

Volume 5 (2018)

Supporting information for article:

π-π Induced Aggregation and Single Crystal Fluorescence Anisotropy of 5,6,10b-Triazaacephenanthrylene

Katarzyna Ostrowska, Davide Ceresoli, Katarzyna Stadnicka, Marlena Gryl, Marco Cazzaniga, Raffaella Soave, Bogdan Musielak, Łukasz J. Witek, Piotr Goszczycki, Jarosław Grolik and Andrzej M. Turek

## **Supporting Information**

Crystallographic data for TAAP\_LT and TAAP\_RT (S1), Non-Covalent Interactions analysis (S2), 2D fluorescence spectra of TAAP in crystalline solid and solution (S3), determination of fluorescence quantum yield of TAAP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (S4), fluorescence concentration-dependent spectra of TAAP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and CHCl<sub>3</sub> (S5), temperature-dependent fluorescence spectra of TAAP at temperature range 296 - 361 K (S6), correction of fluorescence intensity for the inner filter effects for TAAP (5  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M, 50  $\mu$ M, and 100  $\mu$ M in acetonitrile) (S7), DFT calculation (S8), 1D fluorescence spectra of TAAP and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of TAAP measured at the same concentrations 0.24 mM and 0.1 M in benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub> (S9), 2D NMR data for TAAP in THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> (S10), determination of experimental permanent dipole moment (S11), details of Clausius-Mossotti model for optical absorption of molecular solids (S12).

## S1. Crystallographic data for TAAP\_LT and TAAP\_RT.

## Table S1.1.

Crystal data, intensity measurement conditions and structure refinement details for two experiments performed TAAP at T=130(1) K (TAAP\_LT) and T=293(1) K (TAAP\_RT). The single crystal TAAP\_RT was also used for the latter fluorescence experiment.

Identification code	TAAP_LT	TAAP_RT
Crystal data		
Chemical formula		C26H15 N5O
Mr	413.43	413.43
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	1.54184
Temperature (K)	130 (1)	293 (1)
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	PĪ	P 1
Unit cell dimensions (Å. °)	a = 9.2591 (4)	<i>a</i> = 9.3048 (4)
	b = 9.4186 (3)	b = 9.5725(4)
	c = 11.9361(5)	c = 12.0202(7)
	$\alpha = 83.980$ (3)	$\alpha = 82.616(4)$
	$\beta = 67.267 (4)$	$\beta = 67.249(5)$
	$\gamma = 80.944$ (3)	$\gamma = 79.675$ (4)
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	946.98(7)	969.28 (9)
$Z, Dx' (Mg/m^3)^{**}$	2, 1.450	2, 1.417
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.093	0.726
F (000)	428	428
Crystal size (mm)	0.42x0.30x0.10	0.28x0.13x0.06
Data collection		
$\theta$ Range (°)	2.938 – 31.881	3.996 - 69.996
Method	@ scans	ω scans
Reflections collected	29028	14738
Reflections unique	6185	3685
R(int)	0.0488	0.0314
Reflections $l > 2\sigma(l)$	4465	2568
Completeness ( $\theta$ full)	0.999	1.000
T <sub>min</sub> , T <sub>max</sub>	0.962, 0.991	0.823, 0.958
Refinement		
Data/restraints/parameters	6185 / 0 / 292	3685 / 1 /292
Goodness-of-fit	1.045	1.032
R1 [l > 2σ(l)]	0.0505	0.0463
wR2 (all data)	0.1446	0.1377
Weighting scheme: A, B	0.0681, 0.2810	0.0659, 0.2026
$\Delta ho_{max}\Delta ho_{min}rms$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.413 -0.290 0.058	0.226 -0.169, 0.036

Diffractometer: Agilent SuperNova, Computer programs: CrysAlisPro [CrysAlisPro, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.33.66], SIR92 [Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 27, 435], SHELXL - CRYSTAL STRUCTURE REFINEMENT - MULTI-CPU VERSION [Copyright(C) George M. Sheldrick 1993-2013, Version 2013/4, G.M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.* C71 (2015) 3-8]; w =  $1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + AP^2 + BP]$ , where P =  $(F_0^2+2F_c^2)/3$ .

