**Original Article** 

Anxiety, Motivation, Stress Levels and Associated Factors Among University Students in the COVID-

19 Pandemic

**Authors:** Ceyda Uzun Şahin<sup>1-0000-0002-1392-7409</sup>, Merve Aydın<sup>2-0000-0001-7466-2136</sup>, Nursen Kulakac<sup>3-0000-0002-5427-1063</sup>

**Institutions:** 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Services and Techniques, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Vocational

School of Health Services, First and Emergency Program, Rize, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mental Health and Nursing, Karadeniz Technical University Faculty of Health

Sciences, Trabzon, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Nursing, Gümüşhane University Faculty of Health Sciences, Gümüşhane, Turkey

**Corresponding Author: Merve Aydın** 

E-mail: merveaydin@ktu.edu.tr

**Received Date: 21.08.2020** 

**Accepted Date: 18.10.2020** 

Cite this article as: Şahin CU, Aydın M, Kulakac N. Anxiety, Motivation, Stress Levels and Associated

Factors Among University Students in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Cyprus J Med Sci 2020; DOI:

10.5152/cjms.2020.2685.

DOI: 10.5152/cjms.2020.2685

#### **ABSTRACT**

## **BACKGROUND/AIMS**

The global COVID-19 pandemic affects society seriously in terms of psychosocial aspects, but this effect is more intense on some specific population groups. University students are among the most affected population groups by the pandemic. This study was conducted to determine the anxiety, motivation, stress levels, and associated factors among health science students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

#### MATERIAL and METHODS

The population of this descriptive and cross-sectional study consisted of students studying at health-related departments in three universities in Turkey. The data were collected from 855 students determined with the stratified sampling method using the online survey method. A questionnaire developed by the researchers and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) were used to collect the data. Percentage, mean, standard deviation, t-test, one-way ANOVA test, Pearson correlation, and linear regression analysis were applied to evaluate the data.

#### **RESULTS**

The results revealed that the mean age of the students was 20.85±2.37 years (min: 18; max: 41), 80.5% were women, 38.0% were nursing students, 13.7% had a family member with the diagnosis of COVID-19. The BAI mean score was found to be 29.00±7.8. According to the multiple linear regression analysis, the factors affecting students' anxiety scores significantly were being female, impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, decreased motivation, increased stress level, and having a family member with the diagnosis of COVID-19.

### **CONCLUSION**

Health science students experience severe anxiety due to COVID-19 pandemic and have moderate motivation and stress scores. Being a woman, having an impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, reduced motivation,

increased stress level and a family member diagnosed with COVID-19 are factors influencing the level of

anxiety.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, psychological effect, health science student

INTRODUCTION

Coronaviruses (CoV) are a large family of viruses that can lead to a variety of diseases, from the common cold

to more serious diseases such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) and Severe Acute

Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV) (1). COVID-19 causes coronavirus disease. The novel Coronavirus

(COVID-19) was first identified on January 13, 2020 as a result of examinations in a group of patients who

developed respiratory tract symptoms (fever, cough, shortness of breath) in Wuhan Province in late December

(2). The epidemic was initially detected in those in the seafood and animal market in this region (3). Then, it

spread from one person to another, other cities in Hubei province, other provinces of the People's Republic of

China and finally almost all the countries in the world (4,5). The World Health Organization (WHO) declared

the Coronavirus as an International Health Emergency and announced on February 11 that the disease caused

by the novel coronavirus would be called as "Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic (COVID-19)" (6).

With the diagnosis of the first positive case on 11 March 2020, the pandemic began in Turkey (7). As

in the world, health authorities in Turkey have taken several measures to struggle against the pandemic such

as social distancing, travel restrictions on visitors arriving from high-risk counties, quarantine for nationals

returning from high-risk locations, and suspending education-related activities and closure of certain types of

workplaces. On March 12, the government declared that all schools including universities were to be closed

starting from March 16 (7,8). The rapid spread of the disease, tight isolation measures, interrupting face-to-

face education in schools, and transition to distance education may negatively influence the mental health of

students, causing psychological symptoms to occur (9).

the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Şahin CU, Aydın M, Kulakac N. Anxiety, Motivation, Stress Levels and Associated Factors Among University Students

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through

in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Cyprus J Med Sci 2020; DOI: 10.5152/cjms.2020.2685.-Available

online at https://cyprusjmedsci.com/EN

As a result of the worldwide pandemic due to COVID-19 infection, people have experienced significant changes in many areas such as health, education, and social life. It is an inevitable fact that rapid and sudden lifestyle changes have an impact on human psychology (10). Reports on the psychological effects of the epidemic on society, patients, healthcare professionals, children, and the elderly have been prepared (11-13). Due to the worldwide pandemic, the fear of getting ill, lockdown, delays in education and graduation may negatively affect the mental health of university students (9).

