

FIG. S1. A comparison of pddfs, and respectively of SAS intensities for different $\alpha$-shapes, where $r_{\text {max }}=6 \AA$. Black - analytical results, red - simulation data using $\alpha$-SAS method. (a) Pddf from a cube of edge length $L=40 \AA$. (b) SAS of the same cube with form factor given in Table S1. (c) Pddf from a sphere of radius $a=20 \AA$. (d) SAS of the same sphere with the form factor given in Table S1. (e) Monte Carlo simulations of 100 pddfs for an $\alpha$-cylinder. The radius and height of the embedding cylinder are $a=20$ $\AA$, and $L_{0}=80 \AA$. The averages of pddfs are reported in Fig. 1e - green line. (f) The corresponding SAS intensities. Their average is reported in Fig. 1f- green line.

TABLE S1. Analytical expressions of radius of gyration for common shapes.

| Shape | Radius of gyration | Form factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cylinder of radius $a$ and height $L$ (Svergun et al., 2013) | $R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{th}}=\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}+\frac{L^{2}}{12}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $\frac{\sin \left(q L 2^{-1} \sin \psi\right)}{q L 2^{-1} \sin \psi} \frac{2 J_{1}(q a \cos \psi)}{q a \cos \psi}, q=\|\mathbf{q}\|^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Cube of edge length $L$ (Svergun et al., 2013) | $R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{th}}=L / 2$ | $\frac{\sin \left(q_{x} L / 2\right)}{q_{x} L / 2} \frac{\sin \left(q_{y} L / 2\right)}{q_{y} L / 2} \frac{\sin \left(q_{z} L / 2\right)}{q_{z} L / 2} \mathrm{~b}$ |
| Sphere of radius $a$ (Svergun et al., 2013) | $R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{th}}=a\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $3 \frac{\sin (q a)-q a \cos (q a)}{(q a)^{3}}, q=\|\mathbf{q}\|$ |
| Janus particles ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ of radius $a$ (Kaya, 2002) $\quad R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{th}}=\left(\frac{3}{5} a^{2}-\frac{9}{64}\left(\frac{\Delta \rho_{1}-\Delta \rho_{2}}{\Delta \rho_{1}+\Delta \rho_{2}}\right)^{2} a^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | Eq. (7) |  |

[^0]

FIG. S2. Construction process of $\alpha$-shapes for different structures. (a-c) Cubes, and (d-f) spheres. First, the sampling volume is set ( $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ ). Second, a collection of randomly distributed points is generated within the respective volumes (b and $\mathbf{e}$ ). Third, the $\alpha$ shape is generated as an "envelope" of these points. Note that in both cases, from its definition (Section 2.1) it follows that the $\alpha$ shape is slightly smaller (on average about $4 \%$ at the value of $r_{\max } \equiv 1 / \alpha=6 \AA$ used here; see main text for details) as compared to the envelope.


FIG. S3. A comparison of scattering intensities for different number of points used in building the $\alpha$-shape. (a) Cubes. (b) Spheres. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. Black - analytical curve. Green, blue and red - simulated curves using $\alpha$-SAS method with $3 \times 10^{4}, 3.5 \times 10^{4}$ and respectively $4 \times 10^{4}$ points.

TABLE S2. Structural parameters of Janus particles with radius $R=50 \AA$. The SLD of the background/solvent/solution is fixed at $\rho_{0}=0 \quad\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ and the SLD of one region, red coloured hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f, is fixed at $\rho_{2}=1\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$
The scattering intensity is normalized to 1 at $q=0$.

