

Volume 55 (2022)

Supporting information for article:

Band-gap assessment from X-ray powder diffraction using Artificial Intelligence

Juan Iván Gómez-Peralta, Xim Bokhimi, Nidia Guadalupe García-Peña, Patricia Quintana-Owen and Geonel Rodríguez-Gattorno

#### A. Recorded diffraction patterns

a) ZnO



b) SnO<sub>2</sub>



The background noise before the first peak was removed up to  $2\theta=20^{\circ}$ 

## c) CuO



After Rietveld refinement, it was assessed that the actual crystal size of the sample was 107 nm.

### d) 1, 4, 5, 8-Naphtalenetetracarboxilic dianhydride, NTCDA



# e) 7, 7, 8, 8-Tetracyanoquinodimethane







# g) MIL-125-Ti-NH2



The background noise before the first peak was removed up to  $2\theta = 5^{\circ}$ 

### h) UiO-67



# B. Plots of the assessed band-gap by the CNNs vs. the reported in the datasets with the compounds of the training set.

The plots of the left side were obtained with the CNNs that only used the diffraction patterns as input vector, whereas those in the right side were obtained with the CNNs that used both diffraction patterns and the compositional vector. The plots in the top were obtained with compounds of the QMOF. Those in the middle were plot with the compounds of the OMDB dataset. The plots in the bottom were plot with the compounds of the HSE dataset. The assessments with an absolute error  $AE \le 1$  eV were highlighted in blue.



a. QMOF					
Symbol	%	La	1.04	W	0.16
Н	100.00	Ba	0.97	Lu	0.16
С	100.00	Symbol	%	As	0.14
0	83.15	Мо	0.95	Sb	0.14
Ν	82.83	Er	0.92	Symbol	%
Zn	17.50	Sn	0.91	Те	0.10
Cu	17.44	Pr	0.90	Ti	0.10
S	16.86	Sr	0.89	Sc	0.10
Cd	16.12	Mg	0.81	Zr	0.09
Cl	9.98	V	0.77	Th	0.06
Ag	7.16	В	0.70	Ce	0.05
Со	5.43	Но	0.64	Re	0.04
Р	4.77	Cs	0.57	Ge	0.04
Mn	4.28	Rb	0.46	Pu	0.02
Ι	4.17	Au	0.43	Np	0.02
Br	4.11	T1	0.42	Ir	0.02
F	3.68	Yb	0.39	Nb	0.01
Ni	3.51	Y	0.37	Tc	0.01
Hg	2.51	Bi	0.36	Be	0.01
Na	2.35	Si	0.35		
K	2.26	In	0.34		
Pb	1.68	Se	0.34		
Fe	1.66	Pt	0.32		
Li	1.62	Pd	0.29		
Tb	1.60	Ru	0.24		
Gd	1.48	Tm	0.22		
Ca	1.48	Eu	0.22		
Nd	1.25	Al	0.21		
Sm	1.24	Ga	0.21		
Dy	1.15	Cr	0.19		
U	1.05	Rh	0.18		

#### C. Element abundance in the compounds of the datasets

Next elements had an abundance lower than 0.01 %: Ra, Ac, Kr, Pa, Rn, Am, Fr, Ar, At, Po, Ne, He, Xe, Os, Ta, Hf, Pm, and Cm

Symbol	%	Ir	0.26
Н	100.00	Symbol	%
С	100.00	Al	0.26
0	75.86	Ga	0.26
Ν	64.13	Co	0.26
S	11.32	Ge	0.25
Cl	9.16	Hg	0.23
Br	6.81	Rh	0.23
F	5.91	Te	0.22
Р	3.31	Mn	0.22
Ι	2.51	Sb	0.18
В	2.27	Κ	0.18
Si	2.14	Os	0.15
Fe	1.51	Re	0.15
Se	1.06	Bi	0.14
Pd	0.93	As	0.12
Zn	0.63	Zr	0.11
Pt	0.60	In	0.10
Ru	0.57	U	0.08
Sn	0.43	Ca	0.08
Ag	0.41	Sr	0.07
Cr	0.35	Y	0.06
Ti	0.34	T1	0.05
Li	0.34	Cs	0.05
Pb	0.31	Та	0.04
W	0.31	Ba	0.04
Na	0.31	La	0.03
Au	0.31	V	0.03
Ni	0.30	Rb	0.03
Cu	0.30	Nb	0.02
Мо	0.30	Be	0.02
Cd	0.28	Hf	0.02
Mg	0.27	Lu	0.02

### b. OMDB

Sc 0.01

Next elements had an abundance lower than 0.01 %: Rn, At, Tc, Fr, Ar, Ra, Ac, Kr, Th, Pa, Po, Pr, He, Nd, Xe, Ce, Yb, Tm, Er, Ho, Dy, Tb, Gd, Eu, Sm, Pm, Ne

c. HSE	
--------	--

Symbol	%	Pb	4.03
0	45.17	Si	3.82
S	16.83	T1	3.82
Κ	10.19	In	3.45
Cl	10.19	Symbol	%
Se	9.72	Zn	3.37
Cu	9.47	Au	3.37
Р	9.23	Nb	3.14
Ν	8.65	Cr	3.12
Na	8.59	Ti	2.96
F	7.93	В	2.94
Н	7.85	Ga	2.75
Ag	7.54	Мо	2.47
Ba	7.52	Al	2.45
Cs	7.01	La	2.36
Те	6.43	Co	2.24
Ι	6.12	Pd	2.06
As	5.94	Mg	2.03
Sb	5.61	Ni	1.95
Rb	5.53	Та	1.91
Bi	5.14	Pt	1.54
V	4.99	W	1.52
Sr	4.81	Y	1.52
Ge	4.81	Zr	1.21
Br	4.69	Ru	0.99
Mn	4.67	Re	0.84
Li	4.58	Lu	0.82
С	4.46	Hf	0.68
Sn	4.38	Os	0.60
Fe	4.32	Rh	0.53
Hg	4.23	Sc	0.47
Cd	4.05	Xe	0.45
Ca	4.05	Be	0.45

Ce	0.33
Ir	0.33
Tc	0.31
Kr	0.10

Dy	0.06
He	0.02

Next elements had an abundance lower than 0.01 %: Er, Pm, Ne, Ar, Pr, Nd, Sm, Tm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Ho, Yb, Po









d) 1, 4, 5, 8-Naphtalenetetracarboxilic dianhydride, NTCDA





Wavelength (nm)

### e) 7, 7, 8, 8-Tetracyanoquinodimethane



h) UiO-67