## Table S1.2.

## Selected bond lengths (Å), valence, torsion and dihedral angles (°) for TAAP\_LT at T=130(1) K

Bond lengths	
C(1)-N(11)	1.269 (2)
C(1)-N(10B)	1.444 (2)
C(1)-C(2)	1.480 (2)
C(2)-C(3)	1.379 (2)
C(2)-C(21)	1.437 (2)
C(3)-C(3A)	1.420 (2)
C(3)-C(31)	1.480 (2)
C(3A)-C(3A')	1.367 (2)
C(3A)-C(4)	1.474 (2)
C(4)-O(4)	1.214 (2)
C(4)-N(5)	1.426 (2)
N(5)-C(5A)	1.391 (2)
N(5)-C(51)	1.432 (2)
C(5A)-N(6)	1.289 (2)
C(5A)-C(3A')	1.445 (2)
N(6)-C(6A)	1.401 (2)
C(6A)-C(7)	1.406 (2)
C(6A)-C(10A)	1.424 (2)
C(7)-C(8)	1.380 (2)
C(8)-C(9)	1.394 (2)
C(9)-C(10)	1.390 (2)
C(10)-C(10A)	1.399 (2)
C(10A)-N(10B)	1.430 (2)
N(10B)-C(3A')	1.350 (2)
C(21)-N(22)	1.149 (2)
C(31)-C(32)	1.396 (2)
C(31)-C(36)	1.401 (2)
C(32)-C(33)	1.388 (2)
C(33)-C(34)	1.383 (2)
C(34)-C35)	1.389 (2)
C(35)-C(36)	1 388 (2)
C(51)-C(52)	1 386 (2)
C(51)-C(56)	1 393 (2)
C(52)-C(53)	1 393 (2)
C(53)-C(54)	1 389 (2)
C(54)- $C(55)$	1.000(2) 1 384(2)
C(55) - C(56)	1.304(2)
0(00)	1.000 (2)
Valence angles	
N(11)-C(1)-N(10B)	119.1 (1)
N(11)-C(1)-C(2)	126.6 (1)
N(10B)-C(1)-C(2)	114.4 (1)
C(3)-C(2)-C(21)	120.6 (1)
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	126.0 (1)
C(21)-C(2)-C(1)	113.4 (1)
C(2)-C(3)-C(3A)	115.0 (1)
C(2)-C(3)-C(31)	122.1 (1)
C(3A)-C(3)-C(31)	122.8 (1)
C(3A')-C(3A)-C(3)	119.3 (1)
C(3A')-C(3A)-C(4)	106.9 (1)
C(3)-C(3A)-C(4)	133.8 (1)
O(4)-C(4)-N(5)	123.9 (1)
O(4)-C(4)-C(3A)	129.9 (1)
N(5)-C(4)-C(3A)	106.1 (1)
C(5A)-N(5)-C(4)	110.0 (1)
C(5A)-N(5)-C(51)	126.0 (1)
C(4)-N(5)-C(51)	123.9 (1)

N(6)-C(5A)-N(5) N(6)-C(5A)-C(3A') N(5)-C(5A)-C(3A') C(5A)-N(6)-C(6A) C(7)-C(6A)-N(6) C(7)-C(6A)-C(10A) N(6)-C(6A)-C(10A) C(8)-C(7)-C(6A) C(8)-C(7)-C(9) C(10)-C(9)-C(8) C(9)-C(10)-C(10A) C(10)-C(10A)-C(6A) C(10)-C(10A)-N(10B) C(6A)-C(10A)-N(10B) C(6A)-C(10A)-N(10B) C(3A')-N(10B)-C(1) C(10A)-N(10B)-C(1) N(10B)-C(3A')-C(5A) N(10B)-C(3A')-C(5A) N(22)-C(21)-C(2) C(32)-C(32)-C(32)	$128.9 (1) \\124.9 (1) \\106.2 (1) \\114.3 (1) \\116.3 (1) \\118.6 (1) \\125.1 (1) \\125.1 (1) \\125.1 (1) \\121.3 (1) \\119.5 (1) \\120.9 (1) \\120.9 (1) \\120.0 (1) \\119.6 (1) \\123.2 (1) \\117.2 (1) \\116.7 (1) \\126.4 (1) \\128.0 (1) \\121.3 (1) \\110.7 (1) \\176.5 (2) \\119.6 (1) \\120.6 (1)$
C(52)-C(51)-C(56)	120.7 (1)
Torsion angles C(2)C(3)C(31)C(32) C(2)C(3)C(31)C(36) C(3A)C(3)C(31)C(32) C(3A)C(3)C(31)C(36) C(4)N(5)C(51)C(52) C(4)N(5)C(51)C(56) C(5A)N(5)C(51)C(52) C(5A)N(5)C(51)C(56)	-121.8 (2) 54.8 (2) 54.2 (2) -129.2 (2) -138.8 (1) 40.8 (20 44.5 (2) -136.0(1)
Dihedral angles Best Plane 1/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 3/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 4/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 5/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 6/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 6/ Best Plane 3	0.62 (2) 0.75 (4) 58.28 (4) 41.37 (5) 4.95 (5) 5.42 (6)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Best Plane 1:} \\ \text{C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)N(11)C(21)N(21) \ [19 \ atoms] \\ \text{Best Plane 2:} C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B) \ [16 \ atoms] \\ \text{Best Plane 3:} C(3A)C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)C(3A') \ [13 \ atoms] \\ \text{Best Plane 4:} C(31)C(32)C(33)C(34)C(35')C(36) \\ \text{Best Plane 5:} C(51)C(52)C(53)C(54)C(55)C(56) \\ \text{Best Plane 6:} C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')N(10B) \end{array}$ 

