Delivery of Alzheimer's Drug Donepezil Hydrochloride from Ionically Crosslinked Alginate Microspheres Prepared by Water-in-oil Emulsion Technique: Optimization of Release Conditions

EMINE BULUT¹ and OYA SANLI^{2,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Arts and Science, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200 Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

²Department of Chemistry, Science Faculty, Gazi University, 06500 Ankara, Turkey

*Corresponding author: Fax: +90 312 2122279; e-mail: osanli@gazi.edu.tr

(Received: 9 April 2012;

Accepted: 21 January 2013)

AJC-12738

In the present investigation should be microspheres loaded with donepezil hydrochloride (DP) were prepared by water-in-oil emulsion technique using sodium alginate as a hydrophilic carrier. Microspheres were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Swelling experiments were performed to compute the diffusion coefficients and molecular mass between cross-links of the microspheres. *In vitro* release studies have been performed in 1.2 and 6.8 pH media. Effect of variables such as cross-linker concentration, time of cross-linking, drug/polymer (d/p) ratio on the release, percentage entrapment efficiency, particle size and swelling capacity were determined. The highest donepezil hydrochloride release percentage was obtained as 99.8 % for the cross-linker concentration of 3 % (w/v), drug/polymer ratio of 1/4 (w/w) at the end of 4 h in 6.8 media. The release rates were fitted to an empirical equation to compute the diffusional exponent which indicated non-Fickian transport.

Key Words: Donepezil hydrochloride, Microspheres, Controlled release, Drug delivery systems, Water soluble polymers.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years; biodegradable polymeric materials as drug carriers have received considerable attention¹⁻⁴. Physical combination of polymers with drugs are achieved by encapsulation of drugs in polymeric microspheres, beads, micelles and hydrogel type materials⁵. Drug release from these matrixes is controlled by diffusion of the free drug, or the erosion of the matrixes.

Natural polymers such as chitosan, guar gum, sodium alginate are often preferred over synthetic polymers due to their non-toxic, low cost, ease of availability and biodegradability characteristics^{6,7}. However, several natural biopolymers, especially the class of polysaccharides, have some inherent disadvantages such as poor mechanical strength, uncontrolled water uptake and microbial contamination⁸. Sodium alginate (NaAlg), a water-soluble salt of alginic acid is a natural polysaccharide obtained from brown algae's. It composed of β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and α -L-guluronic acid (G) residues in varying proportions and arrangements. Alginate matrix is formed by cross-linking the guluronic acid units with divalent cations such as Ca^{2+} . However, Ca^{2+} is preferred as it selectively bind the guluronic acid units to form an egg-box model⁹⁻¹⁵.

Sanli *et al.*⁴ we have prepared PVP/NaAlg blend microspheres containing diltiazem hydrochloride to achieve

a controlled drug release profile suitable for oral administration. We have reported that diltiazem hydrochloride release from the microspheres increased with both PVP/NaAlg ratio and drug/polymer ratio whereas it decreased with the increase of crosslinker concentration, exposure time to CaCl_2 solution and molecular weight of PVP. Lemoine *et al.*¹² investigated the controlled release of bovine serum albumin from alginate microspheres. They have found that the release of bovine serum albumin from alginate microspheres was quite faster particularly in phosphate buffered saline solution than in water. They reported that it was possible to delay the release of bovine serum albumin, particularly by coating the alginate microspheres with poly(L-lysine). Babu *et al.*¹⁶ studied the controlled release of nifedipine from polymeric blend microspheres consisting of NaAlg and methylcellulose (MC) using water-in-oil emulsion method. They have found that the nifedipine release from the microspheres increased with the increase in NaAlg/MC ratio. Zheng *et al.*¹⁷ prepared alginate-chitosan-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) composite microspheres and reported that this kind of novel composite microspheres may be a promising delivery system for water soluble proteins and peptides. Pepperman and Kuan¹⁸ investigated the controlled release of alachlor from alginate microspheres. They found controlled alachlor release rates with the addition of linseed oil.