| $\eta^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)$ | $\rho_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{thc}}(\AA)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g} 1}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g} 2}{ }^{\mathrm{f}}(\AA)$ | $I_{1}(0)^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $I_{2}(0)^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $I_{12}(0)^{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 33.89 | $33.84 \pm 0.02$ | 0 | $33.84 \pm 0.02$ | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 10 | 10 | 35.56 | $34.46 \pm 0.03$ | $30.93 \pm 0.03$ | $33.73 \pm 0.03$ | 0.09 | 94.00 | 5.91 |
| 20 | 20 | 36.66 | $36.08 \pm 0.04$ | $32.44 \pm 0.04$ | $33.71 \pm 0.04$ | 1.86 | 74.60 | 23.54 |
| 30 | 30 | 37.39 | $37.10 \pm 0.03$ | $32.94 \pm 0.03$ | $33.71 \pm 0.03$ | 4.08 | 63.69 | 32.23 |
| 40 | 40 | 37.89 | $37.71 \pm 0.03$ | $33.09 \pm 0.03$ | $33.68 \pm 0.03$ | 6.88 | 54.41 | 38.71 |
| 50 | 50 | 38.22 | $38.16 \pm 0.04$ | $33.16 \pm 0.04$ | $33.71 \pm 0.04$ | 10.54 | 45.61 | 43.85 |
| 60 | 60 | 38.45 | $38.45 \pm 0.04$ | $33.41 \pm 0.04$ | $33.67 \pm 0.04$ | 12.47 | 41.83 | 45.70 |
| 70 | 70 | 38.59 | $38.58 \pm 0.03$ | $33.40 \pm 0.03$ | $33.62 \pm 0.03$ | 16.53 | 35.21 | 48.26 |
| 80 | 80 | 38.67 | $38.74 \pm 0.03$ | $33.43 \pm 0.03$ | $33.67 \pm 0.03$ | 19.40 | 31.31 | 49.29 |
| 90 | 90 | 38.72 | $38.78 \pm 0.03$ | $33.55 \pm 0.03$ | $33.65 \pm 0.03$ | 22.17 | 27.30 | 50.53 |
| 100 | 100 | 38.73 | $38.85 \pm 0.02$ | $33.59 \pm 0.02$ | $33.65 \pm 0.02$ | 24.96 | 25.03 | 50.01 |

a contrast parameter defined by Eq. (6)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ SLD of region 2 (blue hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f), calculated with Eq. (6)
${ }^{c}$ theoretical radius of gyration calculated with the expression from Tab. I (4-th row)
d radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the whole Janus particle
${ }^{e}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for region 1 (blue hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f)
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for region 2 (red hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f)
g forward intensity of region 1 (blue hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f)), from Eq. (4), (units: $\% \times I(0)$ )
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ forward intensity of region 2 (red hemisphere in Fig. 3a-f)), from Eq. (4), (units: $\% \times I(0)$ )
${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ correlations between region 1 and region 2 of JP (Fig. 3a-f), from Eq. (4), (units: $\% \times I(0)$ )

TABLE S3. Structural parameters of KinA-2Sda complex.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)}$ | $c^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{d}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{f}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.9 | -0.511 | 2.980 | $28.07 \pm 0.04$ | $77.75 \pm 0.11$ | 0.617 |
| 10 | 11.9 | 0.174 | 2.420 | $28.26 \pm 0.04$ | $77.34 \pm 0.11$ | 0.409 |
| 20 | 11.9 | 0.858 | 1.860 | $28.50 \pm 0.03$ | $76.94 \pm 0.11$ | 0.244 |
| 30 | - | 1.543 | 1.300 | $28.46 \pm 0.03$ | $75.76 \pm 0.11$ | - |
| 40 | 26.6 | 2.227 | 0.740 | $22.15 \pm 0.04$ | $69.33 \pm 0.13$ | 0.090 |
| 50 | - | 2.912 | 0.180 | $28.51 \pm 0.06$ | $75.93 \pm 0.16$ | - |
| 60 | - | 3.596 | -0.380 | $27.96 \pm 0.07$ | $77.52 \pm 0.19$ | - |
| 70 | - | 4.281 | -0.940 | $27.34 \pm 0.07$ | $78.23 \pm 0.20$ | - |
| 80 | 11.9 | -1.500 | $23.94 \pm 0.08$ | $77.97 \pm 0.26$ | 0.149 |  |
| 90 | 11.9 | 5.650 | -2.060 | $24.17 \pm 0.06$ | $78.64 \pm 0.20$ | 0.285 |
| 100 | 11.9 | 6.334 | -2.620 | $25.66 \pm 0.04$ | $78.73 \pm 0.12$ | 0.464 |