## Table S1.3.

## Selected bond lengths (Å), valence, torsion and dihedral angles (<sup>0</sup>) for TAAP\_RT at T=293(1) K

## **Bond lengths**

C(1)-N(11) C(1)-N(10B) C(1)-C(2) C(2)-C(3) C(2)-C(21) C(3)-C(3A) C(3)-C(31) C(3A)-C(3A')	1.241 (3) 1.443 (3) 1.473 (3) 1.377 (3) 1.435 (3) 1.416 (3) 1.479 (3) 1.363 (3)
C(3A)-C(3A')	1.363 (3)

$\begin{array}{l} C(3A)-C(4)\\ C(4)-O(4)\\ C(4)-N(5)\\ N(5)-C(5A)\\ N(5)-C(5A)\\ N(5)-C(51)\\ C(5A)-N(6)\\ C(5A)-C(3A')\\ N(6)-C(6A)\\ C(6A)-C(7)\\ C(6A)-C(10A)\\ C(7)-C(8)\\ C(8)-C(9)\\ C(9)-C(10)\\ C(10)-C(10A)\\ C(10A)-N(10B)\\ N(10B)-C(3A')\\ C(21)-N(22)\\ C(31)-C(32)\\ C(31)-C(32)\\ C(31)-C(36)\\ C(32)-C(33)\\ C(33)-C(34)\\ C(34)-C35)\\ C(35)-C(36)\\ C(51)-C(56)\\ C(52)-C(53)\\ C(53)-C(54)\\ C(54)-C(55)\\ C(55)-C(56)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.469 \ (3) \\ 1.207 \ (2) \\ 1.427 \ (2) \\ 1.387 \ (2) \\ 1.435 \ (2) \\ 1.435 \ (2) \\ 1.280 \ (2) \\ 1.436 \ (3) \\ 1.416 \ (3) \\ 1.388 \ (3) \\ 1.416 \ (3) \\ 1.369 \ (3) \\ 1.379 \ (3) \\ 1.377 \ (3) \\ 1.393 \ (3) \\ 1.429 \ (2) \\ 1.350 \ (2) \\ 1.141 \ (3) \\ 1.391 \ (3) \\ 1.360 \ (4) \\ 1.385 \ (3) \\ 1.370 \ (3) \\ 1.360 \ (4) \\ 1.385 \ (3) \\ 1.370 \ (3) \\ 1.360 \ (4) \\ 1.378 \ (3) \\ 1.377 \ (3) \end{array}$
Valence angles	
$\begin{array}{c} N(11)-C(1)-N(10B) \\ N(11)-C(1)-C(2) \\ N(10B)-C(1)-C(2) \\ C(3)-C(2)-C(2) \\ C(3)-C(2)-C(1) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(3A)-C(3)-C(3A) \\ C(3A)-C(3A)-C(4) \\ C(3A)-C(3A)-C(4) \\ C(3)-C(3A)-C(4) \\ C(3)-C(3A)-C(4) \\ O(4)-C(4)-N(5) \\ O(4)-C(4)-N(5) \\ O(4)-C(4)-C(3A) \\ N(5)-C(4)-C(3A) \\ C(5A)-N(5)-C(51) \\ C(5A)-N(5)-C(51) \\ N(6)-C(5A)-N(5) \\ N(6)-C(5A)-C(3A') \\ N(5)-C(5A)-C(3A') \\ N(5)-C(5A)-C(10A) \\ C(7)-C(6A)-C(10A) \\ C(8)-C(7)-C(6A) \\ C(9)-C(8)-C(7) \\ C(10)-C(10A)-C(6A) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 119.9 \ (2) \\ 125.9 \ (2) \\ 114.2 \ (2) \\ 120.7 \ (2) \\ 126.2 \ (2) \\ 113.1 \ (2) \\ 115.0 \ (2) \\ 122.2 \ (2) \\ 122.8 \ (2) \\ 119.5 \ (2) \\ 106.8 \ (2) \\ 133.7 \ (2) \\ 123.8 \ (2) \\ 130.3 \ (2) \\ 105.9 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 126.0 \ (2) \\ 123.8 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 128.9 \ (2) \\ 124.5 \ (2) \\ 119.0 \ (2) \\ 124.5 \ (2) \\ 119.7 \ (2) \\ 120.9 \ (2) \\ 120.1 \ (2) \\ 120.1 \ (2) \\ 120.1 \ (2) \\ 119.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2) \\ 110.1 \ (2)$

C(10)-C(10A)-N(10B) C(6A)-C(10A)-N(10B) C(3A')-N(10B)-C(10A) C(3A')-N(10B)-C(1) C(10A)-N(10B)-C(1) N(10B)-C(3A')-C(3A) N(10B)-C(3A')-C(5A) C(3A)-C(3A')-C(5A) N(22)-C(21)-C(2) C(32)-C(31)-C(36) C(52)-C(51)-C(56)	123.4 (2) 117.4 (2) 117.1 (2) 117.0 (2) 126.0 (2) 127.6 (2) 121.2 (2) 111.2 (2) 177.6 (2) 119.4 (2) 120.6 (2)
Torsion angles	
C(2)C(3)C(31)C(32) C(2)C(3)C(31)C(36) C(3A)C(3)C(31)C(32) C(3A)C(3)C(31)C(36) C(4)N(5)C(51)C(52) C(4)N(5)C(51)C(56) C(5A)N(5)C(51)C(52) C(5A)N(5)C(51)C(56) Dihedral angles	-120.0 (2) 56.8 (3) 56.4 (3) -126.9 (2) -136.8 (2) 42.6 (3) 45.8 (3) -134.8 (2)
Best Plane 1/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 3/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 4/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 5/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 6/ Best Plane 2 Best Plane 6/ Best Plane 3	0.51 (4) 0.64 (5) 60.13 (6) 42.55 (8) 4.82 (8) 5.23 (9)
Best Plane 1 : C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)(3A')C(4)(3A')C(4)(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A')C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A')C(3A')C(3C')C(3A')C(3A')C(3C')C(3A')C	C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)N(11)C(21)N(21) C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B) )C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)C(3A') (36)