TABLE-1
PREPARATION CONDITIONS AND THE RESULTS OF ENTRAPMENT EFFICIENCY (%), MICROSPHERE YIELD (%), MICROSPHERE SIZES (μm) OF THE DONEPEZIL HYDROCHLORIDE LOADED MICROSPHERES

Code	CaCl ₂ concentration (%) (w/v)	Cross linking time in CaCl ₂ (min)	Drug/polymer ratio (w/w)	Entrapment efficiency (%)	Microsphere yield (%)	Microsphere size (μm)
A ₁	3	30	1/4	20.95 $\pm$ 2.36	74.77 $\pm$ 3.08	43.5 $\pm$ 1.8
A ₂	5	30	1/4	18.91 $\pm$ 0.17	85.20 $\pm$ 0.57	39.5 $\pm$ 0.9
A ₃	7	30	1/4	9.08 $\pm$ 0.68	91.20 $\pm$ 2.37	36.7 $\pm$ 2.1
A ₄	5	15	1/4	18.31 $\pm$ 0.97	69.16 $\pm$ 1.41	73.5 $\pm$ 0.7
A ₅	5	60	1/4	5.87 $\pm$ 1.56	96.20 $\pm$ 5.09	37.5 $\pm$ 1.8
A ₆	5	120	1/4	5.08 $\pm$ 0.06	87.50 $\pm$ 4.83	35.2 $\pm$ 1.1
A ₇	5	30	1/2	14.81 $\pm$ 0.75	62.60 $\pm$ 1.41	98.8 $\pm$ 2.9
A ₈	5	30	1/8	22.22 $\pm$ 0.16	99.35 $\pm$ 0.92	32.5 $\pm$ 0.5
A ₉	5	60	1/2	7.04 $\pm$ 0.09	65.39 $\pm$ 1.53	61.5 $\pm$ 1.0
A ₁₀	5	60	1/8	8.02 $\pm$ 0.75	99.55 $\pm$ 0.64	30.5 $\pm$ 1.3

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive, neurodegenerative disease characterized by memory loss, language deterioration, impaired visuospatial skills, poor judgment, indifferent attitude, but preserved motor function. Alzheimer's disease usually begins after age 65, however, its onset may occur as early as age 40, appearing first as memory decline and over several years, destroying cognition, personality and ability to function¹⁹. Donepezil, galantamine and rivastigmine are the three acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs) most widely used to treat Alzheimer's disease²⁰. The donepezil chemically ($\pm$)-2,3-dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-2-[[1-(phenylmethyl)-4-piperidinyl]methyl]-1*H*-inden-1-one, is an acetylcholine-blocking agent, is known to prevent the rapid hydrolysis of acetylcholine in synapses of central and peripheral nervous system and hence a potent drug for Alzheimer's disease²¹⁻²⁴. Donepezil is available currently in the market as once a daily tablet or capsule (5 mg or 10 mg/day)²⁵. However, the adverse effects have been still reported due to enhanced cholinergic activity through the gastrointestinal^{26,27}. For this reason, it is important to control the drug delivery to improve its bioavailability. One way to overcome the gastrointestinal problems is to use encapsulated the donepezil hydrochloride in controlled release systems. However there are limited number of studies in the literature on the encapsulation of donepezil hydrochloride. Park *et al.*²¹ intercalated donepezil molecules into simectite clays (Iaponite XLG, saponite and montmorillonite) and hybrids were coated with Eudragit® E-100 they have reported that the presence of cationic polymer Eudragit® E-100 showed great enhancement in the release rate

Yan *et al.*²⁸ prepared non-bitter donepezil hydrochloride orally disintegrating tablet for enhanced patient compliance by preparing microspheres with different ratios of drug and Eudragit® EPO using spray drying method and reported that EPO-based drug loaded microspheres neither decreased the bioavailability nor delayed the release of donepezil hydrochloride. Zhang *et al.*²⁵ prepared poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) microparticles by an oil-water emulsion solvent evaporation technique and investigated the controlled release of donepezil from microparticles. Donepezil loaded microparticles released the donepezil within 28 days in water, but showed a slow release in phosphate buffer (pH: 7.4) solution.

In the present study we have aimed to prepare donepezil hydrochloride encapsulated sodium alginate microspheres suitable for oral administration. The emulsion cross-linking

method has been used for the preparation of the microspheres. Sodium alginate microspheres were prepared in various drug/polymer ratios using calcium chloride as the cross-linking agent. Particle size, microspheres yield, entrapment efficiency, equilibrium swelling degree of the microspheres were examined and donepezil hydrochloride release rates were investigated at two pH values (1.2 and 6.8). The effects of cross-linker concentration and time, drug-polymer ratio on donepezil release were also searched and discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sodium alginate with a medium viscosity was purchased from Sigma Chemical (Louis, USA). Donepezil hydrochloride was provided from Sanovel (Turkey) as a gift. Liquid paraffin were supplied from Aklar Chemistry (Turkey). Calcium chloride, span 85, *n*-hexane, Na₂HPO₄ and NaH₂PO₄ were all purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and were used as received.

