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## S1. Solid state NMR and IR studies

## S1.1. ${ }^{31}$ P CP MAS NMR studies

${ }^{31}$ P CP-MAS NMR spectra of (3) were registered on a Varian Unity Inova AS500 instrument (with working frequency of 500 MHz ). The used rotor speeds were 4.2 and 5.4 kHz .


Figure S1 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ CP MAS NMR spectra of (3).

Solid state ${ }^{31}$ P CP MAS NMR spectra of microcrystalline (3) at different rotation frequencies demonstrate signals that correspond to only one symmetrically nonequivalent phosphorous center.

## S1.2. ATR-FTIR studies

The attenuated total reflectance (ATR) fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of (1), (2) and (4) were recorded with the "HYPERION-2000" IR microscope connected to the "IFS $66 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Bruker" FTIR spectrometer (Ge crystal, $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution, 150 scans, $600-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ wavenumber range). According to IR data of (1) and (3), the presence of $\mathrm{MeOH},\left[\mathrm{OOP}\left(\mathrm{O}-2,6-{ }^{-} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ ligands and framework of hydrogen $(\mathrm{OH} \ldots \mathrm{O})$ bonding has been confirmed. Compounds (1) and (3) are isostructural. Slightly different amount of methanol is due to different time of drying under vacuum.


Figure S2 The ATR-FTIR spectra of (1) (Ln=Nd, red line) and (3) ( $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}$, black line).

Table S1 Assignment of some absorption bands and their maxima in IR spectra of (1) and (3).

| Cmpd. | ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Pr}, \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $v_{\mathrm{CH}} / \delta_{\text {CCH }}$ | $v_{\mathrm{CH}} / v_{\mathrm{CC}} / \delta_{\mathrm{CCH}}$ | $\nu / \delta$ | $v_{\mathrm{COH}}$ |
| (1) | 2974, 2874 / 1449 | 3081 / 1602 / 775 | 1174, 1081 / 933 | $\begin{aligned} & 3563,3284,1640, \\ & 1025 \mathrm{sh} \end{aligned}$ |
| (3) | 2974, 2874 / 1449 | 3081 / 1602 / 775 | 1174, 1081 / 939 | $\begin{aligned} & 3576,3522,3366 \\ & 1025 \end{aligned}$ |

The ATR-FTIR spectrum of (4) exhibits the presence of MeOH , the organophosphate [ $\mathrm{OOP}(\mathrm{O}-2,6-$ $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] and nitrate anions, and the presence of hydrogen ( $\mathrm{OH} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ ) bonds.


Figure S3 The ATR-FTIR spectrum of (4)

## S1.3. Elemental C/H analysis for (1) and (3)

Table S2 Theoretical and experimental C/H content (\%) in $\mathrm{LnCl}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}-2,6-\right.$
$\left.\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}$

| Cmpd | Element | Cald. for $\mathrm{x}=4$ | Cald. for $\mathrm{x}=5$ | Cald. for $\mathrm{x}=6$ | Experimental |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | C | 54.65 | 54.18 | 53.74 | 54.01 |
| $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Nd}$ | H | 7.41 | 7.55 | 7.68 | 7.58 |
| $(3)$ | C | 57.43 | 56.86 | 56.32 | 56.61 |
| $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}$ | H | 7.79 | 7.92 | 8.05 | 7.90 |

## S2. Additional refinement details

## S2.1. Modeling methanol disorder in (1)

Carbon and oxygen atoms of the non-coordinating and one coordinated methanol molecules exhibit relatively large thermal ellipsoid in crystallographic models of (2), (3) and especially (1), which might be attributed to a minor disorder of methanol molecules. We have chosen complex
$\left\{\mathrm{Nd}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}-2,6-{ }^{-} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{4}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$ (1) for modeling the methanol disorder. We have
found that two methanol molecules (atoms O6-C26, O7-C27) might be disordered over two positions for each. However, the SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015) program has not detected that these atoms have to be split. The disorder has been resolved by using 28 SHELXL restraints: ISOR, EADP DFIX and AFIX SHELXL instructions. Since positions of H29, H29', H30 and H30' atoms (Fig. S4) had to be fixed with the AFIX 3 instruction, parameters for corresponding H -bonds are not quite accurate and do not have the ESDs. Changed model parameters are the following: number of parameters, restraints $-353,28 ; \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{F}^{2}>2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)\right], \mathrm{wR}\left(\mathrm{F}^{2}\right), \mathrm{S}-0.0351,0.0896,1.054 ; \Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\min }-1.561,-0.949$. The occupancy ratios are $0.551(16): 0.449$ (16) for (O6-C26) and (O6'-C26') positions and $0.559(12): 0.441(12)$ for (O7-C27) and (O7'-C27') positions, correspondingly (Fig. S4). The modeling allowed to eliminate four following level C Alerts from the checkCIF/PLATON report:

| PLAT220_ALERT_2_C Large Non-Solvent C | Ueq $(\max ) / \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{min})$ Range | 5.7 Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLAT220_ALERT_2_C Large Non-Solvent O | Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range | 3.4 Ratio |
| PLAT222_ALERT_3_C Large Non-Solvent H | Uiso(max)/Uiso(min) ... | 5.9 Ratio |
| PLAT975_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Residual Density 0.92A From | O7 | $0.58 \mathrm{eA}^{-3}$ |

However, this disorder has not been included in the final crystallographic model due to inaccurate description of H -bonding and due to an unreasonably large number of used restraints for two MeOH molecules.