[^1]TABLE S4. Structural parameters of KinA subunit.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{a}(\%)$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}(\% \times I(0))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.759 | 2.270 | $25.92 \pm 0.03$ | $79.11 \pm 0.09$ | 63.73 |
| 10 | 1.879 | 1.706 | $25.93 \pm 0.03$ | $79.11 \pm 0.09$ | 58.44 |
| 20 | 1.999 | 1.141 | $25.93 \pm 0.03$ | $78.94 \pm 0.09$ | 50.22 |
| 30 | 2.120 | 0.577 | $25.92 \pm 0.03$ | $78.83 \pm 0.09$ | 32.72 |
| 40 | 2.240 | 0.013 | $25.71 \pm 0.04$ | $81.82 \pm 0.13$ | 0.131 |
| 50 | 2.360 | -0.552 | $25.91 \pm 0.04$ | $78.94 \pm 0.12$ | 39.53 |
| 60 | 2.480 | -1.116 | $26.02 \pm 0.03$ | $79.13 \pm 0.09$ | 64.42 |
| 70 | 2.600 | -1.680 | $25.98 \pm 0.03$ | $79.15 \pm 0.09$ | 77.71 |
| 80 | 2.721 | -2.245 | $25.99 \pm 0.03$ | $79.14 \pm 0.09$ | 86.09 |
| 90 | 2.841 | -2.809 | $26.01 \pm 0.03$ | $79.16 \pm 0.09$ | 91.66 |
| 100 | 2.961 | -3.373 | $26.00 \pm 0.03$ | $79.15 \pm 0.09$ | 95.46 |

a deuterium content of the solvent
${ }^{\text {b }}$ SLD calculated with Eq (14)
c contrast, calculated with Eq (15)
${ }^{d}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3)
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$
forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S3 last column

TABLE S5. Structural parameters of 2Sda subunit.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(\%)$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}(\% \times I(0))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5.716 | 6.227 | $21.10 \pm 0.03$ | $62.32 \pm 0.09$ | 4.072 |
| 10 | 5.860 | 5.686 | $21.10 \pm 0.03$ | $62.45 \pm 0.09$ | 5.551 |
| 20 | 6.004 | 5.146 | $21.10 \pm 0.03$ | $62.42 \pm 0.09$ | 8.484 |
| 30 | 6.149 | 4.606 | $21.09 \pm 0.03$ | $62.56 \pm 0.09$ | 18.32 |
| 40 | 6.293 | 4.660 | $21.10 \pm 0.04$ | $62.51 \pm 0.12$ | 92.89 |
| 50 | 6.437 | 3.525 | $21.10 \pm 0.04$ | $62.57 \pm 0.12$ | 13.78 |
| 60 | 6.581 | 2.985 | $21.11 \pm 0.04$ | $62.48 \pm 0.12$ | 3.894 |
| 70 | 6.726 | 2.445 | $21.10 \pm 0.03$ | $62.26 \pm 0.09$ | 1.402 |
| 80 | 6.870 | 1.905 | $21.10 \pm 0.03$ | $62.47 \pm 0.09$ | 0.526 |
| 90 | 7.014 | 1.364 | $21.09 \pm 0.03$ | $62.53 \pm 0.09$ | 0.184 |
| 100 | 7.129 | 0.824 | $21.11 \pm 0.03$ | $63.22 \pm 0.09$ | 0.054 |

a deuterium content of the solvent
${ }^{\text {b }}$ SLD calculated with Eq (14)
c contrast, calculated with Eq (15)
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3)
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$
forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S3 last column