Preparation of sodium alginate microspheres: Water-in-oil emulsion technique was utilized for the preparation of microspheres followed by cross-linking with calcium chloride. The homogenous solution of NaAlg (2 % (w/v) in water) containing donepezil hydrochloride in various drug/polymer ratios were prepared and stirred for 12 h to form homogenous solution. The aqueous phase was emulsified in light liquid paraffin in the ratio of 1:5 (v/v) containing 2 % (w/v) span 85 using a magnetical stirrer (Nuve, Turkey) at 400 rpm for 15, 30, 60 min. Then 3 %, 5 %, 7 % (w/v) calcium chloride was added slowly to this emulsion and stirred to assure efficient cross-linking. Microspheres were collected by filtration, washed with *n*-hexane and then dried completely in an oven (Mettler, Germany) at 40 °C. Unloaded microspheres were prepared in a similar way without donepezil hydrochloride to determine equilibrium swelling values of the microspheres. Different variables like drug-polymer ratio, concentration of cross-linking agent and time required for cross-linking were considered in the optimization of the formulation of the microspheres. Preparation conditions were displayed in Table-1.

Equilibrium swelling degree study of the microspheres: Equilibrium swelling degree (ESD) of the cross-linked empty microspheres was determined gravimetrically by measuring the extent of their swelling in the solution of pH:1.2 and distilled water at 37 °C. To ensure complete equilibration, the samples were allowed to swell for 24 h. The excess surface-adhered liquid drops were removed by blotting and the swollen

Fig. 1. FTIR results of empty microspheres (A), NaAlg (B), donepezil hydrochloride (C), donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microspheres (D)

microspheres were weighted using electronic balance (Sartorius, Korea) The microspheres were then dried in an oven at 40 °C until constant weight. The percent ESD was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Equilibrium swelling degree (\%)} : (M_s - M_d) / M_d \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where M_s and M_d are mass of swollen microspheres and mass of dry microspheres, respectively.

Determination of donepezil hydrochloride content of the microspheres: The known mass of microspheres were crushed in an agate mortar with a pestle and the polymeric powder were refluxed with 250 mL of distilled water, for 4 h to ensure the complete extraction of donepezil hydrochloride from the microspheres. After that, the absorbance of the solution containing the extracted amount of donepezil hydrochloride was measured at the wavelength of 270 nm by a UV spectrophotometer (TU-1880 double Beam UV-VIS) using pure distilled water as a blank. Practical donepezil hydrochloride loading was determined using a calibration curve. The percentage of entrapment efficiency was then calculated as:

$$\text{Entrapment efficiency (\%)} : \left(\frac{\text{Practical donepezil loading}}{\text{Theoretical donepezil loading}} \right) \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Fourier transform infrared measurement (FTIR):

FTIR spectra of NaAlg microspheres, NaAlg, donepezil hydrochloride and donepezil hydrochloride loaded NaAlg microspheres were taken in the wavelength region of 4000-400 cm^{-1} with Perkin-Elmer BX-II (Germany) spectrometer at the ambient temperature and presented in Fig. 1.

Differential scanning calorimetry:

The thermal analysis was performed with a differential scanning calorimeter (Shimadzu, Japan). The sample weights ranged from 5 to 8 mg. The samples were heated from 30 °C to 250 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min.

Scanning electron microscopic studies (SEM):

SEM micrographs were taken with LEO 1430 VP scanning electron microscope (UK) to examine the morphology and surface structure of the microspheres at the required magnification at room temperature. The microspheres were deposited on brass hold and sputtered with a thin coat of gold under vacuum.

Optical microscope: Particle size was measured by using optical microscopy (Olympus CH20BIMF200, Japan).

In vitro drug release: *In vitro* drug release from the microspheres was studied in 250 mL conical flasks containing pH:1.2 HCl solution, pH:6.8 phosphate buffer solution and

Fig. 2. Possible reaction mechanism of NaAlg with CaCl₂

incubated in a shaking water bath (Medline BS-21, Korea) at 37 °C, with a speed of 100 rpm. At 2h intervals donepezil hydrochloride release medium was changed with different pH value solution. Samples are withdrawn at specific time intervals and donepezil content was determined by using UV spectrophotometer at 270 nm. Equal volume of fresh HCl or phosphate buffer solution was added to the release medium to maintain the constant volume. Experiments were performed in triplicate in order to minimize the variational error. Standard deviations from the average values were calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FTIR spectra of empty crosslinked NaAlg microsphere and NaAlg are shown in Fig. 1 (A, B). The spectrum of the NaAlg has shown the bands at around 3447 cm⁻¹ for -OH stretching and 2929 cm⁻¹ for aliphatic C-H stretching. The bands at 1616, 1418, 1031 cm⁻¹ are due to COO⁻ (asymmetric), COO⁻ (symetric) and C-O-C stretching respectively. The cross-linking process with calcium ion provided a shift of lower intensity of COO⁻ stretching bands at 1616 and 1418 cm⁻¹ to higher wavenumbers (1618 cm⁻¹ and 1430 cm⁻¹)^{4,29,30}. Possible reaction mechanism for the cross-linking of NaAlg is presented in Fig. 2.

