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#### Abstract

Hypsometric analysis of drainage basins reveals useful information to distinguish between the erosional landforms at different stages during their evolution; it gives ideas for understanding the geomorphic development of a basin. In this paper, we used SRTM data (30m resolution) to derive and analysed hypsometric data for the Ataq-Southeastern Yemen; the study area was divided into six sub-basin and hypsometric analysis was carried out for all of these sub-basins using digital contour map which was generated for every sub-basin with the helping of ArcGIS also hypsometric curve was prepared for every sub-basin; differences in hypsometric curve shape and hypsometric integral values are indicated to the degree of disequilibrium in the balance of erosive processes and tectonic forces. The result values of the hypsometric integral for all the sub-basins are ranges "between" $21.9 \%$ to $51.4 \%$; according to these results, two stages were identified in the study area namely mature or equilibrium and old stages. The overall of the study for hypsometric analysis in this paper was to understand the erosional topography and tectonic activities in the area.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The hypsometric analysis was firstly introduced by Langebein (1947) to expresses the overall slope and the forms of a drainage basin. The percentage of the hypsometric curve represents the relationship between the horizontal cross-sectional areas of a drainage basin to the relative elevation above the basin mouth (Strahler, 1952). Hurtrez, (1999) stated that the hypsometric curve is related to the volume of soil masses in the basin and the amount of the erosion that had occurred in a basin against the remaining masses. By geometric analysis of watershed site selection for soil conservation has been done (Ikbal et al., 2017). According to Strahler (1952) and Schumm (1956), a hypsometric analysis is used to distinguish between the erosional landforms at different stages during their evolution; hypsometric analysis provides useful information for understanding the geomorphic development of a basin, it may reflect the interaction between tectonics and erosion processes (Ali and Ikbal 2017). Hypsometry may be expressed quantitatively as hypsometric integral (Strahler, 1952). The hypsometric integral (HI) provides a measure of the distribution of landmasses volume remaining beneath or above a basal references plane (Sivakumar, 2011; Singh, et al., 2008). Hypsometric analysis using GIS has been used by several researchers to deal with erosional topography, like Pandey, (2004); Singh, (2008). Drainage analysis of different area with the help of remote sensing and GIS has been carried out (Ali et al., 2017; Ikbal et al., 2017). In Yemen, there is a lack of hypsometric studies for the watershed, which is attributable to the tedious nature of data acquisition and analysis is involved in estimation of hypsometric analysis. Considering the above facts and due to the advent of remote sensing data including derived digital elevation models and open sources software tools (GIS); the estimation and understand the geomorphic stages become easier than conventional methods. This study was undertaken in six sub-basins for Ataq area, Yemen to estimate and understand the geomorphic stages.

## II. STUDY AREA

The study area is located in the Shabwah Province, south-eastern central of Yemen; between longitude $46^{\circ} 47^{\prime}-47^{\circ} 00 \mathrm{E}$ and latitude $14^{\circ} 20^{\prime}-14^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Fig. 1. It covers an area about $792 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Geologically the study area located in the south-eastern part of Marib-Shabwah Graben; which formed part of an extensive rift system developed across much of Yemen and Somalia during the late Jurassic (Beydoun, 1964), the Graben is northwest-southeast trending; and bounded by two major normal faults. The study area covers by syntectonic granite infrastructure and the overlying Mesozoic-Cenozoic sedimentary successions (Al Wosabi et al., 2013). Most of the northern part of the area belongs to a desert plain lying at the altitude of $1100-1200 \mathrm{~m}$, the southern part extended by low mountainous ridge held by Precambrian rocks, (Isakin, 1990). The eastern part of the area is occupied by a plateau with altitudes of

1550-1650m; the plateau is underlain by flatty lying Paleogene limestones and is separated from the desert by a steep scarp 150200 m high. The major Wadis on the area drain in the north-west direction and gradually vanish in the desert.


