**S5 Table. Developing final privacy dimensions from workshop dimensions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Dimension from LUMAS workshop | Notes re conversion to final dimensions |
|
| Opt-in/opt-out | *Retained* as ‘Decline difficulty’. |
| Transparency | *Removed* because is difficult to rate from scenario descriptions. |
| Commercial v Non-commercial benefit | *Combined* in final dimensions as ‘Participant Benefit’, ‘Private Benefit’, and ‘Public Benefit’. |
| Personal Benefit |
| Who Benefits? How Much? |
| Public Benefit v Private Benefit |
| Benefit Sharing |
| Training and Capacity Building |
| Proportionality | *Combined* in final dimensions as ‘Disproportionality’. |
| Scope of Data |
| Risk of Harm | *Retained.* |
| Sensitivity | *Retained.* |
| Bona fide | *Combined* in final dimensions as ‘Trust’. |
| Track record |
| Conflict of interest |
| Evaluation and monitoring |
| Equality | *Removed* because is difficult to determine empirically and is hard to define clearly in survey. |
| Security | *Retained* in final dimensions as ‘Data Security’. |
| Use/Reuse/Retention |
| Ongoing Subject control | *Retained.* |
| Where/What results released | *Removed* as no variability among scenarios. In each, only aggregate information was to be released, and this is generally the case for university research. |
| Licensing | *Removed* because not used in scenarios, and unlikely to be understood by the general population. |
| Data Linkage | *Subsumed* under ‘Risk of Harm’ dimension. |
|  | ‘Respect for Privacy’ dimension added after literature review. |