FTIR spectra of donepezil hydrochloride and drug loaded crosslinked NaAlg microsphere are also shown in Fig. 1 (C, D).

Chemical structure of donepezil hydrochloride is presented in Fig. 1. For donepezil hydrochloride, a sharp peak corresponding to the C=O stretching, C-N-C stretching and C-H wagging bands were observed at 1684, 1502 and 1316 cm⁻¹ respectively. The stretching vibrations of sp³ C-H were also seen at 2923 and 2858 cm⁻¹²¹. In the spectrum of donepezil hydrochloride loaded microspheres, the band in 3448 cm⁻¹ is being wider, the band in 1618 cm⁻¹ move to the band in 1654 cm⁻¹ and becomes wider. So polymer and drug have a affection in this point.

Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of donepezil hydrochloride (A), donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microspheres (B) and empty microspheres (C) are displayed in Fig. 3. Donepezil hydrochloride shows a sharp endothermic peak at 230 °C due to melting, but in case of donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microspheres, no characteristic peak was observed at 230 °C, suggesting that donepezil hydrochloride is molecularly dispersed in the matrix.

SEM Studies: The shapes of empty microsphere and donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microsphere are shown in Fig. 4. As it is seen from the figure, that empty and donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microsphere are almost spherical in shape and empty microsphere shows smooth surface whereas donepezil hydrochloride loaded microsphere shows roughness in the surface.

Fig. 3. Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of donepezil hydrochloride (A), donepezil hydrochloride-loaded (A_2) microspheres (B), empty ($A_{2,1}$) microspheres (C)

Fig. 4. SEM micrographs of empty NaAlg microsphere ($A_{2,1}$) $\times 2500$ (A), donepezil hydrochloride loaded NaAlg microsphere (A_2) $\times 1300$ (B)

Particle size evaluation of microspheres: The results of microsphere diameter, entrapment efficiency (%) and microsphere yield (%) were displayed in Table-1. Particle size was measured by optical microscope. As can be seen from the table, the microspheres formed have particle sizes ranging from 30.5 ± 1.3 to 98.8 ± 2.9 μm in diameter depending on the d/p (w/w) ratio, cross-linker concentration, exposure time to CaCl_2 . In all of the formulations, with increasing exposure time and CaCl_2 concentration, diameter of the microspheres decreases, due to the formation of a more rigid network as a result of increased cross-link density. Babu *et al.*¹⁶ have found similar results with sodium alginate methylcellulose blend microspheres.

Effect of concentration of CaCl_2 on the donepezil hydrochloride release: Many parameters affect the drug release behaviour of the polymeric microspheres. These include concentration of polymer, physical blending of two polymers, drug crystallinity, drug/polymer ratio, concentration of cross-linking agent, exposure time to cross-linking agent, *etc.* One of the most effective way to change release rate of microspheres is to change cross-link density of the matrix by employing varying concentrations of the cross-linking agent⁴.

In vitro release of donepezil hydrochloride from cross-linked alginate microspheres was studied in gastric (2 h) and intestinal (2 h) pH conditions at 37 °C. The effect of CaCl_2

concentration on the release rate of donepezil hydrochloride was investigated by varying concentration of CaCl_2 solution from 3 % to 7 % (w/v). The release results from these microspheres are presented in Fig. 5. CaCl_2 concentration of 5 % (w/v) was preferred in the rest of the study due to the high burst effect at 3 % (w/v) and low release rate at 7 % (w/v) CaCl_2 concentration. Release results were also supported by swelling measurements given in Table-2.

Fig. 5. Effect of CaCl_2 concentration on donepezil hydrochloride release. drug/polymer: 1/4, exposure time: 0.5 h

Code	CaCl_2 concentration (%)	Crosslinking time in CaCl_2 (min)	Water	pH=1.2
$A_{1,1}$	3	30	83.2 ± 0.76	164.3 ± 4.67
$A_{2,1}$	5	30	73.7 ± 2.14	105.5 ± 1.51
$A_{3,1}$	7	30	45.5 ± 0.86	94.0 ± 1.41
$A_{4,1}$	5	15	75.8 ± 0.85	160.8 ± 1.38
$A_{5,1}$	5	60	30.0 ± 2.08	80.0 ± 2.21