COVID-19- related studies show that students' feelings of anxiety, stress, and depression increase (9, 14-16), their concentration and academic performance decrease (17), and their sleep patterns are disrupted (16). Outbreaks have been reported to have negative effects on medical education and students just like healthcare workers (9). A study conducted by Loh et al. showed that lower-class students' anxiety rates were higher than senior students during SARS epidemic (18). Yakar et al. (2020) examined the knowledge, attitude, and concerns of medical students about the current COVID-19 outbreak in Turkey and found that they had sufficient knowledge and positive attitudes about the outbreak, and the state and trait anxiety scores of female students were higher (19). The number of studies conducted on university students who have been heavily influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of both education and socialization is limited. As highlighted in multiple recent correspondences, there is an urgent need to assess the effects of the current pandemic on the mental health and well-being of college students (20-22). For this reason, this study aims to determine the anxiety, motivation, stress levels and associated factors among students studying at three different universities in Turkey, and seeks the answers to the following questions:

- What are the anxiety, motivation, and stress mean scores of the students during the COVID-19 pandemic?
- Do students' socio-demographic characteristics have an impact on their anxiety, motivation, and stress levels during the COVID-19 pandemic?

 What is/are the most significant determinant variable/s on students' anxiety levels during the Covid-19 pandemic?

### **MATERIAL and METHODS**

## **Study Design**

This study is a descriptive and cross-sectional study.

# **Setting**

The research data were collected from three different universities in the Eastern Black Sea region of Turkey.

## Sample

The data were collected between 10 May 2020 and 17 May 2020. The population of the study consisted of 2440 students studying at health-related departments of three universities located in the eastern Black Sea region in Turkey. Determined by using a stratified random sampling method (the departments were used as the stratum criterion), the sample of the study included 989 students from nursing department (278), faculty of medicine (123), pharmacy faculty (175), health management department (196) and the other vocational schools of health services (217). 855 students who volunteered to participate in the study and completed the forms were included in the study with a response rate of 86.4%.

## Measurements

The data of the study were collected using the Beck Anxiety Inventory and a questionnaire form developed by the researchers. After obtaining the necessary permissions, the online questionnaire form was prepared using the Google Forms web application and sent to the students' smartphones through WhatsApp messaging program.

## **Questionnaire Form**

The questionnaire form consists of 3 sections and 17 questions. In the first part, there are 9 questions to determine the socio-demographic characteristics of students, the second part includes 6 questions regarding students' experiences in the COVID-19 pandemic, and the third part has 2 questions created by using the numerical scale '0-10' to define the motivation and stress levels of the students. In this numerical scale created by researchers, '0' refers to the low level of motivation/stress and '10' refers to the highest level of motivation/stress. Students were asked to evaluate their motivation and stress levels in response to the question "How many points do you give to your current level of motivation/stress?"

You can access the survey form from the link below.

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/15A4KwHbJTRunFvmH6Zz6VuSwvwDcgBeRQZBfTTDvPXA/editable. The property of the p

## The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)

The BAI that includes 21 items ranked on a 0-3 scale with total raw scores ranging from 0-63 was used to assess students' anxiety levels. High scores indicate high anxiety levels. The BAI scores are classified into four categories, including normal (≤7), mild (8-15), moderate (16-25), and severe (≥26) anxiety levels. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was done by Ulusoy et al. (1998) (23). Cronbach's alpha internal consistency coefficient of the scale was found to be 0.93 by Ulusoy et al. (1998) and 0.89 in this study.

# **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the local university (2020/5) and approval numbered 2020-05-05T12\_24\_34 was obtained from the Turkish Republic Ministry of Health. All the participants gave their electronic informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study, and they were informed that they could withdraw from the survey at any moment without providing any justification.

