Hopane and Lupane Triterpenes from Leaves and Stem Bark of *Aegle marmelos* (Rutaceae)

S. AHMAD¹, S. RIYANTO³, M.A. SUKARI^{1,*}, M. RAHMANI¹ and A.M. ALI²

¹Department of Chemistry, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, UPM, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

²Faculty of Agriculture and Biotechnology, UniSZA, 20400 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

³Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta 55581, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: E-mail: aspollah@science.upm.edu.my

(Received: 22 June 2012;

Accepted: 18 February 2013)

AJC-13019

Two hopane and two lupane triterpenes have been isolated from leaves and stem bark of *Aegle marmelos* originated from Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Hopane triterpenes identified as 6 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**1**) and 15 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**2**) were isolated from petroleum ether extract of the leaves, while lupane triterpenes; 20(29)-lupene-3 α -ol (**3**) and 20(29)-lupene-3-on (**4**) were isolated from the stem bark. The structure of the compounds were elucidated using spectroscopic methods and by comparison with previous data.

Key Words: *Aegle marmelos*, Hopane triterpenes, Lupane triterpenes.

INTRODUCTION

Aegle marmelos Correa; synonym of *Crataeva marmelos* Linn. and *Crataeva religiosa* Ainslie belongs to Rutaceae family¹ and locally known as bel (Malaysia) and maja (Indonesia). The plant grows easily in Indian Subcontinent and Southeast Asia. The plant is known in traditional medicine uses *e.g.*, the leaves are utilized for treatment of fertility control, the bark is used for diabetes, while the root and aerial part were used in Ayurvedic system in Sri Lanka as medicine for some ailments such as to treat intermittent fever and for fish poison^{2,3}. In India the leaves are mostly used in religious offering in temples. The older folks used its half-ripe fruit to relieve dysentery, cholera and constipation⁴. The ripe fruit can be used as a laxative and the extract as for rectum inflammation, whereas the fresh ripe-fruit is made into sherbet or syrup. The fixed oil of seed is used for purgative and claimed to have antimicrobial and anthelmintic activity⁵. Previous phytochemical work on *Aegle marmelos* have afforded several classes of constituents such as aegeline⁶ and coumarin derivatives^{6,7}, cinnamide alkaloids⁸, anthraquinone⁷ and some lignin glycoside⁹. In continuation of our work on Rutaceous and local medicinal plants, here we report phytochemical investigation of leaves and stem barks of *Aegle marmelos*.

EXPERIMENTAL

The Infrared spectra were recorded using KBr mini disc on Perkin Elmer FTIR spectrophotometer model 1725X, while

ultraviolet spectra were recorded on Shimadzu UV-VIS 160 Spectrometer in absolute ethanol or methanol. Mass spectra were recorded by AE1-MS 12 spectrometer with ionisation induced by electron impact at 70 eV. ¹H NMR (500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) spectra were recorded by JEOL FTNMR or Bruker DRX-500 with CDCl₃ used as solvent. Melting points were determined by Kohler melting points apparatus XSP-12 Model 500X equipped with microscope and were uncorrected.

The plant sample of *Aegle marmelos* was collected from Yogyakarta, Indonesia. The plant was identified by Dr. Suwijio Pramono from Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. The voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University.

Isolation of constituents: The ground air-dried leaves (2.5 kg) and stem bark (3.5 kg) of the *A. marmelos* were extracted three times successively with petroleum ether, chloroform and methanol. The leaves extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure using rotary evaporator to give dark gummy solid of petroleum ether (21 g), chloroform (67.7 g) and methanol (133 g) extracts, respectively while stem bark yielded petroleum ether (14.1 g), chloroform (22.5 g) and methanol (130 g) extracts, respectively. Each of these extracts was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel using mixtures of petroleum ether, chloroform and methanol as eluents. Column chromatography separation of petroleum ether extract of the leaves (17.8 g) yielded 6 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**1**, 249 mg) and 15 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**2**, 95 mg), while separation

TABLE-1
NMR DATA OF COMPOUNDS (1) AND (2)