Similar results were also reported by other workers^{9,16,31-36}. Kurkuri *et al.*³¹ investigated interpenetrating polymeric network (IPN) of poly(vinyl alcohol) and poly(acrylic acid) crosslinked with glutaraldehyde for the *in vitro* release of diclofenac sodium (DS). They have reported that when the amount of glutaraldehyde increased from 2.5 to 7.5 mL, swelling values decreased. Rastogi *et al.*⁹ investigated the controlled release of the isoniazid from NaAlg microspheres produced by a modified emulsification method. They found a remarkable delay in the release of isoniazid for NaAlg microspheres with the increase of crosslinker concentration. Sanli and Isiklan³⁴ studied controlled release of carbaryl from copper alginate, barium alginate and alginic acid beads. They have reported that carbaryl release gets slower when the microspheres prepared with high crosslinker concentrations. Rokhade *et al.*³⁶ investigated release of the acyclovir from semi-interpenetrating polymer network (IPN) microspheres of chitosan and acrylamide grafted on dextran. They observed that when amount of glutaraldehyde increased from 3 mL to 9 mL, acyclovir release decreased.

Effect of exposure time to CaCl_2 on the donepezil hydrochloride release: Another way to change the crosslink density of the microspheres is to change the exposure time to CaCl_2 solution. For this purpose, exposure time to CaCl_2 was

changed from 15 min to 120 min. The release results are presented in Fig. 6, which clearly indicates that with increasing cross-linking time, the release rate decreases. The maximum donepezil hydrochloride release from the microsphere was found to be 99.6 % at the end of 150 min for the microspheres prepared with cross-linking time of 15 min.

Fig. 6. Effect of exposure time on donepezil hydrochloride release. drug/polymer: 1/4, concentration of CaCl_2 : 5 %

The observed decrease in the cumulative release may be attributed to the fact that increasing exposure time to crosslinker results increase in the cross-link density, which gives rise to a compact network of the polymer. Consequently, the free volume reduces and penetration of water molecules and diffusion of donepezil hydrochloride molecules become difficult. When the cross-linking time is increased the burst effect decreased. So release gets controlled. After that, the effect of the drug/polymer ratio on the release for the cross-linking time of 30 min and 60 min were investigated. Effect of cross-linking time on the release of donepezil hydrochloride from microspheres was also supported by swelling measurements. As it is seen from the Table-2, increase in exposure time to CaCl_2 decreased swelling percentage. Similar observations were reported in some studies in the literature^{4,33,37}. Zhang *et al.*³⁷ studied the effect of exposure time to crosslinker on the release of 5-fluorouracil from bovine serum albumin microspheres and obtained similar findings.

Effect of the drug/polymer ratio on the donepezil hydrochloride release: To investigate the effect of drug/polymer ratio on donepezil hydrochloride release, drug/polymer ratio was changed from 1/8 to 1/2 for the alginate microspheres crosslinked with 5 % CaCl_2 for 0.5 and 1 h and release results were shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

At the end of 2 h, the release of donepezil hydrochloride from d/p ratio of 1/2, 1/4 and 1/8 microspheres were found to be 90.10, 79.75 and 59.56 % for 0.5 h of cross-linking time and 72.64, 60.23 and 52.56 % for 1 h cross-linking time. Similar result was reported by Babu *et al.*¹⁶. They have studied carbohydrate polymeric blend microspheres consisting of NaAlg and methylcellulose for the controlled release of nifedipine and reported that nifedipine release increased with the amount of drug in the matrix.

Entrapment efficiency and yield value evaluation of the microspheres: Percentage of entrapment efficiency and microsphere yield may change depending on the preparation

Fig. 7. Effect of drug/polymer ratio on donepezil hydrochloride release. concentration of CaCl_2 : 5 %, exposure time to CaCl_2 : 0.5 h

Fig. 8. Effect of drug/polymer ratio on donepezil hydrochloride release. concentration of CaCl_2 : 5 %, exposure time to CaCl_2 : 1 h

conditions and the type of matrix material of the microspheres. The results of entrapment efficiency (%) and microsphere yield (%) and microsphere size (μm) were shown in Table-1. For the 0.5 and 1 h crosslinked microspheres, as the drug/polymer ratio increases from 1/8 to 1/2, the entrapment efficiency decreases from 22.22 to 14.81 % and from 8.02 to 7.04 %, respectively. This phenomenon may be explained as follows: when drug/polymer ratio increases, NaAlg traps less donepezil hydrochloride and thus entrapment efficiency decreases.

