

Volume 76 (2020)

Supporting information for article:

Crystal structure of monoclinic hafnia (HfO2) revisited from synchrotron X-ray, neutron diffraction and first-principles

Santanu Pathak, Parnika Das, Tilak Das, Guruprasad Mandal, Boby Joseph, Manjulata Sahu, S. D. Kaushik and Vasudeva Siruguri

Supporting information, sup-1

## **Supporting Information**

We have given here the necessary supporting data with following content:

**Content:** Section S1: Diffraction pattern of calibrant LaB<sub>6</sub> Section S2: Density functional theory

## Section S1: Diffraction pattern of calibrant LaB<sub>6</sub>

The sample to detector geometry was determined using the diffraction pattern of standard sample of Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB<sub>6</sub>). Integration of the 2D diffraction patterns to I-2 $\theta$  plots were carried out using this calibration. The integrated pattern of the calibrant LaB<sub>6</sub> is shown in **Fig. S1**.



Figure S1 Integrated I-2 $\theta$  diffraction plot of calibrant LaB<sub>6</sub> in Xpress beamline ( $\lambda$ = 0.5007 Å).

## Section S2: Density Functional Theory

All calculations for electronic structure analysis are done based on the CRYSTAL17 programme, as discussed earlier. For O atoms, we opted the all electron basis-sets (Scaranto *et al.*, 2008), whereas for Hf atom empirical core potential basis sets were adopted (Muñoz-Ramo *et al.*, 2007a) due to their robustness which is validated in the previous reports (Muñoz-Ramo *et al.*, 2007b).Coulomb and exchange integrals cut-off during the self-consistent field (SCF) calculations was chosen to  $10^{-8}$  for coulomb overlap tolerance, coulomb penetration tolerance, exchange overlap tolerance, exchange pseudo overlap in direct space and  $10^{-16}$  for exchange pseudo overlap in reciprocal space. The SCF calculation was considered converged when

the difference in energy between two subsequent cycles is lower than 10<sup>-8</sup> au. The k-mesh was set with a shrinking factor 8 using Pack-Monkhorst methods. During the structural relaxation (both cell volume and lattice position relaxed), the force convergence cut-off was set to 10<sup>-7</sup>. We have used the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) of the exchange correlation as proposed by Perdew, Ernzerhof and Bruke, so called PBE-GGA functional (Perdew *et al.*, 1996). This latter B3LYP type functional combines the LYP exchange-correlation functional, including 20% Fock exchange i.e. so called B3LYP hybrid functional (Becke, 1993). However, in the HSE06 functional, the Fock exchange is 25%, along with the PBE-GGA exchange and correlation.

All electron full potentials calculations are done with the plane-wave WIEN2K Code (Blaha *et al.*, 2019) and the hybrid calculations (TB-mBJ) Modified Becke-Johnson (mBJ) exchange correlation potential proposed by Tran & Blaha, 2009 as implemented in the WIEN2K code. From the PBE-GGA ground state wave function using  $6 \times 6 \times 6$  *k*-mesh and tetrahedron method, the TB-mBJ calculations were performed on the top. The energy and charge convergence were ensured during the self-consistent run using convergence criteria  $10^{-8}$  au and  $10^{-6}$ , respectively. The plane-wave cut-off of 600 eV was ensured with largest muffin-tin radius multiplied with  $K_{max}$  value yields 8.0.



Figure S2 (a) Calculated total density of states (DOS), along with the atom projected DOS from (b) Hf, (c) O1 and (d) O2 atoms from PBE-GGA (black shade area), B3LYP (red solid line) and HSE06 (green solid line) functional based calculations.

## References

Becke, A. D. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 1372.
Blaha, P., Schwarz, K., Madsen, G. K. H., Kavasnicka, D., Luitz, J., Laskowski, R. & Marks, L. D. (2019). WIEN2K: An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbital Program for Calculating Crystal Properties, ISBN: 3-9501031-1-2.
Tran, F. & Blaha, P. (2009). Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 226401.
Muñoz-Ramo, D., Gavartin, J. L. & Shluger, A. L. (2007). Phys. Rev. B 75, 205336.
Muñoz-Ramo, D., Shluger, A. L., Gavartin, J. L. & Bersuker, G. (2007). Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 155504.
Perdew, J. P., Ernzerhof, M. & Burke, K. (1996). J. Chem. Phys. 105, 9982-9985.
Scaranto, J. & Giorgianni, S. (2008). J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 858, 72-76.