Best Plane 5 : C(51)C(52)C(53)C(54)C(55)C(56) Best Plane 6 : C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')N(10B)

## Table S1.4.

# Hydrogen bond geometry and selected weak interactions (Å, $^{0}$ ) in the structure of TAAP\_LR at T=130(1) K

	D–H	НА	DA	∠DHA
Intramolecular interactions				
C(10)-H(10)N(11) C(56)-H(56)O(4) N(11)-H(11)Cg7	0.95 0.95 0.90 (2)	2.16 2.56 )2.54	2.795 (2) 2.955 (2) 3.127	123 105 124
Intermolecular interactions				
C(10)-H(10)Cg4 (-x+2, -y+1, -z+1) C(55)-H(55)Cg4 (-x+1, -y, -z+2)	0.95 0.95	3.13 3.05	3.761 3.825	125 140
Cg2Cg2 (-x+2, -y, -z+1) Cg6Cg7 (-x+2, -y+1, -z+1)			3.626 3.290	
Cg8Cg8 (-x+2, -y, -z+1) π–π (-x+2, -y, -z+1)			3.425 3.413	85.2*

3.559	
3.394	72.5*
π-system	
(10)C(10A)N(10B)	
	3.559 3.394 π-system

C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A)C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)N(11)C(21)N(22)

## Table S1.5.

Hydrogen bond geometry and selected weak interactions (Å, $^{0}$ ) in the structure of TAAP\_RT at T=293(1) K

	D–H	НА	DA	∠DHA
Intramolecular interactions				
C(10)-H(10)N(11)	0.93	2.17	2.797 (3)	124
C(56)-H(56)O(4)	0.93	2.58	2.964 (3)	105
N(11)-H(11)Cg7	0.86 (1)	2.51 (2	2) 3.091 (3)	125 (2)
Intermolecular interactions				
C(10)-H(10)Cg4 (-x+2, -y+1, -z+1)	0.93	3.22	3.835	125
C(55)-H(55)Cg4 (-x+1, -y, -z+2)	0.93	3.12	3.892	142
Cq2Cq2 (-x+2, -y, -z+1)			3.632	
Cg6Cg7 (-x+2, -y+1, -z+1)			3.345	
Cq8Cq8 (-x+2, -y, -z+1)			3.475	
$\pi - \pi$ (-x+2, -vz+1)			3.468	86.4*
Cg9Cg9 (-x+2, -y, -z+1)			3.655	
π-π (-x+2, -y, -z+1)			3.455	71.0*

\*The angle between Cg -Cg line and the corresponding plane of  $\pi$ -system

Cg2:  $\pi$ -system of C(3A')C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(10A)N(10B) Cg4:  $\pi$ -system of C(31)C(32)C(33)C(34)C(35)C(36) Cg6:  $\pi$ -system of C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')N(10B) Cg7: C(21)=N(22) Cg8 for condensed  $\pi$ -system: C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B) Cg9 for condensed  $\pi$ -system: C(1)C(2)C(3)C(3A)C(3A')C(4)C(5)C(5A)N(6)C(6A)C(7)C(8)C(9)C(10)C(10A)N(10B)N(11)C(21)N(22) with  $\pi$ - $\pi$  responsible for the formation of dimers



**Figure S1.1.** Two molecules of TAAP\_LT related by the centre of symmetry. The non-hydrogen atoms are represented as displacement ellipsoids at 30% probability levels.



**Figure S1.2.** Relationship of two neighbouring fluorophores for TAAP\_LT with an interplanar distance of 3.413 Å. The non-hydrogen atoms are represented as displacement ellipsoids at 50% probability levels.



**Figure S1.3.** Packing of molecule TAAP\_LT viewed along [100]. The non-hydrogen atoms are represented as displacement ellipsoids at 30% probability levels.