As can also be seen from the Table-1, increase in crosslinker concentration and time decreases the entrapment efficiency. Such a decreasing trend can be attributed to the increase cross-linking density of the microspheres which might have become more rigid structure as a result of reduction in free volume within the polymer matrix; thereby reduces their entrapment efficiencies. Similar results were stated by Isiklan³². Isiklan prepared calcium alginate and nickel alginate hydrogel beads for the delivery of insecticide carbaryl and found that entrapment efficiency decreased with the increase in the drug/polymer ratio and crosslinker concentration. Decreasing trend may also be due to the high aqueous solubility of donepezil hydrochloride resulting in loss of the drug in hardening, washing and filtering processes. Similar results were reported by other workers^{4,9,35}. Denkbaz *et al.*³⁵ prepared scaffolds composed of chitosan containing 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). They have reported that the drug loading was not very high due to high solubility of 5-fluorouracil in water.

Drug release kinetics: The phenomenon of solvent sorption by a polymeric microsphere depends mechanistically on the diffusion of water molecules into the gel matrix and subsequent relaxation of macromolecular chains of the bead³⁸.

Drug release kinetics was analyzed by plotting cumulative release data versus time and by fitting these data to the exponential equation of the type³⁹.

$$\left(\frac{M_t}{M_\infty}\right) = k \cdot t^n \quad (3)$$

Here, M_t is the amount of donepezil hydrochloride released at time t and M_∞ is the drug released at equilibrium time; k , a constant characteristic of the drug-polymer system; and n is an empirical parameter characterizing the release mechanism. Fickian release is defined by an initial $t^{1/2}$ time dependence of the fractional release for slabs, cylinders and spheres. Analogously, case-II transport is defined by an initial linear time dependence of the fractional release for all geometries. If $n = 0.5$, then drug diffuses and releases from the polymer matrix following a Fickian diffusion. For $n > 0.5$, an anomalous or non-Fickian type drug diffusion occurs. If $n = 1$, a completely non-Fickian or case-II release kinetics is operative. The intermediary values ranging between 0.5 and 1.0 are attributed to the anomalous type transport³⁹.

The least-squares estimations of the fractional release data along with the estimated correlation coefficient values, r , are presented in Table-3. From these data, then n value ranged between 0.334-0.868, indicating donepezil hydrochloride release from the microspheres display non-Fickian transport.

TABLE-3

D, k, n, r VALUES CALCULATED FROM EQS. (3) AND (4)

Code	D x 10 ¹² (cm ² /s)	k(min ⁻ⁿ)	n	r	Diffusion mechanism
A ₁	3.65	0.2229	0.868	0.9965	Non-Fickian
A ₂	2.85	0.1411	0.658	0.9657	Non-Fickian
A ₃	2.31	0.1323	0.599	0.9670	Non-Fickian
A ₄	12.4	0.1879	0.848	0.9991	Non-Fickian
A ₅	1.21	0.1623	0.405	0.9289	Fickian transport
A ₆	0.74	0.1461	0.367	0.9162	Fickian transport
A ₇	19.4	0.2114	0.868	0.9996	Non-Fickian
A ₈	0.84	0.1994	0.375	0.8867	Fickian transport
A ₉	4.37	0.1879	0.836	0.9989	Non-Fickian
A ₁₀	0.32	0.1217	0.334	0.9349	Fickian transport

Diffusion coefficient (D) can be calculated for water absorption or drug release by microspheres using the equation as follows⁴⁰.

$$D = \left(\frac{r\theta}{6M_\infty}\right)^2 \pi \quad (4)$$

where, θ is slope of the linear portion of the plot of M_t/M_∞ vs. $t^{1/2}$. r is radius of the dry microspheres and M_∞ is the maximum drug release. The data reported in Table-3 shows the relationship between diffusion coefficient and the exposure time, concentration of CaCl₂ and drug/polymer ratio. The diffusion coefficient value decreases from 3.65×10^{-12} to 2.31×10^{-12} cm²/s with increasing CaCl₂ concentration. An increase in exposure time to CaCl₂ decreases the diffusion coefficient, which is also in agreement with the release results (A₄, A₂, A₅, A₆). The diffusion coefficient values also increase with increasing

drug/polymer (for example A₂, A₇, A₈). Similar results were reported by many other workers^{16,30,36,40}. Rokhade *et al.*³⁶ prepared microspheres of acrylamide grafted on dextran and chitosan by emulsion-cross-linking method. They have reported that when the amount of crosslinker increased, diffusion coefficient values decreased and when the drug/polymer ratio increased, diffusion coefficient values also increased. Rokhade and his group studies supported the donepezil hydrochloride release results.