	¹ H NMR (500 MHz) CDCl ₃		¹³ C NMR (125 MHz) CDCl ₃		COSY		HMBC	
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
1	0.88, m	0.81, m	41.4	41.1	H-2	-	C-25	-
2	1.64, m	1.40, m	19.4	19.5	H-1	H-3	-	-
3	1.31, m	1.17, m	44.8	42.6	-	H-2	-	-
4	-	-	34.6	34.3	-	-	-	C-23, C-24
5	1.12, m	0.86, m	61.6	56.7	H-6	-	-	C-7
6	4.23, dt (J=10.0, 4.5Hz)	1.59, m	68.3	21.8	H-5, H-7	-	-	-
7	1.83, m	2.12, m	46.2	37.7	-	-	-	C-5
8	-	-	43.4	44.2	-	-	-	-
9	1.36, m	1.35, m	50.7	51.5	-	-	C-26	C-7
10	-	-	42.6	38.3	-	-	-	-
11	1.56, m	1.58, m	21.1	19.8	-	-	-	-
12	1.53, m	1.51, m	24.8	25.1	-	-	-	-
13	1.38, m	1.42, m	50.2	50	-	-	-	-
14	-	-	39.8	48.1	-	-	-	-
15	1.29, m	4.11, dd (J=9.7, 5.1 Hz)	35.1	74.9	-	H-16	-	C-8, C-14, C-16, C-27
16	2.18, m	2.80, ddd (J=9.7, 5.1, 2.0 Hz)	22.7	33.7	H-17	H-15, H-17	-	C-15, C-17, C-18
17	1.47, m	1.62, m	55.1	51.6	H-16, H-21	H-16, H-21	C-16, C-21	-
18	-	-	44.7	45.1	-	-	C-16, C-21	-
19	1.00, m	1.61, m	42.1	41.9	-	H-20	C-16	-
20	1.87, m	1.81, m	27.4	27.7	H-21	H-19, H-21	C-21	-
21	2.41, dd (J=20.0, 9.2 Hz)	2.41, dd (J=20.0, 9.1 Hz)	52	51.8	H-17, H-20	H-17, H-20	C-17, C-20, C-22, C-29, C-30	C-15, C-17, C-20, C-22, C-29, C-30
22	-	-	72.9	72.8	-	-	-	-
23	1.60, s	0.88, s	37.7	33.9	-	-	C-3, C-4, C-24	C-4, C-24
24	1.27, s	0.84, s	23	22.2	-	-	C-4, C-23	C-4, C-23
25	0.96, s	0.89, s	17.9	16.7	-	-	C-10	C-9, C-10
26	1.11, s	1.20, s	18.9	16.6	-	-	C-8, C-14	C-8
27	1.03, s	1.33, s	17.7	12.9	-	-	C-14, C-15	C-14
28	0.95, s	1.03, s	16.8	18	-	-	C-18	C-18
29	1.38, s	1.38, s	30.2	30	-	-	C-21	C-22
30	1.43, s	1.42, s	31.7	31.9	-	-	C-21	C-22

of petroleum ether extract of the stem bark (12.1 g) using vacuum column chromatography yielded 20(29)-lupene-3 α -ol (**3**, 536 mg) and 20(29)-lupene-3-on (**4**, 63.5 mg). Compound (**3**) (125 mg) was also obtained from crude chloroform extract of the stem bark (20 g).

6 α ,22-Dihydroxyhopane (zeorin) (**1**) colourless prisms (249 mg), C₃₀H₅₂O₂, m.p. 225-227 °C (lit. m.p.¹⁰ 221-223 °C). UV ($\lambda_{\max}$ EtOH) 206 nm (log = 4.63). IR (KBr, $\nu_{\max}$, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3278, 2938, 1632, 1467, 1385, 1219, 1159, 1027, 944, 762. MS m/z (% intensity): 444 (M⁺, 0.9), 207 (52), 189 (56), 161 (10), 149 (39), 109 (18), 95 (30), 69 (31), 59 (100). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data are tabulated in Table-1.