# **Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical software, version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Cronbach's alfa was used to assess the internal consistency of the scale, and descriptive statistics, t-test, one-way ANOVA test, Pearson correlation, and linear regression analysis were used to evaluate the data. In the study, all findings were tested at a significance level of p=0.05.

## Limitations of the study

The study was conducted with the students studying at health-related departments at three universities located in the eastern Black Sea region in Turkey, however not all students could be reached. The research is based on students' self-report. It is possible that some students avoided or exaggerated their real situation during the application. These reasons limit the generalizability of the results.

#### RESULTS

The study results revealed that the mean age of the students was 20.85±2.37 years (min: 18; max: 41), and 80.5% of them were female. 38.0%, 26.0%, 14.7%, 9.0%, 7.0%, and 5.3% of the students studied at nursing, medical services and techniques, faculty of medicine, pharmacy, health management, and physiotherapy departments, respectively. During the lockdown, 64.3% followed the coronavirus news, 55.4% had increased sleep time, 52.5% had increased appetite, 78.6% had decreased motivation, 0.5% were treated with COVID-19 diagnosis, and 13.7% had a family member with the diagnosis of COVID-19.

The comparison between the students' mean scores and their gender showed that female students' BAI mean scores were significantly higher than the male students (p<0.001). No significant relationship was found between students' gender and motivation scores (p>0.05) and between students' gender and stress scores (p>0.05). The motivation levels of the students with high family income were found to be significantly higher

(p<0.001), but there was no significant difference between the BAI and stress scores. The BAI scores of those who started smoking after the pandemic (p=0.005), the motivation scores of nonsmokers (p<0.001), and the stress scores of those who started smoking after the pandemic (p=0.002) were found to be significantly higher. Despite not statistically significant, the BAI scores of the students living in the metropolis during the COVID-19 were higher. Besides, the BAI and stress scores (p <0.50) of students with chronic and psychological diseases are significantly higher than those without any psychological diseases (p<0.001) (Table 1).

The mean BAI scores of the students having a family member with the diagnoses of COVID-19 were significantly higher (p<0.001). Not statistically significant, but they also had higher stress and lower motivation scores. The mean BAI scores of students who had decreased sleep time and appetite during the lockdown due to COVID-19, and the motivation scores of those whose sleep time and nutrition did not change were found to be significantly higher (p<0.001) (Table 2).

Table 3 shows how the mental health of healthcare worker candidate students was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. It was seen that the students did not have a normal and mild level of anxiety symptoms, 28.1% had moderate anxiety, and 71.9% had a severe anxiety level. The students' mean BAI score was 29.00±7.8, the motivation score was 5.00±2.00, and the stress score was 5.00±2.37. According to the mean BAI score, all students experienced moderate (23.14±1.43) and severe (34.02±7.2) anxiety. The relationship between the variables demonstrated that there was a statistically significant relationship between the BAI, motivation, and stress scores. A negative relationship was observed between students' motivation score and the BAI (r:-0.35, p<0.001) and stress scores (r: 0.27, p<0.001), and a moderately significant positive relationship was found between the BAI and stress scores (r: 0.39, p<0.001) (Table 3).

According to the multiple linear regression analysis, factors affecting students' anxiety scores significantly were being female, impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, decreased motivation, increased stress

level, and having a family member diagnosed with COVID-19. These variables explain 30.0 % of the total variance (Table 4).

## **DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted to determine the anxiety, motivation, stress levels of university students and associated factors during the COVID-19 pandemic. This section includes the discussion of the results with the literature.

The COVID-19 outbreak, which emerged in China and spread all over the world, not only caused the risk of death from infection, but also a severe psychological impact on individuals (9). It has been reported that epidemics lead to many psychological effects such as anxiety, stress, and depression on the public, healthcare workers, children, elderly individuals, and students (11-13). As in the world, the sudden closure of the universities in Turkey, discharge of student dormitories, the transition to online education, and not knowing how long this epidemic will continue has caused some uncertainties. All these uncertainties can negatively influence the mental health of students, causing them to experience anxiety and stress, and may affect students' motivation (16, 24-26). Our study demonstrated that during the COVID-19 pandemic, students' anxiety mean scores were high and their motivation and stress mean scores were moderate. In a relevant study, students' anxiety, stress, and depressive thinking levels were found to increase due to the COVID-19 outbreak (15). In another study, the anxiety, stress, and depression levels of university students were moderate and severe due to the COVID-19 pandemic (27). The results of our study are consistent with the literature.