Determination of molar mass between crosslinks:

Release of drug from the polymer matrix is a function of the extent of cross-linking. To understand the extent of cross-linking of the polymer, it is necessary to calculate the molar mass between the crosslinks of the polymer (M_c). Equilibrium swelling is used to determine M_c . We have attempted to calculate the molecular mass (M_c), between cross-links using the Flory-Rehner equation⁴¹:

$$M_c = \rho_p V_s \phi^{1/3} [\ln(1-\phi) + \phi + \chi\phi^2]^{-1} \quad (5)$$

The volume fraction, ϕ , of the swollen polymer was calculated as follows:

$$\phi = \left[1 + \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_s} \left(\frac{M_a}{M_b} \right) - \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_s} \right]^{-1} \quad (6)$$

In the above equations, ρ_p and ρ_s are the densities of polymer and solvent respectively. M_b and M_a , are the mass of polymer before and after swelling respectively. V_s is the molar volume of the solvent. The interaction parameter, χ can be calculated with the Flory-Rehner equation:

$\chi = [\phi(1-\phi)^{-1} + N \ln(1-\phi) + N\phi] \times [2\phi - \phi^2 N - \phi^2 T^{-1} (d\phi/dT)^{-1}]^{-1}$ (7) where N is:

$$N = \left(\frac{\phi^{2/3}}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\phi^{1/3} - \frac{2\phi}{3} \right)^{-1} \quad (8)$$

and $d\phi/dT$ is the slope of the line obtained by plotting the volume fraction vs. temperature (K).

The M_c values were calculated from the equilibrium swelling data at three different temperatures. M_c values calculated for the donepezil hydrochloride-loaded microspheres are presented in Table-4. The M_c values increase with increasing temperature and varies in the range from 955 to 2847. These data indicate that, M_c values decrease with increasing amount of CaCl₂ in the formulation, which supports the donepezil hydrochloride release results. Similar types of results have also been reported elsewhere^{30,40,42,43}. Inal *et al.*³⁰ prepared indomethacin containing sodium alginate beads by crosslinking with glutaraldehyde. They reported that M_c values decrease with increasing amount of glutaraldehyde in the formulation.

Conclusion

This study which is based on the release of Alzheimer's drug donepezil hydrochloride from the microspheres prepared from sodium alginate by emulsification cross-linking method indicate that release increases with the increase in d/p ratio whereas decreases with the increase of crosslinker concentration and time. The highest donepezil hydrochloride release is found to be 99.8 % for CaCl₂ concentration of 3 % (w/v) and d/p ratio of 1/4 at the end of 4 h in pH of 6.8 media. Equilibrium swelling degrees and diffusion constants of all

TABLE-4
MOLECULAR MASS BETWEEN CROSSLINKS OF NaAlg
MICROSPHERES WITH DIFFERENT COMPOSITIONS

Swelling temperature (°C)	Code	N	ϕ	χ	M_c
27	A ₁	-1.0357	0.3500	0.5452	2019
37		-1.0373	0.3381	0.5453	2296
47		-1.0406	0.3153	0.5411	2847
27	A ₄	-1.0353	0.3390	0.5894	1837
37		-1.0468	0.3758	0.5835	2184
47		-1.0524	0.3667	0.5834	2430
27	A ₂	-1.0496	0.4104	0.5862	1520
37		-1.0369	0.3930	0.5819	1773
47		-1.0425	0.3831	0.5816	1972
27	A ₅	-0.9792	0.5479	0.7063	955
37		-0.9886	0.5109	0.6771	1148
47		-0.9949	0.4905	0.6655	1316
27	A ₇	-1.0572	0.3930	0.5769	1679
37		-1.0464	0.3764	0.5734	1957
47		-1.0539	0.3641	0.5722	2217

the formulations were found to be in consistence with the release results. The data of molar mass between the crosslinks also indicate that an increase in the concentration of CaCl₂, exposure time to CaCl₂ means a decrease in M_c values. From these results, it can be concluded donepezil hydrochloride release from the NaAlg microspheres depends on the preparation conditions and pH values of the medium.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Gazi University Scientific Research Foundation for support of this study.