15 α ,22-Dihydroxyhopane (dustanin) (**2**) colourless prisms (95 mg), C₃₀H₅₂O₂, m.p. 248-250 °C (lit. m.p.¹¹ 249-250 °C). UV ($\lambda_{\max}$ EtOH) 206 nm, (log = 4.63). IR (KBr, $\nu_{\max}$, cm⁻¹): 3419, 3375, 2944, 1463, 1382, 1158, 1054, 1035, 936. MS m/z (% intensity): 444 (M⁺, 5), 411 (5), 223 (10), 205 (30), 191 (100), 163 (10), 147 (16), 135 (18), 95 (40), 69 (38), 59 (85). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data are tabulated in Table-1.

20(29)-Lupene-3 α -ol (epi-lupeol) (**3**) white needles (536 mg), C₃₀H₅₀O, m.p. 202-204 °C (lit. m.p.¹² 202.5 °C). UV ($\lambda_{\max}$, MeOH) 203 nm (log = 3.8). IR (KBr, $\nu_{\max}$, cm⁻¹): 3412, 3071, 2938, 1642, 1456, 1382, 1042. MS m/z (% intensity): 424 (M⁺,

10), 383 (5), 272 (5), 257 (19), 234 (12), 207 (52), 189 (60), 161 (23), 147 (28), 135 (51), 121 (52), 107 (60), 95 (65), 81 (67), 68 (100). ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were in good agreement with published data¹².

20(29)-Lupene-3-on (lupenone) (**4**) colourless needles (63.5 mg), C₃₀H₄₈O, m.p. 172-174 °C (lit. m.p.¹³ 170 °C). UV ($\lambda_{\max}$, MeOH) 203 nm (log = 3.8). IR (KBr, $\nu_{\max}$, cm⁻¹): 3072, 2944, 1706, 1643, 1457, 1384. MS m/z (% intensity): 424 (M⁺, 10), 409 (9), 369 (8), 257 (9), 245 (18), 218 (24), 205 (64), 189 (24), 161 (20), 149 (22), 135 (34), 121 (38), 109 (60), 95 (57), 68 (100), 55 (60). ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were in good agreement with published data¹³.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical investigation of leaves and stem bark of *Aegle marmelos* have led to the isolation of several classes of constituents including alkaloids, coumarins and triterpenes. Our previous study reported the isolation of alkaloids and coumarins from various parts of the plant^{14,15}. Here we wish to describe the isolation and characterization of hopane and lupane triterpenes from *Aegle marmelos*. Hopane triterpenes; 6 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**1**) and 15 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (**2**) (Fig. 1) were obtained from petroleum ether crude extract of

the leaves. The isolation of these compounds never been reported from *Aegle marmelos* previously while lupane triterpenes; 20(29)-lupene-3 α -ol (**3**) and 20(29)-lupene-3-on (**4**) were isolated from petroleum ether and chloroform crude extracts of the stem bark.

Fig. 1. Structures of hopane and lupane triterpenes

Compound (**1**) was isolated as colourless crystals (249 mg), m.p. 225–227 °C and was recrystallized from petroleum ether-acetone. The molecular ion peak at m/z 444 corresponds to molecular formula $C_{30}H_{52}O_2$. Its IR spectrum exhibited the presence of broad peak at 3321 cm^{-1} which corresponded to hydroxyl, aliphatic C-H stretching (2938 cm^{-1}), methyl and methylene groups (1632 and 1385 cm^{-1}), whereas the peak at 1159 cm^{-1} corresponded to C-O group. The integration of 1H NMR showed the presence of fifty two protons. A doublet of triplet signal appeared at downfield region at δ 4.23 ($J = 10.0, 4.5$ Hz) was due to H-6 indicating the presence of hydroxyl group. H-21 peak appeared as doublet of doublet at δ 2.41 ($J = 20.0, 9.2$ Hz), while multiplet signal at δ 2.18 was correspond to H-16. Eight three-proton singlets at δ 1.60, 1.43, 1.38, 1.27, 1.11, 1.03, 0.96 and 0.95 were due to methyl groups which attached to C-4 ($2 \times CH_3$), C-10, C-8, C-14, C-22 ($2 \times CH_3$) and C-18. The other assignments of methylene and methine protons are summarized in Table-1.