The rapid increase in the number of countries affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of cases and deaths has caused great concern in the public (25). Our study showed that the anxiety levels of

university students associated with the pandemic were related to their socio-demographic characteristics such as gender, family income level, place of residence, the presence of chronic and psychological disease, and the presence a family member diagnosed with COVID-19 in the immediate environment. Besides, it was found that the anxiety mean score of female students was much higher than that of men. Studies have reported that women are more exposed to stress, anxiety, and depression, which are the psychological effects of the epidemic (5, 28). In addition, individuals develop psychological, somatic, and behavioral conditions and their sleep quality deteriorates during the epidemic process (29). In our study, the anxiety and stress mean scores of the students who started smoking after the COVID-19 epidemic, whose sleep time decreased and whose appetite increased was found to be higher. The anxiety mean score of the students living in big cities were high, while the average motivation scores of the students living in rural areas were high. This situation can be explained by the fact that these people have houses in the countryside and with gardens, they are in touch with nature, and they engage in relaxing physical activities. Contrary to our study, another study found that students living in urban areas had lower anxiety levels than those living in rural areas. This situation is explained by the differences in access to economic, cultural, and educational resources. People living in urban areas can easily access health services, masks, disinfectants, and all kinds of information about transmission, protection, and treatment (9, 26, 30). In our study, the anxiety and stress mean scores of the students with chronic and psychological diseases and those who had a family member diagnosed with COVID-19 in their immediate environment were high, while the motivation mean scores the students without chronic diseases were high. The fear of the unknown, being in the risk group, the high risk of virus transmission cause anxiety, fear, and anxiety even in healthy individuals, so they further increase the anxiety level of students with chronic and psychological diseases and those having a family member diagnosed with COVID-19.

The variables that significantly affected the anxiety score of the students in our study were being a woman, impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, decreased motivation, increased stress level, and having a family

member with a diagnosis of COVID-19. Studies show that women are highly exposed to the psychological effects of the epidemic (5, 28). High levels of anxiety negatively affect sleep and diet patterns. Literature shows that the level of anxiety negatively affects sleep quality because it makes it difficult to fall asleep and interrupts sleep frequently. It is a fact that anxiety, depression, and stress are closely related to sleep quality and eating habits (31-35). A study reported that as the motivation of the students decreased, their anxiety levels increased, and individuals with high motivation and satisfaction had low stress and anxiety levels (5). In another study, students fear and worry about their own health and of their loved ones, so they have difficulty in concentrating, disruptions to sleeping patterns, decreased social interactions due to physical distancing, and increased concerns about academic performance (15). Another factor affecting the students anxiety level in our study is the presence of a family member with a diagnosis of COVID-19. This is related to the high transmission rate of the virus, poor course of the disease, and high morbidity and mortality rates (6, 9, 36).

In conclusion, the study showed that students' anxiety scores were high, and their motivation and stress scores were moderate during the COVID-19 pandemic. Their anxiety levels are affected by gender, family income level, place of residence, the presence of chronic and psychological illness, and presence of a family member diagnosed with COVID-19. Family income and place of residence do not affect students' anxiety levels. Students' motivation levels are influenced by family income, smoking status, place of residence, and the presence of psychological illness. Gender and presence of chronic disease do not affect motivation levels. The stress levels of students are affected by smoking, having chronic and psychological diseases, but gender, family income, and place of residence are not effective on stress levels. Being a woman, impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, reduced motivation, increased stress level and having a family member diagnosed with COVID-19 are factors affecting the level of anxiety. It is recommended that the mental health of students should be carefully monitored, intervention programs on psychological health

should be created and psychosocial support to students through online training during and after the pandemic should be provided.

### **Main Points:**

- The mean score of the health care worker candidate university students on the BAI was 29.00±7.8, which means severe anxiety due to COVID-19.
- Being a woman, impaired sleep and nutrition patterns, reduced motivation, increased stress level, and
  having a family member diagnosed with COVID-19 are factors affecting the level of anxiety.
- There are no statistically significant differences between the students' mean scores on the BAI according to family income and place of residence.