TABLE S6. Structural parameters of R1-3 human dystrophin - nanodisk complex, at concentration $c=4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}}(\%)$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -0.544 | 2.385 | $41.24 \pm 0.09$ | $141.97 \pm 0.93$ | 0.912 |
| 10 | 0.148 | 1.827 | $41.73 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 0.48$ | 0.545 |
| 20 | 0.839 | 1.270 | $42.32 \pm 0.08$ | $141.97 \pm 0.91$ | 0.263 |
| 30 | 1.531 | 0.712 | $42.86 \pm 0.08$ | $141.71 \pm 0.65$ | 0.086 |
| 40 | 2.223 | 0.154 | $38.87 \pm 0.10$ | $141.97 \pm 1.82$ | 0.011 |
| 50 | 2.915 | -0.403 | $42.06 \pm 0.08$ | $141.78 \pm 2.97$ | 0.032 |
| 60 | 3.606 | -0.961 | $42.86 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 2.12$ | 0.153 |
| 70 | 4.298 | -1.519 | $42.56 \pm 0.09$ | $141.25 \pm 0.59$ | 0.372 |
| 80 | 4.990 | -2.076 | $42.33 \pm 0.08$ | $141.56 \pm 0.72$ | 0.701 |
| 90 | 5.081 | -2.634 | $35.08 \pm 0.09$ | $141.82 \pm 0.66$ | 1.135 |
| 100 | 6.373 | -3.191 | $41.93 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 0.81$ | 1.664 |

a deuterium content of the solvent
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ SLD of the solvent $(150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}+20 \mathrm{mM}$ Tri-d11 +0.1 mM EDTA-d16), calculated with Eq. (17)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ total contrast, given by Eq. (18)
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the whole R1-3 human dystrophin - nanodisk complex
e maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3)
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ forward intensity of R1-3 human dystrophin - nanodisk complex, given by Eq. (10)

TABLE S7. Structural parameters of R1-3 human dystrophin, at concentration $c=4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)}$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.843 | 2.387 | $39.78 \pm 0.08$ | $141.97 \pm 0.93$ | 0.757 |
| 10 | 1.978 | 1.830 | $39.77 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 0.48$ | 0.414 |
| 20 | 2.112 | 1.273 | $39.76 \pm 0.08$ | $141.97 \pm 0.91$ | 0.174 |
| 30 | 2.247 | 0.715 | $39.77 \pm 0.09$ | $141.71 \pm 0.65$ | 0.041 |
| 40 | 2.381 | 0.158 | $39.87 \pm 0.09$ | $141.97 \pm 1.82$ | 0.011 |
| 50 | 2.515 | -0.399 | $39.72 \pm 0.07$ | $141.78 \pm 2.97$ | 0.001 |
| 60 | 2.650 | -0.956 | $39.77 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 2.12$ | 0.039 |
| 70 | 2.784 | -1.514 | $39.76 \pm 0.08$ | $141.25 \pm 0.59$ | 0.223 |
| 80 | 2.919 | -2.071 | $39.77 \pm 0.08$ | $141.56 \pm 0.72$ | 0.463 |
| 90 | 3.053 | -2.628 | $39.81 \pm 0.08$ | $141.82 \pm 0.66$ | 0.034 |
| 100 | 3.188 | -3.186 | $39.76 \pm 0.09$ | $141.73 \pm 0.81$ | 1.198 |

a deuterium content of the solvent
b SLD calculated with Eq. (17)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ total contrast, given by Eq. (18)
${ }^{d}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the R1-3 hyman dystrophin
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right.$ ) obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $r=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$
forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S6 last column

