Study	I^2		Risk Ratio (95% CrI)
plac vs abal			
3		—— — ——	0.61 (0.41, 0.91)
Pooled (pair-wise)		←	0.61 (0.39, 0.96)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		-	0.60 (0.43, 0.83)
teri vs abal			
3		0	0.69 (0.47, 1.0)
Pooled (pair-wise)			0.69 (0.45, 1.1)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)			0.69 (0.50, 0.96)
deno vs alen			
45		←	→ 0.99 (0.18, 5.6)
Pooled (pair-wise)		← •	→ 0.97 (0.20, 6.1)
Indirect (back-calculated)			1.1 (0.73, 1.6)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		1.1 (0.72, 1.5)
iban vs alen			
31			1.0 (0.67, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)		F	1.0 (0.64, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		+	1.0 (0.65, 1.6)
		0.4 1	3
			-

Study	I^2		Risk Ratio (95% Crl)
plac vs alen			
17		—— — ——	1.0 (0.75, 1.3)
18		←	0.56 (0.30, 1.0)
19			1.2 (0.93, 1.6)
49		—— ○ ——	0.87 (0.61, 1.2)
51		< − 0	0.65 (0.29, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)	44.8%		0.96 (0.77, 1.2)
Indirect (back-calculated)		······	1.0 (0.75, 1.3)
Pooled (network)	31.0%		0.97 (0.82, 1.1)
ralo vs alen			
38			1.3 (0.68, 2.5)
42			0.89 (0.52, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)	0.0%		1.0 (0.68, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)			1.1 (0.88, 1.5)
Pooled (network)	0.0%	=	1.1 (0.88, 1.4)
romo vs alen			
1		—— — ——	1.1 (0.70, 1.6)
Pooled (pair-wise)			1.1 (0.67, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)			0.82 (0.56, 1.2)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		0.92 (0.69, 1.2)
		0.4 1	3

Study	I^2	1	Risk Ratio (95% Crl)
plac vs baze			
41		-0-	0.92 (0.80, 1.1)
48		-0-	0.89 (0.76, 1.0)
Pooled (pair-wise)	0.0%		0.91 (0.75, 1.1)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)	0.0%		0.91 (0.78, 1.1)
plac vs deno			
26		— • —	0.86 (0.64, 1.2)
Pooled (pair-wise)			0.88 (0.60, 1.3)
Indirect (back-calculated)			1.1 (0.52, 2.2)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		0.92 (0.67, 1.3)
zole12 vs deno			
28			→ 1.9 (0.60, 5.8)
Pooled (pair-wise)			→ 1.8 (0.65, 6.1)
Indirect (back-calculated)			0.96 (0.59, 1.6)
Pooled (network)	10.5%		1.1 (0.69, 1.7)
plac vs laso			
6		<u> </u>	0.95 (0.83, 1.1)
Pooled (pair-wise)			0.95 (0.73, 1.2)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)			0.95 (0.78, 1.2)
		0.4 1	3
			-

Study	I^2		Risk Ratio (95% Crl)
PTH vs plac			
34		— — —	1.9 (1.5, 2.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)		_	1.9 (1.4, 2.7)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		-	2. (1.4, 2.6)
ralo vs plac			
37		- -	1.2 (1.0, 1.3)
Pooled (pair-wise)			1.2 (0.89, 1.5)
Indirect (back-calculated)			1.1 (0.86, 1.5)
Pooled (network)	0.0%	↓ ■	1.1 (0.95, 1.4)
rise vs plac			
11		— — — — —	0.77 (0.57, 1.0)
12			1.3 (0.68, 2.5)
21		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	1.0 (0.81, 1.3)
22			0.98 (0.88, 1.1)
Pooled (pair-wise)	10.0%	=	0.97 (0.82, 1.1)
Indirect (back-calculated)		·····Q·····	0.98 (0.80, 1.2)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		0.97 (0.85, 1.1)
romo vs plac			
2		— 0 —	0.91 (0.63, 1.3)
Pooled (pair-wise)			0.91 (0.60, 1.4)
Indirect (back-calculated)		······	0.95 (0.65, 1.4)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		0.94 (0.71, 1.3)
			 3
		0.4 1	3

Study	I^2			Risk Ratio (95% Crl)
stro vs plac				
50		←	- 0	0.77 (0.37, 1.6)
Pooled (pair-wise)		←		0.76 (0.36, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated) Pooled (network)		/		NA 0.78 (0.34, 1.6)
			-	0.76 (0.34, 1.0)
teri vs plac				
3				1.1 (0.68, 1.9)
14			— <u> </u>	1.1 (0.68, 1.7)
Pooled (pair-wise)	0.0%			1.1 (0.76, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)				1.2 (0.89, 1.6)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		+	1.1 (0.93, 1.4)
zole12 vs plac				
23				1.1 (0.82, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)				1.1 (0.76, 1.7)
Indirect (back-calculated)				1.2 (0.67, 2.3)
Pooled (network)	0.0%			1.2 (0.83, 1.6)
teri vs rise				
5			— <u> </u>	1.1 (0.79, 1.7)
10			<u></u>	1.2 (0.73, 1.9)
Pooled (pair-wise)	0.0%			1.2 (0.83, 1.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)	2.270			1.2 (0.89, 1.6)
Pooled (network)	0.0%		↓	1.2 (0.95, 1.5)
	0.070			
		0.4	1	3

