



FOUNDATIONS  
ADVANCES

**Volume 80 (2024)**

**Supporting information for article:**

***N*-representable one-electron reduced density matrix  
reconstruction with frozen core electrons**

**Sizhuo Yu and Jean-Michel Gillet**

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### A. Fitting score

Here, we list the unweighted fitting scores  $\chi^2$  for different data sets and reconstructions. We note  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{total}}^2$  the value of the objective function in the optimization procedure and  $\chi_{\text{total}}^2$  the fitting score computed on the entire range of 0 K, noise-free artificial-data.

Firstly, it can be observed that while the optimal objective function values for the 0 K and 50 K scenarios are similar, the  $\chi_{\text{SF}}^2$  is substantially larger than the  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{SF}}^2$  in the 50 K case, suggesting that the thermal motion is not perfectly accounted for by (??). Secondly, in both the 0 K and 50 K cases, restrictions lead to an increase in the optimal fitting score  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{total}}^2$  (for noised 0 K and 50 K data), but a decrease of the  $\chi_{\text{total}}^2$  (noise-free 0 K). Such an observation quantitatively confirms the assumption that restrictions act as regularization, thereby improving reconstruction results.

Scenario	$\tilde{\chi}_{\text{SF}}^2$	$\tilde{\chi}_{\text{DCP}}^2$	$\tilde{\chi}_{\text{total}}^2$	$\chi_{\text{SF}}^2$	$\chi_{\text{DCP}}^2$	$\chi_{\text{total}}^2$
0 K 1% noise	2.683	2.084	4.766	1.798	0.871	2.669
0 K 1% noise sym.	3.090	2.272	5.362	1.336	0.734	2.070
0 K 1% noise sym. & core	3.190	2.271	5.362	1.234	0.741	1.975
50 K 1% noise	2.597	2.258	4.855	22.065	0.896	22.961
50 K 1% noise sym.	2.964	2.662	5.626	17.375	0.724	18.099
50 K 1% noise sym. & core	3.144	2.741	5.885	14.176	0.757	14.933

TABLE S1.  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{SF}}^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} (\tilde{F}(\mathbf{q}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}}))^2$  for  $|\mathbf{q}| < 0.7$  and  $\tilde{F}$  being the 1% noisy artificial-data. Similarly,  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{DCP}}^2$  is the objective function for noisy data. As a reminder,  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{total}}^2 = \tilde{\chi}_{\text{SF}}^2 + \tilde{\chi}_{\text{DCP}}^2$  is the objective function being optimized, and the noised SF artificial-data is at respectively 0 K and 50 K for two different scenarios.  $\chi_{\text{total}}^2$ ,  $\chi_{\text{SF}}^2$ ,  $\chi_{\text{DCP}}^2$  refer to the fitting score with respect to the noise-free artificial-data using the entire Ewald sphere up to  $1.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (always at 0 K).

### B. Mean-field energies

We list the energies evaluated for different reconstructions. Note that the potential energies, hence the total energies, are calculated with a Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian operator, which allows us to calculate an approximate energy with 1-RDMs. For reference, the energies of the DFT 1-RDM with the pob-DZVP basis set are  $T_{\text{DFT}} = 223.315$ ,  $E_{\text{DFT}} = -225.005$ . However, one should keep in mind that these energies are evaluated with different methods and different basis functions, and are not meant to be compared directly.

Scenario	$T$	$V_{\text{HF}}$	$E_{\text{HF}}$	Virial ratio
0 K 1% noise	224.554	-447.229	-222.675	0.99581
0 K 1% noise sym.	224.387	-447.546	-223.159	0.99726
0 K 1% noise sym. & core	224.909	-448.237	-223.327	0.99648
50 K 1% noise	223.844	-445.405	-221.561	0.99490
50 K 1% noise sym.	223.676	-446.073	-222.397	0.99714
50 K 1% noise sym. & core	224.884	-447.832	-222.949	0.99570

TABLE S2. The energies and Virial ratios evaluated for different reconstructed 1-RDMs. For potential energies  $V_{\text{HF}}$ , a mean-field Hartree-Fock operator is employed.