

Supplementary Figure 1
The $\mathrm{KinA}_{2}$-2Sda structure used as test case. The KinA molecules are coloured blue, while the Sda molecules are coloured red.


Supplementary Figure 2 Theoretical neutron scattering profiles generated from the $\mathrm{KinA}_{2}$ 2Sda complex (Supplementary Figure 1). The $0 \%{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ profile has units of $10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, with each subsequent profile off-set by a factor of $50^{-\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{10 \%}=1, \mathrm{n}_{20 \%}=2, \mathrm{n}_{30 \%}=3, \mathrm{n}_{40 \%}=4, \mathrm{n}_{70 \%}=5, \mathrm{n}_{80 \%}=6\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{90 \%}=7, \mathrm{n}_{100 \%}=8\right)$.


Supplementary Figure 3 Theoretical neutron scattering profiles generated from the $\mathrm{KinA}_{2}-$ 2Sda complex (Supplementary Figure 1), with normally distributed noise applied to the data, with a level approximately equal to experimental data collected at $\sim 12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $0 \%{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ profile has units of $10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, with each subsequent profile off-set by a factor of $50^{-\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{10 \%}=1, \mathrm{n}_{20 \%}=2, \mathrm{n}_{40 \%}=3, \mathrm{n}_{80 \%}=\right.$ $4, \mathrm{n}_{90 \%}=5, \mathrm{n}_{100 \%}=6$ ).


Supplementary Figure 4 Composite scattering functions using the scattering profiles shown in Supplementary Figure 3; Top Four contrast points ( $0 \%$, $20 \%$, $80 \%$, $100 \%$ ); Middle Five contrast points $(0 \%, 20 \%, 40 \%, 80 \%, 100 \%)$; Bottom Seven contrast points ( $0 \%, 10 \%, 20 \%, 40 \%, 80 \%, 90 \%$, $100 \%$ ).


Supplementary Figure $5 \quad P(r)$ functions derived from the composite scattering functions (Supplementary Figure 4); Top $I_{H H}$; Middle $I_{H D}$; Bottom $I_{D D}$.


Supplementary Figure 6 Theoretical neutron scattering profiles generated from the $\mathrm{KinA}_{2}-$ 2Sda complex (Supplementary Figure 1), with normally distributed noise applied to the data, with a level approximately equal to experimental data collected at $\sim 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $0 \%{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ profile has units of $10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, with each subsequent profile off-set by a factor of $50^{-\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{10 \%}=1, \mathrm{n}_{20 \%}=2, \mathrm{n}_{70 \%}=3, \mathrm{n}_{80 \%}=\right.$ $4, \mathrm{n}_{90 \%}=5, \mathrm{n}_{100 \%}=6$ ).


Supplementary Figure 7 Composite scattering functions using all the scattering profiles shown in Supplementary Figure $6(0 \%, 10 \%, 20 \%, 40 \%, 80 \%, 90 \%, 100 \%)$. The effect of fewer contrast points is not test here, because the accuracy of the extraction is limited by the noise level in the data.


Supplementary Figure $8 \quad P(r)$ functions derived from the composite scattering functions (Supplementary Figure 7); Top $I_{H H}$; Middle $I_{H D}$; Bottom $I_{D D}$.

Supplementary Table 1 Comparison of the radii of gyration for the KinA:Sda complex obtained from various methods, using different combinations of contrast points.

|  | $R_{H}(\AA)$ | $R_{D}(\AA)$ | $D^{\S}(\AA)$ | $R_{m}(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual values ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.74 \\ & 26.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.54- \\ & 21.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.37- \\ & 32.30 \end{aligned}$ | 27.54 |
| Low Noise <br> (4 contrast points) |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel <br> Axis | 25.71(19) | 18(5) | 33(4) | - |
| Stuhrmann | - | - | - | 27.53(27) |
| Extraction | 25.52(27) | 23.1 (12) | - | - |
| Low Noise (5 contrast points) |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel <br> Axis | 25.64(6) | 21.0(4) | 31.0(7) | - |
| Stuhrmann | - | - | - | 27.4(12) |
| Extraction | 25.74(21) | 22.6 (10) | - | - |
| Low Noise (7 contrast points) |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel <br> Axis | 25.71(10) | 21.0(5) | 31.0(8) | - |
| Stuhrmann | - | - | - | 27.50(15) |
| Extraction High Noise (7 contrast points) | 25.64(20) | 21.8 (12) | - | - |
| Parallel <br> Axis | 25.94(24) | 17(7) | 33(5) | - |
| Stuhrmann | - | - | - | 33(5) |
| Extraction | 25.90(25) | 18 (4) | - | - |

## Implementation of composite scattering function extraction

The composite scattering functions are calculated via minimisation of a conventional weighted least-square residual:

$$
\varepsilon_{q}^{\prime}=\sum_{i}\left[\frac{I_{i, q}^{\mathrm{exp}}-A_{i} I_{11, q}-B_{i} I_{12, q}-C_{i} I_{22, q}}{\sigma\left(I_{i, q}\right)}\right]^{2},
$$

where $A_{i}=\Delta \bar{\rho}_{1, i}^{2}, B_{i}=\Delta \bar{\rho}_{1, i} \bar{\rho}_{2, i}$ and $C_{i}=\Delta \bar{\rho}_{2, i}^{2}, q$ distinguishes between each resolution bin, and the subscript $i$ represents each contrast variation data set. A minimum occurs when the derivative of the residual with respect to each variable, $V_{j}$, is equal to zero,

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}^{\prime}}{\partial V_{j}}=0 .
$$

This leads to the set of linear equations
which can be expressed in the form

$$
\mathbf{X}_{q}=\mathbf{P}_{q} \mathbf{I}_{q} .
$$

This can be rearranged to give the composite scattering functions $\mathbf{I}_{q}$,

$$
\mathbf{I}_{q}=\mathbf{P}_{q}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{q} .
$$

The variance for each data point $q$, for each composite scattering function is then calculated via

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(I_{k, q}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{q}}{N-3} P_{k k, q}^{-1}=\chi_{q}^{2} P_{k k, q}^{-1} .
$$

## Implementation of the parallel-axis theorem

Parameters for the parallel-axis theorem are solved via minimisation of the least-squares residual

$$
\varepsilon=\sum_{i}\left[\frac{R_{i, o b s}^{2}-f_{i, 1}^{\prime} R_{1}^{2}-f_{i, 2}^{\prime} R_{2}^{2}-f_{i, 1}^{\prime} f_{i, 2}^{\prime} D^{2}}{\sigma^{2}\left(R_{i, o b s}^{2}\right)}\right]^{2} .
$$

Again a minimum occurs when the derivative of the residual with respect to each variable, $V_{j}$, is equal to zero. A corresponding set of linear equations are solved, and the variances determined in an analogous fashion to the composite scattering functions.

## Implementation of the Stuhrmann analysis

Parameters for the Stuhrmann plot are solved via minimisation of the least-squares residual

$$
\varepsilon=\sum_{i}\left[\frac{R_{i, o b s}^{2}-R_{m}^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\Delta \bar{\rho}_{i}}+\frac{\beta}{\Delta \bar{\rho}_{i}^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}\left(R_{i, o b s}^{2}\right)}\right]^{2}
$$

Again a minimum occurs when the derivative of the residual with respect to each variable, $V_{j}$, is equal to zero. A corresponding set of linear equations are solved, and the variances determined in an analogous fashion to the previous two examples.