Fig. 1 Location Map of the Study Area

## III. METHODOLOGY AND DATA

The hypsometric curve can be readily obtained from grid or contour representation of surface topography; digital elevation model base SRTM data with spatial resolution 30m was downloaded via internet from USGS website, and used in this study to generate elevation and slope maps Fig. 3 and 4; ArcGIS software was used to analyse and obtained the values, Excel program has been used to determine the hypsometric curve values. The study area is delineated into six distinct sub-basins, and digital contour map was carried out from SRTM data for each sub-basin using ArcGIS Fig. 5. The digital contour maps were used to generate the data required for relative area and elevation ranges.
The following procedures have been adopted for Hypsometric analysis:

## A. Plotting of Hypsometric Curves (HC)

Considering the drainage basin to be bounded by vertical sides and a horizontal base plane passing through the mouth; the relative height can be obtained as the ratio of the height of a given contour (h) from the base plane of the stream mouth to total height of the basin with reference to the same base level $(\mathrm{H})$, and the relative area is obtained as the ratio of the area above a particular contour (a) to the total area of the watershed encompassing the outlet (A) (Sarangi, 2001; Reitter, 2002). Strahler, (1952) interpreted the shape of the hypsometric curve and classified the basins in to three type based on hypsometric curve, these are: young (convex upward curves), mature (S-shaped curve, which concaves upwards at high elevations and convex downward at low elevations) and old age which represent the peneplain or distorted area, and it concave upward curves. The hypsometric curve was generated by plotting the relative area along the abscissa and the relative height along the ordinate, as shown in Fig. 2 (after Singh, et al. 2008). Values of Relative height and Relative area of these sub-basins are presented in the Tables (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7).


Fig. 2: Hypothetical diagram showing how watershed morphology is related to hypsometric curve and hypsometric integral (Singh, et al., 2008)

## B. Estimation of Hypsometric Integrals (HIS)

Hypsometric integral (His) represent the area under the hypsometric curve and give an indication of the cycle of erosion (Strahler, 1952 and Garg, 1983). The hypsometric integral was calculated using the elevation relief ratio which defined as Integration of hypsometric curve; this method was approved by Pike and Wilson (1971). This relationship is expressed mathematically as:

$$
E \approx H i s=\frac{\text { Mean Elevation }- \text { Minimum Elevation }}{\text { Maximum Elevation }- \text { Minimum Elevation }}
$$

Where, E is the elevation ratio equivalent to the hypsometric integral; Elevation values were derived automatically from SRTM Dem. The hypsometric integral is expressed in percentage units and is obtained from the percentage hypsometric curve by measuring the area under the curve. This provided a measure of the distribution of landmass volume remaining beneath or above a basal reference plane (Singh, et al., 2008). The cycle of erosion is the total time which required for reduction of the land area to the base level or lower level. The entire period of cycle erosion according to Strahler, (1952) can be divided into three stages based on hypsometric integral values; these stages are: inequilibrium or youthful stage (His $>0.6$ ) in which the river basin is highly susceptible to erosion, equilibrium or mature stage (His between 0.3 and 0.6 in which the river basin is in mature phase of basin development, and monadnock or old stage (His < 0.3) in which the river basin is fully stabilized.

