

Volume 79 (2023)

Supporting information for article:

Structure–function studies of a novel laccase-like multicopper oxidase from *Thermothelomyces thermophila* provide insights into its biological role

Christos Kosinas, Anastasia Zerva, Evangelos Topakas and Maria Dimarogona

TtLMC01	MtL	MaL	TaL	BaL	AnL
(7ZN6)	(6F5K)	(2Q9O)	(3PPS)	(3SQR)	(5LM8)
Asn37 *(1)	Asn61 (5)	Asn61(-)	Asn62 (-)	Asn55 (1)	Asn76 (-)
Asn65 (2)	Gly89	Gly89	Gly90	Gly83	Gly104

Table S1Glycosylated residues of *Tt*LMCO1 and structural equivalent residues of otherexperimentally determined asco-laccase structures

*Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of glycans modelled in each site while dashes indicate that the residue is not glycosylated. In all cases treatment with Endo-H has been done before crystallization.

Table S2Closest structural homologues of *Tt*LMCO1 by DALI server (Holm, 2020)

<i>Tt</i> LMCO1 (7ZN6)	Z-score*	RMSD (Å)	Nres	%ID
<i>C. pepo</i> AsOx (1AOZ)	40.0	2.2	552	30
<i>M. murashkinskyi</i> Lac (5E9N)	39.5	2.1	495	28
T. hirsuta Lac (3FPX)	39.3	2.1	499	28
<i>T. versicolor</i> Lac (1KYA)	39.2	2.1	499	28
<i>C. cinereus</i> Lac (1A65)	37.0	2.2	504	27
<i>Cerrena sp.</i> Lac (5Z1X)	39.5	2.1	495	26
Z.mays_Lac (6KLG)	38.2	2.6	547	26
Lac15 (4F7K)	27.5	2.7	377	26
<i>T.arenaria</i> Lac (3PPS)	34.7	2.5	564	24
P. acidilactici MCO (6XIZ)	25.8	2.9	477	22

*Z-score is an optimized similarity score defined as the sum of equivalent residue-wise C_{α} - C_{α} distances among two proteins. A Z-score above 20 indicates that the compared structures are homologous.

TtLMC01	Distances (Å)
T3a-T3b	4.83
T3a-T2	4.09
T3b-T2	3.98
T2-W1	2.77
T3a-O1	2.46
T3a-O2	2.54
T3b-O1	2.63
T3b-O2	2.35
	B-factors (Å ²)
T3a	28.47
ТЗЬ	31.60
T2	29.79
T1	28.06
W1	21.61
01	32.03
02	38.09

Table S3Interatomic distances and B-factors in the trinuclear copper site (TNC) and the T1 site.

Values for the copper(II) are given in parentheses.

Table S4YASARA docking calculations depicting the binding energy, dissociation constant andresidues of *Tt*LMCO1 that contribute to ligand binding.

No	Ligand	Binding Energy (kcal mol ⁻¹)	Dissociation constant (µM)	Contact Receptor Residues
1				Phe169, Trp171, Glu174, Arg329,
	I accorbin and	6 27	21.6	Gln396,Leu405, Trp407, Tyr473,
	L-ascoloic acid 0.57 21.0	21.0	Gly475,Ala476, Glu481,His564,	
				Met567
2				Phe169,Trp171, Glu174, Leu259,
		5 46	00.5	Arg329, Pro330, Gln396, Leu405,
	2,6-DMP	2,0-DMP 3.40	99.5	Trp407, Tyr473, Ala476, Glu481,
				Ile561, His564, Met567
3				Phe169, Trp171, Leu259, Arg329,
	Ferulic acid	6.39	20.7	Pro330, Gln396, Leu405, Trp407,
				Glu481, Ile561, His564, Met567

Table S5YASARA docking calculations depicting the binding energy, dissociation constant andresidues of ascorbate oxidase of *Curcubita pepo* that contribute to ligand binding.

No	Ligand	Binding Energy (kcal mol ⁻¹)	Dissociation constant (µM)	Contact Receptor Residues
				Trp163, Glu166, Arg285, Gln353,
1	Ferulic acid	4.96	228.3	Trp362, Met436, Glu443, His445,
				His512, Met515



Figure S1 (a). *Tt*LMCO1 crystals grown in 0.2 M ammonium chloride, 0.1 M 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) pH 6.0, 20 % w/v PEG 6000 (b) Optimized *Tt*LMCO1 crystals in the same crystallization condition on pH 5.5.