### S1. Non-Covalent Interactions analysis

NCI analysis has been performed for the molecule of TAAP to confirm the existence of the geometrically predicted intramolecular interactions responsible for the decreased structural flexibility. NCI (Non-Covalent Interactions) method utilizes the reduced gradient of electron density s(r) to visualize inter and intramolecular interactions.

$$s(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{|\nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})|}{2(3\pi)^{1/3}\rho(\mathbf{r})^{4/3}}$$

To classify those interactions as favorable or unfavorable we multiply the electron density by the sign of second Hessian eigenvalue ( $sign\lambda_2$ ). Strong and attractive interactions are those with  $\rho(r)>0$  and  $\lambda_2 < 0$ , weak interactions  $\rho(r)\approx0$  and  $\lambda_2\approx0$  and strong and repulsive interactions are with  $\rho(r)>0$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$ . Non-covalent interactions can be visualized as isosurfaces, where small red/blue disc-shaped regions represent strong repulsive/attractive interactions and broad, green and usually irregular surfaces refer to weak interactions. The analysis of interactions was performed via NCIPLOT program (Contreras-Garciá, J.; Johnson, E.R.; Keinan, S.; Chaudret, R.; Piquemal, J-P.; Beratan, D.N., Yang, W. *J. Chem. Theory Comput* 2011, **7**, 625-632.) on the density obtained from DFT calculations using DFT/B3LYP/6-311G\*\*(2d,2p), M. J. Frisch et al., GAUSSIAN 09 (Revision A.1), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2000) and the results are visible in Figure 2c in main text.

## Table S2.1.

Properties of the Bond Critical Points for the intermolecular interactions:  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  – charge density, Laplacian –  $\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}) R_{ij}$  – internuclear separations (Å),  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  – distance between BCPs and atom 1, 2 respectively (Å),  $V(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $G(\mathbf{r})$  and  $E(\mathbf{r})$  local kinetic, local potential and local energy density, respectively. All values except  $R_{ij}$  and  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  in a.u.

	ρ(r)	∇² <i>ρ</i> (r)	Rij	d₁	d <sub>2</sub>	V(r)	G(r)	E(r)	V(r) /G(r)	E(r)/ρ(r)
H10AN11A	0.025	0.093	2.093	0.802	1.250	-0.018	0.021	0.003	0.863	0.111
H56AO4A	0.012	0.044	2.514	1.153	1.435	-0.008	0.010	0.002	0.836	0.133
H52AN6A	0.009	0.034	2.639	1.156	1.435	-0.006	0.007	0.001	0.789	0.158
N11AN6B	0.008	0.024	3.184	1.638	1.564	-0.004	0.005	0.001	0.828	0.111
C32AO4A	0.007	0.024	3.180	1.638	1.581	-0.004	0.005	0.001	0.815	0.133
C10aBC3a <sup>1</sup> A	0.006	0.016	3.392	1.719	1.700	-0.003	0.003	0.001	0.799	0.120
N11AC51B	0.005	0.016	3.396	1.698	1.708	-0.003	0.003	0.001	0.800	0.129
C8AH36B	0.004	0.014	3.101	1.330	1.783	-0.002	0.003	0.001	0.722	0.179
C7BC2A	0.005	0.013	3.586	1.758	1.875	-0.002	0.003	0.001	0.811	0.101
H56AH10B	0.003	0.012	2.716	1.389	1.348	-0.002	0.002	0.001	0.713	0.197

Ground state dipole moment for the molecule of **TAAP** has been calculated using DFT/B3LYP/6-311G\*\*(2d,2p) and the result is visible on **Figure S26**.



Figure S2.1. The relative orientation of the ground state dipole moment in the molecule of TAAP.

S2. 2D Fluorescence spectra for crystalline solid TAAP and in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, benzene-d<sub>6</sub>



Figure S3.1. 2D Fluorescence spectra for crystalline solid of TAAP.



Figure S3.2. 2D Fluorescence spectra for TAAP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.



Figure S3.3. 2D Fluorescence spectra for TAAP in benzene  $-d_6$ .

## S3. Determination of fluorescence quantum yield of TAAP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

Fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH was used as the reference for determining the fluorescence quantum yield of TAAP in acetonitrile. For this purpose two series of solutions were prepared: one for fluorescein and the other for TAAP. In both cases maximum values of absorbance were applied in the range from 0.04 to 0.1. In this way the inner filter effect was reduced.

All measurements were performed at 20°C. Standard 1.0 cm quartz cells were used for measuring absorbance and fluorescence. Before measurement each sample containing TAAP was degassed by flowing argon through a septum-sealed cell for 25 min.

Absorption spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U2900 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence emission spectra were measured with a fluorescence spectrophotometer Hitachi F7000. Excitation and emission slit widths were set at 5.0 nm. The excitation wavelength used for obtaining fluorescence spectra was 470 nm. From each recorded emission spectrum the solvent spectrum was subtracted and the resulting spectrum was corrected for nonlinearity in instrumental response.

$$\Phi_i = \Phi_{std} \left( \frac{m_i}{m_{std}} \right) \left( \frac{n_i^2}{n_{std}^2} \right),\tag{1}$$

where  $\Phi_{std}$  is a quantum yield of fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH ( $\Phi_{std} = 0.89$  [Wurth, C.; Grabolle, M.; Pauli, J.; Spieles, M.; Resch-Genger, U. Relative and absolute determination of fluorescence quantum yields of transparent samples. Nat. Protoc. 2013 8, 1535–1550; A guide to recording fluorescence quantum yields. Technical report, Horiba, Jobin Yvon Ltd., 2 Dalston Garden, Stanmore, Middlesex, UK.]),  $m_i$  is the slope of a linear fit for the integrated fluorescence intensity of TAAP vs. absorbance,  $m_{std}$  is the slope of a linear fit for the integrated fluorescence intensity of fluorescein vs. absorbance (see Fig. S1),  $n_i$  is the refractive index of acetonitrile ( $n_i = 1.344$ ),  $n_{std}$  is the refractive index of 0.1 M NaOH ( $n_{std} = 1.33$ ). The evaluated value of the quantum yield of TAAP in acetonitrile is thus equal 0.021 ( $\Phi_i = 0.021$ ).



