Supporting Text S1, Information about each testing session

As described in Method section, our data were obtained in several testing sessions. In each testing session, two games (Informed IG and Non-informed IG) were carried out in a given condition (Experimenter or Anonymous condition). Please see details in Table SI1.1 and SI1.2.

Table S1.1 Data of each testing session in Experimenter Condition

| Session | All of participants | Informed IG |  |  | Non-informed IG |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of participants | $\begin{gathered} * 8: 2 \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * * \text { Reject 8:2 } \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ | No. of participants | * 8:2 <br> proposal | $\begin{gathered} * * \text { Reject 8:2 } \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 76 | 41 | 33 | 17 | 35 | 27 | 14 |
| 2 | 70 | 34 | 25 | 16 | 36 | 35 | 21 |
| 3 | 65 | 42 | 35 | 24 | 23 | 15 | 9 |
| 4 | 32*** | 10 | 5 | 1 | 22 | 19 | 12 |
| Total | 243 | 127 | 98 | 58 | 116 | 96 | 56 |

Table S1.2 Data of each testing session in Anonymous Condition

| Session | All of participants | Informed IG |  |  | Non-informed IG |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of participants | $\begin{gathered} * 8: 2 \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ** Reject 8:2 } \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ | No. of participants | * 8:2 <br> proposal | $\begin{gathered} * * \text { Reject 8:2 } \\ \text { proposal } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 66 | 32 | 29 | 16 | 34 | 29 | 11 |
| 2 | 15 *** | 11 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | 21 *** | 11 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
| 4 | 11 *** | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| 5 | 12 *** | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 59 | 26 | 20 | 10 | 33 | 32 | 8 |
| Total | 184 | 92 | 78 | 41 | 92 | 83 | 26 |

* 8:2 proposal: The number of participants who confronted with 8:2 proposal within all participants in behavioral paradigm in the session.
** Reject 8:2 proposal: The number of participants who reject 8:2 proposal within participants who confronted with 8:2 proposal in behavioral paradigm in the session.
*** When the data with relatively smaller sample size (the sessions with less than 50 participants, including session 4 in experimenter condition and session $2,3,4,5$ in anonymous condition) were excluded, we got similar results as the data from all testing sessions, as shown in SI 1.3 and SI 1.4.

For data summed up from (a) all testing sessions (shown in SI 1.3) and (b) only from testing sessions with relatively larger sample size (testing sessions with more than 50 participants, SI 1.4), $\chi 2$-tests were performed between the rejection-rate (for 8:2 proposal in behavioral paradigm) pairs in (1) informed IG in experimenter condition, (2) non-informed IG in the experimenter
condition, (3) informed IG in the anonymous condition, (4) non-informed IG in the anonymous
condition, and between each rejection rate and zero. Significantly difference at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level were
shown in Bold.
Table S1.3 Statistic results of data summed up from all testing sessions.

|  | Experimenter condition |  |  |  | Anonymous condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Informed IG |  | Non-informed IG |  | Informed IG |  | Non-informed IG |  |
| Total number of participants | 98 |  | 96 |  | 78 |  | 83 |  |
| No. and \% of participants | 58 |  | 56 |  | 41 |  | 26 |  |
| who reject the offer | 59.2\% |  | 58.3\% |  | 52.6\% |  | 31.3\% |  |
| $\chi^{2}$-test | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ |
| Experimenter condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informed IG, 59.2\% | - | - | 0.014 | 1.000 | 0.773 | 0.445 | 14.023 | <0.001 |
| Non-informed IG, 58.3\% |  |  | - | - | 0.581 | 0.539 | 13.080 | <0.001 |
| Anonymous condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informed IG, 52.6\% |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7.465 | 0.007 |
| Non-informed IG, 31.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Zero | 82.38 | <. 001 | 79.06 | <. 001 | 55.62 | <. 001 | 30.83 | <. 001 |

Table S1.4 Statistic results of data summed up from testing sessions with relatively larger sample size, i.e., session 1, 2, 3 in Experimenter Condition and session 1, 6 in Anonymous Condition.

|  | Experimenter condition |  |  |  | Anonymous condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Informed IG |  | Non-informed IG |  | Informed IG |  | Non-informed IG |  |
| Total number of participants | 93 |  | 77 |  | 49 |  | 61 |  |
| No. and \% of participants | 57 |  | 44 |  | 26 |  | 19 |  |
| who reject the offer | 61.3\% |  | 57.1\% |  | 53.1\% |  | 31.1\% |  |
| $\chi^{2}$-test | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ |
| Experimenter condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informed IG, 61.3\% | - | - | 0.300 | 0.639 | 0.895 | 0.374 | 13.390 | <0.001 |
| Non-informed IG, 57.1\% |  |  | - | - | 0.202 | 0.715 | 9.270 | 0.003 |
| Anonymous condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informed IG, 53.1\% |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5.398 | 0.031 |
| Non-informed IG, 31.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Zero | 82.19 | <. 001 | 61.60 | <. 001 | 38.39 | <. 001 | 22.50 | <. 001 |

The patterns of statistic results were similar in SI 1.3 and SI 1.4, where participants' rejection rates
in the non-informed IG in anonymous condition were (1) significantly lower than those in
informed IG in the anonymous condition, and the informed IG and non-informed IG in the
experimenter condition and (2) significantly larger than zero.

