Chemistry of Groundwater from the Taiyuan Formation Aquifer in Liuyi Coal Mine, Northern Anhui Province, China and Its Implications for Water Rock Interaction in Deep Seated Environment

H.R. GUI* and L.H. SUN

School of Earth Science and Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Fax: +86 557 3685692; Tel: +86 557 2871038; E-mail: guiherong@163.com

(Received: 9 June 2012;

Accepted: 20 April 2013)

AJC-13372

Chemical compositions of groundwater from the Taiyuan formation aquifer in Liuyi coal mine, Northern Anhui Province, China have been measured for evaluating the hydro-chemical evolution in deep environments. The total dissolved solids values of groundwater range from 640 to 2567 mg/L and indicates moderate to highly mineralized and the ions are predominantly composed of (Na + K), Ca and SO₄ with their average concentrations are 225, 117 and 508 mg/L, respectively. The groundwater samples are saturated with calcite and dolomite and undersaturated with gypsum with their average saturation indexes are 0.25, 0.18 and -1.29, respectively. The main minerals incorporated in the water rock interaction include halite, albite, K-feldspar, gypsum, pyrite, calcite and dolomite: Na and K are originated from the dissolution of halite, albite and K-feldspar, SO₄ is from dissolution of gypsum and the dissolution and oxidation of pyrite, whereas Ca and Mg are originated from dissolution of calcite and dolomite.

Key Words: Hydro-geochemistry, Water rock interaction, Groundwater, Deep seated environment.

INTRODUCTION

Coals and its related products (gas and electronic power) are the main energy in China at the moment. It occupied 60 % of China's primary energy during 2010 and this proportion will maintain more than 50 % till 2050. Therefore, the safety of coal mining is directly related to the development of China's economy. In order to meet the demand of energy consume, more and more attention have been paid towards the deep environments with up to 1000 m depth as the drying up of coals in shallow areas. In this background, controlling of water inrush in coal mines will become more serious as they can brought to us so many accidents with great losses during mining activities. Take an instance, more than 70 water inrush accidents had occurred from 2007 to 2009 and more than 300 people died.

The controlling of water in rush is based on the knowledge of geological, hydrological conditions of the coal mines, the method for prediction of water in rush probability (geotechnical engineering and geophysical exploration) and water source discrimination (geochemical exploration). Therefore, a series of hydro-chemical studies have been processed and a large number of discrimination models have been reported¹⁻³. Although most of these methods were useful in identification the source of water, the reasons about the differences between aquifers have not been well understand.

Previous studies revealed that chemical composition of groundwater is mainly controlled by the supplies (*e.g.* composition of rainfall) and the geological processes along flow paths (*e.g.* water rock interactions) within the aquifer^{4,5}. Therefore, the water rock interactions have attracted a large number of studies^{6,7}. However, similar work related to the deep environments is limited because of the difficulty of sampling.

In this paper, the chemical compositions (major ions) of groundwater from the Taiyuan Formation aquifer (a limestone aquifer) in Liuyi coal mine, northern Anhui Province, China have been measured for evaluating the hydro-chemical characteristics of the aquifer.

EXPERIMENTAL

Hydrological background: The Liugiao coal mine district (including Liuyi and Liuer coal mine) is located west to the Huaibei city, northern Anhui Province, China with its longitude between 116°34'07" and 116°40'24", latitude between 33°53'33" and 33°57'54". The distance between the coal mine and Huaibei City is 12 km. The coal mine district is separated into Liuyi and Liuer coal mine by Tulou and Guxiaoqiao fault in the northwest and is limited by Liugiao fault in the southeast (Fig. 1). The length and width of the coal mine district are 7 and 1.5-2 km, with a total area of 14.3 km². More than one million tons of coal can be produced per year since 1981.

Fig. 1. Cross section of the study area

The coal mine district is covered by Quaternary sediments with huge thickness and the strata revealed by drilling cores include Ordovician, Carboniferous, Permian and Cenozoic with a total thickness of more than 1500 m. The Ordovician strata is composed of thick limestones, the Carboniferous strata is mainly composed of limestones, to a lesser extent, mudstone and sandstone. The Permian strata is mainly composed of mudstone and sandstone without limestone, whereas the Cenozoic strata is composed of clay, mudstone and sandstone. Coals are mainly found in the Permian strata, with limited thin layers can be found in the Carboniferous strata.