#### References

- 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W. China novel coronavirus investigating and research team. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020; 382:727-733.
- 2. Nemati M., Ebrahimi B., Nemati F. Assessment of Iranian nurses' knowledge and anxiety toward COVID-19 during the current outbreak in Iran. Arch Clin Infect Dis 2020; April; 15 (COVID-19). DOI: 10.5812/archeid.102848.
- 3. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID -19 epidemic in China: A Web-based Cross-sectional Survey. MedRxiv 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.19.20025395.
- 4. Liu CY, Yang YZ, Zhang XM, Xu X, Dou QL, Zhang WW. The prevalence and influencing factors for anxiety in medical workers fighting COVID-19 in China: A cross-sectional survey. MedRxiv 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.05.20032003.

- 5. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Zhao Y. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020; 323(11):1061-1069. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.1585
- 6. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's statement on IHR Emergency Committee on Novel Coronavirus (2019- nCoV). https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-statement-on-ihr-emergencycommittee-on-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov). (accessed April 30, 2020).
- 7. Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health, https://covid19bilgi.saglik.gov.tr/tr/ (accessed August 20,2020).
- 8. Güner R, Hasanoğlu İ, Aktaş F. COVID-19: Prevention and control measures in community. Turk J Med Sci 2020; 50: 571-577.
- 9. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, Zheng, J. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China, Psychiatry Research, 2020, 287.
- 10. Kara Y. Students' experiences in the pandemic duration: the case of Bakırköy district. Eurasian Journal of Researches in Social and Economics 2020; 7(7),165-176.
- 11. Chen Q, Liang M, Li Y, Guo J, Fei D, Wang L, Wang J. Mental health care for medical staff in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. The Lancet Psychiatry, 2020., 7: e15-16.
- 12. Li SW, Wang Y, Yang YY, Lei XM, Yang YF. Analysis of influencing factors of anxiety and emotional disorders in children and adolescents during home isolation during the epidemic of novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chinese Journal of Child Health 2020; 1-9.
- 13. Yang Y, Li W, Zhang Q, Zhang L, Cheung T, Xiang YT. Mental health services for older adults in china during the COVID-19 outbreak. The Lancet Psychiatry 2020; (20) 30079-1.
- 14. Al-Rabiaah A, Temsah MH, Al-Eyadhy AA, Hasan GM, Al-Zamil F, Al-Subaie S, Somily AM. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome- Corona Virus (MERS-CoV) associated stress among medical students at a university teaching hospital in Saudi Arabia. J Infect Public Health 2020; 687-691.

- 15. Son C, Hegde S, Smith A, Wang X, Sasangohar, F. Effects of COVID-19 on college students' mental health in the United States: Interview survey study. Journal of Medical Internet Research 2020; 22(9), e21279.
- 16. Islam MA, Barna SD, Raihan H, Khan MNA, Hossain MT Depression and anxiety among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh: A web-based crosssectional survey. PLoS ONE. 2020; 15(8): e0238162.
- 17. Sahu P. Closure of universities due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Impact on education and mental health of students and academic staff. Cureus 2020; 12(4).
- 18. Loh LC, Ali AM, Ang TH, Chelliah A. Impact of a spreading epidemic on medical students. Malays J Med Sci 2006;13(2):30-6.
- 19. Yakar B, Kaygusuz TÖ, Pirinçci E, Önalan E, Erfekin YH. Knowledge, attitude and anxiety of medical students about the current COVID-19 outbreak in Turkey. Family Practice and Palliative Care, 2020; 5(2), 36-44.
- 20. Zhai Y, Du X. Mental health care for international Chinese students affected by the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020; Apr;7(4): e22. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30089-4. http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/32199511.
- 21. de Oliveira Araújo FJ, de Lima LSA, Cidade PIM, Nobre CB, Neto MLR. Impact of Sars-Cov-2 and its reverberation in global higher education and mental health. Psychiatry Res. 2020; Jun;288:112977. doi: 10.1016/j. psychres. 2020:112977. http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/32302818.
- 22. Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L, Ballard C, Christensen H, Cohen Silver R, Everall I, Ford T, John A, Kabir T, King K, Madan I, Michie S, Przybylski AK, Shafran R, Sweeney A, Worthman CM, Yardley L, Cowan K, Cope C, Hotopf M, Bullmore E. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020; Jun;7(6):547–560. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1.
- 23. Ulusoy M., Sahin N. H., Erkmen H. The Beck anxiety inventory: psychometric properties. J Cogn Psychother, 1998, 12(2), 163-72.