Figure S4 The asymmetric unit and disordered fragments in (1). Occupancies of Cl1 and Nd1 atoms are $50 \%$. Only hydroxy H atoms are shown. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. The second components of disorder are shown with a solid open line.

## S2.2. Disorder in (4).

The asymmetric unit of $\left\{\mathrm{Nd}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}-2,6-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{4}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$, (4), is shown on Fig. S5. The non-coordinating methanol molecule is disordered over 2 positions (non-H atoms O7, C27 and O7', C7'). Carbon atoms of two coordinated methanol molecules are also disordered over two positions (atoms C25, C25' and C26, C26'). One of iso-propyl group is disordered over 2 positions (atoms $\mathrm{C} 11, \mathrm{C} 12$ and C 11 ', C 12 '). The $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anion is disordered over two-fold rotation axis with $50 \%$ occupancies for $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{O} 8, \mathrm{O} 9$ and O 10 atoms, which symmetrical equivalents are generated with the following symmetry operation: $-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+1 / 2$. Atoms N 1 and O 10 are located nearly on the axis. Atom C27 demonstrates high displacements U parameters, and its position may be split into two positions, but this was not modeled (see also section S2.1).


Figure S5 The asymmetric unit and disordered fragments in (4). Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Occupancies of the $\mathrm{Nd} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1, \mathrm{O} 8, \mathrm{O} 9$ and O 10 atoms are 50\%.

## S2.3. Polyhedron assignment with the Hepta program

Fractional atomic coordinates within the unit cell were transformed into Cartesian coordinates for atoms O1, O1A, O5, O5A, O6, O6A, Cl1, Ln1 [ $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Nd}$ (1), $\mathrm{Lu}(2), \mathrm{Y}$ (3)] with the Mercury program (into the xyz-file format). The xyz file was corrected to match the input format for the Hepta program.

Table S3 Calculation results for (1)-(3). Smallest deviations (dimensionless) from different geometries.

| Cmpd. | Pentagonal bipyramid, $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~h}}$ | Capped octahedron, $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~V}}$ | Capped trigonal prism, $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~V}}$ | Capped octahedron (standard angles), $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ | Capped trigonal prism (standard angles), $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~V}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 8.7908 | 15.0795 | 12.1925 | 14.9068 | 13.8391 |
| (2) | 6.5391 | 15.4040 | 12.8074 | 14.9307 | 13.6377 |
| (3) | 7.1521 | 15.3307 | 12.6003 | 14.9325 | 13.7653 |

## S2.4. Comparison of some bond lengths

The differences between bond lengths and crystallographic rare-earth radii ( $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}$, Table S 4 ) have been considered for the correct comparison of $\mathrm{Ln}-$ ligand bond distances. $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}=[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L})-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Ln})]$, where $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L})$ is a metal-ligand bond distance, $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Ln})$ is an ionic radius for the corresponding coordination number [for $\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{Ln}}=7: \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Nd})=1.185 \AA$ for $(1), \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Lu})=1.058 \AA$ for $(2), r(\mathrm{Y})=1.101 \AA$ for (3); for $\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{Nd}}=8: \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Nd})=1.249 \AA$ for (4); see (Shannon, 1976; Raymond \& Eigenbrot, 1980)]. We have found rather small deviations of the calculated differences $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}$ from averaged ones for the same bonds for compounds (1)-(3). All $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O} 5}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O} 6}$ values are virtually the same for (1)-(3). However, differences between $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O} 1}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O6}}$ (or $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{OS}}$ ) are $c a .11 \%$ higher, being about the same in each compound, which clearly shows different covalent and ionic contributions into $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ bonding. All $\Delta_{\text {Nd-L }}$ values for (4) are $4 \%$ smaller than corresponding averaged $\Delta_{\text {Ln-L }}$ values for (1)-(3), resulting from the replacement of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$.

Table S4 The differences between ligand to metal bond distances and rare-earth radii, $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}(\AA)$, and their maximal deviations (\%) from averaged $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}$ for (1)-(3).
$\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}}=[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L})-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Ln})] ; \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Ln})$ are taken for corresponding $\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{Ln}}\left(\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{Ln}}=7\right.$ for (1)-(3) and $\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{Nd}}=8$ for (4)].

| Bonds, Ln-L | $\Delta_{\text {Nd-L }}$ in (1) | $\Delta_{\text {Lu-L }}$ in (2) | $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{L}}$ in (3) | Averaged $\Delta_{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{L}} \text { for (1)- }$ <br> (3) | Maximal deviations for (1)-(3) | $\Delta_{\text {Nd-L }}$ in (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | 1.502 | 1.524 | 1.519 | 1.515 | 0.6 | - |
| $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 1.153 | 1.143 | 1.140 | 1.145 | 0.7 | 1.103 |
| $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 1.302 | 1.273 | 1.277 | 1.284 | 1.4 | 1.234 |
| Ln - O6 | 1.281 | 1.292 | 1.283 | 1.285 | 0.5 | 1.238 |