**Figure S4.1.** Plots of integrated fluorescence intensity versus absorbance at 470 nm for fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH and TAAP in acetonitrile. The value of  $m_{std}$  is equal 1.68 x 10<sup>6</sup> (R<sup>2</sup> = 1.00) and the value of  $m_i$  equals 3.87 x 10<sup>4</sup> (R<sup>2</sup> = 1.00).

S4. Absorbance and fluorescence concentration-dependent spectra of TAAP



**Figure S5.1.** Concentration-dependent UV-vis spectra of TAAP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

**Figure S5.2.** Concentration-dependent UV-vis spectra of TAAP in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S5.3.** Concentration-dependent UV-vis spectra of TAAP in DMSO.



Figure S5.5. Fluorescence spectra of TAAP at concentration range 0.1  $\mu$ M - 1.2 mM in CH<sub>3</sub>CN ( $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm).



**Figure S5.4.** Concentration-dependent UV-vis spectra of TAAP in toluene.



Figure S5.6. Fluorescence spectra of TAAP at concentration range 15  $\mu$ M - 1.2 mM in CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm).

## S5. Temperature-dependent fluorescence spectra of TAAP at 296 -361 K.



**Figure S6.1.** Temperature-dependent fluorescence spectra of TAAP at 296 -361 K ( $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm).

S6. Correction of fluorescence intensity for the inner filter effects for TAAP (5  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M, 50  $\mu$ M, and 100  $\mu$ M in acetonitrile). The corrected data are drown in red





Figure S7.1. UV-vis spectra and fluorescence spectra before and after correction.



## **S7. DFT calculations**

B3LYP relaxed coordinates of the dimer in gas phase, followed by: centers of gravity & permanent dipoles of the monomers in the dimer; TDDFT transition dipole moments in atomic units.

dimor-	rolaved yyz		
armer-	1 26714	2 0 0 4 0 2	1 47000
2	-1.30/14	2.00402	1.4/000
C	-2.80635	1.99046	1.10919
C	-3.52303	0.82715	0.85336
C	-2.77332	-0.3//42	0.97268
C	-3.07200	-1./9//1	0.67829
0	-4.10620	-2.31808	0.31234
N	-1.84695	-2.51791	0.90881
С	-0.86122	-1.63739	1.31911
N	0.36685	-1.89018	1.62719
С	1.13032	-0.78558	1.97932
С	2.46612	-1.04192	2.33402
H	2.78194	-2.07888	2.31919
С	3.33273	-0.01534	2.66241
Н	4.36846	-0.23034	2.90076
С	2.86286	1.30306	2.65415
H	3.53509	2.11955	2.89572
С	1.54568	1.59859	2.32427
Н	1.18805	2.61507	2.30564
С	0.65669	0.56683	1.97651
N	-0.70707	0.78139	1.61117
С	-1.44843	-0.31682	1.32996
N	-0.68970	3.12790	1.66453
Н	-1.25147	3.96252	1.50700
С	-3.43176	3.27129	1.06599
N	-3.87107	4.35025	1.04343
С	-4.95653	0.82818	0.48250
С	-5.84129	-0.07167	1.09723
Н	-5.46820	-0.77301	1.83319
С	-7.19303	-0.06927	0.76457
Н	-7.86604	-0.76636	1.25379
С	-7.67823	0.81684	-0.19896
Н	-8.73160	0.81126	-0.46279
С	-6.80469	1.70796	-0.82464
Н	-7.17280	2.39781	-1.57771
С	-5.45467	1.71861	-0.48302
Н	-4.78301	2.41034	-0.97654
С	-1.66542	-3.91264	0.66930
С	-0.82579	-4.66081	1.50161
Н	-0.32501	-4.18458	2.33386
С	-0.61622	-6.01245	1.23206
Н	0.04656	-6.58508	1.87359
С	-1.25263	-6.62698	0.15338
Н	-1.08701	-7.68017	-0.05137
С	-2.10393	-5.87756	-0.66019
Н	-2.60559	-6.34539	-1.50185
С	-2.31032	-4.52264	-0.41311
Н	-2.96678	-3.94192	-1.04525
С	1.36673	-2.06391	-1.47037
С	2.80595	-1.99056	-1.10891
С	3.52280	-0.82733	-0.85312
С	2.77323	0.37733	-0.97241

