

Enhancing Hit Identification in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Drug Discovery Using Dual-Event Bayesian Models

Sean Ekins^{1, 2*}, Robert C. Reynolds^{3,4}, Scott G. Franzblau⁵, Baojie Wan⁵, Joel S. Freundlich^{6,7} and Barry A. Bunin¹

¹Collaborative Drug Discovery, 1633 Bayshore Highway, Suite 342, Burlingame, CA 94010, USA.

²Collaborations in Chemistry, 5616 Hilltop Needmore Road, Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526, USA.

³Southern Research Institute, 2000 Ninth Avenue South, Birmingham, AL 35205, USA.

⁴Current address: University of Alabama at Birmingham, College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Chemistry, 1530 3rd Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-1240, USA.

⁵ Institute for Tuberculosis Research, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA.











⁶Department of Medicine, Center for Emerging and Reemerging Pathogens, UMDNJ – New Jersey Medical School, 185 South Orange Avenue Newark, NJ 07103, USA.


⁷Department of Pharmacology & Physiology, UMDNJ – New Jersey Medical School, 185 South Orange Avenue Newark, NJ 07103, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: ekinssean@yahoo.com)


Running Head: Dual Event Bayesian Models

Figure S2. MLSMR dose response and cytotoxicity model: bad features from FCFP₆.


 <p>B1: 741645840 0 out of 102 good Bayesian Score: -2.150</p>	 <p>B2: -1944142687 0 out of 74 good Bayesian Score: -1.872</p>	 <p>B3: -1956934524 0 out of 74 good Bayesian Score: -1.872</p>	 <p>B4: -2022638555 0 out of 71 good Bayesian Score: -1.837</p>	 <p>B5: 356782498 0 out of 68 good Bayesian Score: -1.801</p>
 <p>B6: 373464202 0 out of 62 good Bayesian Score: -1.724</p>	 <p>B7: -1771983677 0 out of 62 good Bayesian Score: -1.724</p>	 <p>B8: -1757378719 0 out of 62 good Bayesian Score: -1.724</p>	 <p>B9: -451043714 1 out of 131 good Bayesian Score: -1.681</p>	 <p>B10: -1277879912 0 out of 58 good Bayesian Score: -1.670</p>




B11: -1699460258
0 out of 56 good
Bayesian Score: -1.642




B12: 1848358530
0 out of 55 good
Bayesian Score: -1.627




B13: 1904392374
0 out of 54 good
Bayesian Score: -1.612




B14: -2094771862
0 out of 54 good
Bayesian Score: -1.612




B15: 240509252
0 out of 51 good
Bayesian Score: -1.567




B16: 368675221
0 out of 50 good
Bayesian Score: -1.551




B17: 1135306861
0 out of 49 good
Bayesian Score: -1.535



B18: -2129165368
0 out of 48 good
Bayesian Score: -1.519



B19: -1775219197
0 out of 46 good
Bayesian Score: -1.486



B20: 2117749172
1 out of 104 good
Bayesian Score: -1.474