^{13}C NMR spectrum revealed the presence of 30 peaks corresponds to 30 carbon atoms in the molecule. Resonances

for methine carbons appeared at δ 61.6 (C-5), 68.3 (C-6), 50.7 (C-9), 50.2 (C-13), 55.1 (C-17) and 52.0 (C-21). Signals at δ 34.6, 43.4, 42.6, 39.8, 44.7 and 72.9 were due to quaternary carbons of C-4, C-8, C-10, C-14, C-18 and C-22 respectively. The remaining peaks correspond to other carbons of steroidal skeleton. ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts of the compound were in good agreement with the data of 6 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane reported earlier from lichen species *Physcia aipolia*¹⁰. 1H - 1H COSY spectrum further confirmed the structure of compound (**1**) which shows the correlation between H-6, H-5 and H-7, while H-21 correlated with H-17 and H-20. Its HMBC spectrum showed correlations between H-21 with carbon C-17, C-20, C-22, C-29 and C-30. The details of COSY and HMBC correlation are tabulated in Table-1. 6 α ,22-Dihydroxyhopane (zeorin) (**1**) has mostly been isolated in cryptogams such as lichen and fern. The only report of its isolation from higher plant was from *Tripterygium regelii*¹⁶.

The other hopane triterpenes was identified as 15 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (dustanin) (**2**) (95 mg), m.p. 248–250 °C and was recrystallized from acetone-methanol. The molecular ion peak appeared at m/z 444 correspond to the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{52}O_2$. Its IR spectrum showed the presence of free hydroxyl group at broad peaks around 3375 and 3419 cm^{-1} . A sharp band at 2944 cm^{-1} indicated the presence of aliphatic C-H bond, while peak at 1158 cm^{-1} was due to C-O stretching bond. The 1H NMR data summarized in Table-1 showed almost similar with that of compound (**1**). The appearance of doublet of doublet signal at downfield region at δ 4.11 ($J = 9.7, 5.1$ Hz) suggested the presence of hydroxyl group at position H-15. Similar to compound (**1**), H-21 appeared as doublet of doublet signal at δ 2.41 ($J = 20.0, 9.1$ Hz), while doublet of doublet of doublet at δ 2.80 ($J = 9.7, 5.1, 2.0$ Hz) was attributed to H-16.

The 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra also showed the presence of eight methyl groups at δ 33.9 (C-23), 22.2 (C-24), 16.7 (C-25), 16.6 (C-26), 12.9 (C-27), 18.0 (C-28), 30.0 (C-29) and 31.9 (C-30) corresponded to δ 0.88, 0.84, 0.89, 1.20, 1.33, 1.03, 1.38 and 1.42, respectively. The signals at δ 74.9 (C-15), 56.7 (C-5), 51.8 (C-21), 51.6 (C-17), 51.5 (C-9) and 50.0 (C-13) represent the methine carbons. Signal for C-22 appeared at lower field at δ 72.8 which due to deshielding effect of hydroxyl group. The quaternary carbons appeared at δ 48.1 (C-14), 45.1 (C-18), 44.2 (C-8), 38.3 (C-10) and 34.3 (C-4). The ^{13}C NMR data were also compared with the data reported previously¹⁷. COSY spectrum showed correlations between H-15 and H-16; between H-21 with H-17 and H-20. HMBC spectrum shows long range coupling of proton H-15 with carbon C-8, C-14, C-16 and C-27, while proton H-21 correlates with carbon C-15, C-17, C-20, C-22, C-29 and C-30. Full COSY and HMBC correlation data can be referred in Table-1. To our knowledge, this is the first isolation of 15 α ,22-dihydroxyhopane (dustanin) (**2**) from higher plant.