С	3.07211	1.79758	-0.67803
0	4.10635	2.31780	-0.31198
N	1.84721	2.51797	-0.90874
С	0.86135	1.63757	-1.31901
Ν	-0.36665	1.89056	-1.62722
С	-1.13029	0.78606	-1.97930
С	-2.46602	1.04262	-2.33412
Н	-2.78157	2.07967	-2.31962
С	-3.33282	0.01614	-2.66237
Н	-4.36849	0.23130	-2.90085
С	-2.86321	-1.30235	-2.65385
Н	-3.53561	-2.11875	-2.89523
С	-1.54609	-1.59808	-2.32392
Н	-1.18861	-2.61461	-2.30516
С	-0.65690	-0.56643	-1.97631
N	0.70683	-0.78120	-1.61095
С	1.44835	0.31691	-1.32974
N	0.68919	-3.12770	-1.66441
Н	1.25083	-3.96241	-1.50688
C	3.43116	-3.27148	-1.06568
N	3.87028	-4.35051	-1.04307
С	4.95636	-0.82858	-0.48252
Č	5 84109	0 07116	-1 09749
н	5 46787	0 77264	-1 83324
C	7 19295	0 06844	-0 76535
н	7 86593	0 76543	-1 25476
C	7 67834	-0.81788	0 19789
н	8 73182	-0.81258	0.46129
C	6 80485	-1 70885	0.82384
ц	7 17309	-2 39882	1 57673
C	5 45469	-1 71917	0 48275
ц	4 78308	-2 41073	0.97661
C	1 66583	3 91272	-0.66927
c	0.82656	4 66101	-1 50182
ц	0.32595	4.00101	-2 33421
C	0.52555	6 01269	_1 23237
	0.01527	6 505/1	1 07/11
п	1 25226	6 60712	-1.0/411
	1 00707	7 60026	-0.13535
п	2 10420	7.00030	0.0001
	2.10430	J.0//JO	1 50210
п	2.005/9	0.34333	1.30210
C II	2.31052	4.52263	1.04531
H	2.90008	3.94180	1.04567
× -	2.03004 0.61450	-1.03441 #	center of mass monomeri
X	2.03004 -0.61450	1.03441 #	Center of mass monomerz
× -	2.03004 0.61450	-1.03441	2.156 3.0061 -0.2149 # permanent dipole (D) monomeri
A 1/ 0	2.03004 -0.61430	1.03441 .	-2.156 -3.0061 0.2149 # permanent dipole (D) momoner2
X U	0.4199	-0.2629	-0.1559 # SI trans dipole in atomic units (e*bonr)
X 0		0.6/23	-U.1391 # 52 trans dipole in atomic units (e*bohr)
X 0	-0.0843	U.1/98	U.1349.FF S3 " " 0.0707 # C4 N N
X 0	1.6240	U.1/85	U.2/0/ # 54 """
X 0	0.4383	-0.8/81	$0.0124 \pm 55$ "
X 0	-0.4199	-0.2598	-U.IU34 # S6 " "
X 0	-0.2981	0.0565	-U.U200 # S/ " "
X 0	0.2458	-0.0777	-0.0284 # S8 " "
X 0	0.1702	-0.0407	U.U/U8 # S9 " "
X 0	0.0427	0.1309	-U.U166 # S10 " "



**Figure S8.1.** Jablonsky diagram for monomer and dimer of TAAP with the frontier orbitals of the monomer HOMO and LUMO, and the frontier orbitals of the dimer: HOMO-1 with  $A_g$  symmetry, HOMO with  $A_u$  symmetry, LUMO with  $A_u$  symmetry, and LUMO+1 with  $A_g$  symmetry. The symmetry permitted transitions for the dimer are marked by blue arrows. The symmetry forbidden transitions are indicated by red dashed arrows. The allowed transition for the monomer is marked by green arrow.



**Figure S8.2.** Molecular orbitals and energies for the TAAP monomer (left) and dimer (right). The dimer orbital have the following symmetry: HOMO–1 and LUMO are ungerade ( $A_u$ ), HOMO and LUMO+1 are gerade ( $A_g$ ). Positive isovalue is blue, negative isovalue is red.

## S8. 1D Fluorescence spectra of TAAP and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of TAAP measured at the same concentrations 0.24 mM and 0.1 M in benzene- $d_6$



**Figure S9.1.** 1D Fluorescence spectra of TAAP in different concentration (0.24 mM and 0.01M in benzene- $d_{6}$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm).



Figure S9.2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of TAAP measured at the same concentrations 0.24 mM in benzene- $d_6$ .

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Figure S9.4.** Superimposed <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of TAAP measured at concentration 0.01 M and .0,24 mM in benzene- $d_6$ .

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure S10.2. HSQC.

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure S10.4. ROESY.

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S10.5. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for TAAP.

#### S10. Determination of experimental permanent dipole moment

The measurement was performed in 1,4-dioxane saturated solution at 300 K. The dielectric constants of the solutions was measured by a Dipole Meter AGILENT E4980A using condenser cell 16452A. The refractive indices was determined by the use of a Refractometer ATA60 RX5000CX Coubest. The density was measured by Densitometer Mettler Toledo 30PX. The reference liquid was 1,4-dioxane with  $\varepsilon_l = 2.22$ .