Table . 1 Relative height and Relative area of Ataq Sub-basin

| Altitude Range Ataq SubBasin | Area <br> (a) <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Area in Percept (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) <br> (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/ H |
| 1118-1180 | 45.8 | 6.29\% | 45.80 | 1118 | 1.000 | 62 | 62 | 1118 | 0.000 |
| 1180-1245 | 147.8 | 20.27\% | 193.60 | 1180 | 0.937 | 65 | 127 | 1180 | 0.095 |
| 1245-1310 | 180.2 | 24.72\% | 373.80 | 1245 | 0.734 | 65 | 192 | 1245 | 0.194 |
| 1310-1375 | 165.9 | 22.75\% | 539.70 | 1310 | 0.487 | 65 | 257 | 1310 | 0.294 |
| 1375-1440 | 75.1 | 10.30\% | 614.80 | 1375 | 0.260 | 65 | 322 | 1375 | 0.394 |
| 1440-1505 | 42.2 | 5.79\% | 657.00 | 1440 | 0.157 | 65 | 387 | 1440 | 0.493 |
| 1505-1570 | 42.8 | 5.87\% | 699.80 | 1505 | 0.099 | 65 | 452 | 1505 | 0.531 |
| 1570-1635 | 23.7 | 3.25\% | 723.50 | 1570 | 0.040 | 65 | 517 | 1570 | 0.651 |
| 1653-1700 | 5.0 | 0.68\% | 728.50 | 1635 | 0.008 | 65 | 582 | 1653 | 0.792 |
| 1700-1771 | 0.5 | 0.07\% | 729.00 | 1700 | 0.001 | 71 | 653 | 1700 | 0.891 |
|  | 729.0 | 100.00\% |  | 1771 | 0.000 | 653 |  | 1771 | 1.000 |

Tables .2,3 and 4 Relative height and Relative area of the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub basin

| Altitude Range SB1 | Area <br> (a) <br> ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Area in Percept (a/A *100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/H |
| 1153-1200 | 9.63 | 10.47\% | 9.36 | 1153 | 1.00 | 47 | 47.00 | 1153 | 0.00 |
| 1200-1248 | 12.01 | 13.05\% | 21.64 | 1200 | 0.90 | 48 | 95.00 | 1200 | 0.10 |
| 1248-1296 | 11.34 | 12.33\% | 32.98 | 1248 | 0.76 | 48 | 143.00 | 1248 | 0.20 |
| 1296-1344 | 4.99 | 5.42\% | 37.97 | 1296 | 0.64 | 48 | 191.00 | 1296 | 0.30 |
| 1344-1392 | 3.02 | 3.28\% | 40.99 | 1344 | 0.59 | 48 | 239.00 | 1344 | 0.39 |
| 1392-1440 | 5.69 | 6.18\% | 46.68 | 1392 | 0.55 | 48 | 287.00 | 1392 | 0.49 |
| 1440-1488 | 8.09 | 8.79\% | 54.77 | 1440 | 0.49 | 48 | 335.00 | 1440 | 0.59 |
| 1488-1536 | 6.92 | 7.52\% | 61.69 | 1488 | 0.40 | 48 | 383.00 | 1488 | 0.69 |
| 1536-1584 | 24.90 | 27.07\% | 86.59 | 1536 | 0.33 | 48 | 431.00 | 1536 | 0.79 |
| 1584-1637 | 5.41 | 5.88\% | 92.00 | 1584 | 0.06 | 53 | 484.00 | 1584 | 0.89 |
|  | 92.00 | 100.00\% |  | 1637 | 0.00 | 484 |  | 1637 | 1.00 |