Meanwhile, two lupane triterpenes; epi-lupeol (**3**) and lupenone (**4**) were isolated from the stem bark of *Aegle marmelos*. 20(29)-lupene-3 α -ol or epi-lupeol, (**3**) (536 mg) was obtained from petroleum ether and chloroform extracts. The compound appears as white needles with melting point 202–204 °C. The appearance of molecular ion peak at m/z 426 corresponds to molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O$. Its IR spectrum

revealed the presence of hydroxyl group (3412 cm^{-1}), aromatic and aliphatic C-H bonding (3071 and 2938 cm^{-1}), while absorption peak for C=C, CH₃ and C-O groups were at 1642 , 1382 and 1042 cm^{-1} , respectively. The compound has been identified as 20(29)-lupene-3 α -ol (**3**) and its NMR data were in good agreement with the reported data¹². Compound (**4**) was identified as 20(29)-lupene-3-on or lupenone (63.5 mg) and was obtained from petroleum ether extract. The compound appeared as colourless needle crystals with melting point at 172 - $174\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and recrystallized in petroleum ether-acetone. The mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at m/z 424 which corresponds to molecular formula C₃₀H₄₈O. Its IR spectrum exhibited the similar absorption peak to compound (**3**) but with an additional peak at 1706 cm^{-1} due to the presence of C=O group. The compound was identified as 20(29)-lupene-3-on (**4**) based on similarity of its physical and spectral data with literature values⁴.

Conclusion

Phytochemical investigation on *Aegle marmelos* originated from Yogyakarta, Indonesia has afforded two hopane triterpenes from the petroleum ether crude extract of the leaves and two lupane triterpenes isolated from the stem bark. To our best of knowledge the hopane triterpenes were found to be the first time isolated from *Aegle marmelos* collected from Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank School of Graduate Studies (UPM) for Graduate Research Fellowship (GRF) awarded to one of the authors (S. Ahmad) and staff of Department of Chemistry (UPM) for the technical assistant and facilities provided.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bentley and H. Trimen, *Medicinal Plants*, vol. 1. New Burlington street: London J & A. Churchill, First Reprint 1983 New Delhi, International Book Distributor (1880).
2. A.M. Abeysekera, K.T.D. De Silva, S. Samarasinghe and P.A.K. Seneviratne, *Fitoterapia*, **67**, 367 (1996).
3. D. Basu and R. Sen, *Phytochemistry*, **13**, 2330 (1974).
4. M.D. Manandhar, A. Shueb, R.S. Kapil and S.P. Popli, *Phytochemistry*, **17**, 1814 (1978).
5. S.N. Garg, M.S. Siddiqui and S.K. Agarwal, *J. Essent. Oil Res.*, **7**, 283 (1995).
6. B.R. Sharma, R.K. Rattan and P. Sharma, *Phytochemistry*, **20**, 2606 (1981).
7. S.D. Srivastava, S. Srivastava and S.K. Srivastava, *Fitoterapia*, **67**, 83 (1996).
8. T.R. Govindachari and M.S. Remila, *Phytochemistry*, **22**, 755 (1983).
9. K. Ohashi, H. Watanabe, Y. Okumura, T. Uji and I. Kitagawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **42**, 1924 (1994).
10. J.A. Elix, A.A. Whitton and A.J. Jones, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **35**, 641 (1982).
11. R.E. Corbett and A.L. Wilkins, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **30**, 2333 (1977).
12. J. Buckingham, F.M. Macdonald and H.M. Bradley, *Dictionary of Natural Products*, 4, London: Chapman and Hall (1994).
13. T.K. Razdan, S. Harkar, B. Qadri, M.A. Qurishi and M.A. Khuroo, *Phytochemistry*, **27**, 1892 (1988).
14. S. Riyanto, M.A. Sukari, M. Rahmani, A.R. Manas, A.M. Ali, U.K. Yusuf and R. Muse, *J. Trop. Med. Plants*, **3** (2002).
15. S. Riyanto, M.A. Sukari, M. Rahmani, G.C.L. Ee, Y.H. Taufiq-Yap, N. Aimi and M. Kitajima, *Malaysian J. Anal. Sci.*, **7**, 463 (2001).
16. S. Inayama, H. Hori, G.M. Pang, H. Nagasawa and H. Ageta, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **37**, 2836 (1989).
17. G.W. Van Eijk, H.J. Roeljmans and D. Seykens, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **27**, 2533 (1986).