

S5 Appendix. Critical appraisal of qualitative studies (CASP)

| Author, year | Was there a statement of the aims of the research? ¹ | Given the aim of the study, was a qualitative methodology appropriate? ¹ | Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research? ¹ | Were the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue? ¹ | Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aims of the research? ¹ | Was the relationship between the researcher and participants adequately considered? ¹ | Have ethical issues been taken into consideration? ¹ | Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous? ¹ | Were the findings supported by the evidence? ¹ | Overall concerns ² |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Harris 2011 & Debbink 2016 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Partial: Unclear where the workshops were facilitated, how they were conducted, & type of data generated. No discussion of saturation. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Partial: Limited discussion of the data analysis approach | Yes | Moderate concerns |
| Littman 2009 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No: No discussion of reflexivity | Yes | Yes | Yes | Minor concerns |

¹ Yes, no, partial or unclear. If no, partial or unclear, explain what is missing

² Overall assessment: no or very minor concerns, minor concerns, moderate concerns, serious concerns