REFERENCES

- Y.J. Fu, S.S. Shyu, F.H. Su and P.C. Yu, *Colloid. Surf.*, **25**, 269 (2002).
- A.R.C. Duarte, M.S. Costa, A.L. Simplicio, M.M. Cardoso and C.M.M. Duarte, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **308**, 168 (2006).
- S. Bozdog, S. Çalis, H.S. Kas, M.T. Ercan, I. Peksoy and A.A. Hincal, *J. Microencapsul.*, **18**, 443 (2001).
- O. Sanli, E. Biçer and N. Isiklan, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **107**, 1973 (2008).
- Z. Liu and S. Rimmer, *J. Control. Rel.*, **81**, 91 (2002).
- K.S. Soppimath and T.M. Aminabhavi, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, **53**, 87 (2002).
- S.G. Kumbar and T.M. Aminabhavi, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **89**, 2940 (2003).
- S.G. Kumbar, K.S. Soppimath and T.M. Aminabhavi, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **87**, 1525 (2003).
- R. Rastogi, Y. Sultana, M. Aqil, A. Ali, S. Kumar, K. Chuttani and A.K. Mishra, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **334**, 71 (2007).
- S. Peretz and O. Cinteza, *Colloid. Surf. A.*, **319**, 165 (2008).
- B. Arica, S. Çalis, H.S. Kas, M.F. Sargon and A.A. Hincal, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **242**, 267 (2002).
- D. Lemoine, F. Wauters, S. Bouchend'homme and V. Preat, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **176**, 9 (1998).
- S. Martins, B. Sarmento, E.B. Souto and D.C. Ferreira, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **69**, 725 (2007).
- X. Liu, W.S. Heng, Q. Li and L.W. Chan, *J. Control. Rel.*, **116**, 35 (2006).
- I. Rousseau, D.L. Cerf, L. Picton, J.F. Argillier and G. Muller, *Eur. Polym. J.*, **40**, 2709 (2004).
- V.R. Babu, M. Sairam, K.M. Hosamani and T.M. Aminabhavi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **69**, 241 (2007).
- C.H. Zheng, J.Q. Gao, Y.P. Zhang and W.Q. Liang, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **323**, 1321 (2004).
- A.B. Pepperman and J.C.W. Kuan, *J. Control. Rel.*, **34**, 17 (1995).
- W.H. Liu, J.L. Song, K. Liu, D.F. Chu and Y.X. Li, *J. Control. Rel.*, **107**, 417 (2005).
- C. Christodoulou, P. Melville, W.F. Scherl, W.S. MacAllister, L.E. Elkins and L.B. Krupp, *J. Neuro. Sci.*, **245**, 127 (2006).
- J.K. Park, Y.B. Choy, J.-M. Oh, J.Y. Kim, S.-J. Hwang and J.-H. Choy, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **359**, 198 (2008).
- P. Rocca, E. Cocuzza, L. Marchiaro and F. Bogetto, *Prog. Neuro-Psychop. Bio. Psych.*, **26**, 369 (2002).
- J.I. Friedman, D.N. Adler, E. Howanitz, P.D. Harvey, G. Brenner, H. Temporini, L. White, M. Parrella and K.L. Davis, *Biol. Psychiatry*, **51**, 349 (2002).
- S. Kafkalla, S. Matthaoui, P. Alexaki, M. Abatzis, A. Bartzeliotis and M. Katsiabani, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **1189**, 392 (2008).
- P. Zhang, L. Chen, W. Gu, Z. Xu, Y. Gao and Y. Li, *Biomaterials*, **28**, 1882 (2007).
- C.H.T.P. Da Silva, V.L. Campo, I. Carvalho and C.A. Taft, *J. Mol. Graph. Model.*, **25**, 169 (2006).
- J.-M. Contreas, I. Parrot, W. Sippl, Y.M. Rival and G.G. Wermuth, *J. Med. Chem.*, **44**, 2707 (2001).
- Y.-D. Yan, J.S. Woo, J.H. Kang, C.S. Yong and H.G. Choi, *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, **33**, 1364 (2010).
- Z. Dong, Q. Wang and Y. Du, *J. Membr. Sci.*, **280**, 37 (2006).
- M. Inal, M. Yigitoglu and N. Isiklan, *e-Polymers*, 017 (2008).
- M.D. Kurkuri and T.M. Aminabhavi, *J. Control. Rel.*, **96**, 9 (2004).
- N. Isiklan, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **105**, 718 (2007).
- O. Sanli, N. Ay and N. Isiklan, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, **65**, 204 (2007).
- O. Sanli and N. Isiklan, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **102**, 4245 (2006).
- E.B. Denkbaz, M. Seyyal and E. Piskin, *J. Membr. Sci.*, **172**, 33 (2000).
- A.P. Rokhade, S.A. Patil and T.M. Aminabhavi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **67**, 605 (2007).
- C. Zhang, Y. Cheng, G. Qu, X. Wu, Y. Ding, Z. Cheng, L. Yu and Q. Ping, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **72**, 390 (2008).
- A.K. Bajpai and M. Sharma, *J. Macromol. Sci.-Pure Appl. Chem.*, **42**, 663 (2005).
- P. Ritger and N.A. Peppas, *J. Control. Rel.*, **5**, 37 (1987).
- S.A. Agnihotri and T.M. Aminabhavi, *Int. J. Pharm.*, **324**, 103 (2006).
- P.J. Flory, Principles of Polymer Chemistry, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY (1953).
- O. Sanli and E. Kondolot Solak, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **112**, 2057 (2009).
- A.R. Kulkarni, K.S. Soppimath, T.M. Aminabhavi and W.E. Rudzinski, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, **51**, 127 (2001).