| Altitude Range SB2 | Area (a) $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2)}\right.$ | Area in Percept (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) <br> (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/H |
| 1139-1200 | 75.50 | 23.97\% | 75.50 | 1139 | 1.0000 | 61 | 61 | 1139 | 0.000 |
| 1200-1265 | 90.71 | 28.80\% | 166.21 | 1200 | 0.7603 | 65 | 126 | 1200 | 0.097 |
| 1265-1330 | 65.67 | 20.85\% | 231.88 | 1265 | 0.4723 | 65 | 191 | 1265 | 0.199 |
| 1330-1395 | 31.37 | 9.96\% | 263.25 | 1330 | 0.2639 | 65 | 256 | 1330 | 0.302 |
| 1395-1460 | 20.02 | 6.36\% | 283.27 | 1395 | 0.1643 | 65 | 321 | 1395 | 0.405 |
| 1460-1525 | 12.00 | 3.81\% | 295.27 | 1460 | 0.1007 | 65 | 386 | 1460 | 0.508 |
| 1525-1590 | 10.84 | 3.44\% | 306.11 | 1525 | 0.0626 | 65 | 451 | 1525 | 0.611 |
| 1590-1655 | 6.54 | 2.08\% | 312.65 | 1590 | 0.0282 | 65 | 516 | 1590 | 0.714 |
| 1655-1720 | 2.30 | 0.73\% | 314.95 | 1655 | 0.0075 | 65 | 581 | 1655 | 0.816 |
| 1720-1771 | 0.05 | 0.02\% | 315.00 | 1720 | 0.0002 | 51 | 632 | 1720 | 0.919 |
|  | 315.00 | 100.00\% |  | 1771 | 0.0000 | 632 |  | 1771 | 1.000 |
| Altitude Range SB3 | Area (a) $\left(\mathrm{Km}^{2}\right)$ | Area in Percept (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height <br> (m) <br> (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/H |
| 1180-1210 | 7.11 | 20.91\% | 7.11 | 1180 | 1.000 | 30 | 30 | 1180 | 0.000 |
| 1210-1250 | 7.71 | 22.68\% | 14.82 | 1210 | 0.791 | 40 | 70 | 1210 | 0.078 |
| 1250-1290 | 4.27 | 12.56\% | 19.09 | 1250 | 0.564 | 40 | 110 | 1250 | 0.181 |
| 1290-1330 | 2.89 | 8.50\% | 21.99 | 1290 | 0.439 | 40 | 150 | 1290 | 0.284 |
| 1330-1370 | 3.65 | 10.74\% | 25.64 | 1330 | 0.354 | 40 | 190 | 1330 | 0.388 |
| 1370-1410 | 4.42 | 13.00\% | 30.06 | 1370 | 0.246 | 40 | 230 | 1370 | 0.491 |
| 1410-1450 | 2.39 | 7.03\% | 32.46 | 1410 | 0.116 | 40 | 270 | 1410 | 0.594 |
| 1450-1490 | 1.07 | 3.15\% | 33.53 | 1450 | 0.046 | 40 | 310 | 1450 | 0.698 |
| 1490-1530 | 0.44 | 1.29\% | 33.96 | 1490 | 0.014 | 40 | 350 | 1490 | 0.801 |
| 1530-1567 | 0.05 | 0.15\% | 34.00 | 1530 | 0.001 | 37 | 387 | 1530 | 0.904 |
|  | 34.00 | 100.00\% |  | 1567 | 0.000 | 387 |  | 1567 | 1.000 |
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Tables .5, 6 and 7 Relative height and Relative area of the, $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ sub basin