- 24. Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, Chen J. The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. The Lancet Psychiatry. Feb 05, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20) 30047-X.
- 25. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu, L. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empover society. Lancet, 2020; 395 (10224), e37-e38 London, England.
- 26. Shigemura J, Ursano RJ, Morganstein JC, Kurosawa M, Benedek DM. Public responses to the novel 2019 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Japan: mental health consequences and target populations. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2020; Feb 08.
- 27. Odriozola-González P, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Irurtia MJ, de Luis-García, R. Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. Psychiatry Research 2020; 113108.
- 28. Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression in the community from 30 countries between 1994 and 2014. Sci Rep 2018; 8, 2861.
- 29. Yuan S, Liao Z, Huang H, Jiang B, Zhang X, Wang Y, Zhao M. Comparison of the Indicators of psychological stress in the population of hubei province and non-endemic provinces in china during two weeks during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in February 2020. Stress and the COVID-19 outbreak in Hubei... © Med Sci Monit,; 26: e923767.
- 30. Tang B, Li S, Xiong Y, Tian M, Yu J, Xu L, Feng, Z. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia in a hemodialysis patient. Kidney Med. 2020 Mar 12.
- 31. Xiao H, Yan Zhang Y, Kong D, Li S, Yang N. The effects of social support on sleep quality of medical staff treating patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in January and February 2020 in China. Med Sci Monit, 2020; 26: e923549 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.923549.

- 32. Reeth OV, Weibel L, Spiegel K, Leproult R, Dugovic C, Maccari S. Interactions between stress and sleep: From basic research to clinical situations. Sleep Med Rev 2000; 4: 201–219.
- 33. Johnson EO, Roth T, Breslau N. The association of insomnia with anxiety disorders and depression: Exploration of the direction of risk. J Psych Res 2006; 40: 700–8 29.
- 34. Alvaro P, Roberts RM, Harris JK. A systematic review assessing bidirectionality between sleep disturbances, anxiety, and depression. Sleep 2013; 36: 1059–68 28.
- 35. Acharya L, Jin L, Collins W. College life is stressful today emerging stressors and depressive symptoms in college students. J Am Coll Health 2018; Oct;66(7):655-664. [doi: 10.1080/07448481.2018.1451869] [Medline: 29565759]
- 36. Song JY, Cheong HJ, Choi MJ, Jeon JH, Kang SH, Jeong EJ, Yoon JG, Lee SN, Kim SR, Noh JY, Kim WJ. Viral shedding and environmental cleaning in middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Infection and Chemotherapy. 2015;47(4):252-255. https://doi.org/10.3947/ic.2015.47.4.252

**Table 1**. Distribution of the BAI, Motivation and Stress Scores According to Some Socio-demographic Characteristics of Students (n=855)

Characteristics	n	(%)	BAI Mean ± SD	Motivation score Mean ± SD	Stress Score Mean ± SD
G 1	n		Mean = SD	Mean = SD	Mean = SD
Gender	600	(00.5)	21 50 5 00	100.104	4.04.2.26
Female	688	(80.5)	$31.78\pm7.98$	4.80±1.94	$4.94\pm2.36$
Male	167	(19.5)	$27.61\pm6.50$	5.06±2.23	$4.66\pm2.42$
			p<0.001*	p=0.139	p=0.178
Family income					
High	158	(18.5)	$30.96\pm8.69$	5.34±2.22	$4.72\pm2.31$
Moderate	657	(76.8)	$30.81 \pm 7.43$	4.76±1.94	$4.88 \pm 2.38$
Low	40	(4.7)	33.42±11.05	4.47±1.96	$5.55\pm2.28$
		(1.7)	p=0.128	p=0.002**	p=0.147
Cigarette smoking					
Yes	51	(6.0)	30.76±8.71	4.31±2.15	$4.84\pm2.62$
Yes; decreased after COVID-19	96	(11.2)	$31.76\pm9.87$	4.40±2.24	4.96±2.53
Yes; increased after COVID-19	15	(1.8)	36.40±10.21	3.00±1.88	$7.26\pm2.28$
Yes; started after COVID-19	8		37.62±14.32	2.37±1.50	5.87±3.27
No	685	(0.9)	$30.67 \pm 7.28$	$5.03\pm1.91$	4.81±2.29
		(80.1)	p=0.005*	p<0.001*	p=0.002*
Place of residence				<b>.</b>	•
Metropolis	378	(44.2)	31.62±7.89	$4.67\pm2.01$	4.81±2.34
Countryside	308	(36.0)	30.20±7.49	5.1±1.91	4.87±2.32
City	169		$30.89\pm8.48$	4.74±2.12	$5.07\pm2.53$
		(19.8)	p=0.063	p= <b>0.007</b> **	p=0.481
Chronic disease <sup>a</sup>					F 33.33
Yes	49	(5.7)	34.46±8.17	$4.42\pm1.96$	5.61±2.53
No	806	(94.3)	$30.75\pm7.80$	4.88±2.01	$4.84\pm2.35$
1.0		(21.3)	p=0.001*	p=0.122	p=0.027*
Psychological disease b			P 0.002	p 0.122	P 0.027
Yes	92	(10.8)	37.28±10.70	$4.00\pm2.09$	5.80±2.63
No.	763	(89.2)	30.20±7.11	4.96±1.97	4.77±2.31
140	703	(0).2)	p<0.001*	p<0.001*	p<0.001*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Chronic disease: Diabetes, Hypertension, Cardiac diseases, Respiratory tract diseases, <sup>b</sup>Psychological disease: Depression, Anxiety disorder, Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder, \*t test, \*\* one-way ANOVA