Previous investigation⁸ revealed that the groundwater system in the coal mine district is subdivided into four aquifers, including the Quaternary, Coal bearing (Permian), Taiyuan Fm (Carboniferous) and Ordovician limestone aquifers. The Quaternary, Taiyuan Fm and Ordovician aquifers are supplied by precipitation in the north part of the coal mine, whereas the Coal bearing aquifer is relatively "closed" with no obvious supplies from other aquifers.

Methods: A total of 25 groundwater samples for chemical analysis were collected from drainage holes in the alley of Liuyi coal mine during November 2010 and September 2011. Water temperature, pH and total dissolved solids (TDS) were measured in the field by using a portable pH and TDS meter. Water samples were filtered through 0.45 μm pore-size membrane and collected into 2 L polyethylene bottles that had been cleaned in the laboratory.

The concentrations of (Na + K), Ca, Mg, Cl, SO_4 , HCO_3 and CO_3 concentrations were measured in the laboratory of geochemistry in the Research Center of Coal Exploration, Anhui Province, China. K and Na were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry, SO_4 and Cl were analyzed by ion chromatography, Ca and Mg were analyzed by EDTA titration and alkaline (including HCO_3 and CO_3) was analyzed by Acid-base Titration.

PHREEQC⁹ was used to compute the saturation index (SI) of major minerals (calcite, dolomite and gypsum), which indicates the chemical equilibrium between water and minerals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Concentrations and water types: The total dissolved solids (TDS) values of the groundwater samples range from 640-2567 mg/L (Table-1) and indicates moderate to highly mineralized water. The cations are mainly composed of (Na + K) and Ca, their average concentrations are 225 and 117 mg/L, respectively, whereas the anions is SO_4 predominant, with an average value of 508 mg/L. Moreover, these groundwater samples are slightly alkaline as their pH values range from 7.3 to 8.8.

Because of their significant variation of chemical compositions, the plots of sample show large span on the Piper diagram (Fig. 2) and can be classified into several types (Table-1) with Ca/Na- SO_4 predominant. Another phenomenon can also be obtained from Fig. 2 that they show high HCO_3^- to high SO_4^{2-} and high (Na + K) to high Ca trend, probably indicating their multi origins.

Fig. 2. Piper diagram of groundwater samples

Mineral saturation: SI values of calcite, dolomite and gypsum of groundwater samples are listed in Table-1. As can be seen from the Table, SI values for gypsum of all samples are less than 0, implying that gypsum is under-saturated and the groundwater can feasibly dissolve gypsum. In contrast, most of the SI values for calcite ($n = 18$) and dolomite ($n = 16$) are higher than 0, indicating saturation and precipitation of carbonate minerals including calcite and dolomite.

Groundwater in recharge areas or in the upgrading area of flow systems is always under-saturated with calcite, dolomite and gypsum because of the insufficient mineral sources and/or disequilibrium of water rock interactions. And thus, groundwater has had prolonged contact with the aquifer may obtain equilibrium with the minerals. Therefore, TDS can be used with the location of samples for deducing the direction of groundwater flow and origin⁵.

It can be concluded from Fig. 3 that the correlation between SI values for calcite and ion concentrations of HCO_3^- and Ca^{2+} are not obvious, indicating that calcite did not continue dissolving along the flow path. A similar conclusion can also be made for dolomite from the correlation between $\text{SI}_{\text{Dolomite}}$ and HCO_3^- , as well as Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} concentrations. In contrast, $\text{SI}_{\text{Gypsum}}$ values are less than 0, implying the probability of dissolution of gypsum along the flow path. However, the $\text{SI}_{\text{Gypsum}}$ values are negatively correlated with Ca^{2+} concentrations ($R^2 = 0.45$), suggesting that the dissolution of gypsum is not active because an active dissolution of gypsum will increase the concentration of Ca^{2+} and then leading to the positive correlation between $\text{SI}_{\text{Gypsum}}$ values and Ca concentrations. Therefore, the

TABLE-1
ANALYTICAL RESULTS (mg/L)