| Altitude Range SB4 | Area <br> (a) <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Area in Percept (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) (h) | Cumula tive Height | Relative Height |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/H |
| 1225-1280 | 32.10 | 21.99\% | 32.10 | 1225 | 1.000 | 55 | 55 | 1225 | 0.00 |
| 1280-1335 | 47.26 | 32.37\% | 79.36 | 1280 | 0.780 | 55 | 110 | 1280 | 0.10 |
| 1335-1390 | 33.65 | 23.05\% | 113.01 | 1335 | 0.456 | 55 | 165 | 1335 | 0.20 |
| 1390-1445 | 16.31 | 11.17\% | 129.32 | 1390 | 0.226 | 55 | 220 | 1390 | 0.30 |
| 1445-1500 | 8.74 | 5.99\% | 138.06 | 1445 | 0.114 | 55 | 275 | 1445 | 0.40 |
| 1500-1555 | 4.07 | 2.79\% | 142.13 | 1500 | 0.054 | 55 | 330 | 1500 | 0.51 |
| 1555-1610 | 2.25 | 1.54\% | 144.38 | 1555 | 0.027 | 55 | 385 | 1555 | 0.61 |
| 1610-1665 | 0.70 | 0.48\% | 145.08 | 1610 | 0.011 | 55 | 440 | 1610 | 0.71 |
| 1665-1720 | 0.59 | 0.40\% | 145.67 | 1665 | 0.006 | 55 | 495 | 1665 | 0.81 |
| 1720-1769 | 0.33 | 0.23\% | 146.00 | 1720 | 0.002 | 49 | 544 | 1720 | 0.91 |
|  | 146.0 | 100.00\% |  | 1769 | 0.000 | 544 |  | 1769 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Altitude } \\ & \text { Range } \\ & \text { SB5 } \end{aligned}$ | Area <br> (a) <br> ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Area in Percept (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Below } \\ \text { altitude } \end{gathered}$ | h/ H |
| 1205-1250 | 2.90 | 2.82\% | 2.90 | 1205 | 1.000 | 45 | 45 | 1205 | 0.00 |
| 1250-1300 | 13.87 | 13.47\% | 16.77 | 1250 | 0.972 | 50 | 95 | 1250 | 0.09 |
| 1300-1350 | 50.90 | 49.42\% | 67.67 | 1300 | 0.837 | 50 | 145 | 1300 | 0.20 |
| 1350-1400 | 21.29 | 20.67\% | 88.96 | 1350 | 0.343 | 50 | 195 | 1350 | 0.30 |
| 1400-1450 | 8.39 | 8.15\% | 97.35 | 1400 | 0.136 | 50 | 245 | 1400 | 0.40 |
| 1450-1500 | 2.68 | 2.60\% | 100.03 | 1450 | 0.055 | 50 | 295 | 1450 | 0.50 |
| 1500-1550 | 1.28 | 1.24\% | 101.31 | 1500 | 0.029 | 50 | 345 | 1500 | 0.61 |
| 1550-1600 | 0.73 | 0.71\% | 102.04 | 1550 | 0.016 | 50 | 395 | 1550 | 0.71 |
| 1600-1650 | 0.70 | 0.68\% | 102.74 | 1600 | 0.009 | 50 | 445 | 1600 | 0.81 |
| 1650-1692 | 0.26 | 0.25\% | 103.00 | 1650 | 0.003 | 42 | 487 | 1650 | 0.91 |
|  | 103.0 | 100.00\% |  | 1692 | 0.000 | 487 |  | 1692 | 1.00 |
| Altitude Range SB6 | Area <br> (a) <br> ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Area in <br> Percept <br> (a/A*100) | Cumulati ve Area | Relative Area |  | Height (m) <br> (h) | Cumul ative Height | Relative Height |  |
|  |  |  |  | Above altitude | a/A |  |  | Below altitude | h/H |
| 1118-1175 | 0.91 | 2.33\% | 0.91 | 1118 | 1.00 | 57 | 57 | 1118 | 0.00 |
| 1175-1230 | 2.02 | 5.18\% | 2.93 | 1175 | 0.98 | 55 | 112 | 1175 | 0.10 |
| 1230-1285 | 4.94 | 12.67\% | 7.87 | 1230 | 0.92 | 55 | 167 | 1230 | 0.20 |
| 1285-1340 | 17.28 | 44.31\% | 25.15 | 1285 | 0.80 | 55 | 222 | 1285 | 0.30 |
| 1340-1395 | 6.55 | 16.79\% | 31.70 | 1340 | 0.36 | 55 | 277 | 1340 | 0.39 |
| 1395-1450 | 2.32 | 5.95\% | 34.02 | 1395 | 0.19 | 55 | 332 | 1395 | 0.49 |
| 1450-1505 | 1.60 | 4.10\% | 35.62 | 1450 | 0.13 | 55 | 387 | 1450 | 0.59 |
| 1505-1560 | 1.46 | 3.74\% | 37.08 | 1505 | 0.09 | 55 | 442 | 1505 | 0.68 |
| 1560-1615 | 1.30 | 3.33\% | 38.38 | 1560 | 0.05 | 55 | 497 | 1560 | 0.78 |
| 1615-1684 | 0.62 | 1.59\% | 39.00 | 1615 | 0.02 | 69 | 566 | 1615 | 0.88 |
|  | 39.00 | 100.00\% |  | 1684 | 0.00 | 566 |  | 1684 | 1.00 |