**Table 2.** Distribution of Students' Experiences Regarding COVID-19 Process According to BAI, Motivation and Stress Scores (n=855)

			BAI	Motivation score	Stress score
Characteristics	n	%	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	$Mean \pm SD$
Having a family member with a					
diagnosis of COVID-19					
Yes	117	(13.7)	33.58±9.66	4.55±2.23	$5.26 \pm 2.45$
No	738	(86.3)	30.55±7.79	4.09±1.96	$4.82\pm2.35$
			p<0.001	p=0.078	p=0.063
Sleep pattern during COVID-19					-
process					
Decreased sleep time	137	(16.0)	34.36±8.57	4.34±1.98	$5.55\pm2.49$
Increased sleep time	474	(55.4)	31.93±8.05	4.55±1.93	$5.03\pm2.27$
No change	244	(28.5)	27.19±5.35	5.73±1.90	$4.22\pm2.34$
			p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001
Nutrition pattern in the COVID-					
19 process					
Decreased appetite	101	(11.8)	$33.20\pm8.30$	$4.50\pm2.23$	$4.48\pm2.53$
Increased appetite	449	(52.5)	32.54±8.19	$4.42 \pm 1.88$	$5.18\pm2.34$
No change	305	(35.7)	27.90±6.19	$5.61 \pm 1.88$	$4.46 \pm 2.3$
			p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001

**Table 3.** Students' BAI, Motivation and Stress Mean Scores (n = 855)

Scale	N	(%)	$Mean \pm SS$	Median (minmax)
BAI			29.00±7.8	30.96 (21-63)
Normal anxiety level	-		-	-
Mild anxiety level	-		-	-
Moderate anxiety level	240	(28.1)	$23.14\pm1.43$	23.14 (21-25)
Severe anxiety level	615	(71.9)	$34.02 \pm 7.2$	32.00 (26-63)
Motivation score			$5.00\pm2.00$	4.85 (1-10)
Stress score			5.00±2.37	4.88 (1-10)

SD: Standard Deviation

**Table 4.** The Results Regarding Multiple Regression Analysis between Students' BAI and Independent Variables

Model	В	SE	β	t	р
Constant	24.109	2.263		11.979	< 0.001
Gender	3.350	0.572	0.168	5.853	< 0.001
Sleep pattern	-2.367	0.559	-0.136	-4.232	< 0.001
Nutrition pattern	1.991	0.528	0.121	3.773	< 0.001
<b>Motivation score</b>	-0.735	0.123	-0,187	-5.998	< 0.001
Stress score	0.972	0.100	0.293	9.748	< 0.001
Having a family member	-1.929	0.661	-0.084	-2.919	< 0.001
with the diagnosis of					
COVID-19					

Model R=0.552; R<sup>2</sup> =0.304; Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.300; F=61.87; P<,01. Dependent Variable: Beck Anxiety Inventory. Gender (0. Male, 1. Female), Sleep pattern (0. Affected 1. Not affected), Nutrition pattern (0. Not affected 1. Affected), Having a family member with the diagnosis of COVID-19 (0. Yes 1. No)

