to be 126.80 ± 8.50 μ g gallic acid equivalent/mg sample (GAE/mg).

TABLE-3
ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY AND TOTAL PHENOLICS
OF LEAF ESSENTIAL OIL OF *T. meifolious*

DPPH effect (%) (amount of essential oil)	55.6 ± 1.5 (10 mg/mL)
DPPH (IC ₅₀) (μ g/mL)	3.75
β -Carotene-linoleic acid assay (amount of essential oil)	40.65 ± 2.5 (0.625 mg/mL)
Ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (gallic acid equivalent (mg/g))	8.55 ± 0.5
Total phenolic content GAE (μ g gallic acid/mg sample)	126.80 ± 8.50

Antioxidant activity: Antioxidative properties of the leaves essential oil of *T. meifolious* was determined by 3 methods *i.e.*, ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), radical-scavenging capacity of the oil or bleaching of 2,20-

diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and β -carotene-linoleic acid assay. The results suggest application of *T. meifolious* oil as a natural antioxidant agent.

Ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay of the oil: The FRAP assay was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in mg/g of samples used. The ferric reducing power of the essential oils was determined 8.55 ± 0.5 gallic acid equivalent (mg/g).

Free radical-scavenging capacities of the oils: The DPPH radical-scavenging activities of the essential oil are shown in Table-3. The leaf essential oil of *T. meifolious* reduced the concentration of DPPH free radical (55.6 ± 1.5 %, 10 mg/mL of essential oil), with an efficacy lower than that of reference oil *T. X-porlock* (69.3 % inhibition). IC₅₀ for DPPH radical-scavenging activity was 3.75 μ g/mL.

β -Carotene-linoleic acid assay: The lipid peroxidation inhibitory activities of the essential oil was assessed by the β -carotene bleaching test. Results of the reference oil (*T. X-porlock*) were almost consistent with data obtained from the DPPH test. In β -carotene-linoleic acid test system, oxidation of linoleic acid was effectively inhibited by *T. meifolious* oil (40.65 ± 2.5 , 0.625 mg/mL of essential oil).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Department of Biology, Shahed University, Iran for assistance in methodology. The authors are also grateful to Dr.V. Mozaffarian (Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Tehran) for helpful assistance in botanical identification.

REFERENCES

1. K.H. Rechinger, In eds.: K.H. Rechinger and I.C. Hedge, *Thecocarpus*, In: *Flora Iranica, Umbelliferae*, No. 162., Akademische Druck and Verlagsanstalt, Graz, Austria (1987).
2. V.A. Mozaffarian, *Dictionary of Iranian Plant Names*, Farhang Moaser, Tehran, Iran (1996).
3. M. Taherkhani, S. Masoudi and A. Rustaiyan, *Asian J. Chem.*, **24**, 1596 (2012).
4. R.P. Adams, *Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Quadrupole Mass Spectroscopy*. Allured Publ. Corp., Carol Stream, IL (2001).
5. I. Rasooli and S.A. Mirmostafa, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **51**, 2200 (2003).
6. T.Y. Lim, Y.Y. Lim and C.M. Yule, *Food Chem.*, **114**, 594 (2009).
7. M. Burits, *Phytother Res.*, **14**, 323 (2000).
8. M. Cuendet, K. Hostettmann and O. Potterat, *Helv Chim. Acta*, **80**, 1144 (1997).
9. M.S. Taga, E.E. Miller and D.E. Pratt, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, **61**, 928 (1984).
10. H.S. Choi, H.S. Song, H. Ukeda and M. Sawamura, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **48**, 4156 (2000).