The dipole moment of TAAP molecule in 1,4-dioxane saturated solution was determined according the following equation:

$$(\mu)^{2} = \frac{27kT}{4\pi N_{A}} \frac{M_{2}}{d_{1}(\varepsilon_{1}+2)^{2}} \left[ \frac{(\varepsilon_{12}-\varepsilon_{1})}{\omega_{2}} - \frac{(\eta_{12}^{2}-\eta_{1}^{2})}{\omega_{2}} \right]_{\omega_{2}\to0}$$

where  $\mu$  - dipole moment,  $N_A$  - Avogadro's number, k - Boltzmann constant,  $M_2$  - molar mass of TAAP,  $\varepsilon_1$  - dielectric constant of 1,4-dioxane,  $\varepsilon_{12}$  - dielectric constant of solution,  $\eta_1$  - refractive index of 1,4-dioxane,  $\eta_{12}$ , - refractive index of solution,  $d_1$  - density of 1,4-dioxane,  $\omega_2$  - weight fraction.

#### S11. Details of Clausius-Mossotti model for optical absorption of molecular solids

#### S12.1. Introduction

The Clausius-Mossotti (CM) (O. F. Mossotti, *Mem. di mathem. e fsica in Modena*, 24 11, (1850), 49; R. Clausius, *Die mechanische U'grmetheorie*, 2, (1879), p. 62).) equation relates the dielectric constant of a material to the polarizability of its constituents. For example, it relates the dielectric constant of a molecular solid to the polarizability of the molecules. Derivations of the CM equation can be found on most textbooks and many references, such as Ref. (J. H. Hannay, *Eur. J. Phys.* 4, (1983) 131).

Using CGS units, and extending to the frequency dependent response, the molecular polarizability is given by:

$$N\alpha(\omega) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{\epsilon(\omega) - 1}{\epsilon(\omega) + 2} \tag{1}$$

where N is the molecular number density in cm<sup>3</sup> and is the polarizability in cm<sup>3</sup>. is related to the \excitation volume".

Then, the CM relation is:

$$\epsilon(\omega) = 1 + \frac{4\pi N\alpha(\omega)}{1 - \frac{4\pi}{3}N\alpha(\omega)}$$
(2)

This equation is valid in principle only for cubic systems, but it's a good approximation to the dielectric function for isotropic systems. Note that optical absorption is related to  $\epsilon_2 \equiv \text{Im } \epsilon$ ,

the imaginary part of the dielectric function. When  $N\alpha \leq .1$  the solid absorption energy  $\omega$  is markedly shifted from the absorption energy of the free molecule, as an effect of the collective response of all dipoles in the crystal.

## S12.2. Molecular polarizability

We assume that the molecular polarizability as a superposition of Lorentian functions, with a small broadening  $\gamma \ll 1$ :

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{m} \sum_{k} \frac{fk}{\omega_{\kappa}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \, r} \tag{3}$$

where the index  $\kappa$  runs over electronic excitations of energy  $\omega_{\kappa}$  and oscillator strength  $f_{\kappa}$ . Note that  $\gamma$  is not meant to reproduce vibronic and temperature effects. To take those into account, it is necessary to generate a gaussian of excitations centered around each electronic transition.

The Lorentian form fulfills the Kramers-Krönig relations and after some algebra it leads to the usual form for the imaginary part of the molecular polarizability, in the limit  $\Upsilon \rightarrow 0$ .<sup>1</sup>

$$\alpha_{2}(\omega) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\pi e^{2}}{2m\omega} \sum_{\kappa} f_{\kappa} \delta(\omega \pm \omega_{\kappa})$$
(5)

## S12.3. Outline of the calculation

Excitation energies  $\omega_k$  and oscillator strengths  $f_k$  are obtained from a TDDFT calculation on an isolated molecular unit (i.e. the dimer in vacuum at the crystal geometry). Next, the complex molecular polarizability  $\alpha(\omega)$  is calculated with  $\Upsilon = 0.002$  eV on a very ne energy grid. Then the complex dielectric function is calculated from the CM equation, and convoluted with a gaussian function of width 0.1 - 0.15 eV, to simulate experimental broadening.

The refractive index  $\eta$  and extinction coefficient are obtained by solving the system of equations:

Re 
$$\epsilon(\omega) = \eta^2 - \kappa^2$$
  
Im  $\epsilon(\omega) = 2\eta\kappa$  (6)

Finallty, the absorbance is calculated as:

$$\eta(\omega) = \frac{2\kappa\omega}{c} \tag{7}$$

where *c* is the speed of light.

<sup>1</sup>We used the Plemlj relation:

$$\frac{\omega\gamma}{(e_{\kappa}^2-e^2)^2+\omega^2\gamma^2} \cong \pi\delta(\omega_{\kappa}^2-\omega^2)$$

and the following property of the  $\delta(x)$  function:

$$\delta(F(x)) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\delta(x - x_{\lambda})}{|F'(x_{\lambda})|}$$
(4)

where  $x_{\lambda}$  are simple zeros of F(x).