TABLE S8. Structural parameters of the nanodisk, with SLD $\rho=0.720 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)}$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.264 | $27.12 \pm 0.07$ | $79.24 \pm 0.76$ | 0.007 |
| 10 | 0.573 | $27.13 \pm 0.07$ | $79.31 \pm 0.44$ | 0.009 |
| 20 | -0.119 | $27.15 \pm 0.08$ | $79.01 \pm 0.64$ | 0.009 |
| 30 | -0.811 | $27.18 \pm 0.07$ | $79.09 \pm 0.83$ | 0.008 |
| 40 | -1.503 | $27.24 \pm 0.08$ | $79.41 \pm 0.27$ | 0.001 |
| 50 | -2.194 | $27.21 \pm 0.08$ | $79.56 \pm 1.43$ | 0.007 |
| 60 | -2.886 | $27.19 \pm 0.09$ | $79.04 \pm 0.88$ | 0.014 |
| 70 | -3.578 | $27.19 \pm 0.07$ | $79.09 \pm 0.54$ | 0.019 |
| 80 | -4.270 | $27.14 \pm 0.08$ | $79.01 \pm 0.43$ | 0.028 |
| 90 | -4.961 | $27.27 \pm 0.09$ | $79.41 \pm 0.54$ | 0.768 |
| 100 | -5.653 | $27.11 \pm 0.08$ | $78.98 \pm 0.86$ | 1.212 |

[^2]TABLE S9. Structural parameters of RBD-Sb23 complex.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(\%)$ | $c^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{d}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{f}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.9 | -0.548 | 3.791 | $28.70 \pm 0.07$ | $120.04 \pm 0.29$ | 0.703 |
| 10 | 11.9 | 0.144 | 3.233 | $27.91 \pm 0.07$ | $120.11 \pm 0.30$ | 0.514 |
| 20 | 11.9 | 0.836 | 2.675 | $26.65 \pm 0.07$ | $119.34 \pm 0.31$ | 0.354 |
| 30 | 11.9 | 1.528 | 2.117 | $24.38 \pm 0.09$ | $118.33 \pm 0.44$ | 0.223 |
| 40 | 26.6 | 2.220 | 1.559 | $19.28 \pm 0.09$ | $111.42 \pm 0.52$ | 0.274 |
| 50 | 11.9 | 2.912 | 1.001 | $14.04 \pm 0.10$ | $55.58 \pm 3.97$ | 0.052 |
| 60 | 11.9 | 3.604 | 0.443 | $27.27 \pm 0.07$ | $119.83 \pm 3.08$ | 0.011 |
| 70 | 52.3 | 4.296 | -0.115 | $30.40 \pm 0.07$ | $121.25 \pm 0.28$ | 0.001 |
| 80 | 11.9 | 4.988 | -0.673 | $31.67 \pm 0.07$ | $120.81 \pm 0.27$ | 0.020 |
| 90 | 11.9 | 5.680 | -1.232 | $31.53 \pm 0.07$ | $119.67 \pm 0.27$ | 0.070 |
| 100 | 11.9 | 6.372 | -1.790 | $30.25 \pm 0.08$ | $116.73 \pm 0.31$ | 0.150 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ deuterium content of the solvent
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ concentration of $\mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{Sb} 23$ (the values were taken similar to those for KinA+2Sda in Table S3 second column, including for thmissing ones)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ SLD of the solvent ( $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}+25 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris), calculated with Eq. (20)
${ }^{d}$ total contrast, given by Eq. (21)
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the whole RBD+Sb23 complex
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3)
g forward intensity of RBD-Sb23 complex, given by Eq. (10)