ID	pH	TDS	Ca	Mg	Na+K	Cl	SO ₄	HCO ₃	CO ₃	SI-1	SI-2	SI-3
1	8.3	794	46	26.6	172	109	318	109	14.1	-0.81	-2.84	-1.22
2	7.3	1379	239	26.5	132	98.1	580	303	0	-0.01	0.31	-2.11
3	7.4	1060	108	11.2	195	105	298	343	0	-0.06	-0.25	-1.35
4	7.3	1322	142	71.7	158	93.1	720	137	0	0.27	0.25	-1.88
5	8.3	996	80.8	13.2	206	98.4	304	283	10.1	0.35	0.48	-0.4
6	7.3	1052	124	59.4	106	91	465	207	0	-0.08	-0.1	-1.65
7	7.3	1250	178	33.6	150	91.2	581	216	0	-0.25	0.13	-2.02
8	7.3	1982	80.2	54.3	439	126	676	607	0	0.6	1.16	-1.06
9	8.3	1473	135	4.24	341	158	763	53.8	20.2	0.43	0.96	-1.42
10	7.3	680	25.6	2.39	196	100	306	48.7	0	0.47	0.31	-0.63
11	7.3	888	56.3	1.62	231	107	433	58.4	0	0.3	-0.04	-1.12
12	8.7	2255	29.6	84.9	676	93.6	1169	0	38.3	0.25	0.66	-1.07
13	7.3	1103	81.6	51.6	174	103	371	322	0	0.55	-0.08	-0.75
14	7.5	1269	93.5	77.2	159	124	237	578	0	-1.19	-3.06	-1.63
15	7.3	848	31.8	32.7	190	98.7	367	128	0	1.88	2.3	-0.29
16	8.7	1252	260	32.4	281	46.6	233	329	70.5	-0.29	-1.35	-1.13
17	8.8	1220	103	47.3	287	80.7	559	109	35.3	0.55	0.86	-1.34
18	8.7	1112	153	11.5	255	92.9	455	0	37.1	-0.7	-1.06	-1.53
19	8.6	1020	39.6	14.5	289	101	532	0	27.8	1.94	3.34	-0.98
20	7.3	1190	97.7	14.9	241	104	367	366	0	1.15	2.31	-0.98
21	7.3	640	94.1	40.7	30.4	99.8	91.3	284	0	0.11	-2.45	-1.1
22	7.4	774	69.8	23.9	133	101	237	209	0	-0.05	-0.21	-1.33
23	7.4	2567	179	116	455	191	1399	227	0	0.13	0.99	-1.62
24	7.3	1922	327	90.4	126	194	981	204	0	0.37	1.01	-1.93
25	8.4	2339	13.4	7.26	742	141	1240	164	30.9	0.28	-0.42	-1.59
26	8.2	2083	6.94	13.6	655	224	879	284	20.1	0.12	0.39	-0.56
27	7.7	849	151	63.2	7.22	132	271	225	0	0.33	0.83	-1.8

Note: SI-1, 2 and 3 mean saturation index of calcite, dolomite and gypsum, respectively.

Fig. 3. Plots of SI values versus ion concentrations

dissolution of gypsum is probably limited by the dissolution of calcite and/or dolomite, as well as dissolution and oxidation of pyrite, because they can lead to the increasing of Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} concentrations and then limit the dissolution of gypsum.

Contributions to TDS: The relationships between TDS and major ions can be useful for interpreting major hydro-geochemical evolution processes within the aquifer and, can also be used to deduce the sources of ions and the origin of the groundwater⁹. For cations in the groundwater samples, there is good linear correlations between $(\text{Na} + \text{K})$ and TDS ($R^2 = 0.75$), whereas good correlation between SO_4^{2-} and TDS can be obtained for the anions ($R^2 = 0.79$) (Fig. 4). Additionally, Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- (except for three samples with TDS values = 1981, 2255 and 2567 mg/L), Mg^{2+} concentrations are slightly increased with increasing of TDS. These correlations suggest that dissolution of Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} enriched minerals

such as albite, K-feldspar, gypsum, calcite and dolomite is important for the increasing of TDS, although the dissolution of gypsum has been probably affected by other processes (see above).