Figure S2 (*left*) AlphaFold model *Tt*LMCO1 calculations of per-residue predicted local distance difference test (LDDT) and (*right*) predicted align error as a distance error for every pair of residues.



Figure S3 Multiple sequence alignment (including amino acids present in the crystal structure of *Tt*LMCO1) of *Tt*LMCO1, *Ba*L, *An*MCO, *Mt*L, *Ma*L, *Ta*L and *Cp*AsOx. Secondary structure elements of *Tt*LMCO1 are drawn as arrows (β -sheets) and helices. Residues that take part in T1, T2 and T3 copper site are highlighted. Identical and similar residues are printed in white on a red background and in red on a white background, respectively. The figure was prepared by ESPript 3.0 (Robert & Gouet, 2014).



Figure S4 (*left*) Superposition of the final refined structure of *Tt*LMCO1 (wheat) with the ascorbate oxidase of *C. pepo* (silver) performed with PyMOL 2.0. RMSD over C_a atoms is estimated at 2.5 Å. Major differences at the C-terminal (yellow), the loop 382-426 (red) and the loop 529-539 (cyan) are highlighted in the *C. pepo* oxidase structure. (*right*) Superposition of the final refined structure of *Tt*LMCO1 with the prediction generated with AlphaFold 2.0 performed with PyMOL 2.0. RMSD over C_a atoms is estimated at 1.3 Å. There are no significant structural discrepancies between the two structures, with the exception of their C-terminal (depicted in magenta in AlphaFold 2.0 prediction).



Figure S5 Superposition of the structure of 2,6 DMP-bound *Ma*L (3FU7) with *Tt*LMCO1. 2,6 DMP is shown in green sticks and side chains of residues Glu235, Trp273, His508 of *Ma*L structure are shown in cyan. A water molecule is shown as red sphere. In the MaL structure, 2,6-DMP interacts directly with His508 which coordinates the T1 copper. Also, Trp373 residue participates in substrate binding, assisted by a bridging water molecule, while Glu235 is also taking part in facilitating proton abstraction from phenolic compounds (Kallio *et al.*, 2009). His508 along with Glu235 form a polar site for phenolic substrate recognition, a characteristic that is typical for other asco-laccases too (Ernst *et al.*, 2018).



Figure S6 (A) Difference map (Fo-Fc), contoured at 3σ , prior to the inclusion of the copper atoms, the dioxygen ligand and the water molecule of the trinuclear copper site (TNC) in the model. Positive density is shown in green, negative in red. The subsequently modeled atoms of the TNC are also shown. (B) 2Fo-Fc (contoured at 1σ) and Fo-Fc (contoured at 3σ) maps after the final refinement step. 2Fo-Fc map is shown in blue isomesh, while the Fo-Fc is shown as green for positive density and as red for negative. Negative density around the T2 copper is considered noise. All copper atoms are shown as brown spheres, dioxygen molecules as red sticks and the water molecules as a red spheres.

	6	1	ò								6	2	0								6	3	ò		
TtLMC01	ΡE	V	Y	Η	Y	F	D	D	Т	Ν	K	С	С	A	A	G	A	G	D	S	Е	D	S	G	Η
BaL	ТΡ	Т	Q	L	F		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•		A	Е	D	D	S	G	I
AnMCO	ΑK	V	N	Ρ	Y		•	•	•	•	G	Η	Q	Y	R	A	L	A	G	Ρ	Y	E	S	G	Ι
MtL	WΡ	Т	N	P	Y		•			•	•			•	•				Ρ	K	S	D	S	G	L
MaL	WΡ	Т	N	P	Y		•	•			•			•	•		•		Ρ	K	Ι	D	S	G	L
TaL	WΡ	Т	N	Ρ	F		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Ρ	K	Ι	D	S	G	L
CpAsOx	LΙ	Ν	N	P	K	Ν	Ρ				•			•			•	•						•	

Figure S7 Multiple sequence alignment of the C-terminal amino acids of *Tt*LMCO1, *Ba*L, *An*MCO, *Mt*L, *Ma*L, *Ta*L and *Cp*AsOx. C-terminal sequence is conserved among the asco-laccases but not for the ascorbate oxidase from *C. pepo*.



Figure S8 Superposition of residues forming the binding site of ascorbate oxidase of *Curcubita pepo* (silver sticks) with residues of *Tt*LMCO1 binding site (wheat sticks). Different residues are highlighted.