TABLE S10. Structural parameters of RBD subunit.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)}$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.947 | 2.495 | $27.32 \pm 0.11$ | $112.13 \pm 0.45$ | 11.21 |
| 10 | 2.080 | 1.936 | $27.32 \pm 0.13$ | $112.16 \pm 0.53$ | 9.077 |
| 20 | 2.213 | 1.377 | $27.32 \pm 0.13$ | $112.40 \pm 0.53$ | 6.453 |
| 30 | 2.346 | 0.818 | $27.31 \pm 0.16$ | $112.82 \pm 0.66$ | 3.445 |
| 40 | 2.479 | 0.259 | $27.24 \pm 0.26$ | $113.32 \pm 1.08$ | 0.571 |
| 50 | 2.613 | -0.300 | $27.27 \pm 0.24$ | $113.35 \pm 1.00$ | 1.218 |
| 60 | 2.746 | -1.417 | $27.32 \pm 0.13$ | $112.48 \pm 0.54$ | 17.53 |
| 70 | 2.879 | -1.976 | $27.33 \pm 0.09$ | $112.14 \pm 0.37$ | 18.89 |
| 80 | 3.012 | -2.535 | $27.33 \pm 0.08$ | $112.17 \pm 0.33$ | 33.93 |
| 90 | 3.145 | -3.094 | $27.33 \pm 0.08$ | $111.75 \pm 0.33$ | 52.11 |
| 100 | 3.278 | $27.33 \pm 0.08$ | $111.33 \pm 0.33$ | 72.83 |  |

a deuterium content of the solvent
b SLD calculated with Eq (20)
c contrast, calculated with Eq (21)
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3)
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $r=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$
forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S9 last column

TABLE S11. Structural parameters of Sb 23 subunit.

| $f_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(\%)$ | $\rho^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\Delta \rho^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\AA)$ | $D_{\max } \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}(\AA)$ | $I(0)^{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5.681 | 6.230 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.78 \pm 0.07$ | 44.21 |
| 10 | 5.817 | 5.673 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.86 \pm 0.08$ | 48.83 |
| 20 | 5.952 | 5.116 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.82 \pm 0.08$ | 55.66 |
| 30 | 6.088 | 4.560 | $13.81 \pm 0.03$ | $51.84 \pm 0.11$ | 66.34 |
| 40 | 6.223 | 4.003 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.78 \pm 0.07$ | 85.45 |
| 50 | 6.358 | 3.446 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.86 \pm 0.08$ | 98.83 |
| 60 | 6.494 | 2.890 | $13.81 \pm 0.02$ | $51.79 \pm 0.08$ | 52.67 |
| 70 | -1.417 | 2.333 | $13.81 \pm 0.03$ | $52.82 \pm 0.11$ | 32.05 |
| 80 | -1.976 | 1.776 | $13.81 \pm 0.03$ | $52.85 \pm 0.11$ | 17.48 |
| 90 | -2.535 | 1.220 | $13.81 \pm 0.05$ | $52.83 \pm 0.19$ | 7.73 |
| 100 | -3.094 | 0.663 | $13.80 \pm 0.07$ | $52.71 \pm 0.27$ | 2.15 |

[^3]
[^0]:    a $J_{1}(\cdot)$ is the first order Bessel function of the first kind
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} q_{x}, q_{y}$ and $q_{z}$ are the components of the momentum transfer $\mathbf{q}$
    c with contrasts $\Delta \rho_{1}$ and $\Delta \rho_{2}$ for the two hemispheres

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ deuterium content of the solvent
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ concentration of KinA+2Sda
    c SLD of the solvent ( $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}+50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris +150 mM imidazole), calculated with Eq. (14)
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ total contrast, given by Eq. (15)
    e radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the whole KinA+2Sda complex
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3)
    g forward intensity of KinA+2Sda complex, given by Eq. (10)

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ deuterium content of the solvent
    b total contrast, given by Eq. (18)
    c radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3) for the whole nanodisk
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ maximum diameter $\left(D_{\max }\right)$ obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) e
    forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S6 last column

[^3]:    a deuterium content of the solvent
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ SLD calculated with Eq (20)
    c contrast, calculated with Eq (21)
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ radius of gyration calculated with Eq. (3)
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ maximum diameter ( $D_{\max }$ ) obtained from the condition that $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \rightarrow 0$ when $\mathrm{r}=D_{\max }$ in Eq. (3) ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$
    forward intensity expressed as percents from the total intensity given in Table S9 last column