Source of ions: If Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} and HCO_3^- are solely derived from the dissolution of carbonate (calcite and dolomite) and evaporate minerals (gypsum) and if Na and Cl are solely from the dissolution of halite, then the ratios between ions such as $(\text{Ca} + \text{Mg})/(\text{SO}_4 + \text{HCO}_3)$ and Na/Cl would be a constant value of 1. However, as can be seen from Fig. 5, the values of $(\text{Na} + \text{K})/\text{Cl}^-$ of all samples except three are higher than 1, suggesting other sources of Na^+ and K^+ . In combination with the correlation between $(\text{Na} + \text{K})$ and TDS values (Fig. 4), as well as the existence of sandstone in the strata, the high values of $(\text{Na} + \text{K})/\text{Cl}^-$ probably suggests the incorporation of albite and/or K-feldspar.

Fig. 4. Plots of TDS versus SI and ion concentrations

Fig. 5. Plots of ion concentrations

Additionally, if Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} are originated only from the dissolution of gypsum, then the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ will be equivalent to one. The higher SO_4^{2-} concentrations relative to Ca^{2+} (Fig. 6) suggests that additional SO_4^{2-} are supplied from other sources. Considering about the existence of pyrite in the coal bearing strata, the increasing of SO_4^{2-} concentrations probably originated from the dissolution of pyrite (FeS) and then oxidation of S^{2-} .

Moreover, if calcite is the sole source of Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- , the $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ would be 1:1-1:2. However, as can be seen from Fig. 6, all of the samples can be subdivided into two types, one is HCO_3^- enriched with $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ less than 0.5, another one is Ca^{2+} enriched with $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ higher than 1. This phenomenon cannot be only produced by dissolution of calcite, but the dissolution of dolomite can increase the concentration of HCO_3^- whereas the dissolution of gypsum will increase the concentration of Ca^{2+} . This consideration is further confirmed by the correlation between $(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$ and HCO_3^- : samples with $(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})/\text{HCO}_3^-$ higher than 1 is affected by dissolution of gypsum whereas the samples with ratios between 1 and 0.5 are primarily originated from dissolution of dolomite.

Conclusion

Chemical compositions (major ions) of groundwater from the Taiyuan Formation aquifer in Liuyi coal mine, northern Anhui Province, China have been measured for evaluating the hydrochemical characteristics of the aquifer, the following conclusion have been made: The groundwater are moderate to highly mineralized with TDS values range from 640 to 2567 mg/L and are predominantly composed of $(\text{Na} + \text{K})$, Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} . Most of the groundwater samples are saturated with calcite and dolomite, whereas the gypsum is under-saturated, their average saturation indexes are 0.25, 0.18 and -1.29, respectively. Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} enriched minerals such as halite, albite, K-feldspar, gypsum, pyrite, calcite and dolomite are important for the increasing of TDS. Na^+ and K^+ are originated from the dissolution of halite, albite and K-feldspar, SO_4^{2-} is from dissolution of gypsum and the dissolution and oxidation of pyrite, whereas Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} are originated from dissolution of calcite and dolomite.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41173106).

REFERENCES

1. P. Huang and J. Chen, *J. China Coal Soc.*, **36**, 131 (2011).
2. W. Wei, X. Lu and L. Shi, *J. China Coal Soc.*, **35**, 811 (2011).
3. H. Chen, X. Li, A. Liu and S. Peng, *Sci. Technol.*, **40**, 1114 (2009).
4. F. Moral, J.J. Cruz-Sanjulian and M. Olias, *J. Hydrol.*, **360**, 281 (2008).
5. D. Gastmans, H.K. Chang and I. Hutcheon, *Appl. Geochem.*, **25**, 16 (2010).
6. S. Ettazarini, *Environ. Geol.*, **49**, 293 (2005).
7. L. Belkhir, A. Boudoukha, L. Mouni and T. Baouz, *Geoderma*, **159**, 390 (2010).
8. L. Chen, H. Gui and X. Yin, *J. China Coal Soc.*, **33**, 1107 (2008).
9. D.L. Parkhurst and C.A.J. Appelo, Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4259, p. 310 (1999).
9. S.K. Kumar, V. Rammohan, J.D. Sahayam and M. Jeevanandam, *Environ. Monitor. Assess.*, **159**, 341 (2009).