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differences in Hypsometric curve shape and hypsometric integral values are an indication to the degree of disequilibrium in the balance of erosive processes and tectonic forces.

## A. Hypsometric Integral values

The hypsometric integral values (His) ere obtained for the six sub-basins based on Elevation relief ratio method; these values are presented in Table 8. The result values of the hypsometric integral for all the sub-basins are ranges "between" $21.9 \%$ to $51.4 \%$, according to these results, two stages were identified in the study area namely as mature or equilibrium and old stages; this classification depending on Strahler (1952) classification. The overall of the study for hypsometric analysis in this paper was to understand the erosional topography and tectonic activities in the area.

Table . 8 Hypsometric integral values of sub-basins in study

| Basin <br> name | Area <br> $\left.\mathbf{( K m}^{2}\right)$ | Maximum <br> Elevation(m) | Minimum <br> Elevation(m) | Mean <br> Elevation(m) | Hypsometric <br> Integral value | Erosional <br> Stage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SB1 | 92 | 1637 | 1153 | 1402.0 | 0.514 | Equilibrium |
| SB2 | 315 | 1771 | 1139 | 1289.8 | 0.239 | Monadnock |
| SB3 | 34 | 1567 | 1180 | 1294.0 | 0.295 | Monadnock |
| SB4 | 146 | 1769 | 1225 | 1344.0 | 0.219 | Monadnock |
| SB5 | 103 | 1692 | 1205 | 1344.9 | 0.287 | Monadnock |
| SB6 | 39 | 1684 | 1118 | 1343.0 | 0.398 | Equilibrium |
| Ataq SB | 729 | 1771 | 1118 | 1325.80 | 0.318 | Monadnock |

## B. Hypsometric Curve Shapes

Hypsometric curves were obtained by plotting percentage of the relative height against the percentage of the relative area. The hypsometric curve plotted individually for the six sub-basins as well as for the Ataq sub-basin, these curves are presented in the Fig 6 and 7. Based on the shapes of the curves the sub-basins are grouped into two categories; the first and sixth sub-basins are considered to be under the mature or equilibrium stages (the first is in the early of the mature stage), while the remaining four subbasins are considered to be under the old or monadnock stages of development. And we can see that the Ataq sub-basin is under the old stage; which means that the area is almost under the old geological erosional stage. From the curves of the four basins, it is clear that the gradual unloading of sediments is taking place.

Fig. 3 Fig. Elevation distribution map of Ataq Sub-basin


Fig. 4 Slope map of study area



Fig. 5 Elevation distribution map of six sub-basins in study area



Fig. 6 Hypsometric curve of six sub-basins


Fig. 7 Hypsometric curve of Ataq Sub-basin

## V. CONCLUSIONS

With remote sensing data and GIS software, it becomes less tedious to generate hypsometric integrals and curves. This study highlights the importance of hypsometric analysis to explain the geological stages of development and the degree of denudation in the study area; these give an idea about the rate of morphological changes on the area. Also hypsometric integral can assess the tectonic activity. It was observed from the hypsometric curves and the integral value of these sub-basins that the drainage system on the study area has been transformed into an old stage or monadnock stage as compared with classification of Strahler (1952) for various drainage systems. Among the six sub-basins, four sub-basins show old stage, and the other two sub-basins are show mature or equilibrium stage. So, from these values, it can be seen that the study area is passing through the old stage of development. The hypsometric curve of study area sub-basins also suggests that a larger part of the area is moderate to gently sloping as compared with slope map for the study area. The degree of slope exhibited in Ataq sub-basin are varies from 0 to 72 degrees, with a mean slope of 10.56 degree. The moderate slope found in the internal plateau of the area; while the higher slope gradient belongs to the hilly mountains in the south-western part of the area and north-eastern part of the area.
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IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129
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